# Improving the Constraints on Self-Interacting Dark Matter (SIDM) from Galaxy Cluster Observations

Jun Koda and Paul R. Shapiro The University of Texas at Austin

junkoda@physics.utexas.edu

#### Abstract

Galaxy cluster X-ray and lensing observations can be used to constrain the collisional nature of cold dark matter. Current constraints are limited by the lack of a statistically meaningful, fully cosmological prediction for the evolving density profiles of SIDM cluster halos for different elastic scattering cross sections. We seek here to remedy that situation. We have developed a semi-analytic model that describes the relaxation of cosmological SIDM halos toward cored profiles. Our model is calibrated and verified by N-body simulations with Monte Carlo collision algorithm, for individual halos in both isolated and cosmological environments, respectively. With this model, we are able to predict the SIDM halo profiles and their statistical distribution, for hard-sphere and Yukawa-like velocity-dependent collisional cross sections, for ~1000 clusters in a large (600 Mpc)<sup>3</sup> collisionless, N-body simulation of ACDM, without running computationally prohibitive Monte Carlo + N-body simulations of such a large volume. If, e.g. X-ray/lensing data at  $z\sim0$  show that NFW profiles apply *without* cores, down to core radius  $r_c \sim 20$  kpc for M >  $\sim 10^{14}$  solar masses, then  $\sigma < 0.1$  cm<sup>2</sup>/g for velocity-independent scattering, but  $\sigma(v=0) = 5 \text{ cm}^2/\text{g}$  is allowed for Yukawa-like cross section if velocity cut-off  $v_c < 200 \text{ km/s}$ .

### Introduction

•CDM predicts singular halo density profiles

•Observed rotation curves of DM dominated dwarf & LSB galaxies prefer density profiles with constant cores

•SIDM hypothesis: elastic scattering flattens core profile if SIDM cross section

 $\sigma > \sim 1 \text{ cm}^2/\text{g}$ 

•But σ big enough to solve cuspy core crisis for galaxies may be *too big* for clusters:

- •SIDM clusters are more spherical & cored than observed (e.g. Yoshida 2000)
- •SIDM theory suggests SIDM relaxation may be less efficient for clusters than galaxies, however, due to finite mean free path for much larger  $\sigma$  (Ahn & Shapiro 2005)
- •But, merging cluster DM too fluid-like to explain segregation of DM from baryons in "bullet cluster" if  $\sigma$  too large (Clowe et al 2006; Randall 2007,  $\sigma < \sim 1 \text{ cm}^2/\text{g}$ )
- •Perhaps  $\sigma$  is velocity dependent:  $\sigma$ (cluster) <<  $\sigma$ (galaxy).
- Previous SIDM *N*-body simulations only presented a few illustrative halos •Need larger, statistically meaningful theoretical sample to quantify observational limit •Individual halo relaxation demands high numerical resolution •We use high-res Monte Carlo + *N*-body SIDM simulations of individual halos to model relaxation of many clusters in a large-volume collisionless CDM *N*-body simulation.

### 2. Scattering Cross Section Model

We use a velocity dependent cross section similar to Yukawa interaction.



•This includes the velocity independent (hard sphere) cross section in the limit  $v_c >> v$ 

# 4. Evolution of Cosmological SIDM Halos

Semi-analytic SIDM relaxation model

• Cosmological halos evolve through a sequence of NFW profiles as mergers and infall grow the mass

•Central density,  $\rho_{c_i}$  of isolated SIDM halo, (eq. 1), follows an ordinary differential equation (ODE),



• We solve this time dependent ODE using NFW parameter history,  $\{\rho_0(t), r_s(t)\}$ , extracted from collisionless *N*-body simulations. • This model explains the evolution of SIDM halos very well (see below).

#### **Cosmological SIDM** *N***-body Simulation**

We run muli-resolution SIDM *N*-body simulations, zooming in to a single halo (grafic2 package, Bertschinger) to verify our relaxation ODE model.

