New CDF B Physics Results

- CDF Detector
- Lifetimes, Polarization and $\Delta\Gamma_{BS}$
- Mixing
- Charmless B Decay: Branching Ratios and A_{CP}
- X Physics
- Conclusion

Matthew Herndon, August 10, 2004 Johns Hopkins University for the CDF Collaboration Tevatron Connection 2004

CDF Detector

Silicon

- EXCELLENT TRACKING
- |η|<2, less coverage gaps, 90cm long</p>
- Drift Chamber(COT)
 - 96 layers between 44 and 132cm

- Expanded muon coverage
 - |η|<1.5
- PID
 - p, K and π by dE/dx and TOF



B Physics & B Triggers

TRIGGERS ARE CRITICAL

- Huge production rates
 - $\sigma(ppbar \rightarrow bX, |y| < 0.6) = 17.6 \pm 0.4 \text{ (stat.)} \pm 2.5 \text{ (syst.)} \ \mu b$
- Heavy b states produced
 - B_{0} , B^+ , B_s , B_c , Λ_b , Ξ_b
- Backgrounds are also 3 orders of magnitude higher
 - Inelastic cross section ~100 mb
 - Challenge is to pick one *B* decay from $\sim 10^3$ QCD events
- Di-muon trigger
 - pT(μ) > 1.5 GeV/c HIGH LUMI: TRIGGER RATES VERY HIGH
 - B yields 2x Run I (lowered pT threshold, increased acceptance)
- Lepton + displaced-track trigger
 - pT(μ,e) > 4 GeV/c, 120 μm < d₀ < 1mm, pT > 2 GeV/c
 - B yields 3x Run I
- Two displaced-tracks trigger
 - $p_T > 2 \text{ GeV/c}$, 120 $\mu m < d_0 < 1 \text{ mm}$, $\Sigma p_T > 5.5 \text{ GeV/c}$





Lifetimes and $\Delta \Gamma_{B_s}$ Motivation

Lifetime ratios $\tau(\mathbf{B})/\tau(\mathbf{B}^0)$ 1.086±0.017 Test of HQET 3 1.06 - 1.12From simple lifetime $\tau(\mathbf{B}_{s})/\tau(\mathbf{B}^{0})$ measurement 0.951±0.038 0.99 - 1.01 $\frac{\boldsymbol{\tau}_{B^+}}{\boldsymbol{\tau}_{B_s}}$ $\tau(\Lambda_{\rm b})/\tau({\bf B}^0)$ 0.800±0.053 0.82 - 0.92 au_{B_0} τ_{B^0} τ(b baryon) 0.786 ± 0.034 $/\tau(\mathbf{B}^0)$ 0.82 - 0.920.8 0.9 0.7 1 1.1 1.2 Lifetime difference lifetime ratio $B_s \rightarrow J/\psi \phi$ Pseudoscalar \rightarrow Vector Vector $B_{s,light}$ CP Even and $B_{s,heavy}$ CP Odd : Polarized B_{s} What was the mix of light and heavy in previous measurements? indirect Δm_{s} measurement B_{s} $SM: \frac{\Delta I_{B_s}}{1.000} = 3.9^{+0.8}_{-1.5} \times 10^{-3}$ $\Delta \, m_{
m s}$ fermilab-pub-01, 197

Lifetimes

Analysis and results

Decay	р _т (В) GeV/с²	p _⊤ (K/ϙ) GeV/c²	Ρr(χ²)	K/o mass MeV/c ²	B mass MeV/c ²
$B^{\scriptscriptstyle +} \to J/\psi \; K^{\scriptscriptstyle +}$	> 5.5	> 1.6	> 10 ⁻³	-	5170 – 5390
$B_d \to J/\psi \: K^{*0}$	> 6.0	> 2.6	> 10-4	$M_{_{PDG}}(K^{*0}) \pm 50.0$	5170 – 5390
${\sf B}_{s}\to J/\psi\varphi$	> 5.0	> 1.5	> 10 ⁻⁵	$M_{PDG}(\phi) \pm 6.5$	5220 – 5520

- Fraction of signal events: 1 parameter
- Mass: Gaus + pol 1: 3 parameters
- Proper decay length
 - Delta function conv with Gaus. + 4 exp conv with gaus.(signal and 3 tails): 8 parameters.
- Unbinned maximum likelihood
 - 1+3+8 = 12 parameters

