1 2	KAREN MATTESON, Cal. Bar No. 102103 DIANA K. TANI, Cal. Bar No. 136656 JANET RICH WEISSMAN, Cal. Bar No. 137023	
3	Attorneys for Plaintiff Securities and Exchange Commission Randall R. Lee, Regional Director Sandra J. Harris, Associate Regional Director 5670 Wilshire Boulevard, 11th Floor Los Angeles, California 90036, 3648	
4		
5		
6	Telephone: (323) 965-3998	
7	Facsimile: (323) 965-3908	
8	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT	
9	CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA	
10		
11	SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION,	Case No.
12	Plaintiff,	COMPLAINT FOR VIOLATIONS OF THE FEDERAL SECURITIES LAWS
13	V.	
14	RICHARD MARKS,	
15	Defendant.	
16		
17		
18	Plaintiff Securities and Exchange Commission ("Commission") alleges as	
19	follows:	
20	JURISDICTION AND VENUE	
21	1. This Court has jurisdiction over this action pursuant to	
22	Sections 20(b), 20(d)(1) and 22(a) of the Securities Act of 1933 ("Securities Act"),	
23	15 U.S.C. §§ 77t(b), 77t(d)(1) & 77v(a), and Sections 21(d)(1), 21(d)(3)(A), 21(e)	
24	and 27 of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 ("Exchange Act"), 15 U.S.C.	
25	§§ 78u(d)(1), 78u(d)(3)(A), 78u(e) & 78aa. Defendant has, directly or indirectly,	
26	made use of the means or instrumentalities of interstate commerce, of the mails, or	
27	of the facilities of a national securities exchange, in connection with the	
28	transactions, acts, practices and courses of business alleged in this Complaint.	

2. Venue is proper in this district pursuant to Section 22(a) of the Securities Act, 15 U.S.C. § 77v(a), and Section 27 of the Exchange Act, 15 U.S.C. §§ 78aa, because certain of the transactions, acts, practices and courses of conduct constituting violations of the federal securities laws occurred within this district and because the defendant resides and transacts business in this District.

### **SUMMARY**

- 3. This case involves accounting fraud and false financial reporting by Defendant Richard Marks ("Marks"), the former President, Chief Operating Officer, and a Director of Motorcar Parts and Accessories, Inc. ("Motorcar"), a public company based in Torrance, California.
- 4. Motorcar remanufactures and sells alternators and starters for the automotive aftermarket industry. In 1997 and 1998, Marks reported false financial results to Motorcar's shareholders, the Commission, and the public in Motorcar's annual reports on Form 10-K and in a registration statement for an offering of its stock, and lied to Motorcar's auditor during the course of its audits. Motorcar overstated its pre-tax earnings for fiscal year 1997 by \$3,391,000 (59.8%) and for fiscal year 1998 by \$3,576,000 (49.6%). In addition, Marks received \$397,500 in ill-gotten gains as a selling shareholder in Motorcar's stock offering.
- 5. Marks committed financial fraud through two schemes at the end of fiscal years 1997 and 1998 relating to returned alternators and starters and customer credits. First, Motorcar had a significant number of product returns from its customers that it was required to either check into inventory or establish as a reserve. To circumvent these accounting requirements, Marks hid these returns from Motorcar's independent auditor by shipping the returned products to offsite storage. After the audit was completed, Marks allowed the returns to be checked into inventory. Second, Marks caused Motorcar to understate the reserve for returns and to delay issuing credits to customers by directing his staff to prepare false schedules for the auditor that supported an understated reserve for

22 (

unprocessed credits. Motorcar then delayed processing customer credits until after the audit. As a result, Marks concealed the true amount of the reserve from the auditor.

6. The Commission seeks to enjoin Marks from future violations of various antifraud, reporting, internal control and lying to auditor provisions of the federal securities laws. In addition, the Commission seeks to bar Marks from serving as an officer or director of a public company, to obtain disgorgement of all ill-gotten gains Marks received from his securities violations, and to obtain a civil penalty.

