

Log 2444

National Transportation Safety Board

Washington, D.C. 20594 Safety Recommendation

Date: May 4, 1994 In reply refer to: A-94-99 and -100

Honorable David R. Hinson Administrator Federal Aviation Administration Washington, D.C. 20591

From July 4, 1991, to August 31, 1993, the National Transportation Safety Board investigated a total of 29 accidents involving either pilot guides (hunting/fishing guides who routinely transport clientele to/from game locations by aircraft) or "aero lodges," (lodges that are only accessible via aircraft operated by or for lodges in the State of Alaska). In all instances, the operations were being conducted under the provisions of Title 14 Code of Federal Regulations (CFR) Part 91. Fourteen of the accidents resulted in fatalities or serious injuries. In contrast, during this same period, similar types of operations conducted under 14 CFR Part 135 experienced six accidents, one of which proved fatal. The total number of pilot guide/aero lodge flights conducted in Alaska during this period is unknown; therefore, the accident rate for this type of operation is not determinable. Nevertheless, because of the large number of these accidents and the causal factors involved, the Safety Board believes that these accidents reflect a serious safety problem in pilot guide/aero lodge operations conducted under 14 CFR Part 91 that warrants action by the Federal Aviation Administration (FAA) to enhance the level of passenger safety.

The following trilogy of pilot guide/aero lodge accidents provides a representative sample and illustrates the concerns of the Safety Board:

On July 30, 1992, a float-equipped de Havilland DHC2 airplane, operated by a lodge under a company visual flight rules (VFR) flight plan, collided with terrain near Dillingham, Alaska, while maneuvering to reverse direction in a mountain pass that was obscured by weather.¹ The six passengers sustained fatal injuries, and the commercial pilot was seriously injured. The airplane was destroyed by the impact and postcrash fire. The pilot said that during his attempt to reverse direction, the airplane stalled at an altitude of several hundred feet above the ground, and he was unable to regain control of the airplane before it crashed. The pilot

¹ For more detailed information, read Field Accident Report ANC92FA116, Brief No. 0842 (attached).

reported that he had accrued several hundred hours in the DHC2 and that the majority of his flight time in the airplane was accrued with the lodge during the spring and summer months over a 2-year period. The Safety Board was not able to validate the pilot's type of flying experience in the DHC2. The lodge operator did not perform a background check on the pilot nor was a record kept of the pilot's flying activity. The pilot's proficiency in the DHC2, as well as his knowledge and understanding of the elements involved in mountain flying, were not assessed by the lodge on an annual basis.

On September 1, 1992, a wheel-equipped Cessna 206 airplane nosed over while making an emergency landing on a dry lake shore near Fort Yukon, Alaska, following an engine failure during cruise flight.² The private-certificated, self-employed pilot guide received minor injuries. One of the two passengers on board the airplane was seriously injured, and the airplane was substantially damaged. The passengers reported that the pilot aborted the first takeoff attempt after overrunning the gravel strip into 4-foot high willow brush. On the second takeoff attempt, the airplane went through the willows and "bumped over the river bank, down to the river, before getting airborne." The airplane reportedly climbed slowly with no reduction from takeoff power for approximately 55 minutes. There was a manufacturer-imposed maximum power time limitation of 5 minutes on the aircraft engine. Based on the passenger loading list, which comprised primarily moose meat and outdoor support equipment, and the pilot's account of fuel on board, the takeoff weight of the airplane was conservatively estimated to be 4,372 pounds, or 772 pounds over the maximum 3,600 pounds authorized by the manufacturer of the airplane.

On August 31, 1993, a wheel-equipped de Havilland DHC2 airplane crashed approximately 6 miles west of Iliamna, Alaska.³ At the time of the accident, the airplane was being operated by a lodge under 14 CFR Part 91 on a VFR flight plan. The commercial pilot and two passengers were seriously injured, and three passengers were fatally injured. The airplane was destroyed. Accounts of the events leading up to the accident, as reported by the pilot, indicate that the airplane may have stalled while in a turn to reverse direction. The Safety Board's investigation into the pilot's background, qualifications, and training could not validate that the pilot received stall awareness and recovery training in the DHC2.

