1 2 3	Comparison of Water Vapor Measurements by Airborne Sun Photometer and Diode Laser Hygrometer on the NASA DC-8
4 5	J. M. LIVINGSTON <sup>a</sup> , B. Schmid <sup>b</sup> , P. B. Russell <sup>c</sup> , J. Redemann <sup>d</sup> , J. R. Podolske <sup>c</sup> , G. S. Diskin <sup>e</sup>
0 7	
8	For submittal to J. Atmos. Oceanic Technol.
9	13 July 2007
10	
11	Corresponding author
12	John M Livingston
13	SRI International
14	333 Ravenswood Avenue
15	Menlo Park, CA 94025 U.S.A.
16	Phone: +1 650 859 4174
17	Fax: +1 650 322 3218
18	e-mail: john.livingston@sri.com
19	
20	
21	<sup>a</sup> SRI International, 333 Ravenswood Ave., Menlo Park, CA 94025 USA
22	<sup>b</sup> Pacific Northwest National Laboratory, 902 Battelle Boulevard, Richland WA 99352 USA
23	<sup>c</sup> NASA Ames Research Center. MS 245-5. Moffett Field. CA 94035-1000 USA
24	<sup>d</sup> Bav Area Environmental Research Institute. 560 Third St. West. Sonoma. CA 95476 USA
25	<sup>e</sup> NASA Langlev Research Center, Hampton, VA 23681-2199 USA
26	
27	

## ABSTRACT

28	In January-February 2003 the 14-channel NASA Ames Airborne Tracking Sunphotometer
29	(AATS) and the NASA Langley/Ames Diode Laser Hygrometer (DLH) were flown on the
30	NASA DC-8 aircraft. AATS measured column water vapor on the aircraft-to-sun path, while
31	DLH measured local water vapor in the free stream between the aircraft fuselage and an
32	outboard engine cowling. The AATS and DLH measurements were compared for two DC-8
33	vertical profiles by differentiating the AATS column measurement and/or integrating the DLH
34	local measurement over the altitude range of each profile (7.7-10 km and 1.2-12.5 km). These
35	comparisons extend, for the first time, tests of AATS water vapor retrievals to altitudes >~6 km
36	and column contents $<0.1$ g cm <sup>-2</sup> . To our knowledge this is the first time suborbital spectroscopic
37	water vapor measurements using the 940-nm band have been tested in conditions so high and
38	dry. For both profiles layer water vapor (LWV) from AATS and DLH were highly correlated,
39	with r <sup>2</sup> 0.998, rms difference 7.2% and bias (AATS minus DLH) 0.9%. For water vapor densities
40	AATS and DLH had $r^2$ 0.968, rms difference 27.6%, and bias (AATS minus DLH) -4.2%. These
41	results compare favorably with previous comparisons of AATS water vapor to in situ results for
42	altitudes $<\sim 6$ km, columns $\sim 0.1$ to 5 g cm <sup>-2</sup> and densities $\sim 0.1$ to 17 g m <sup>-3</sup> .

# 43 **1. Introduction**

Water vapor measurements by sunphotometry using the 940-nm water vapor absorption
band have been compared to in situ and other remote (e.g., microwave) measurements in several
previous publications (e.g., Schmid et al. 2000, 2001, 2003a,b, 2006; Redemann et al. 2003;
Livingston et al. 2000, 2003, 2007). Those comparisons were all restricted to sunphotometer

48 altitudes  $<\sim 6$  km, with water vapor columns  $\sim 0.1$  to 5 g cm<sup>-2</sup> and water vapor densities  $\sim 0.1$  to 49 17 g m<sup>-3</sup>.

50	In January-February 2003, the 14-channel NASA Ames Airborne Tracking Sunphotometer
51	(AATS) flew on the DC-8 along with the NASA Langley/Ames Diode Laser Hygrometer
52	(DLH). The flights were part of the second SAGE (Stratospheric Aerosol and Gas Experiment)
53	III Ozone Loss and Validation Experiment (SOLVE II). They provided an opportunity to test
54	AATS water vapor measurements in higher, drier environments, including altitudes up to 12 km
55	and water vapor columns ~0.002 to 0.1 g cm <sup>-2</sup> above 4 km. The availability of DLH
56	measurements on the same aircraft as AATS provided an especially good comparison
57	opportunity, since the DLH was designed and built to perform well in environments this high and
58	dry, and previous DLH measurements had been compared to other state-of-the-art water vapor
59	measurements in such regions (e.g., Podolske et al. 2003). To our knowledge there had not been
60	any previous tests of suborbital spectroscopic water vapor measurements using the 940-nm band
61	in conditions so high and dry.