#### THE DEFENDANT

7. Defendant Richard Marks was Motorcar's President, Chief Operating Officer, and a Director from 1987 until he resigned those offices in March 2000. Marks signed Motorcar's 1997 and 1998 annual reports and its 1997 registration statement filed with the Commission. Marks resides in Los Angeles, California.

### RELATED ENTITY

8. Motorcar Parts and Accessories, Inc. is a public company based in Torrance, California. Motorcar remanufactures and sells alternators and starters for the automotive after-market industry. Motorcar's securities are registered with the Commission pursuant to Section 12(g) of the Exchange Act and were traded on Nasdaq until August 2, 1999. Motorcar's securities have been trading over-the-counter since September 2, 1999, when Nasdaq delisted the stock. The Commission previously filed and settled an action against Motorcar and its former Chief Financial Officer relating to certain of the conduct alleged in this Complaint. SEC v. Motorcar Parts and Accessories, Inc. and Peter Bromberg, Case No. SACV 03-0485 JVS (SHx).

### THE FRAUDULENT SCHEME

9. Motorcar's remanufacturing process begins when Motorcar's customers return used alternators and starters ("cores") to Motorcar's warehouse

in Torrance. Motorcar sorts the used alternators and starters, breaks them down into their component parts, remanufactures them to original manufacturer specifications, and then packages them for sale to its customers. Motorcar receives two types of core returns from its customers: core trade-ins and product returns (also called warranty or defect returns).

- 10. Motorcar gives its customers credit for the returned cores but does not issue these credits until after it checks the cores into its inventory. Motorcar has three stages of processing cores before it issues a credit to its customer. Initially, a customer contacts Motorcar and requests to return cores. When Motorcar approves the core return transaction, it issues a "return goods authorization" to the customer. The next stage is when the cores have been received at Motorcar's warehouse, but have not yet been checked into inventory. They are unloaded into a designated area for temporary storage before sorting. Finally, Motorcar personnel check the cores into inventory. Returned cores are unboxed, scanned into Motorcar's computer system, and then placed into bins in the warehouse. At this point the cores are considered "checked in" to inventory.
- 11. Because of the delay in checking the cores into inventory and processing the related credits, consistent with Generally Accepted Accounting Principles ("GAAP"), Motorcar's policy was to establish reserves for credits due to customers that had yet to be processed. The effect of the reserve on Motorcar's financial statements was to reduce Motorcar's earnings.
- A. Marks Causes Motorcar To Understate Its Fiscal Year 1997 And 1998

  Reserves, Thereby Fraudulently Inflating Motorcar's Earnings
  - 1. Marks Causes Motorcar To Understate Its Fiscal Year 1997 And

    1998 Reserves For Customer Credits For Cores That Were

    Received But Not Checked Into Inventory
- 12. During the final months of both fiscal 1997 and 1998, Motorcar received a substantial number of returns, the majority of which were product

returns. Motorcar did not check these returns into inventory, but instead stored them offsite in trailers in order to avoid recognizing the product returns as an expense in its financial statements.

- 13. Marks participated in discussions concerning the plan to store the product returns offsite, and approved the offsite storage. He also supervised the employees who arranged for the offsite storage. Marks then caused Motorcar not to reserve for these product returns received at fiscal year-end 1997 and 1998 but not checked into inventory.
- 14. By hiding the returns offsite and not reserving for them, Marks caused Motorcar's reported pre-tax earnings for fiscal 1997 and 1998 to be materially overstated by \$1,067,000, or 18.8%, and by \$1,176,000, or 16.3%, respectively, and deceived Motorcar's auditors into believing that all inventory and returns were accounted for properly.
  - 2. Marks Causes Motorcar To Understate Its Fiscal Year 1997 And
    1998 Reserves For Customer Credits For Cores That Motorcar
    Had Checked Into Inventory But For Which It Had Not Yet
    Issued The Related Credit
- 15. For its fiscal year ended March 31, 1997, pursuant to GAAP, Motorcar should have reserved \$2,824,000 for unprocessed credits for customer returns, which in turn would have reduced its pre-tax income by the same amount. Marks, however, directed Motorcar's CFO to reduce the reserve for unprocessed credits. The CFO then directed employees to delete customer returns from the schedules, resulting in schedules falsely supporting a lower than required reserve for unprocessed credits. Motorcar then provided a schedule to the auditor with customer returns deleted from it which reserved only \$500,000, thereby artificially and fraudulently inflating Motorcar's pre-tax income.
- 16. For its fiscal year ended March 31, 1998, pursuant to GAAP, Motorcar should have reserved \$2,701,000 for unprocessed credits for customer

