



## The NASA Orbiting Carbon Observatory : Measuring CO<sub>2</sub> from Space

http://oco.jpl.nasa.gov

#### David Crisp, OCO Pl (JPL/Caltech)

March 19, 2008











- Humans have added >200 Gt C to the atmosphere since 1958
- Only ~58% of this CO<sub>2</sub> is staying in the atmosphere
- Where are the sinks that are absorbing over 40% of the CO<sub>2</sub>?
  - Land or ocean?
  - Eurasia/North America?
- Why does the CO<sub>2</sub> buildup vary from year to year with nearly uniform emission rates?
- How will these CO<sub>2</sub> sinks respond to climate change?



Source: Hansen and Sato, PNAS, 101, 16109, 2004.







JPL *Orl* 





# The Orbiting Carbon Observatory (OCO)



OCO will acquire the space-based data needed to identify  $CO_2$  sources and sinks on regional scales over the globe and quantify their variability over the seasonal cycle

#### Approach:

- Collect spectra of CO<sub>2</sub> and O<sub>2</sub> absorption in reflected sunlight
- Use these data to resolve variations in the column averaged CO<sub>2</sub> dry air mole fraction, X<sub>CO2</sub> over the sunlit hemisphere X<sub>CO2</sub> = 0.20995 × [CO<sub>2</sub>] / [O<sub>2</sub>]
- Validate measurements to ensure  $X_{CO2}$ accuracies of 1 - 2 ppm (0.3 - 0.5%) on regional scales at monthly intervals











PL *Orb* 





#### What is X<sub>CO2</sub>?



**Hamilton Sundstrand** 

A United Technologies Company









rhiting Car

### Precise Measurements are Needed to Resolve X<sub>CO2</sub> Variations







#### Making Precise X<sub>CO2</sub> Measurements from Space



- High resolution spectra of reflected sunlight in near IR CO<sub>2</sub> and O<sub>2</sub> bands used to retrieve the column average CO<sub>2</sub> dry air mole fraction,  $X_{CO2}$ - 1.61 µm CO<sub>2</sub> band: Column CO<sub>2</sub>
  - 2.06  $\mu$ m CO<sub>2</sub> band: Column CO<sub>2</sub>, Aerosols
  - 0.76 μm O<sub>2</sub> A-band: Surface pressure, clouds, aerosols
  - Why high spectral resolution?
    - Enhances sensitivity, minimizes biases







#### Putting the OCO Bands into Context









Project Management (JPL)

**International Science Team** 





Single Instrument (Hamilton Sundstrand/JPL)

**Dedicated Spacecraft (Orbital Sciences)** 

**Dedicated Taurus 3110 Launch Vehicle (Orbital)** 

Mission Operations (JPL/Orbital/NGN)

**December 2008 Launch from Vandenberg AFB** 

**2-Year Nominal Mission** 





JPL *orbital* 











JPL Orbizal





### **Pre-Flight Instrument Calibration and Characterization**



Sundstrand

United Technologies Company

- Flight qualification ensures that instrument survives
  - Thermal, vacuum, vibration
- Pre-flight testing quantifies key Instrument performance and knowledge parameters
  - Geometric
    - Bore-sight alignment
  - Radiometric
    - Zero-level offset (bias)
    - · Gain, Gain non-linearity
  - Spectroscopic
    - Spectral range, resolution, sampling
    - Instrument Line Shape (ILS)
  - Polarization
  - Instrument stability











Observations of the sun with the flight instrument during the instrument thermo-vacuum testing provided an end-to-end test of the instrument performance.



Orb

A United Technologies Company



11 of 24, Crisp, OCO March 2008





#### **Single Frame of Data using the Moon**

- High SNR (given low illumination levels)
- High spectral resolution (absorption bands are clearly visible)
- Imaging works (moon is ~0.5° wide just as expected)















#### The OCO Spacecraft Bus













#### **Our Ride: Taurus 3110 Launch Vehicle**







14 of 24, Crisp, OCO March 2008

Orbita





#### OCO Will Fly in the A-Train



Hamilton Sundstrand

A United Technologies Company



#### OCO files at the head of the A-Train, 4 minutes ahead of the Aqua platform

- 705 km altitude sun synchronous, 98.2° inclination, 98.8 minute period
  - Global coverage with a 16-day(233 orbit) ground track repeat cycle