62 It should be noted, however, that several satellite instruments that view the sun through the 63 Earth's atmospheric limb do use the 940-nm band for water vapor measurements, and they have 64 been validated. These instruments include the Stratospheric Aerosol and Gas Experiment 65 (SAGE) and Polar Ozone and Aerosol Measurement (POAM) families of sensors, validations of 66 which have been published by, e.g., Nedoluha et al. (2002), Taha et al. (2004), Thomason et al. 67 (2004), and Lumpe et al. (2006). The SAGE and POAM measurements benefit from the long viewing path of their limb-viewing geometry (e.g., ~200 km in a 1-km thick atmospheric shell, 68 resulting from local solar zenith angle ~90°), which produces measurable absorption in the 940-69

nm band even for stratospheric concentrations of water vapor (typically 3-8 ppmv). The AATS
DC-8 measurements reported in this paper had true solar zenith angle ranging from 68.6° to
89.1°, which includes viewing paths (hence airmass factors) considerably less than those for the

73 SAGE and POAM viewing geometries.

## 74 2. Instruments and Data Analysis Techniques

## 75 a. 14-channel Ames Airborne Tracking Sunphotometer (AATS)

The 14-channel NASA Ames Airborne Tracking Sunphotometer (AATS-14 or simply AATS in this paper) has been described previously in the literature (e.g., Russell et al. 2005, 2007), so we give only a brief synopsis here. AATS measures the direct beam solar transmission in 14 channels with center wavelengths from 354 to 2138 nm, including a channel centered at 941 nm. Azimuth and elevation motors rotate a tracking head to lock on to the solar beam and maintain detectors normal to it.

The AATS channel wavelengths are chosen to permit separation of aerosol, water vapor, and ozone transmission along the measured slant path. Our methods for data reduction, calibration, and error analysis have been described in detail previously (Russell et al. 1993a, 1993b; Schmid and Wehrli 1995; Schmid et al. 1996, 2001, 2003). Water vapor analysis methods are briefly reviewed below. Results for AATS aerosol optical depth and ozone measurements from the DC-8 in SOLVE II are described by Russell et al. (2005) and Livingston et al. (2005).

AATS was calibrated by analysis of sunrise measurements acquired at Mauna Loa
Observatory (MLO), Hawaii, for six sunrises in November 2002 prior to SOLVE II and for

seven sunrises in March 2003 after SOLVE II. Exoatmospheric detector voltages, V<sub>0</sub>, were
derived using the Langley plot technique (e.g., Russell et al. 1993a, 1993b; Schmid and Wehrli
1995) for all channels except 941 nm, for which a modified Langley technique (Reagan et al.
1995; Michalsky et al. 1995; Schmid et al. 1996, 2001) was employed to account for water vapor
absorption.

Because absorption by water vapor varies strongly within the 5-nm FWHM bandpass of the
AATS-14 channel centered at 941 nm, the usual Beer-Lambert-Bouguer expression must be
modified to describe correctly the relationship between the output detector voltage, V(941), and
the atmospheric attenuators on the sun-to-instrument path. In particular,

100 
$$V(941 \text{ nm}) = V_0(941 \text{ nm}) d^{-2} \exp\left[-\sum_i m_i \tau_i(941nm)\right] T_w,$$
 (1)

101 where  $V_0(941 \text{ nm})$  is the exoatmospheric calibration voltage, d is the Earth-Sun distance in 102 astronomical units at the time of observation, m<sub>i</sub> is the airmass factor (ratio of slant path optical 103 depth to vertical optical depth) for attenuating species i (where i represents gas scattering, non-104 water vapor gas absorption, or aerosol extinction),  $\tau_i$  is the optical depth for the ith attenuating 105 species other than water vapor, and  $T_w$  is the water vapor transmittance (weighted by absorption 106 strength, source intensity and filter function). Consistent with the approach followed by 107 Livingston et al. (2007), we used the three-parameter expression of Ingold et al. (2000) to 108 parameterize  $T_w$  as a function of the amount of water vapor,  $w_s$ , along the slant path:

109 
$$T_w = c \exp(-aw_s^b).$$
 (2)

In this expression, the coefficients a, b, and c are least squares fitting parameters. In particular, calculations were performed using the radiative transfer code LBLRTM V9.2 (Clough et al. 2005) for a variety of model atmospheres and a range of solar zenith angles to extend the Livingston et al. (2007) Table 2 results to include all altitudes (maximum of ~12.5 km) flown by the DC-8 during SOLVE II. These results are shown in Fig. 1 and the complete set of fitting coefficients is given in Table 1.

As noted by Livingston et al. (2007) and illustrated here in Fig. 1, a retrieval that ignores the instrument altitude can result in an incorrect determination of slant path (hence, column) water vapor. In particular, if it is assumed that the instrument is located at sea level, then column water vapor (CWV) would be underestimated for instrument altitudes above sea level, and the errors would be greatest for large solar zenith angles, SZA (high airmass values), and high CWV (hence, high slant water vapor) amounts.