The Safety Board's review of the previously mentioned accident data revealed that the majority of the accidents resulted from inappropriate pilot decisionmaking. Consequently, attention was focused on the performance of the pilots, i.e., their level of pilot certification, experience, training; the typical flying environment; the extent of oversight provided by private/industry sources, as well as the FAA; and the adequacy of the applicable Federal Aviation Regulations (FARs).

The investigations disclosed that while most of the pilots possessed either a private or commercial pilot certificate, the indoctrination, training, and checkout they received in their

² For more detailed information, read Field Accident Report ANC92LA152, Brief No. 0536 (attached).

³ The investigation of Field Accident ANC93FA161 is continuing.

respective aircraft, as well as the nuances of Alaska flying, were self-taught and evaluated. The Safety Board believes that the environment in which these operations are conducted is often extremely demanding of both the pilot and aircraft, thus learning from only experience can be hazardous. The range of operation, for any given flight, may be from sea level to altitudes greater than 7,000 feet, with vast temperature, weather, and terrain extremes. Essentially, any area with a semi-level surface void of obstructions with sufficient length, as subjectively determined by the pilot, qualifies as a landing strip. Moreover, the majority of the flights are conducted in remote regions of Alaska where weather reporting is marginal or nonexistent. Consequently, the overall operation requires a high degree of knowledge, skill, professionalism, respect for the elements, and a keen awareness of the limitations of the aircraft and one's self.

Presently, entry into pilot guide/aero lodge operations under 14 CFR Part 91 is unrestricted. All that is required of a certificated private pilot is an aircraft and a willing client. Comments solicited from survivors and next of kin revealed a general belief that flights were quasi-commercial in that an expense was involved for the services provided by the pilot or lodge, and as such, the safety of the operations was comparable to that of commercial aviation.

Due to the remote nature of these operations, the total number of guides and lodges involved in transporting clientele by air is not easily determinable. However, unofficial estimates from industry, state, and Federal sources indicate that there are approximately 270 state licensed guides in Alaska that incorporate an aircraft in their business, and upwards of 125 lodges in the state with the majority using an aircraft to transport clientele to the lodge and/or remote hunting and fishing areas.

The Safety Board is aware of and commends the FAA for its study of aviation commercial guiding activities within the State of Alaska. The study, which was completed in December 1992 by the FAA's Alaskan Region Flight Standards Division, was undertaken for the purpose of assessing the safety of air transportation associated with commercial guiding activities conducted under 14 CFR Part 91, versus 14 CFR Part 135, within the State of Alaska. Alaskan flight standards personnel informed the Safety Board that the findings of the study illuminate a need to elevate the standards for pilot guide/aero lodge operations currently conducted under 14 CFR Part 91. The Safety Board supports the FAA's efforts in this area but notes that the study was completed over a year ago. The Board believes, therefore, that the FAA should expedite its evaluation of the study and develop and implement measures that are aimed at providing the much-needed increased standards for this industry.

The Safety Board believes that the frequency with which causal factors and findings relate to insufficient pilot experience, qualifications, and training in many 14 CFR Part 91 pilot guide/aero lodge accidents underscores the need to develop and implement an increased level of standards for these types of operations. Informal discussions with pilot guides and lodge owners indicate that the industry's rank and file are aware of the need for a higher level of professionalism and safety. Therefore, the National Transportation Safety Board recommends that the Federal Aviation Administration:

Expedite rule making activity to amend 14 CFR Part 135 to establish minimum pilot certification, experience, qualification, and training requirements for pilot guide/aero lodge operations presently conducted under 14 CFR Part 91. (Class II, Priority Action)(A-94-99)

Ensure that surveillance resources are adequate to give selected attention to the operations, equipment, and airmen associated with pilot guide/aero lodge operations. (Class II, Priority Action)(A-94-100)

Chairman VOGT and Members LAUBER, HAMMERSCHMIDT, and HALL concurred in these recommendations.

