# Laser Based Spark Ignition for Reciprocating Engines

#### **Presenter: Mike McMillian**



#### September 16, 2002 Natural Gas Infrastructure Reliability Industry Forums





# Introduction: Why Laser Ignition?

- <u>Regulations</u> on NOx Emissions Have continue to force Operation of Natural Gas Engines to Leaner Air/Fuel Ratios
- Lean Air/Fuel Ratios Are More <u>Difficult to Ignite</u>, Conventional Systems Require High Ignition Energies
- Natural Gas Is More <u>Difficult to Ignite</u> Than Gasoline due to the Strong C-H Bond Energy
  - Laser Light Is Monochromatic, So Selective Chemistry Becomes a Possible Option.
- Due to Increased Ignition Coil Energy, Spark Plug <u>Service Life Is</u> <u>Very Low</u> for Natural Gas Engines
  <u>Laser ignition offers the potential for extended service life</u>
- <u>Rugged lasers</u> are available for numerous industrial processes
- Potential for Improved Durability!



# Introduction: Why Laser Ignition? cont.

- Engine Operation at Lean Air/Fuel Ratios Using Spark Plug Ignition Is Limited Due to <u>Misfire</u>, Ignition Delay and Unstable Ignition
  - Again, lean a/f ratio operation pushes spark systems to higher energies, multiple firing or multiple locations are additional options
- Ignition Sites of Spark Plug Ignition Are <u>Fixed</u> Within the Combustion Chamber
  - For laser ignition, multiple-point ignition is achievable and optimum ignition sites can be selected
- Spark Plug Electrodes Interfere With Propagation of the Early Combustion Flame, Compounding Ignition Problems
  - Because of the non-intruding nature, laser ignition has minimum heat loss and flame quenching
- Potential for Improved Engine Performance!



# **TECHNOLOGY STATUS**

- Previous engine work was focused on laser ignition of gasoline (<u>Dale, et al., 1979</u>), or propane (<u>Smith, 1979</u>) no work on laser ignition for a natural gas engine has been reported although <u>Ma, et al., 1998</u>, used a motored slider crank mechanism with methane.
- Past work has demonstrated <u>increased flame speed and</u> <u>combustion pressure</u> over conventional spark systems (<u>Tran</u> <u>and others</u>).
- <u>Mass production of lasers</u> at significantly reduced size and cost is imminent
- Understanding fundamental ignition phenomena in the context of laser radiation is required
- Transfer of laser ignition technology to <u>single cylinder natural</u> <u>gas</u> test engine is next step
- A <u>commercial embodiment</u> for a multi-cylinder engine laser ignition is the ultimate goal



# **Research Needs**

- Fundamental Level
  - Basic science regarding ignition of combustible mixtures
  - Multiple pulse ignition
  - Multiple Point Ignition
- Practical Level: <u>Research Needs Leading to Commercialization</u>
  - Laser induced optical damage/Beam Delivery
  - Particle deposits
  - Laser System
  - Intelligent Control
  - Laser Distribution



# **Goals and Objectives**

- Develop scientific and engineering foundation for laser spark ignition in reciprocating engines
  - Single Cylinder
  - Single Point Ignition
  - -Laser beam distribution
  - Multipoint ignition
  - Multipulse ignition



### **NETL Activities**

•Task 1: Quiescent and Turbulent constant volume, high pressure combustion cell experiments

•Task 2: NETL-single cylinder engine experiments

•Task 3: Laser source selection and evaluation

•Task 4: Fiber Optics Beam delivery study

•Task 5: Optical window damage and cleaning

•Task 6: Integrated System Testing





# Summary of NETL Laser Ignition Work to-date

- Laser ignition tests using a constant volume cell and turbulent jet diffusion flames have been carried out
  - Investigated effects of optical properties and fuel properties on the ignition probability and the minimum ignition energy
  - Developed theoretical ignition model for laser ignition
  - Considered benefits of laser ignition and its potential applications for gas engines
  - Identified many technical difficulties and potential solutions