122 Column water vapor is calculated from the slant amount by dividing by an appropriate 123 water vapor airmass factor. These airmass factors were calculated using the methodology 124 reported in Russell et al. (2005) and Livingston et al. (2005) by assuming a vertical water vapor 125 distribution corresponding to the subarctic winter atmospheric model for the 21 January 2003 126 measurements and the midlatitude winter atmospheric model for the 6 February 2003 data. The 127 uncertainty in CWV is computed following Schmid et al. (1996). The calculated CWV values are 128 averaged within 50-m vertical bins, and a smoothing spline is then fit to the resultant CWV 129 profile. Water vapor density  $(\rho_w)$  is obtained as the derivative of the spline fit.

130

131 b. Diode Laser Hygrometer

The NASA Langley/Ames Diode Laser Hygrometer (DLH) was designed and built to measure gas-phase water in the free-stream region of the NASA DC-8 aircraft, between the fuselage and the cowling of an outboard engine. The instrument is described in detail elsewhere (Vay et al. 1998; Diskin et al. 2002; Podolske et al. 2003), so only a brief description is given here.

137 The DLH instrument is a near-infrared (NIR) spectrometer operating at wavelengths near 138 1.4  $\mu$ m to detect individual rotation-vibration lines of H<sub>2</sub>O in either the (101) combination band 139 or the (200) overtone band. Second harmonic detection (Sachse et al. 1977, 1987; Reid and 140 Labrie 1981; Podolske and Loewenstein 1993, and references therein) and long path length are 141 utilized to achieve high sensitivity, and two or more lines of different strengths are used to meet 142 the dynamic range requirements for atmospheric water. The beam of a NIR diode laser is 143 quasicollimated and transmitted through a quartz window secured in a DC-8 window plate, 144 toward the cowling of the right (starboard) side outboard engine. There it strikes a sheet of 145 retroreflector material and returns to the fuselage window from which it originally emerged. 146 Inside the window a portion of the return beam is passed through a narrowband interference 147 filter, collected by a Fresnel lens, and focused onto a detector. The sample volume of the external 148 path is completely exchanged every 40–70 ms, depending on aircraft velocity. The laser 149 wavelength is modulated, and the signal detector output is synchronously demodulated to 150 produce the second harmonic signal. The second harmonic (2F) and DC components from the 151 signal detector are recorded to allow power normalizing of the (2F) signal.

152The laser radiation emitted from the rear facet of the diode laser is sent through a short (50153mm) reference cell containing pure water vapor and onto a second detector. The reference

detector output is synchronously demodulated at the third harmonic (3F), the central zero
crossing of which is subsequently used to lock the laser wavelength to the center of the chosen
absorption line.

DLH calibration and data retrieval algorithms are described by Podolske et al. (2003).
During SOLVE-II and subsequently, efforts to quantify DLH accuracy have yielded a typical
uncertainty of ±5%, with a precision at 5 ppmv water vapor of approximately 1%.

160

## 161 **3. Results**

162 Results from the first comparison profile, which was flown 21 January 2003 on a DC-8 163 ascent out of Kiruna, Sweden, are presented in Fig. 2. AATS was able to view the sun at DC-8 164 altitudes between  $\sim$ 7.7 and 10 km, thus allowing calculation of CWV at each altitude in that 165 range. The AATS and DLH CWV profiles are overplotted in Fig. 2a, in addition to the ambient 166 atmospheric temperature profile. Because DLH measures local (not column) water vapor, the 167 DLH CWV value at profile top is set equal to the AATS value there, and DLH CWV values 168 below that altitude are obtained by integrating DLH local values downward. Corresponding 169 AATS and DLH water vapor densities are overplotted in Fig. 2b. As noted in Section 2a, AATS  $\rho_w$  is obtained as the vertical derivative of a spline fit to the AATS CWV profile that results after 170 171 averaging the CWV values within 50-m vertical bins.

# 172 Scatter plot comparisons of AATS and DLH CWV and $\rho_w$ for the 21 January profile are 173 shown in Fig. 2c and 2d. The statistics shown on each scatter plot quantify the correlation and 174 agreement between the AATS and DLH results. For CWV, AATS and DLH have r<sup>2</sup> 0.977, rms 175 difference 13.0% and bias (AATS minus DLH) 8.1%. Corresponding values for $\rho_w$ are r<sup>2</sup> 0.887,

rms difference 92.1% and bias (AATS minus DLH) 37.3%. This agreement is noteworthy in light of the very dry conditions: maximum AATS CWV of 0.007 g cm<sup>-2</sup> and maximum  $\rho_w$  of 0.1 g m<sup>-3</sup>.