 $\tau_{B^+} = 1.662 \pm 0.033 (stat) \pm 0.008 (sys) ps$

 $\tau_{B^0} = 1.539 \pm 0.051 (stat) \pm 0.008 (sys) ps$

 $\tau_{B_s} = 1.369 \pm 0.100 (stat)_{-0.010}^{+0.008} (sys) ps$

HFAG *B*_s: 1.461 ± 0.057ps

B⁺: 1.671 ± 0.018ps *B*^o: 1.536 ± 0.014ps





Real Axis

2004

Tev C

B Mixing Motivation



B Mixing Ingredients



- Efficiency $\varepsilon \equiv$ fraction of tagged events
- Dilution $D \equiv 2P 1$ with P the correct answer probability
- Tagging effectiveness εD² shows statistical power of the tagger
- Flavor taggers can be topologically separated
 - Same-Side is sample dependent : Same side track(SST)
 - Opposite-Side is based on properties of the non-reconstructed b: Soft muon (SMT)/electron(SET) and jet charge(JQT)

B Mixing Tagging results

- SMT: Find events with Opposite Side $B\to \mu X$
 - Opposite Side μ charge gives **SMT** decision
 - Qualities

$\epsilon D^2 = 0.698 \pm 0.042$ (stat.)%, ISVT

 $\epsilon D^2 = 0.419 \pm 0.024$ (stat.)%, eSVT

- High Purity, Low efficiency
- Uses likelihood method to combine information, EM/HAD energy and stub matching quantities
- Combined $\sum \epsilon D^2$ for subsamples(muon subdetector and p_T^{rel} bins)
- $\sum \epsilon D^2$ evaluated in lepton + SVT data
- JQT: Jet charge of OS b
 - Weighted average Q of jet tracks
 - Qualities
 - Moderate purity, High efficiency
 - Other jets a problem
 - Combined $\sum ED^2$ for subsamples (with and without vertex tag and for JQ bins)
- SST: Look for fragmentation track that is charge correlated with the produced B εD² = 2.0(1.0) ± 0.5 (stat.)%, B⁺(B⁰)

Consider track close to B: In cone and lowest p_T^{rel}

will update for ICHEP

B Mixing Δm_d (in fully reconstructed modes)

$$B^0 \rightarrow J/\psi K^{*0}$$
, $J/\psi \rightarrow \mu^+ \mu^-$, $K^{*0} \rightarrow K^+ \pi^-$

- Minimum quality cuts applied to preserve signal
 - Mass cuts for J/ψ and K^{*0}
 - $p_{TB} > 6.5, p_{TK} > 2.5 \text{ GeV/c}, L_{xy} > 100 \mu \text{m}$

 $B^0 \rightarrow D^- \pi^+_B, \pi^-, D^- \rightarrow K^+ \pi^- \pi^-$

- p_{TπB} > 1.6, |d_{0B}|<80μm, L_{xy} > 300μm
- Measure Δm_d and SST performance
 - Combine channels
 - Fit for ∆m_d using a convolution of physical time dependence, cos(∆m_dt), and the Gaussian proper time resolution
 - Update for ICHEP: More statistics and channels

 $\Delta m_{d} = 0.55 \pm 0.10 \text{ (stat.)} \pm 0.01 \text{ (syst.) ps}^{-1}$ $\epsilon D^{2} = 1.0 \pm 0.5 \text{ (stat.)} \pm 0.2 \text{ (syst.) \%}$ Tev C 2004



B Mixing Δm_d (in semileptonic modes)



Charmless B Decays motivation

- 3 sources of CP Asymmetries: A_{CP}
 - A_{CP} in mixing: neutral mesons oscillate with different phases mass eigenstates are different from CP eigenstates
 - A_{CP} in decay(Direct A_{CP}): Decay amplitudes of CP eigenstates not equal
 - A_{CP} from the interference between decays with and without mixing
 - Many charmless B decay modes are sensitive to A_{CP}
 - $B^+ \rightarrow \phi K^+$: Direct A_{CP}
 - A_{CP} rate expected to be small: Probe of new physics
 - $B_s \rightarrow \phi \phi$: Mixing and direct A_{cp}
 - Vector Vector decay never observed before, also small A_{CP} rate
 - $B_{s,d} \rightarrow hh$ ($h = K, \pi$): Direct or mixing and direct A_{cp}
 - B_s only accessible at the Tevatron
 - Branching fractions of rare modes also interesting



