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## Commercial Air Transport



## Air Transport Development Costs



## Is Boeing a Software Company?

- Software development and verification account for $1 / 3$ cost
- Important to build reliable, dependable commercial avionics systems
. The industry is heavily regulated by the FAA
- The military side is also very dependent on software
- 1960-8\% F4 fighter capability came from software
- 2000-85\% F22 fighter capability provided by software
- Even more now

- Boeing's core competence is system integration
- New business model
- Dependent on large network of suppliers, globally distributed


## Integrated Modular Avionics

Past: federated systems
1 IMA: shared resources
COTS components

- Multiplexed communication
. Smaller, lighter, cost-effective components
- Powerful computer processing modules handle multiple apps
- Cabinets are connected to global data bus, IO modules, LRUs, sensors, actuators, etc. Integration, configuration, assembly?



## Component-Based System Design

1. Goals of CBSD
| Construction of systems from independent components

- Use of commercial-off-the-shelf (COTS) components
- Separation of concerns
- Decrease risk, system complexity, development time \& cost

Increase reliability, malleability, and flexibility
Domain-specific challenges

- System architecture

I Interface definitions

- Trusted infrastructure
- Problem domain decomposition
- ...


## System Assembly

. The general challenge is the system assembly problem:

- From a pool of available components,
. Which should be selected \&
- How should they be connected, integrated, assembled
- So that system requirements are satisfied?
- Currently this is application specific and labor intensive
- Our focus is on automation

Algorithmically find optimal solutions directly from requirements
Insight: We can reduce system assembly to a satisfiability question

- Does there exist a way of selecting \& assembling components that satisfies the system requirements?


## CoBaSA System

I Developed CoBaSA: Component-Based System Assembly
I An object-oriented modeling language

- A declarative constraint language
\| Assembly is solved using formal verification technology
- Used CoBaSA to solve actual Boeing problems


## Assembly of Avionics Systems
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## CoBaSA Modeling Language

. Needed complete control of syntax and semantics

- Developed our own language
- Object-oriented language
- Functions as a target language

This is what we did with the Boeing project

## CoBaSA Data Types

- Basic data types:
- Booleans
- Strings
- Integers \& integer ranges
- Enumerated data types

Complex data types include:

- Recursive data types

Entities (classes)

- Multidimensional arrays


## CoBaSA Language: Entities

entity server \{
;id string
; ram-available int
; cpu-time-available 10000
;secure bool
\}
entity linux-server extends server \{
;max-num-procs int
;neighbor linux-server
\}
entity process \{
;id string
;ram-req int
; cpu-time-req int
;sec-req bool
\}

## CoBaSA Language: Maps

- Variable declarations

```
var linux-server[20] linux-servers =
            [ { ;120 ; ;"LS-001" ;1024 ; ;False}, ...
                        { ;80 ;ls[2] ;"LS-020" ;512 ; ;True}]
```

var process[500] processes = ...

Objects can be assigned values, but write-once memory assign linux-servers[0].neighbor = linux-servers[19]

I Map constraints: map consumers to resource providers
map proc-serve processes linux-servers

- Field constraints: specify dependence between consumer and resource fields
constraint proc-serve ((ram-req, cpu-time-req)) ((ram-available, cpu-time-available))


## CoBaSA Language: Constraints

- Arbitrary Boolean \& relational constraints
- Boolean expressions with map references
- Relational arithmetic expressions
- Quantification: universal and summation

```
For_all p in processes
    For_all s in linux-servers
        (proc-serve( \(p, s\) ) and p.sec-req) implies s.secure
```

- Preprocessing w/ Lisp code: (let ((v1 a1) ... (vn an)) <lisp code>)

For_all s in linux-servers
Sum p in processes proc-serve( $\mathrm{p}, \mathrm{s}$ )
$<=($ let $((v 1$ s.max-num-procs))
_(floor (* 0.75 v 1$\left.))_{\text {_ }}\right)$

## More CoBaSA Constraints

- Optimization
- An objective function can maximized or minimized

Interdependent maps
Result of one map affects the result of another map

- Arise from hierarchies of resource/consumer relationships
- Examples of generalized notion of maps

1. To express relation, r, over A, B: map >=0 r A B

- 2 -function, f, from $D$ to $R$ : map $=2 f \mathrm{DR}$
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## COBASA Constraint Solvino

- CoBaSA programs are reducible to 0-1 integer programming
- Also known as pseudo-boolean SAT problems

Linear constraints of the form $\sum_{i=1}^{n} c_{i} x_{i} R c$

- For each map $M: C \rightarrow P$, we have an implicit constraint that elements of $C$ map to elements of $P$


For each $c$ in $C$ : $\sum_{p \in P} M_{p}^{c}=1$

## Solving Field Constraints

1. We have to guarantee that $p$ can provide resources for every consumer, $c$, mapped to $p$

2. We express the above using pseudo-boolean constraints

- And we continue with a sequence of such transformations
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## Case Study: Boeing

- Models developed over several years
- The models are complex; they include:

I I/O time

- Latency

1. Network jitter

- Context switching time
- Cache flushing time
- Memory latencies

11 Thousands of constraints
| Based on worst-case execution time
. Models are over 500K in size

## Evaluation of Case Study

I Given collection of models from simple to complete
. No feasible solution was previously known
. Even the very simple, initial models:
. Takes 3 person-weeks to describe problem \& check solution

- Much longer to solve with previous approaches
- We solve simple models in seconds
- We can solve the most complex models in minutes
|l Allowed Boeing "to solve, in person-weeks, problems that were previously taking person-years"
- Flexible enough to accommodate what Boeing described as "serious architecture changes"
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## Summary

- Introduced the notion of system assembly
- Showed how to automatically solve system assembly problems [MSV'07,SAT'07,CAV'07]
- Developed CoBaSA system
- Object oriented modeling language
- Declarative constraint language
- Decision procedure
- Showed the effectiveness and applicability of our work by solving problems arising in design of Boeing Dreamliner
- Can solve problems previously taking person-years


## Future Work

1. Algorithmic extensions

I Hierarchical refinement (a component is a collection)

- Better decision procedures
- Design support
I. If assembly is not possible, why not?
. Threat analysis: what will drastically affect solution landscape?
- Adaptive assembly
- Can we assemble \& reconfigure in real time?

I In response to system failure? account environmental factors?

- Changes in mission priorities? response to invalid assumptions?
\| Under extreme conditions (low power, long latencies, ...)
- Scheduling, power, weight, geometry, ... .

