

Available online at www.sciencedirect.com



Chemical Physics Letters 423 (2006) 123-125



www.elsevier.com/locate/cplett

Interference between transverse cross-correlated relaxation and longitudinal relaxation affects apparent *J*-coupling and transverse cross-correlated relaxation

Kaifeng Hu¹, Beat Vögeli¹, G. Marius Clore *

Laboratory of Chemical Physics, National Institute of Diabetes and Digestive and Kidney Diseases, National Institutes of Health, Building 5, Rm B1-301, 9000 Rockville Pike, Bethesda, MD 20892-0520, United States

> Received 28 February 2006; in final form 13 March 2006 Available online 3 April 2006

Abstract

The apparent value of the measured *J*-coupling is affected by transverse cross-correlated relaxation between dipolar interaction and chemical shift anisotropy. This effect counteracts the decrease in the apparent value of *J* resulting from self-decoupling caused by longitudinal relaxation, thereby bringing the measured *J*-coupling closer to its true value. In addition to the dynamic frequency shift and self-decoupling, interference between transverse cross-correlated and longitudinal relaxation processes may serve as a complementary explanation for the deviation between the measured and true *J*-couplings for small size scalar couplings. The apparent cross-correlated relaxation rate between coupled spins may also be affected by this interference. Published by Elsevier B.V.

1. Introduction

Accurate measurements of small *J*-couplings are important for deriving torsion angle restraints for proteinstructure determination. These include the dependence of the ${}^{3}J(\text{H}_{i}^{\alpha},\text{H}_{i}^{N})$ and ${}^{3}J(\text{H}_{i}^{\alpha},\text{N}_{i+1})$ couplings on the ϕ [1,2] and ψ [3] torsion angles, respectively. It has previously been reported that the apparent value of the measured *J*-coupling constant may deviate from its true value as a consequence of the difference in relaxation rate constants between in-phase and anti-phase coherence [4]. Dynamic frequency shift (DFS) [5], induced by cross-correlation between chemical shift anisotropy (CSA) and dipolar interactions, may also perturb the apparent *J*-coupling [6,7]. Without further discussion of the effect of DFS, we show in this communication that cross-correlated relaxation [8], that is the difference in the relaxation rates between individual doublet components, may also alter the apparent *J*coupling through interference with passive longitudinal relaxation, thereby counteracting the self-decoupling effect and bringing the measured *J*-coupling closer to its true value. The smaller the scalar coupling, the more significant this effect becomes.

2. Results and discussion

For a weakly scalar-coupled two-spin 1/2 system, *IS*, in which the scalar coupling is observed and measured on spin *S*, we consider two quantities: the transverse cross-correlated relaxation on spin *S*, δ_S [8], resulting from the inference between CSA and a dipolar interaction between the two spins; and the longitudinal relaxation on the passive spin *I*, R_{1I} . Using single-transition basis product operators (taking only positive coherence order into account), the time evolution of the coherence on spin *S* can be described in a two-dimensional Liouville subspace spanned by the basis operators $S_{\perp}I^{\alpha}$ and $S_{\perp}I^{\beta}$ [9]:

^{*} Corresponding author. Fax: +1 301 496 0825.

E-mail address: mariusc@intra.niddk.nih.gov (G.M. Clore).

¹ These two authors contributed equally to this work.

^{0009-2614/\$ -} see front matter. Published by Elsevier B.V. doi:10.1016/j.cplett.2006.03.051

$$\frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{d}t} \begin{pmatrix} S_{+}I^{\alpha} \\ S_{+}I^{\beta} \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} \mathrm{i}(\Omega_{S} + \lambda_{S}) - \rho + \mathrm{i}(\pi J_{IS} + \Lambda_{S}) - \delta_{S} & R_{1I}/2 \\ R_{1I}/2 & \mathrm{i}(\Omega_{S} + \lambda_{S}) - \rho - \mathrm{i}(\pi J_{IS} + \Lambda_{S}) + \delta_{S} \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} S_{+}I^{\alpha} \\ S_{+}I^{\beta} \end{pmatrix}$$
(1)