John a. Hammerschmidt Ger -By: Carl W. Vogt Chairman

National Transpoi n Safety Board Washingto. .C. 20594

Brief of Accident

File No 0842 7/30/92	DILLINGHAM	I, AK	A/C Reg.	No. N67151		Time (Lcl) -	1100 ADT	میں چین میں خلک میں بری خرم میں م
cate		ATION)	Aircraft Damage DESTROYED Fire ON GROUND		Fatal Crew 0 Pass 6	Injuries Serious M 1 0	es Mínor 0	None 0 0
Flight Conducted Under 11 Accident Occurred During -MA				<u> </u>		ETT Thetalled/Activated		YES-UNK/NR
Make/Model - DE HAVILLAND DHC-2 MARK Landing Gear - FloAT Max Gross Wt - 5090 No. of Seats - 8	DHC-2 MARK 1	Eng Make/Model Number Engines Engine Type Rated Power		P & W K-963-AN145 1 RECIPROCATING-CARBURETOR 450 HP	1	stall Warning	1	ON
<pre>Environment/Operations Information- Weather Data Wx Briefing - UNK/NR Method - UNK/NR</pre>		Itinerary Last Departure Point BRISTOL BAY LDG, AK	re Point Y IDG,AK		Airport Prox OFF AIRPOR Afront Data	Airport Proximity OFF AIRPORT/STRIP Atront Data		
Completeness - UNK/NR Basic Weather - VMC		Destination TOGIAK RIVER CP,AK	ER CP.AK		Runway	Ident -	N/A	
Wind Dir/Speed- U9U/U04 Als Visibility - 1.000 SM Lowest Sky/Clouds - UNK/ Lowest Celling - 00 Dostructions to Vision- NONE Precipitation - NONE Condition of Light - DAYL	NIS SM UNK/NR 800 FT OVERCAST NONE NONE DAYLIGHT	ATC/Airspace Type of Fiight Flan Type of Clearance Type Apch/Indg	tti I	COMPANY (VFR) NONE NONE	Runway Runway Runway	y Lth/Wid - ty Surface - ty Status - ty	N/A N/A N/A	
Personnel Information bilot-th-Command	Age	- 42		Medical Certificate		- VALID MEDICAL-WAIVERS/LIMIT	IVERS/LIMI7	L
Certificate(s)/Rating(s) COMMERCIAL SE LAND, ME LAND, SE SEA	Bier	Biennial Flight Review Current - YE Months Since - 7 Aircraft Type - NA	vlew - YES - 7 - NA265	Total Total Make/Model- Instrument- Mult1-Eng -	550 550 17	Last 24 Hr Last 30 Day Last 90 Day Rotorcraft	Hrs - 2 Days- 80 Days- 120 aft - UNK/NR	/NR
g (s)	- AIRPLANE							
		THE DEPARTURE LODGE, AND IS SEPARATED BY DED LOW CEILINGS. THE OPERATOR STATED THAY 400 FEET, AND TOLD THE PILOT THAT IT OUTH CREEK HE COULD SEE THAT THE PASS WAS HAL "WADE A HARD STEEP TURN, AND THE AIRPL HE "MADE A HARD STEEP TURN, AND THE AIRPL IBILITY OF 1 MI. WHEN THE PILOT WAS ADMIT SEED AS HAVING AN INSULIN DEPENDENT DIABET UED ANY KNOWLEDGE OF, ANY PRE-ACCIDENT DIA	LODGE, AND SS. THE OPE AND TOLD T COULD SEE T STEEP TUR STEEP TUR I, WHEN THE I, WHEN THE I, WHEN THE I, WHEN THE I, WHEN THE I, WHEN THE	RFURE LODGE, AND IS SEPARATED BY MOUNTAINS WITH SOME PEAK. DEILINGS. THE OPERATOR STATED THAT SHORTLY BEFORE THE ACCTINE IN AND TOLD THE PILOT THAT IT APPEARED GOOD ENOUGH TO A HARD SEET THAT THE PASS WAS CLOSED BY LOW CLOUDS AND A HARD STEEP TURN, AND THE AIRPLANE STALLED THE PILOT OF I MI. WHEN THE PILOT WAS ADMITTED INTO THE HOSPITAL AVING AN INSULIN DEPENDENT DIABETIC CONDITION. HIS MEDICAL AND DEF. ANY PRE-ACCIDENT DIABETIC CONDITION.		MOUNTAINS WITH SOME PEAK: SHORTLY BEFORE THE ACCII APPEARED GOOD ENOUGH TO I CLOSED BY LOW CLOUDS AND NE STALLED THE PILOT NE STALLED THE PILOT TED INTO THE HOSPITAL C CONDITION. HIS MEDICAL LBETIC CONDITION.	PEAKS ACCIDENT TO MAKE AND FILOT DICAL	