Orb









Sundstrand

United Technologies Company

- Optimized to minimize bias and yield high Signal/Noise observations of  $X_{CO2}$  over the globe
- Nadir Observations: tracks local nadir
  - + Small footprint (< 3 km<sup>2</sup>) isolates cloudfree scenes and reduces biases from spatial inhomogeneities over land
  - Low Signal/Noise over dark ocean
- Glint Observations: views "glint" spot
  - + Improves Signal/Noise over oceans
  - More interference from clouds
- Data acquisition schedule:
  - alternate between Nadir and Glint on 16-day intervals

Glint and Nadir observations are taken with the spectrometer slit oriented perpendicular to the principle plane to minimize biases associated with polarization of the scene











- OCO Orbit Constraints
  - The 705 km altitude ,98.2° inclination
    - global coverage with a 16-day ground repeat cycle
  - 98.8 minute period: 14.57 Orbits/day
    - ~25° longitude offset between consecutive orbits
    - 1.5° longitude offset between orbit tracks over 16-day repeat cycle
- •OCO Sampling Rate/Coverage
  - Glint: <u>+75</u>° SZA, Nadir: <u>+</u>85° SZA
  - 12-24 samples/second collected along track over land and ocean
    - 200 to 400 samples/degree of latitude along orbit track on day side of the Earth
    - •7 and 14 million soundings over the globe once every 16 days.



OCO provides dense sampling along track and coarser sampling from track-to-track. Plumes of  $CO_2$  rich/poor air are captured by the column measurements.







Sundstrand





Sundstrand

United Technologies Company

#### **Target Observations**

- Tracks a stationary surface calibration site to collect large numbers of soundings
- Uplooking ground-based FTS data acquired simultaneously through same slant column
- Acquire Target data over 1 surface validation site each day
- Comparisons used to identify and remove biases





Geolocation Accuracy

Scan Direction



Along Slit



#### Estimating X<sub>CO2</sub> from OCO Spectra: The OCO L2 Retrieval Algorithm



**Purpose:** To derive  $X_{CO2}$  from calibrated spectral radiances

Approach: A hybrid approach has been adopted:

- A "Full Physics" algorithm that incorporates everything known about atmospheric and surface optical properties that affect observed radiances
  - Should be reliable over a wide range of conditions, providing an absolute standard
  - Too slow to process all data
- A "Semi-Analytical" method based on correlations between correlated Apparent Optical Path Differences (AOPD's) in the O<sub>2</sub> and CO<sub>2</sub> bands
  - Fast and accurate over "training" range
  - Provides good initial guess for full physics algorithm





IPL *orbit*a







- OCO spectra depend on large number of parameters, but by far dominating are:
  - Aerosol loading
  - Solar zenith angles
  - Surface types
- Lin. Error analysis has been used to calculate errors and averaging kernels for range of the 3 dominating parameters:
  - Aerosol: 0 < AOD < 0.3</li>
    Surface types: Lambert: vegetation, ocean, snow, desert, soil-vegetation mix CoxMunk surface for ocean sunglint
    Solar zenith angle: 0° < SZA < 85° for nadir 0° < SZA < 75° for sunglint</li>
  - SNR and FWHM: current best estimate
- Main assumptions:
  - Retrieval has converged to the correct solution
  - Retrieval errors are small
  - No systematic errors included here (i.e. perfect Forward Model)









#### **Averaging Kernels for Nadir Observations**







## Simulated Single Sounding X<sub>CO2</sub> Retrieval Errors for Nadir Observation















Based on OCO orbit geometry + MODIS cloud and AOD maps + OCO pixel size





#### Averaged 16 Day Ensemble X<sub>CO2</sub> Retrieval Error for Nadir Observations



Sundstrand

A United Technologies Company

- Based on land type climatology + AOD histogram (for AOD < 0.3) + number of cloud-free OCO pixels from effective OCO pixel size
- SZA < 85°
- No MODIS aerosol data available over ice and desert regions



# sunglint observations will significantly reduce errors over ocean at high latitudes

Orbita





#### Space-based X<sub>CO2</sub> Validation Strategy Ensures Accuracy and Early Acceptance



