[DO NOT PUBLISH]

Defendant-Appellee.

IN THE UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS

CITY OF TREASURE ISLAND CODE

ENFORCEMENT BOARD,

| FOR THE ELEVENTH CIRCUIT | FILED U.S. COURT OF APPEALS |
|---|---|
| No. 06-15075 | ELEVENTH CIRCUIT SEPTEMBER 28, 2007 THOMAS K. KAHN CLERK |
| D.C. Docket No. 05-01696-CV-T-30-MSS | |
| MATTHEW SCHWARZ, GULF COAST RECOVERY, INC., a Florida Corporation, | |
| | Plaintiffs-Defendants- Cross-Claimants- Appellants, |
| JOHN DOE, I-IV, anonymous individuals, JANE DOE, V-IX, anonymous individuals, | |
| I | Plaintiffs-Appellants, |
| versus | |
| CITY OF TREASURE ISLAND, a Florida Municipal Cor | poration, |
| | Defendant-Plaintiff- Cross-Defendant-Appellee, |

Appeal from the United States District Court for the Middle District of Florida

(September 28, 2007)

Before BIRCH, BARKETT and COX, Circuit Judges.

PER CURIAM:

Appellants argue, on this interlocutory appeal, that the district court abused its discretion in denying their request for a preliminary injunction. The abuse of discretion argument is based upon Appellants' contentions that 12305 3rd Street is a "dwelling" under the Fair Housing Act, 42 U.S.C. § 3601 *et seq.*, and that the Appellees denied their reasonable accommodation request.

A district court's denial of a request for a preliminary injunction "will not be reversed unless there is a clear abuse of discretion." *Revette v. Int'l Ass'n of Bridge, Structural and Ornamental Iron Workers*, 740 F.2d 892, 893 (11th Cir. 1984) (citation omitted). "[A]n abuse of discretion standard recognizes there is a range of choice within which we will not reverse the district court even if we might have reached a different decision." *Schiavo ex rel. Schindler v. Schiavo*, 403 F.3d 1223, 1226 (11th Cir. 2005) (citations omitted); *see also Revette*, 740 F.2d at 893; *Rasbury v. Internal Revenue Serv. (In re Rasbury*), 24 F.3d 159, 168-69 (11th Cir. 1994);

McMahan v. Toto, 256 F.3d 1120, 1128 (11th Cir. 2001). Appellants' contentions may be correct, but the question of whether 12305 3rd Street is a "dwelling" within the meaning of the Fair Housing Act is sufficiently close and complex to warrant affirming the district court's denial of a preliminary injunction.

We do not decide the ultimate issue of whether Appellants will prevail. We can conduct a more thorough review following the district court's final decision regarding injunctive relief. We decide only that the district court did not abuse its discretion in denying the request for a preliminary injunction.

AFFIRMED.

BARKETT, Circuit Judge, specially concurring:

I adhere to the view that this case is moot. But, since the court has denied the City of Treasure Island's motion to dismiss the appeal, I join the court's opinion.