PAGE 1

Brief
О Нı
Accident
(Continued)

Time (Lcl) - 1100 ADT

	F116 NO - 0842
TORE OF CONTROL IN FLICHT	7/30/92
- TN FI.TCHT	 DILLINGHAM, AK
	A/C Reg. No. N67151

(

Occurrence #1 Phase of Operation LOSS OF CONTROL - IN FLIGHT MANEUVERING - TURN TO REVERSE DIRECTION

Finding(s)
1. TERRAIN CONDITION - MOUNTAINOUS/HILLY
2. WEATHER CONDITION - LOW CEILING
3. IN-FLIGHT PLANNING/DECISION - DELAYED - PILOT IN COMMAND
4. PHYSICAL IMPAIRMENT(HYPOGLYCEMIA/DIET) - PILOT IN COMMAND
5. AIRSPEED - NOT MAINTAINED - PILOT IN COMMAND
6. STALL - INADVERTENT - PILOT IN COMMAND

Phase of Operation Occurrence #2 IN FLIGHT COLLISION WITH TERRAIN/WATER MANEUVERING - TURN TO REVERSE DIRECTION

----Probable Cause----

The National Transportation Safety Board determines that the Probable Cause(s) of this accident was: THE PILOT'S DELAYED DECISION IN REVERSING COURSE AND HIS FAILURE TO MAINTAIN AIRSPEED DURING THE MANEUVER. FACTORS RELATED TO THE ACCIDENT WERE: MOUNTAINOUS TERRAIN AND A LOW CEILING.

National Transpor n Safety Board Washington. .C. 20594

t

Brief of Accident

Time (Lcl) - Time (Lcl) -	
Type of Operation	Injuries ous Minor None 0 1 0 1 0 1
06 Eng Make/Model - CONTINENTAL IO-520A ELT Installed/Act Number Engines - 1 Engine Type - RECIP-FUEL INJECTED Rated Power - 285 HP	led/Activated - YES/YES farning System - YES
nument/Operations InformationItineraryAirport Proximitysrlefing- NO RECORD OF BRIEFINGItineraryOFF AIRPORT/STRIPsrlefing- N/AOFF AIRPORT/STRIPstrefing- N/AOFF AIRPORT/STRIPstrefing- N/ADestinationAirport Datasmpleteness- N/ADestinationAirport Datasmpleteness- N/ADestinationAirport Datasthod- N/ADestinationAirport Datasmpleteness- N/ADestinationAirport Vatasthod- NADestinationAirport Vatasthod- NADestinationAirport Vatasthod- NADestinationAirport Vatasthod- NOE- NONERunway Identstructions- NONE- NONERunway Surfacestructions- NONE- NONERunway Surfaceof idpt- NONE- NONE- NONEstructions- NONE- NONERunway Statusof idpt- NONE- NONE- NONEstructions- NONE- FORCED LANDING- Runway Statusof light- DAYLIGHT- DAYLIGHT	ity STRIP STRIP I = N/A VI = N/A ace - N/A ace - N/A ace - N/A
ng(s) Age - 44 Medical Certificate - VALID MEDICAL-NO Biennial Flight Review Total - 4900 Last 24 Current - YES Total - 4900 Last 24 Make/Model- 720 Last 30 Mate/Model- 720 Last 90 Aircraft Type - PA-18 Instrument- 10 Last 90 Aircraft Type - PA-18 Multi-Eng - UNK/NR Rotoror	CAL-NO WAIVERS/LIMIT ast 24 Hrs - 5 ast 30 Days- 148 ast 90 Days- 155 otorcraft - UNK/NR
Instrument Rating(s) - NONE	
NATTATIVE	TO CLOSE THE ND CAMP F. AFTER APPEARANCE OF DLY, AND THE ION REVEALED ALED THAT THE THE CYLINDER