179 Fig. 3 shows results from the second comparison profile, which was flown 6 February 2003 180 as the DC-8 descended into Edwards Air Force Base, California, on its return from Sweden. In 181 this case, AATS was able to view the sun at DC-8 altitudes from ~12.5 km to the surface. This 182 profile is noteworthy for combining very dry conditions above ~6 km (similar to those in the 21 January case, cf. Fig. 2) with significantly increased CWV and  $\rho_w$ , and strong vertical structure, 183 184 below ~6 km (though values are still small compared to the previous AATS comparisons cited in 185 Section 1). Another significant feature of this profile is the availability of water vapor data from 186 the radiosonde released from Edwards at 1500 UT (1.5 to 1.9 h before the DC-8 descent profile). Frames 3a and 3b overplot the results for the entire range of measurement altitudes; frames 3c 187 188 and 3d expand the results between 7.5 and 12.5 km. For this case, in order to minimize the effect 189 of horizontal inhomogeneities on the calculated AATS CWV profile, the AATS CWV values 190 above 4.5 km were smoothed more than the values below 4.5 km in applying the spline fit.

191 Corresponding values of AATS and DLH CWV and  $\rho_w$  for the 6 February profile are 192 compared in scatter plots in Fig. 4. For CWV calculated from the measurements obtained during 193 this profile, AATS and DLH have r<sup>2</sup> 0.998, rms difference 6.6% and bias (AATS minus DLH) 194 0.8%. Corresponding values for  $\rho_w$  are r<sup>2</sup> 0.965, rms difference 25.2% and bias (AATS minus 195 DLH) -4.8%. Even for comparisons between AATS and the sonde, which was flown 1.5-1.9 h 196 earlier, the overall agreement is striking: for AATS values interpolated to sonde-reported 197 altitudes, AATS and sonde CWV have r<sup>2</sup> 0.997, rms difference 15.1% and bias (AATS minus

198	sonde) 6.3%. Corresponding values for $\rho_w$ are $r^2$ 0.897, rms difference 42.7% and bias (AATS
199	minus sonde) 10.4%. The maxima of the scatter plots (CWV<0.3 g cm <sup>-2</sup> , $\rho_w$ <1.1 g m <sup>-3</sup> ), though
200	larger than those shown in Fig. 2, are still noteworthy for their small values. For example, in
201	previous AATS comparisons to in situ and other remote water vapor measurements, CWV has
202	ranged up to ~5 g cm <sup>-2</sup> and $\rho_w$ up to ~17 g m <sup>-3</sup> .

Fig. 5 shows scatter plots that combine AATS and DLH results for the profiles on 21 January and 6 February. AATS and DLH CWV (5a) have  $r^2$  0.998, rms difference 7.2% and bias (AATS minus DLH) 0.9%. Corresponding values for  $\rho_w$  (5b) are  $r^2$  0.968, rms difference 27.6% and bias (AATS minus DLH) -4.2%. Results for altitudes >7.5 km only are shown for CWV and  $\rho_w$  in 5c and 5d, respectively. For CWV, values are  $r^2$  0.971, rms difference 9.4% and bias (AATS minus DLH) -4.2%. For  $\rho_w$ , values are  $r^2$  0.910, rms difference 73.6% and bias (AATS minus DLH) 26.5%.

210 These values are similar to those found in previous AATS-14 water vapor comparisons (as 211 cited in the introduction) with much larger CWV and  $\rho_w$ . Most recently, Livingston et al. (2007) 212 compared layer water vapor (LWV) between profile top and bottom as measured by AATS and 213 an in situ sensor on the same aircraft during 35 vertical profiles acquired over the Gulf of Maine, with maximum LWV ~3.7 g cm<sup>-2</sup> and maximum  $\rho_w$  ~16 g m<sup>-3</sup>. They found r<sup>2</sup> 0.97, rms 214 215 difference 8.8% and bias (AATS minus in situ) -7.1%. For 22 profiles within 1 h and 130 km of sonde ascents, they found AATS and sonde LWV had  $r^2$  0.90, rms difference 10.7%, and bias 216 217 (AATS minus sonde) -5.4%. Previously, Schmid et al. (2006) reported an AATS dry bias of 5% 218 relative to coincident  $\rho_w$  measurements acquired with an Edgetech 137-C3 chilled mirror for 35 219 vertical profiles over the Atmospheric Radiation Measurement (ARM) Southern Great Plains

(SGP) site. In each of these studies, AATS was equipped with the same 941-nm interferencefilter used during SOLVE II.

## 222 4. Summary and Conclusions

223 This paper has compared values of CWV and  $\rho_w$  calculated from simultaneous 224 measurements acquired by the AATS and DLH sensors on the DC-8 for two vertical profiles 225 flown during SOLVE II. This study is unique because it includes data acquired at altitudes 226 (above 6 km) where we had previously never taken measurements and where the amount of 227 water vapor in the atmosphere was the lowest we have ever measured. To our knowledge this is 228 the first time suborbital spectroscopic water vapor measurements using the 940-nm water vapor 229 absorption band have been tested in conditions so high and dry.