17. Motorcar overstated its pre-tax earnings for its fiscal year ended March 31, 1997, by \$2,324,000, or 41%, by recording reserves for unprocessed customer credits of only \$500,000 when required reserves were \$2,824,000. Similarly, Motorcar overstated its pre-tax earnings for its fiscal year ended March 31, 1998, by \$2,401,000, or 33.3%, by recording reserves for unprocessed customer credits of only \$300,000 when required reserves were \$2,701,000.

### B. Marks Lies To Motorcar's Auditor

- 18. In fiscal years 1997 and 1998, Marks signed management representation letters to the auditor, falsely representing that: (a) "The inventory quantities include all of the company's goods, including goods not on the company's premises such as goods in public warehouses"; and (b) "There have been no irregularities involving management or employees who have significant roles in the system of internal accounting controls."
- 19. These representations were false because, in addition to Marks' fraudulent acts alleged in ¶¶ 9-17, pursuant to Marks' instructions, late one evening in April 1998, after the auditor completed test counts of Motorcar's inventory in connection with its 1998 audit work and released the inventory in one of Motorcar's buildings, Motorcar employees moved inventory from that building to another building where the auditor had not yet test counted inventory. Marks had instructed the Motorcar employees to do so for the purpose of including the goods twice in the inventory counts.

## C. Marks Signs And Causes The Filing Of False Motorcar Financial Statements For Fiscal Years 1997 And 1998

20. Through the two schemes alleged above, Marks caused Motorcar to

4

5

6 7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14 15

16

17

18

19

20 21

22

23 24

25

26

27

28

overstate pre-tax earnings by \$3,391,000 (59.8%) and by \$3,576,000 (49.6%) in its annual reports filed on Commission Forms 10-K for the fiscal years ended March 31, 1997, and 1998, respectively.

Additionally, on October 29, 1997, Marks signed, and caused 21. Motorcar to file with the Commission, a registration statement registering the sale of 1.3 million shares of Motorcar's common stock. Marks included Motorcar's false 1997 financial statements in the Form S-2 registration statement. Marks received \$397,500 in ill-gotten gains as a result of his sale of stock in this offering in November 1997.

### Marks Improperly Obtains Compensation In 1997 And 1998 As A D. **Result Of His Fraudulent Conduct**

22. Marks was eligible to receive cash bonuses if Motorcar's earnings before interest and taxes ("EBIT") exceeded EBIT of the prior fiscal year by 20%. As a result of Marks' fraudulent conduct, for 1997 he received a \$150,000 bonus, and for 1998 he received a \$104,000 bonus. If Marks, however, had properly reported reserves for customer credits in 1997 and 1998, Motorcar's EBIT would not have exceeded the EBIT for the prior year by 20%, and Marks would not have received these bonuses.

#### Ε. **Motorcar's Auditor Discovers The Fraud**

- 23. In May 1999, during its audit of Motorcar's fiscal 1999 financial statements, the auditor discovered several accounting discrepancies, including an understated reserve for unprocessed customer credits and an inappropriate reversal of accounts payable. Motorcar engaged the auditor to investigate those accounting discrepancies.
- At the end of July 1999, the auditor completed its investigation and 24. prepared an investigative report for Motorcar indicating that an illegal act had or may have occurred. Further, the auditor withdrew its audit reports on Motorcar's fiscal 1997 and 1998 financial statements.

25. On August 1, 1999, Motorcar publicly announced that it planned to restate its previously reported financial results because of accounting irregularities. It explained that it planned to restate its previously reported net income for 1997 from \$5,534,000 to \$2,851,000 and for 1998 from \$6,602,000 to \$6,017,000. Motorcar's stock price reacted significantly to this announcement, dropping from \$5.15 per share on August 1 to \$1.50 per share on September 2, 1999.