where $S_+ = S_x + iS_y$; J_{IS} is the scalar coupling constant (in units of Hz); δ_S is the transverse relaxation rate of spin S due to cross-correlation between its CSA and its dipolar interaction with spin I; the longitudinal relaxation rate R_{1I} is dominated by dipolar coupling to neighboring spins; ρ is the average of the transverse relaxation of spin S in-phase and anti-phase relative to spin I; Ω_S is the chemical shift of spin S; and λ_S and Λ_S are the dynamic frequency shifts due to dipole and CSA auto-correlation and dipole-CSA cross-correlation, respectively. From Eq. (1) it can be seen that λ_S will induce a change in the apparent chemical shift while Λ_S will change the apparent J-coupling [4,5]. These two terms are not discussed in further detail in this Letter. By setting $\Omega_S + \lambda_S = 0$ and diagonalizing the matrix, the apparent J-coupling (without consideration of sign), J_{app} , and the apparent cross-correlated relaxation rate, δ_{app} , are given by the imaginary and the real parts, respectively, of the difference between the two eigenvalues. Thus, we obtain:

$$J_{\rm app} = \sqrt{\frac{\sqrt{(R_1^2 + \delta^2 - J_{IS}^2)^2 + 4\delta^2 J_{IS}^2} - (R_1^2 + \delta^2 - J_{IS}^2)}{2}}$$
(2)

$$\delta_{\rm app} = \pi \sqrt{\frac{\sqrt{(R_1^2 + \delta^2 - J_{IS}^2)^2 + 4\delta^2 J_{IS}^2 + (R_1^2 + \delta^2 - J_{IS}^2)}{2}}$$
(3)

where $R_1 = R_{1I}/2\pi$, and $\delta = \delta_S/\pi$.

In the limit of $\delta_S = 0$, Eq. (2) shows that the apparent *J*coupling is only affected by self-decoupling as described by Harbison [3]. Thus, for $J_{IS} > R_1$, $J_{app} = (J_{IS}^2 - R_1^2)^{1/2}$; for $R_1 \ge J_{IS}$, $J_{app} = 0$. Note, when $R_1 \gg J_{IS}$, J_{app} is zero owing to the high spin flip rate; however, even for R_1 equal to or moderately larger than J_{IS} , the two coupled components S_+I^{α} and S_+I^{β} collapse to a single broad peak.

The dependence of the apparent J-coupling, J_{app} , and apparent cross-correlated relaxation rate, δ_{app} , on the longitudinal relaxation rate of spin I, R_{1I} , and the transverse cross-correlated relaxation rate of spin S, δ_S , is depicted in the form of three-dimensional plots in Fig. 1. The impact of δ_S on J_{app} is illustrated in Fig. 2A which shows the





Fig. 1. Dependence of: (A) the apparent *J*-coupling, J_{app} , and (B) the apparent cross-correlated relaxation rate, δ_{app} , on the longitudinal relaxation rate of spin *I* (R_{1I}) and the transverse cross-correlated relaxation rate of spin *S* (δ_S) for a J_{IS} value of 3 Hz.

Fig. 2. Dependence of: (A) the apparent measured *J*-coupling (J_{app}) on the transverse cross-correlated relaxation of spin *S* (δ_S) for values of $R_{1I}/2\pi = 0.5$, 1.5 and 2.0 s⁻¹, and (B) the apparent cross-correlated relaxation rate (δ_{app}) on the longitudinal relaxation of spin *I* (R_{1I}) for values of $\delta_S/\pi = 1.0, 2.0$ and 3.0 s⁻¹. The curves are calculated for a value of $J_{IS} = 3$ Hz.