230 Measurements were acquired at altitudes between 7.7 km and 10 km during the 21 January 231 aircraft ascent, and between 1.1 km and 12.5 km during the 6 February descent. AATS and DLH 232 CWV values (where the DLH value was set equal to the AATS CWV value at the top of the profile) yielded r<sup>2</sup> of 0.997 for 21 January and 0.998 for 6 February. Comparison of AATS and 233 234 radiosonde CWV values for sonde measurements (normalized to AATS CWV at the top of the profile) acquired 1.5-1.9 h before the AATS profile on 6 February yielded an  $r^2$  of 0.997. For 235 measurements taken at altitudes >7.5 km, the composite AATS and DLH data set gave an r<sup>2</sup> of 236 0.97, an rms difference of 9.4% (0.0004 g cm<sup>-2</sup>), and a bias (AATS minus DLH) of 4.2% (0.0002) 237 g cm<sup>-2</sup>). Corresponding values for  $\rho_w$  were r<sup>2</sup> of 0.91, an rms difference of 73.6% (0.01 g m<sup>-3</sup>), 238 and a bias (AATS minus DLH) of 26.5% (0.004 g m<sup>-3</sup>). The large relative rms and bias 239 differences reflect the dry atmosphere with  $\rho_w < 0.1$  g m<sup>-3</sup> at those altitudes. 240

241	The comparisons presented here included a very limited set of measurements at altitudes in			
242	the 7-12 km range, and additional comparisons between sunphotometer and in situ sensors are			
243	needed at these altitudes where the amount of water vapor in the atmosphere is so low to permit			
244	quantification of the uncertainty in the sunphotometer-calculated $\rho_{\rm w}$ values. Nevertheless, the			
245	agreement we have found between the AATS and DLH retrievals of CWV (essentially, LWV)			
246	gives us hope that airborne sunphotometer measurements can provide useful data for validation			
247	of satellite water vapor retrievals not only for the full atmospheric column and for $\rho_{\rm w}$ in the			
248	lowest few km of the troposphere, as has been shown in previous studies, but also at altitudes in			
249	the upper troposphere where water vapor is limited.			
250 251 252	Acknowledgments. We thank James Eilers and Richard Kolyer for supporting AATS			

measurements, and Stephanie Ramirez for help with illustrations and formatting. The SOLVE II measurements were supported by NASA's Upper Atmosphere Research Program. AATS analyses were supported by NASA's Solar Occultation Satellite Science Team.

256	REFERENCES		
257 258	Clough, S.A., M.W. Shephard, E.J. Mlawer, J.S. Delamere, M.J. Iacono, K. Cady-Pereira, S.		
259	Boukabara, and P.D. Brown, 2005: Atmospheric radiative transfer modeling: a summary of		
260	the AER codes. Journal of Quantitative Spectroscopy and Radiative Transfer, 91, 233-244.		
261	Diskin, G. S., J. R. Podolske, G. W. Sachse, and T. A. Slate, 2002: Open-path airborne tunable		
262	diode laser hygrometer. Proc. of Soc. of Photoopt. Instrum. Eng., 4817, 196-204,		
263	doi:10.1117/12.453736.		
264	Ingold, T., B. Schmid, C. Matzler, P. Demoulin, and N. Kampfer, 2000: Modeled and empirical		
265	approaches for retrieving columnar water vapor from solar transmittance measurements in		
266	the 0.72, 0.82, and 0.94 mm absorption bands. J. Geophys. Res., 105, D19, 24,327,		
267	2000JD900392.		
268	Livingston, J. M., V. Kapustin, B. Schmid, P. B. Russell, P. A. Durkee, T. Bates, and P. K.		
269	Quinn, 2000: Shipboard sunphotometer measurements of aerosol optical depth spectra and		
270	columnar water vapor during ACE-2, and comparison with selected land, ship, aircraft, and		
271	satellite measurements, Tellus B, 52, 594-619.		
272	Livingston, J. M., P. B. Russell, J. S. Reid, J. Redemann, B. Schmid, D. A. Allen, O. Torres, R.		
273	C. Levy, L. A. Remer, B. N. Holben, A. Smirnov, O. Dubovik, E. J. Welton, J. R. Campbell,		
274	J. Wang, and S. A. Christopher, 2003: Airborne Sun photometer measurements of aerosol		
275	optical depth and columnar water vapor during the Puerto Rico Dust Experiement and		
276	comparison with land, aircraft, and satellite measurements. J. Geophys. Res., 108, D19,		
277	doi:10.1029/2002JD002520.		
278	Livingston, J. M., B. Schmid, P. B. Russell, J. A. Eilers, R. W. Kolyer, J. Redemann, S. A.		
279	Ramirez, JH. Yee, W. H. Swartz, C. R. Trepte, L. W. Thomason, M. C. Pitts, M. A. Avery,		