Brief of Accident (Continued)	nu (Continued)	
File No 0536 9/01/92 FORT YUKON, AK	A/C Reg. No. N8070Z	Time (Lcl) - 1742 ADT
Occurrence #1 LOSS OF ENGINE POWER(PARTIAL) - MECH FAILURE/MALF Phase of Operation CRUISE - NORMAL	E/MALF	
<pre>Finding(s) I. AIRCRAFT WEIGHT AND BALANCE - EXCEEDED - PILOT IN COMMAND 2. ENGINE ASSEMBLY,CYLINDER - OVERTEMPERATURE 3. POWERPLANT CONTROLS - IMPROPER USE OF - PILOT IN COMMAND 4. ENGINE ASSEMBLY,CYLINDER - FATIGUE</pre>		
ce #2 Operation		
Occurrence #3 NOSE OVER Phase of Operation LANDING - ROLL		
Finding(s) 5. TERRAIN CONDITION - HIGH VEGETATION 6. TIE DOWN - NOT PERFORMED - PILOT IN COMMAND		
Probable Cause		

(

The National Transportation Safety Board determines that the Probable Cause(s) of this accident was: FATIGUE FAILURE OF AN ENGINE CYLINDER PRECIPITATED BY THE PILOT-IN-COMMAND INTENTIONALLY EXCEEDING THE ENGINE PERFORMANCE RATINGS IN ORDER TO SUSTAIN FLIGHT IN THE GROSSLY OVERWEIGHT CONDITION. A FACTOR CONTRIBUTING TO THE ACCIDENT WAS THE HIGH GRASS. A FACTOR CONTRIBUTING TO THE SEVERITY OF THE PASSENGER'S INJURY WAS THE UNSECURED CAPGO.

,

in Safety Board J.C. 20594 National Transpo Washingto.

. .

.