125

dependence of J_{app} on δ_S for different values of R_{1I} . The effect of interference between R_{1I} and δ_S on δ_{app} is shown in Fig. 2B where δ_{app} is plotted as a function of R_{1I} for different values of δ_S . From these plots, it is clear that the presence of non-zero transverse correlated relaxation for spin S results in an increase in the apparent value of J_{IS} , thereby compensating for the opposing effect resulting from self-decoupling.

The effects depicted in Figs. 1 and 2 are relevant for the parameter values typically found for an $H^{N}(S)-H^{\alpha}(I)$ spin system in an α -helix: assuming that ${}^{3}J(H^{N}-H^{\alpha}) = 3$ Hz: R_1^{α} is dominated by dipole/dipole interaction between H_i^{α} and its neighboring protons (e.g. H_i^N , H_{i+1}^N); $\Delta_{CSA}(H^N) =$ -8 ppm and the angle between the principal axis of the H^{N} CSA tensor and the $H^{N}-H^{\alpha}$ bond vector is 20° [10]; the following values of $\delta(\mathbf{H}^{N})$ and R_{1}^{α} are calculated at a polarizing field of 900 MHz (for ¹H): $\sim 7 \text{ s}^{-1}$ and $\sim 7 \text{ s}^{-1}$, respectively, for $\tau_c = 10 \text{ ns}$; $\sim 13 \text{ s}^{-1}$ and $\sim 12 \text{ s}^{-1}$, respectively, for $\tau_c = 20 \text{ ns}$; $\sim 19 \text{ s}^{-1}$ and $\sim 16 \text{ s}^{-1}$, respectively, for $\tau_c = 30$ ns. As shown in Fig. 2A, for a protein with a rotational correlation time τ_c of 20 ns (corresponding to a molecular weight of about 40 kDa) the change in the value of the apparent cross-correlated relaxation rate, δ_{app} , resulting from interference between transverse cross-correlated and longitudinal relaxation processes could be up to approximately 1.5 s^{-1} , with a concomitant increase in the apparent J-coupling of ca. 0.5 Hz.

Finally, it is worth noting that if dynamic frequency shift (DFS) induced by cross-correlation between chemical shift anisotropy (CSA) and dipolar interactions is taken into account, the term J_{IS} in Eqs. (2) and (3) is replaced by $J_{IS} + \Lambda_S/\pi$. In this case, the apparent J-coupling will be affected by three factors and their mutual interference: (1) the transverse relaxation of spin S due to the crosscorrelation between its CSA and its dipolar interaction with spin $I(\delta_S)$, (2) the longitudinal relaxation of spin $I(R_{1I})$, and (3) the dynamic frequency shifts due to dipole–CSA cross-correlation (Λ_S).

Acknowledgements

We thank Drs. Ad Bax and Dennis Torchia for very helpful discussions. B.V. acknowledges a Fellowship from the Swiss National Science Foundation. This work was supported by the Intramural Program of the National Institutes of Health, NIDDK (to G.M.C.).

References

- [1] A. Pardi, M. Billeter, K. Wüthrich, J. Mol. Biol. 180 (1984) 741.
- [2] A.C. Wang, A. Bax, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 117 (1995) 1810.
- [3] F. Lohr, J.M. Schmidt, S. Maurer, H. Ruterjans, J. Magn. Reson. 153 (2001) 75.
- [4] G.S. Harbison, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 115 (1993) 3026.
- [5] L.G. Werbelow, J. Chem. Phys. 70 (1979) 5381.
- [6] N. Tjandra, S. Grzesiek, A. Bax, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 118 (1996) 6264.
- [7] R. Brüschweiler, Chem. Phys. Lett. 257 (1996) 119.
- [8] M. Goldman, J. Magn. Reson. 60 (1984) 437.
- [9] K. Pervushin, R. Riek, G. Wider, K. Wüthrich, Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA 94 (1997) 12366.
- [10] G. Cornilescu, A. Bax, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 122 (2000) 10143.