Ex Parte of EarthLink, Inc. September 23, 2005

Proposed Merger Conditions and Remedies

WC Docket 05-65
In the Matter of SBC/AT&T Applications for Approval of
Transfer of Control
and
WC Docket 05-75
In the Matter of Verizon/MCI Applications for Approval
of Transfer of Control

Proposed Merger Conditions and Remedies

- Consumer Decisions: Stand-Alone DSL
- Consumer Freedoms: Non-Interference
- Compliance & Enforcement

Let Consumers Decide: Stand-Alone DSL

- > Wholesale Stand-Alone DSL
 - o Merging parties must offer in-region wholesale stand-alone DSL
 - Wholesale must include term/volume discounts, adequate OSS, no usage restrictions, contract pricing
- > Retail Stand-Alone DSL
 - Merging parties must offer in-region retail stand-alone (with resale) without restrictions
 - Merging parties must accept customer Letters of Authorization
- ➤ Stand-Alone DSL Pricing
 - Rate must be just and reasonable and imputed to merging parties for their retail services

Rationale for Proposed Condition

- -- Promotes consumer choice -- VoIP and other IP-enabled Services available as actual and potential competitive alternatives for retail consumers
- -- Condition will remedy decreased wholesale broadband competition due to elimination of AT&T and MCI

Stand-Alone DSL: Background

- > Stand-Alone DSL is technically feasible
 - Qwest currently offers unrestricted stand-alone DSL
 - o Verizon already offers type of "stand-alone" service
- > Verizon's service is complex and subject to implementation issues
 - Service restrictions are unnecessarily complex and consumers have no way of knowing if restrictions apply
 - Ex: Service restricted if CLEC doesn't offer free line-sharing
 - <u>Ex</u>: Service restricted if "dispatch of Company personnel is required"
 - See Verizon Tariff FCC No. 20 § 5.1.2.D
 - o Consumers encounter difficulties ordering service
- > SBC fails to offer any stand-alone service at all

Consumer Freedoms: Non-Interference

- > Merging parties must not interfere with, degrade or block traffic traversing their networks
- > Merging parties must abide by "net neutrality" principles
 - Merging parties must designate compliance officer responsible for service provider complaints and provide quarterly reports describing any issues and resolution

Rationale for Proposed Condition

- -- Promotes consumer freedom to access full range of services
- -- Condition will remedy increased opportunity for discrimination and preferential treatment due to vertical integration resulting in preferences by merging companies in favor of their own services and traffic
- -- Condition will remedy negative effects of decreased wholesale broadband competition due to elimination of AT&T and MCI

Compliance and Enforcement

- > Violations subject to expedited process and pre-set damages
 - Afford "injunctive" relief for alleged violations pending resolution
- > Penalties paid to harmed parties in addition to fines
- Merged parties must have a senior company Compliance Officer
 - Compliance officer will submit publicly available reports
- Merged parties will retain an independent auditor and issue publicly available reports

Rationale for Proposal

- -- Enforcement penalties and mechanism will help deter violations
- -- Remedies require effective monitoring and enforcement if they are to redress public interest harms
- -- Enforcement should seek to restore competitive balance due to violations of merger conditions