

CERRIER® Ian Z. Chuang, MD, MS, CCFP Director Knowledge Framework and Nomenclature

HIS Usability

Output Description → Descr

1. Content

- 2. Functionality
- Look and feel





Terminology Standards Realities

No one single reference terminology is adequate

Different domain strengths for different terminologies

External influences on terminology preferences

- External endorsements of certain terminologies
- Market acceptance of certain terminologies

External forces that lead to usages of certain code sets in lieu of clinical terminologies

- Requirement based on organization policy or procedure
- Regulatory requirements
- Billing and reimbursement requirements
- Patient volume and workflow issues
- Perception of value
- Cost







An increasingly accepted clinical reference terminology globally

Excellent breadth and depth for certain discretized domains:

- Disease
- Finding
- Body structure
- Procedure
- Despite breadth and depth, still not adequate for qualitative clinical documentation in a comprehensive manner
 - Need to be complemented by other reference terminologies that cover certain domains better or are better or preferred standards
- Oost is a barrier to adoption







- An increasingly accepted clinical reference terminology globally
- Narrow scope of focus
- No clinically usable description terms
- Output Description → Descr







- Omprehensive terminology of medication related concepts
- Support the identification of products
- Support the identification of medication as expressed in an order or prescription
- Oritical for CPOE and clinical decision support







Most closely approximates the way diagnostic imaging tests are ordered

Physicians tend to order diagnostic imaging tests in ways that are acceptable for reimbursement

Kill two birds with one stone

- Clinical documentation and placement of orders
- Ready for billing processing
- Pricing is not an issue only because it is mandated and requirement for reimbursement







- Already mandated for use to capture diagnostic information
- Olose enough proxy to actual clinical condition to be usable by clinicians
- Data model supports the ability to express the ICD-9-CM description in easier or more clinically intuitive manner

Ourrently limited use of data

Not able to move providers away from the use despite the limitations in the information

Kill two birds with one stone

- Clinical documentation of diagnosis and problems
- Ready for billing processing





Standard Clinical Vocabulary

What it enables or should enable

- Definitional knowledge
 - Dictionary of terms to support flexibility and specificity of clinical expression
 - Concepts that define meaning through semantic relationships
- Clinical decision support
- Reporting and analysis
- Categorization of data





What it does not solve

- Out-of-the-box usability
- End-user compliance with the precise and accurate usage of concepts as defined by the source
- Aggregation and comparison of clinical data with reliability and validity based on concept mapping alone





The clinician selection or declaration of a concept is done in the context of all available alternate choices at that time

- The dependencies are:
 - Terminology: what are the concepts available for selection for that specific domain
 - Version of terminology: what concepts are available may be time or version dependent, changing over time
 - Clinical ambiguity

End-user actions:

- Revert to free text
- Select the closest relevant concept, which may consist of:
 - □ A more general concept
 - An ambiguous concept, such as "others" or "not otherwise specified"





Example

The clinician documents a clinical diagnosis using the concept of anemia, when there are many more specific anemia concepts

- This is all the clinician know about the patient diagnostically in regards to the anemia
- This is all the clinician wants to declare in the diagnosis module, relying on other data points to further refine/define the anemia to a greater degree of specificity (e.g. iron, ferritin, TIBC, folate, etc.)
 - □ Fully described Dx=anemia, plus a low iron on laboratory test





End-user variables:

- Ambiguity of expression
- Convenience of expression
- Laziness
- Disassociation between the definitions of concepts and the way enduser express or apply the concepts





Control of expression

- Pre-defined canonical choices to ensure standardization of data capture
- Constraining choices in itself brings risks to the validity and reliability of the structured data
- Constraint often pose usability challenges

Ompositional flexibility:

- Freedom of ad lib composition of available reference concepts
- End-user and context dependent