## 6. SIDM Density Profile: "Cored NFW Profile"

Partially-relaxed SIDM density profiles ( $\rho_c > 5\rho_0$ ) can be fitted by a "cored NFW profile",



**Fig 4.** Cored NFW fit to SIDM simulation of isolated (left) and a cosmological cluster (right). Profile relaxes to a non-singular isothermal profile with  $\rho_c = 2 \rho_0$  as t  $\rightarrow \infty$ 

### **Result: Statistical Distribution of SIDM halos**

#### Hard sphere cross section (velocity independent)



•This velocity dependence often appears from the propagator of mediator boson in quantum field theory calculations. Cutoff velocity  $v_c$  is related to the mass ratio of mediator boson and the dark matter particle •We assume isotropic scattering in center-of-mass frame (neglecting angular dependence of scattering cross section for simplicity).

### 3. Relaxation of Isolated NFW halo

We modified Gadget N-body code (Springel et al 2001) to include Monte Carlo elastic scattering of SIDM to simulate relaxation of single, isolated NFW halo,

$$\rho(r) = \frac{\rho_0}{r/r_s(1 + r/r_s)^2}$$



**Fig 1.** Central density of isolated NFW halo as a function of time. The time evolution is cross section independent in units of SIDM meanfree-collision-time  $t_{r,0}$  (A function of  $\rho_0$ ) and  $r_s$ ). See also Fig. 4.

• Time dependence can be fitted by an empirical formula,

$$\frac{\rho_c(t)}{\rho_0} = f(\tau) \equiv \frac{A}{\sqrt{\tau + B\tau^2}} + C; \quad \tau = t/t_{r,0}$$
 (Eq. 1)

•We use this to model the relaxation of *cosmological* SIDM halos, too, as follows





### $M_{200} = 1.7 \times 10^{14} M_{\odot}$

**Fig 2.** Cosmological *N*-body simulation of a cluster with (lower panels) and without (upper panels) SIDM scattering at redshift 1.8, 0.78, 0.23 from left to right, respectively.

#### Relaxation Model v.s. Monte Carlo SIDM *N*-body



 $\sigma_0 = 0.5 \text{ cm}^2/\text{g}$  $\sigma_0 = 1.0 \text{ cm}^2/\text{g}$ M<sub>200</sub> (h<sup>-1</sup> M<sub>o</sub>) M<sub>200</sub> (h<sup>-1</sup> M<sub>o</sub>)

**Fig 5.** Distribution of SIDM halo parameters - central density  $\rho_c$  and core radius,  $r_c = r_s \rho_0 / \rho_c$ , using relaxation ODE model (eq. 2) for ~1000 cluster histories extracted from (600 Mpc)<sup>3</sup> box collisionless *N*-body  $\Lambda$ CDM simulation. Lines & error bars are means and standard deviations for each  $\sigma$ .

- Eq. 3 can be used to fit observed halo profiles (i.e. X-ray/lensing data) to constrain  $\sigma$  by comparing with these distributions
- If, e.g., a cluster has cored NFW profile with  $r_c < \sim 20$  kpc (effective radius of



Ahn, K. & Shapiro P. R., 2005, MNRAS, 363, 1092 Clowe, D. et al, 2006, A&A, 451, 395 Randall S.W., Markevitch M., Clowe D., Gonzalez A. H. Bradac M., 2007, preprint ArXiv 0704.0261 Lewis A. D., Buote D. A., Stocke J. T. ApJ 586 135 Springel, V., Yoshida, N., White, S.D.M New A, 6, 79 Yoshida N., Sprinvel V., & White S. D. M., Tormen G., 2000 A&A 452, 857

**Fig 3.** Evolution of central density in cosmological SIDM *N*-body

simulations (*solid*) and our relaxation model (*dotted*) for several

velocity independent cross sections. Left is a Milky-way size halo and right is a cluster size halo.

\* Our model tracks the central density very well.

BCG, below which mass is dominated by baryon, e.g. Lewis et. al. 2003) then, • Hard sphere cross section  $\sigma < 0.1 \text{ cm}^2/g$  (upper right panel)

• Yukawa-like cross section with  $\sigma_0=5 \text{ cm}^2/\text{g}$  must have  $v_c < 200 \text{ km/s}$  (lower left)

Acknowledgment

This work is supported by grants Chandra SAO TM8-9009X, NSF AST 0708176 and NASA NNX07AH09G, NNG04G177G. Numerical

simulations are performed at Texas Advanced Computing Center.