Brief of Accident

			Brief	of Accident A/C Red	a. No. N445RH	Н	Time	([rc]) -	1349 PDT		
File No 1147	2/31/93	EL MONTE.CA	:, CA								
Type of Operation	Lcate-NONE (GE -PERSONAL -14 CFR 9	(GENERAL A NAL R 91	(VIATION)	Aircraft Damage DESTROYED Fire ON GROUND	Damage ED ND	F Crew Pass	Fatal 2 2	Injuries Serious Min 0	Les Minor 0 0	None	
Flight Conducted During Accident Occurred During Accident Information Make/Model - ROBINSON Landing Gear - SKID	ring TAKEOFF		- 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 -	1111	LYCOMING 0-540-F1B5 L RECIPROCATING-CARBURETOR 260 HP	F1B5 CARBURETOR	ELT IN Sta	Installed/Act Stall Warning	ELT Installed/Activated - Stall Warning System -	. YES/NO . NO	
cs - '	Informatio	0	rateo r Ttinerary			A1	Alrport Proximity ON AIRPORT	coximity)RT			
Weather Data WX Briefing - N/A Method - N/A Completeness - N/A	NO RECORD OF BRIEFING N/A N/A	BRIEF ING	Last Depart SAME AS A Destination LOCAL	Last Departure Found SAME AS ACC/INC Destination LOCAL		A1	08	ata E Ident	- 19 - 2005/	75	
Basic Weather - VNC Wind Dir/Speed- 180/008 KTS Visibility - 7.0 SM Visibility - 7.0 SM Lowest Sky/Clouds - 180 Lowest Ceiling - NON Dostructions to Vision- NON	10 10/008 KTS 7.0 SM 18000 - 18000 18100 - NONE Lsion- NONE) FT SCATTERED	ATC/ALT Type Type Type	space of Flight Plan of Clearance Apch/Indg	– None – Ver – None		Runway Runway Runway	Lth/W10 Surface Status	- DRY		1
Condition of Light	t	IGHT			مد شائر زبیب هند خارز جی سره هند شبه هی هند نزد. ب	1	(17 T.C.)	MEDICAL-1	WITTD MEDICAL-NO WAIVERS/LIMIT	TIMI1/	
Personnel Information Pilot-In-Command Certificate (s)/Rating (s) PRIVATE HELICOFTER	(s) fut		Age - 30 Biennial Flignt Review Current - YE Months Since - R2 Aircraft Type - R2	nt Review - YES .nce - 8 Type - R22	Medical Certificate Flight Total - 640 Make/Model- 60 Instrument- UNK Multi-Eng - UNK		Time (Hours) NR Hours NR	Nurs) Last 24 Hr Last 30 Da Last 90 Da Rotorcraft	24 Hrs - UN 30 Days- 10 90 Days- 50 ccraft -	UNK/NR 10 50 640	
Instrument Rating(s)	ng (s) – NONE	NE	,		میں میں جب سے میں جب میں ا	و منه سه به منه منه منه منه منه م					ł
THE FILOPTER FROM THE APPROAC THE FILOT AND TWO PASSENGERS DEPARTED IN THE HELICOPTER FROM THE APPROAC THE FILOT AND TWO PASSENGERS DEPARTED IN THE HELICOPTER FROM THE NO BETWEEN 50 P THE HELICOPTER ACCELERATED TO ABOUT 50 KNOTS AND CLIMBED ON THE RUNN THAT IT HAD IMPACTED DOWN, ROLLED TO THE RIGHT, AND CRASHED ON THE RUNN THAT IT HAD IMPACTED IN ABOUT A 35 DEGREE NOSE DOWN ATTITUDE AND A 30 DE THAT IT HAD IMPACTED IN ABOUT A 35 DEGREE NOSE DOWN ATTITUDE AND A 30 DE THAT IT HAD IMPACTED IN ABOUT A 35 DEGREE NOSE DOWN ATTITUDE AND A 30 THE THIS INCLUDED A FRACTURE AT THE LOWER END OF THE FLIGHT CONTROL SYSTEM COMEON THIS INCLUDED A FRACTURE AT THE LOWER END OF THE HELICOPTER, WHICH HAD BEEN OF THIS INCLUDED A FRACTURE OF FATIGUE. THE HELICOPTER, WHICH HAD BEEN OF THA HOURS OF FLIGHT TIME SINCE BEING MANUFACTURED.	EERS DEPARTE ED TO ABOUT TW, ROLLED T ABOUT A 35 C ABOUT A 35 C A 5 C AT THE LOWE AT THE LOWE	D IN THE F 50 KNOTS 7 10 THE RIGH DEGREE NOSI 7 OF THE F1 0F THE H1 0F THE H1 3 MANUFACT	HELICOPTER FR AND CLIMBED T HT, AND CRASH E DOWN ATTITU LIGHT CONTROL THE CYCLIC CO THE CYCLIC CO ELICOPTER, WH URED.	LICOPTER FROM THE APPROACH END OF RUNWAY 19. WITNESSES TO CLIMBED TO BETWEEN 50 AND 100 FEET ABOVE THE RUNWAY. TO CLIMBED TO BETWEEN 50 AND 100 FEET ABOVE THE RUNWAY. AND CRASHED ON THE RUNWAY. AN EXAMINATION OF THE WEN DOWN ATTITUDE AND A 30 DEGREE RIGHT BANK. THE HELICOP IGHT CONTROL SYSTEM COMPONENTS WERE FOUND FRACTURED AND IGHT CONTROL SYSTEM COMPONENTS WERE FOUND FRACTURED AND ICHT CONTROL (STICK) ASSEMBLY. METALLURGICAL EXAM HE CYCLIC CONTROL (STICK) ASSEMBLY. METALLURGICAL EXAM HE CYCLIC CONTROL (STICK) ASSEMBLY. METALLURGICAL EXAM FILOPTER, WHICH HAD BEEN CERTIFICATED IN DECEMBER 1992. RED.	H END ND 10 NAY. IAY. IGREE VENTS ASSEP CERTIE	OF RUNWAY 19. WITNESSES REPORTED THAY NO FEET ABOVE THE RUNWAY. THEY SAID IT AN EXAMINATION OF THE WRECKAGE DISCLOSED AN EXAMINATION OF THE WERECKAGE DISCLOSED RIGHT BANK. THE HELICOPTER WAS DESTROYEI RIGHT BANK. THE HELICOPTER WAS DESTROYEI WERE FOUND FRACTURED AND/OR FIRE DAMAGED WERE FOUND FRACTURED AND/OR FIRE DAMAGED WERE FOUND FRACTURED AND/OR FIRE DAMAGED SICATED IN DECEMBER 1992, HAD ACCUMULATED	WITNESSES E RUNWAY. DF THE WREC E HELICOPTS TURED AND/(TURED AND/(ICAL EXAMI) BER 1992, 1	ESSES REPORTED THAT WAY, THEY SAID IT IE WRECKAGE DISCLOSEI LICOPTER WAS DESTROY AND/OR FIRE DAWAGE ANDIOR FIRE DAWAGE EXAMINATION OF THIS L992, HAD ACCUMULATE	9. WITNESSES REPORTED THAT THE RUNWAY. THEY SAID IT ON OF THE WRECKAGE DISCLOSED THE HELICOPTER WAS DESTROYED RACTURED AND/OR FIRE DAMAGED. RACTURED AND/OR FIRE DAMAGED. CURGICAL EXAMINATION OF THIS CEMBER 1992, HAD ACCUMULATED		1
			. الله کار این این این این این این این کر این کر این								