Charmless B Decays results

- Analysis Cuts $B^+ \! o \! \phi \, K^+$, $\phi \! o \! K^+ \, K^-$

 - p_{TB} > 4.0, |d_{0B}|<100μm, L_{xy} > 350μm
 - Isolation, vertex and track quality
 - Results from likelihood fit to masses, dE/dx and helicity

 $A_{CP}(B^+ \to \phi K^+) = -0.07 \pm 0.17(stat)^{+0.06}_{-0.05}(sys)$

BR
$$(B^+ \to \phi K^+) = (7.2 \pm 1.3 (stat) \pm 0.7 (sys)) \times 10^-$$

HFAG: $(9.0\pm0.7)\times10^{-6}$

- Analysis Cuts $B_s \rightarrow \phi \phi$, $\phi \rightarrow K^+ K^-$
 - Optimized using blind analysis technique
 - ϕ mass cut, $p_{T_0} > 2.5 GeV/c$
 - Id_{0B} |<80μm, L_{xy} > 350μm

 $BR (B_s \rightarrow \phi \phi) =$ 1.4 ± 0.6 (stat) ± 0.2 (sys) ± 0.5 (norm) × 10^{-5}







Charmless B Decays $B \rightarrow hh$

- Cuts optimized to maximize $\frac{S}{\sqrt{S+B}}$
- Unbinned likelihood fit
- $M_{\pi\pi}$, dE/dx, charge-momentum imbalance $\frac{BR(B^0 \rightarrow \pi^{\pm} \pi^{\mp})}{BR(B^0 \rightarrow K^{\pm} \pi^{\mp})} = 0.24 \pm 0.06(stat) \pm 0.04(sys)$

$$\frac{f_d \cdot BR \left(B^0 \to \pi^{\pm} \pi^{\mp}\right)}{f_s \cdot BR \left(B_s \to K^{\pm} K^{\mp}\right)} = 0.48 \pm 0.12 (stat) \pm 0.07 (sys)$$

$$\frac{f_s \cdot BR \left(B_s \rightarrow K^{\pm} K^{\mp} \right)}{f_d \cdot BR \left(B^0 \rightarrow K^{\pm} \pi^{\mp} \right)} = 0.50 \pm 0.08 \left(stat \right) \pm 0.09 \left(sys \right)$$

 $BR (B_{s} \rightarrow K^{\pm} \pi^{\mp}) < 7.6 \times 10^{-6}$ $Th: (7-10) \times 10^{-6}$

 $A_{CP}(B^0 \to K^{\pm} \pi^{\mp}) = -0.04 \pm 0.08(stat) \pm 0.006(sys)$

Babar result: $A_{CP} = -0.133 \pm 0.030 (stat) \pm 0.009 (sys)$ 4.2 σ hep-ex/0407057



$B^0 \rightarrow \pi \pi$	134	15%
$B^{0} \rightarrow K\pi$	509	57%
$B_s \rightarrow KK$	232	<mark>26</mark> %
$B_{s} \rightarrow K\pi$	18	2%

Tev C 2004

M. Herndon

X Physics B fraction

$$X \rightarrow J/\psi \pi^+ \pi^-, J/\psi \rightarrow \mu^+ \mu^-$$

- Motivation
 - X observed by Belle in $B^+ \to XK^+$
 - Production source in ppbar unknown
 - Measurement of prompt production fraction might indicate whether the X is a charmonium state
- Analysis Cuts
 - J/ ψ mass window, P_{Tπ} > 400MeV/c
 - Track and vertex quality cuts
- Perform likelihood fit to the proper time distribution
 - X "lifetime" relationship to the B lifetime not treated explicitly
 - Only measuring long lived fraction
 - $\psi(2S)$ II fraction: $28.3 \pm 1.0 \pm 0.7\%$

X ll frac: $16.1 \pm 4.9(stat) \pm 2.0(sys)\%$



Tev C 2004

Conclusions

- Lifetimes, Polarization and $\Delta\Gamma_{Bs}$
 - *B* lifetimes competitive with PDG
 - *B_o* polarization consistent with B factories
 - Measure large $\Delta \Gamma_{BS}$
- Mixing
 - Measure B_d oscillation compatible with B factories
 - Large number of taggers tested and being used
- Charmless B Decays Branching Ratios and A_{CP}
 - See new vector vector mode $B \rightarrow \phi \phi$
 - No evidence of asymmetries
- X Physics
 - Fraction of long lived X similar to charmonium states
 - X needs more study