### FIRST CLAIM FOR RELIEF

### FRAUD IN THE OFFER OR SALE OF SECURITIES

### Violations of Section 17(a) of the Securities Act

- 26. The Commission realleges and incorporates by reference ¶¶ 1 through 25 above.
- 27. Defendant Marks, by engaging in the conduct described above, directly or indirectly, in the offer or sale of securities by the use of means or instruments of transportation or communication in interstate commerce or by use of the mails:
  - with scienter, employed devices, schemes, or artifices to defraud;
  - b. obtained money or property by means of untrue statements of a
    material fact or by omitting to state a material fact necessary in
    order to make the statements made, in light of the
    circumstances under which they were made, not misleading; or
  - c. engaged in transactions, practices, or courses of business which operated or would operate as a fraud or deceit upon the purchaser.
- 28. By engaging in the conduct described above, Marks violated, and unless restrained and enjoined will continue to violate, Section 17(a) of the Securities Act, 15 U.S.C. § 77q(a).

# SECOND CLAIM FOR RELIEF FRAUD IN CONNECTION WITH THE PURCHASE OR SALE OF SECURITIES

### Violations of Section 10(b) of the Exchange Act and Rule 10b-5 thereunder

- 29. The Commission realleges and incorporates by reference ¶¶ 1 through 25 above.
- 30. Defendant Marks, by engaging in the conduct described above, directly or indirectly, in connection with the purchase or sale of a security, by the use of means or instrumentalities of interstate commerce, of the mails, or of the facilities of a national securities exchange, with scienter:
  - a. employed devices, schemes, or artifices to defraud;
  - b. made untrue statements of a material fact or omitted to state a material fact necessary in order to make the statements made, in the light of the circumstances under which they were made, not misleading; or
  - engaged in acts, practices, or courses of business which operated or would operate as a fraud or deceit upon other persons.
- 31. By engaging in the conduct described above, Marks violated, and unless restrained and enjoined will continue to violate, Section 10(b) of the Exchange Act, 15 U.S.C. § 78j(b), and Rule 10b-5 thereunder, 17 C.F.R. § 240.10b-5.

25 | \*

# THIRD CLAIM FOR RELIEF VIOLATIONS OF COMMISSION PERIODIC REPORTING REQUIREMENTS

Aiding and Abetting Violations of Section 13(a) of the Exchange Act, and Rules 12b-20 and 13a-1 thereunder

- 32. The Commission realleges and incorporates by reference ¶¶ 1 through 25 above.
- 33. Motorcar violated Section 13(a) of the Exchange Act and Rules 12b-20 and 13a-1 thereunder, by filing with the Commission materially false and misleading annual reports on Form 10-K for its 1997 and 1998 fiscal years.
- 34. Defendant Marks knowingly provided substantial assistance to Motorcar's violation of Section 13(a) of the Exchange Act and Rules 12b-20 and 13a-1 thereunder.
- 35. By engaging in the conduct described above and pursuant to Section 20(e) of the Exchange Act, 15 U.S.C. § 78t(e), defendant Marks aided and abetted Motorcar's violations, and unless restrained and enjoined will continue to aid and abet violations, of Section 13(a) of the Exchange Act, 15 U.S.C. § 78m(a), and Rules 12b-20 and 13a-1 thereunder, 17 C.F.R. § 240.12b-20 & 240.13a-1.

### FOURTH CLAIM FOR RELIEF

### **RECORD-KEEPING VIOLATIONS**

Aiding and Abetting Violations of

Section 13(b)(2)(A) of the Exchange Act and

### Violations of Rule 13b2-1 thereunder

- 36. The Commission realleges and incorporates by reference ¶¶ 1 through 25 above.
- 37. Motorcar violated Section 13(b)(2)(A) of the Exchange Act by failing to make or keep books, records and accounts that in reasonable detail accurately and fairly reflected its transactions and disposition of its assets.