- 280 C. E. Randall, J. D. Lumpe, R. M. Bevilacqua, M. Bittner, T. Erbertseder, R. D. McPeters, R.
- E. Shetter, E. V. Browell, J. B. Kerr, and K. Lamb, 2005: Retrieval of ozone column content
- from airborne Sun photometer measurements during SOLVE II: comparison with coincident satellite and aircraft measurements. *Atmos. Chem. Phys.*, **5**, 1-20.
- Livingston, J., B. Schmid, J. Redemann, P. Russell, S. Ramirez, J. Eilers, W. Gore, S. Howard, J.
  Pommier, E. Fetzer, S. Seeman, E. Borbas, D. Wolfe, and A. M. Thompson, 2007:
  Comparison of water vapor measurements by airborne Sun photometer and near-coincident
  in situ and satellite sensors during INTEX/ITCT 2004. *J. Geophys. Res.*, 112, D12S16,
  doi:10.1029/2006JD007733.
- 289 Lumpe, J., R. Bevilacqua, C. Randall, G. Nedoluha, K. Hoppel, J. Russell, V. L. Harvey, C.
- 290 Schiller, B. Sen, G. Taha, G. Toon, and H. Vömel, 2006: Validation of Polar Ozone and
- Aerosol Measurement (POAM) III version 4 stratospheric water vapor. J. Geophys. Res.,
- 292 **111**, D11301, doi:10.1029/2005JD006763.
- 293 Michalsky, J. J., J. C. Liljegren, and L. C. Harrison, 1995: A comparison of sun photometer
- derivations of total column water vapor and ozone to standard measures of same at the
- Southern Great Plains Atmospheric Radiation Measurement site. J. Geophys. Res., 100,
  25,995-26,003.
- 297 Nedoluha, G. E., R. M. Bevilacqua, K. W. Hoppel, J. D. Lumpe, and H. Smit, 2002: Polar Ozone
- and Aerosol Measurement III measurements of water vapor in the upper troposphere and
- 299 lowermost stratosphere. J. Geophys. Res., **107**(D10), 4103, doi:10.1029/2001JD000793.
- 300 Podolske, J., and M. Loewenstein, 1993: Airborne tunable diode laser spectro-meter for trace-gas
- 301 measurement in the lower stratosphere. *Appl. Opt.*, **32**, 5324–5333.

- 302 Podolske, J. R., G. W. Sachse, and G. S. Diskin, 2003: Calibration and data retrieval algorithms
- 303 for the NASA Langley/Ames Diode Laser Hygrometer for the NASA Transport and
- 304 Chemical Evolution Over the Pacific (TRACE-P) mission. J. Geophys. Res., 108(D20),
- 305 8792, doi:10.1029/2002JD003156.
- 306 Reagan, J., K. Thome, B. Herman, R. Stone, J. Deluisi, and J. Snider, 1995: A comparison of
- columnar water-vapor retrievals obtained with near-IR solar radiometer and microwave
  radiometer measurements. *J. Appl. Meteorol.*, **34**, 1384-1391.
- Reid, J., and D. Labrie, 1981: Second-harmonic detection with tunable diode lasers–Comparison
  of experiment and theory. *Appl. Phys.*, **B26**, 203–210.
- 311 Redemann, J., S. Masonis, B. Schmid, T. Anderson, P. Russell, J. Livingston, O. Dubovik, A.

312 Clarke, 2003: Clear-column closure studies of aerosols and water vapor aboard the NCAR C-

313 130 in ACE-Asia, 2001. J. Geophys. Res., **108**(D23), 8655, doi:10.1029/2003JD003442.

- 314 Russell, P. B., J. M. Livingston, E. G. Dutton, R. F. Pueschel, J. A. Reagan, T. E. DeFoor, M. A.
- Box, D. Allen, P. Pilewskie, B. M. Herman, S. A. Kinne, and D. J. Hofmann, 1993a:

316 Pinatubo and pre-Pinatubo optical-depth spectra: Mauna Loa measurements, comparisons,

- 317 inferred particle size distributions, radiative effects, and relationship to lidar data. J.
  318 *Geophys. Res.*, 98, 22,969-22,985.
- 319 Russell, P. B., J. M. Livingston, R. F. Pueschel, J. A. Reagan, E. V. Browell, G. C. Toon, P. A.
- Newman, M. R. Schoeberl, L. R. Lait, L. Pfister, Q. Gao, and B. M. Herman, 1993b: PostPinatubo optical depth spectra vs. latitude and vortex structure: Airborne tracking
  sunphotometer measurements in AASE II. *Geophys. Res. Lett.*, 20, 2571-2574.
- 323 Russell, P., J. Livingston, B. Schmid, J. Eilers, R. Kolyer, J. Redemann, S. Ramirez, J-H. Yee,
- W. Swartz, R. Shetter, C. Trepte, L. Thomason, A. Risley, Jr., B. Wenny, J. Zawodny, W.