r-t PAGE

(Brief of Accident (Continued)	
File No 1147	7/31/93 EL MONTE.CA A/C Reg. No. N445RH	Time (Lcl) - 1349 PDT
Occurrence #1 Phase of Operation	AIRFRAME/COMPONENT/SYSTEM FAILURE/MALFUNCTION TAKEOFF - INITIAL CLIMB	
Finding(s) 1. ROTORCRAFT FLIGH 2. CYCLIC - NOT P	Inding(s) 1. ROTORCRAFT FLIGHT CONTROL, CYCLIC CONTROL - FATIGUE 2. CYCLIC - NOT POSSIBLE - FILOT IN COMMAND 2. CYCLIC - NOT POSSIBLE - FILOT IN COMMAND	
Occurrence #2 Phase of Operation	LOSS OF CONTROL - IN FLIGHT TAKEOFF - INITIAL CLIMB	
Occurrence #3 Phase of Operation	IN FLIGHT COLLISION WITH TERRAIN/WATER DESCENT - UNCONTROLLED	
The National Transport	Probable Cause The National Transportation Safety Board determines that the Probable Cause(s) of this accident Was: FATIGUE FAILURE OF THE CYCLIC CONTROL (STICK) ASSEMBLY, WHICH RESULTED IN LOSS OF CYCLIC (PITCH & ROLL) CONTROL.	nt was: rCH & ROLL) CONTROL.