- 38. Defendant Marks knowingly provided substantial assistance to Motorcar's violation of Section 13(b)(2)(A) of the Exchange Act.
- 39. By engaging in the conduct described above and pursuant to Section 20(e) of the Exchange Act, 15 U.S.C. § 78t(e), defendant Marks aided and abetted Motorcar's violations, and unless restrained and enjoined will continue to aid and abet violations, of Section 13(b)(2)(A) of the Exchange Act, 15 U.S.C. § 78m(b)(2)(A).
- 40. By engaging in the conduct described above, defendant Marks violated Exchange Act Rule 13b2-1 by, directly or indirectly, falsifying or causing to be falsified Motorcar's books, records, and accounts subject to Section 13(b)(2)(A) of the Exchange Act. Unless restrained and enjoined, Marks will continue to violate Rule 13b2-1, 17 C.F.R. § 240.13b2-1.

### FIFTH CLAIM FOR RELIEF INTERNAL CONTROL VIOLATIONS

### Violations of Section 13(b)(5) of the Exchange Act

- 41. The Commission realleges and incorporates by reference ¶¶ 1 through 25 above.
- 42. By engaging in the conduct described above, defendant Marks violated Section 13(b)(5) of the Exchange Act by circumventing or failing to implement a system of internal accounting controls, or by knowingly falsifying books, records or accounts described in Section 13(b)(2) of the Exchange Act. Unless restrained and enjoined, defendant Marks will continue to violate Section 13(b)(5) of the Exchange Act, 15 U.S.C. § 78m(b)(5).

## SIXTH CLAIM FOR RELIEF LYING TO AUDITORS

### **Violations of Exchange Act Rule 13b2-2**

43. The Commission realleges and incorporates by reference ¶¶ 1 through 25 above.

- 44. By engaging in the conduct described above, and in connection with audits or examinations of the financial statements of Motorcar and the preparation and filing of statements and reports required to be filed with the Commission, defendant Marks, directly or indirectly, made or caused to be made materially false or misleading statements to accountants and omitted to state, or caused another person to omit to state to accountants, material facts necessary in order to make statements made to the accountants, in light of the circumstances under which such statements were made, not misleading.
- 45. By reason of the foregoing, Marks violated, and unless restrained and enjoined will continue to violate, Exchange Act Rule 13b2-2, 17 C.F.R. § 240.13b2-2.

#### **PRAYER FOR RELIEF**

WHEREFORE, the Commission respectfully requests that the Court:

I.

Issue findings of fact and conclusions of law that the defendant committed the alleged violations.

II.

Issue a judgment, in a form consistent with Fed. R. Civ. P. 65(d), permanently enjoining the defendant and his officers, agents, servants, employees and attorneys, and those persons in active concert or participation with any of them, who receive actual notice of the order by personal service or otherwise, and each of them, from violating Section 17(a) of the Securities Act, and Sections 10(b), 13(a), 13(b)(2)(A) and 13(b)(5) of the Exchange Act, and Rules 10b-5, 12b-20, 13a-1, 13b2-1 and 13b2-2 thereunder.

III.

Order defendant Marks to disgorge all ill-gotten gains from his illegal conduct, together with prejudgment interest thereon.

IV. 1 Order defendant Marks to pay a civil penalty under Section 20(d) of the 2 Securities Act, 15 U.S.C. § 77t(d), and Section 21(d)(3) of the Exchange Act, 3 15 U.S.C. § 78u(d)(3). 4 V. 5 Enter an order, pursuant to Section 20(e) of the Securities Act, 15 U.S.C. § 6 77t(e) and Section 21(d)(2) of the Exchange Act, 15 U.S.C. § 78u(d)(2), 7 8 prohibiting defendant Marks from acting as an officer or director of any issuer that 9 has a class of securities registered pursuant to Section 12 of the Exchange Act, 15 10 U.S.C. § 781, or that is required to file reports pursuant to Section 15(d) of the Exchange Act, 15 U.S.C. § 78o(d). 11 12 VI. 13 Retain jurisdiction of this action in accordance with the principles of equity 14 and the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure in order to implement and carry out the 15 terms of all orders and decrees that may be entered, or to entertain any suitable 16 application or motion for additional relief within the jurisdiction of this Court. 17 VII. Grant such other and further relief as this Court may determine to be just 18 19 and necessary. 20 21 DATED: December 17, 2003 Karen Matteson 22 Attorney for Plaintiff 23 Securities and Exchange Commission 24 25 26 27

28