325	Chu, M. Pitts, J. Lumpe, C. Randall, and R. Bevilacqua, 2005: Aerosol optical depth
326	measurements by airborne sun photometer in SOLVE II: Comparison to SAGE III, POAM
327	III and airborne spectrometer measurements. Atmos. Chem. Phys., 5, 1-29.
328	Russell, P. B., J. M. Livingston, J. Redemann, B. Schmid, S. A. Ramirez, J. Eilers, R. Kahn, A.
329	Chu, L. Remer, P. K. Quinn, M. J. Rood, and W. Wang, Multi-grid-cell validation of satellite
330	aerosol property retrievals in INTEX/ITCT/ICARTT 2004, 2007: J. Geophys. Res., 112,
331	D12S09, doi:10.1029/2006JD007606.
332	Sachse, G. W., G. F. Hill, L. O. Wade, and E. P. Condon, 1977: DACOM-A rapid, high
333	sensitivity airborne carbon monoxide monitor. Proceedings of the Fourth Joint Conference
334	on Sensing of Environmental Pollutants, edited by H. G. Stewart, 590 – 593, Am. Chem.
335	Soc., Washington, DC.
336	Sachse, G. W., G. F. Hill, L. O. Wade, and M. G. Perry, 1987: Fast-response, high-precision
337	carbon monoxide sensor using a tunable diode laser absorption technique, J. Geophys. Res.,
338	<b>92</b> , 2071–2081.
339	Schmid, B., and C. Wehrli, 1995: Comparison of sun photometer calibration by Langley
340	technique and standard lamp. Appl. Opt., 34, 4500-4512.
341	Schmid, B., K. J. Thome, P. Demoulin, R. Peter, C. Mätzler, and J. Sekler, 1996: Comparison of
342	modeled and empirical approaches for retrieving columnar water vapor from solar

- transmittance measurements in the 0.94-μm region. J. Geophys. Res., **101**, 9345-9358.
- 344 Schmid, B., J. M. Livingston, P. B. Russell, et al., 2000: Clear sky closure studies of lower
- 345 tropospheric aerosol and water vapor during ACE-2 using airborne sunphotometer, airborne
- in-situ, space-borne, and ground-based measurements, *Tellus B*, **52**, 568-593.

- 347 Schmid B., J. J. Michalsky, D. W. Slater, J. C. Barnard, R. N. Halthore, J. C. Liljegren, B. N.
- Holben, T. F. Eck, J. M. Livingston, P. B. Russell, T. Ingold, and I. Slutsker, 2001:
  Comparison of columnar water-vapor measurements from solar transmittance methods. *Applied Optics*, 40, 1886-1896.
- 351 Schmid, B., J. Redemann, P. B. Russell, P. V. Hobbs, D. L. Hlavka, M. J. McGill, B. N. Holben,
- E. J. Welton, J. R. Campbell, O. Torres, R. A. Kahn, D. J. Diner, M. C. Helmlinger, D. A.
- 353 Chu, C. Robles-Gonzalez, and G. De Leeuw, 2003a: Coordinated airborne, spaceborne, and 354 ground-based measurements of massive, thick aerosol layers during the dry season in 355 Southern Africa. *J. Geophys. Res.*, **108** (D13), 8496, doi:10.1029/2002JD002297.
- 356 Schmid, B., D. A. Hegg, J. Wang, D. Bates, J. Redemann, P. B. Russell, J. M. Livingston, H. H.
- 357 Jonsson, E. J. Welton, J. H. Seinfeld, R. C. Flagan, D. S. Covert, O. Dubovik, A. Jefferson,
- 358 2003b: Column closure studies of lower tropospheric aerosol and water vapor during ACE-
- Asia using airborne sunphotometer, airborne in-situ and ship-based lidar measurements. J.
   *Geophys. Res.*, 108(D23), 8656, doi:10.10292002JD003361.
- 361 Schmid B., R. Ferrare, C. Flynn, R. Elleman, D. Covert, A. Strawa, E. Welton, D. Turner, H.
- 362 Jonsson, J. Redemann, J. Eilers, K. Ricci, A. G. Hallar, M. Clayton, J. Michalsky, A.
- 363 Smirnov, B. Holben, J. Barnard, 2006: How well do state-of-the-art techniques measuring the
- 364 vertical profile of tropospheric aerosol extinction compare? J. Geophys. Res., 111, D05S07,
- 365 doi:10.1029/2005JD005837.
- 366 Taha, G., L. W. Thomason, and S. P. Burton, 2004: Comparison of Stratospheric Aerosol and
- 367 Gas Experiment (SAGE) II version 6.2 water vapor with balloon-borne and space-based
- 368 instruments, J. Geophys. Res., **109**, D18313, doi:10.1029/2004JD004859.