# Summary of NETL Laser Ignition Work to-date (cont.)

- Initial testing of a laser spark in an engine
  - A comparison of engine emissions and combustion parameters using a Ricardo Proteous, single-cylinder, 4-stroke, spark ignited natural gas engine using both a <u>conventional spark system and a laser spark system was conducted</u>.
  - The engine was operated at a constant speed of <u>1200 rpm and at</u> <u>moderate load conditions</u>. The emissions and combustion performance data for each ignition system at <u>three equivalence</u> <u>ratios and three timing conditions were compared</u>. Additional testing of the laser spark system at  $\phi=0.5$  was also performed.



### **Engine Testbed Schematic**





# Laser Arrangement



# Initial Single Cylinder Engine Testing Results



### Coefficient of Variation (COV) of the Indicated Mean Effective Pressure (IMEP) $IMEP = (Pn_r/V_dN)$



Timing (<sup>o</sup> btdc)



### **Thermal Efficiency**

•Thermal Efficiency Factors

•Combustion Efficiency

•Phasing - (Example: Optimum timing at  $\phi = 0.55$  differs by 4°CA)





#### Ignition Delay, 5%-50% Burn Rate and Location of Maximum Heat Release Rate



### **NOx vs Static Timing**

•Phasing Effect

•Example: A 4°CA spark retard (corresponding to  $\Delta$  in T.E.) for the laser system from 35°CA to 31°CA reduces NOx form 8 to 4 g/hp-hr





### **THC vs Static Timing**



 $Timing \ (\ ^{o} b \ td \ c \ )$ 



# **CO vs Static Timing**





### **Conclusions: Engine Testing to Date**

- Significantly improved to spark system.
- Window fouling du not apparent durin operation.
- NOx emissions we However timing o
- Hydrocarbon emis the lower equivale
- Emissions of CO improvement in C optimization.
- Laser spark opera leaner than achies

Laser	Equivalence Ratio = 0.5		
Timing ( <sup>o</sup> btdc)	35	25	15
Torque (nm)	130.44	121.35	67.84
Therm eff. (%)	41.85	39.02	21.73
NO <sub>x</sub> Rate (g/bhp-hr)	1.05	0.20	0.13
CO Rate (g/bhp-hr)	2.52	2.82	7.09
THC Rate (g/bhp-hr)	10.58	14.44	33.92
Pmax (bar)	39.11	28.69	21.08
Pmax Loc (°CA)	9.50	12.75	1.95
IMEP COV	1.31	3.72	18.25
5%-50% Burn Duration (°CA)	15.98	18.50	23.75
SOC (°CA)	-8.48	-1.75	8.23
Ignition Delay (°CA)	26.53	23.25	23.23
HRR Peak (KJ/M <sup>3</sup> )	41.05	28.90	16.25
HRR Peak Loc (°CA)	5.00	12.00	21.00



# **Future Direction**

- Single point ignition with comparisons to correct phasing.
  - Timing optimization (Phasing) vs. Thermal Efficiency
  - Look at NOx Trade off
  - Knock Margin

#### • Multipoint laser ignition studies

 Higher apparent flame speed may provide additional knock margin as well as higher burn rate

#### Multipulse Ignition

- May provide improved ignition, leaner combustion and lower emissions
- May provide a way to circumvent beam delivery issue
- Distributed ignition
  - May provide a way to circumvent beam delivery (energy density) issue



### **Collaboration Efforts**

### • Laser-spark ignition Working Group

-Initial meeting on or about October 8-9, 2002

-Organized by ANL (David Livengood)



# The End.....Thanks!



### **Technical Barriers/Solutions**

#### **Barriers**

- Laser Technology (Being Evaluated)
- Optics
- Particulate Deposition
- Focal Length Effects

### **Potential Solutions**

- Distributed System
- Improved Fiber Optics for beam delivery, quality optics
- Multi-pulse Mode