- 369 Thomason, L. W., S. P. Burton, N. Iyer, J. M. Zawodny, and J. Anderson, 2004: A revised water
- 370 vapor product for the Stratospheric Aerosol and Gas Experiment (SAGE) II version 6.2 data

371 set, J. Geophys. Res., **109**, D06312, doi:10.1029/2003JD004465.

- 372 Vay, S. A., B. E. Anderson, G. W. Sachse, J. E. Collins Jr., J. R. Podolske, C. H. Twohy, B.
- 373 Gandrud, K. R. Chan, S. L. Baughcum, and H. A. Wallio, 1998: DC-8-based observations of
- aircraft CO, CH<sub>4</sub>, N<sub>2</sub>O, and H<sub>2</sub>O<sub>(g)</sub> emission indices during SUCCESS, *Geophys. Res. Lett.*,
- **25**, 1717–1720.
- 376

Table 1. Coefficients of Ingold et al. (2000) 3-parameter functional fit (2-parameter at altitudes below 4 km) to LBLRTM\_v9.2 calculations of water vapor transmittance as a function of slant path water vapor  $w_s$  (where  $w_s$  is in units of cm or g/cm<sup>2</sup>) for the AATS-14 channel centered at 940.6 nm. Values for altitudes 0-8 km are the same as those shown in Table 2 of Livingston et al. (2007).

Altitude [km]	<u>a</u>	<u>b</u>	<u>c</u>
0	0.51623	0.6439	1.00000
1	0.49669	0.6331	1.00000
2	0.47492	0.6238	1.00000
3	0.45191	0.6186	1.00000
4	0.43700	0.6053	1.00540
5	0.42217	0.5929	1.00924
6	0.40499	0.5859	1.01006
7	0.38888	0.5892	1.00855
8	0.38005	0.6059	1.00614
9	0.38692	0.6354	1.00394
10	0.43234	0.6897	1.00195
11	0.53860	0.7600	1.00077
12	0.60497	0.7952	1.00040
13	0.33551	0.6835	1.00086



**Fig. 1.** LBLRTM calculations of water vapor transmittance  $T_w$  as a function of slant path water vapor and aircraft (instrument) altitude for the AATS-14 941-nm channel. Results are shown for altitudes from 0 to 13 km in (a) and on expanded axes for altitudes 9 to 13 km only in (b).



**Fig. 2.** For the 21 January 2003 DC-8 ascent out of Kiruna, Sweden, (a) profiles of AATS-14 unbinned (black xs) and binned (blue dots) CWV, AATS-14 one sigma CWV uncertainties (dashed blue lines), DLH CWV (red dots), and ambient atmospheric temperature (magenta dots); (b) corresponding profiles of AATS-14 and DLH water vapor density; (c) scatterplot of AATS-14 versus DLH CWV (blue dots), AATS-14 CWV uncertainties (dashed blue lines), and linear regression fit (red line); (d) scatterplot of AATS-14 versus DLH water vapor density, and linear regression fit (red line). For CWV, the DLH value at profile top has been set equal to the AATS value there. Black dashed line in (c) and in (d) represents the one-to-one correspondence.



**Fig. 3.** For the 6 February 2003 DC-8 descent into Edwards AFB, (a) profiles of AATS-14 CWV (blue dots), AATS-14 one sigma CWV uncertainties (dashed blue lines), DLH CWV (red dots), CWV (green squares) calculated from Edwards AFB 15 UT radiosonde, and ambient atmospheric temperature (magenta dots); (b) corresponding profiles of water vapor density; (c,d) same profiles shown in (a,b), but for altitudes above 7.5 km only with expanded axes limits. For CWV, the DLH and sonde values at profile top have been set equal to the AATS value there.



**Fig. 4.** For the 6 February 2003 DC-8 descent into Edwards AFB, scatterplots of AATS-14 versus DLH (a) CWV and (b) water vapor density, and AATS-14 versus Edwards AFB 15 UT radiosonde (c) CWV and (d) water vapor density. Black dashed lines represent one-to-one correspondence, and red dashed lines are the regression fits.



**Fig. 5.** Composite results for the 21 January 2003 ascent and the 6 February 2003 DC-8 descent: scatterplots of AATS-14 versus DLH (a) CWV and (b) water vapor density; scatterplots of AATS-14 versus DLH (c) CWV and (d) water vapor density for altitudes >7.5 km only.