



# Preliminary Results from Simultaneous Cryo Test of Three 0.5 m Mirrors

James Hadaway, Joseph Geary, & Lisa Blackwell -The University of Alabama in Huntsville

Ron Eng, Phil Stahl, John Hraba, Gary Thornton Ken Whitley, & Jeff Kegley - Marshall Space Flight Center

May 23, 2002



# **Description of Test**



- Had three 0.5 m diameter, 20 m radius lightweight mirrors from various sources:
  - 8 kg/m<sup>2</sup> C/SiC from IABG (tested once previously)
  - VHP Joined-Beryllium from Brush-Wellman/Goodrich
  - 22 kg/m<sup>2</sup> SiC from Xinetics
- Developed test stand to hold all three mirrors to enable simultaneous cryo testing.
- Particularly interested in:
  - Repeatability of C/SiC cryo deformation (previously tested)
  - Print-thru of Joined-Be
  - Performance of SiC versus C/SiC





JBe





5/22/02

The University of Alabama in Huntsville



# **PhaseCam Pallet**





- PhaseCam interferometer with F/33 diverger.
- Reference sphere for subtraction of instrument errors.
- Intelliwave software.
- Leica Disto Pro distance
  meter for RoC.
- Pallet atop Hexapod 6-DOF platform (pivot point set at common mirror CoC).







- Total Result surface error map with piston, tilt, & focus removed.
- Zernike Fit fit of first 36 terms.
- Residual difference of Total & Zernike Fit.





**CSiC - ROC** 





# **L** C/SiC RMS Cryo Deformation vs Temperature



CSiC - RMS Surface Change from 291 K





# C/SiC RMS Residual Cryo Deformation vs Temperature



CSiC - RMS Residual Surface Change from 291 K





# **C/SiC Ambient Figure**





Range (PV) = 2.1818 waves, PMS = 0.3031 waves, Strehl = 0.0266 Analysis Aper: Pos[ 232, 228] Size[ 394, 394]

IntellWave: Surface Map [4A,M,TMD] Date: Acq.: 04/02/02, 15:22:37 FILE: CSIC\_VAC\_291A\_REF\_MSK3.ESD



Range (PV) = 0.4865 waves, RMS = 0.0443 waves, Strehl = 0.9255 Analysis Aper. Pos[ 232, 228] Size[ 394, 394]

IntellWave: Surface Map [4A.M.TMD] Date: Acq: 04/02/02, 15:22:37 FILE: CSIC\_VAC\_291A\_REF\_MSK3.ESD

0.77 0.66 0.54

0.43

0.31 0.20

0.08

-0.03

-0.15

-0.26

-0.38

-0.49

-0.61

-0.72

-0.84

-0.95

-1.18

-1.30 -1.41



Range (PV) = 0.4865 waves, PMS = 0.0443 waves, Strehl = 0.9255 Analysis Aper: Pos[ 232, 228] Size[ 394, 394]

0.294 0.269 0.243 0.192 0.160 0.141 0.115 0.090 0.064 0.038 -0.038 -0.038 -0.038 -0.038 -0.038 -0.054 -0.064 -0.195 -0.141 -0.192



# **C/SiC Cryo Deformation**



IntelliWave: Surface Map [4A,M,TMD] Date: Acq.: 04/02/02, 15:22:37 FILE: CSIC\_VAC\_33-291A\_MSK3.ESD



IntellWave: Surface Map [4A,M,TMD] Date: Acq:: 04/02/02, 15:22:37 FILE: CSIC\_VAC\_33-291A\_MSK4\_CLIP.ESD

IntellWave: Surface Map [4A,M,TMD] Date: Acq:: 04/02/02, 15:22:37 FILE: CSIC\_VAC\_33-291A\_MSK3.ESD



Range (PV) = 0.8911 waves, PMS = 0.0829 waves, Strehl = 0.7623 Analysis Aper. Pos[ 232, 228] Size[ 394, 394]











- RoC increase of 35 mm is unexpected.
- Changes tend to level off between 100 & 150 K, corresponding to level off of SiC CTE.
- Total surface figure change of about 0.7 waves (443 nm) RMS. Mostly astigmatism due to felt lay-up during fabrication. Saw 81 nm RMS for SBMD.
- High-frequency surface figure change of about 0.08 waves (51 nm) RMS, or 0.05 waves (32 nm) RMS without edge effects. Saw 16 nm RMS for SBMD. Can see some print-thru of ribs, but also a more random-looking component.
- Difference of 0.016 waves (10 nm) RMS between pre & postcryo ambient figure - astigmatism. Need to check for measurement errors before concluding that a permanent change occurred.
- RoC & RMS figure changes same as in first test. PV figure changes about twice first test (may be due to different masking, shape looks same).



# JBe RoC vs Temperature









## JBe RMS Cryo Deformation vs Temperature



JBe - RMS Surface Change from 291 K





# JBe RMS Residual Cryo Deformation vs Temperature



JBe - RMS Residual Surface Change from 291 K





### **JBe Ambient Figure**

1.61

1.39

1.16

0.93

0.70

0.47

0.24

0.01

-0.22 -0.44 -0.67

-0.90

-1.13 -1.36 -1.59 -1.82 -2.05 -2.27 -2.50 -2.73





 $\label{eq:Range} (Pv) = \ 4.3472 \ \text{waves}, \ PMS = \ 0.8869 \ \text{waves}, \ Strehl = \ 0.0000 \ Analysis \ Aper: \ Pos[\ 230,\ 224] \ Size[\ 429,\ 430]$ 

IntelliWave: Surface Map [4A,M,TMD] Date: Acq.: 04/02/02, 15:53:10 FILE: JBE\_VAC\_291A\_REF\_MSK.ESD



Range (PV) = 3.7056 waves, PMS = 0.2523 waves, Strehl = 0.0811 Analysis Aper: Pos[ 230, 224] Size[ 429, 430]



# **JBe Cryo Deformation**



IntelliWave: Surface Map [4A,M,TMD] Date: Acq.: 04/02/02, 15:53:10 FILE: JBE\_VAC\_23-291A\_MSK2.ESD



Range (PV) = 1.0287 waves, RMS = 0.2016 waves, Strehl = 0.2010 Analysis Aper. Pos[ 230, 224] Size[ 429, 430]



Range (PV) = 0.1218 waves, PMS = 0.0235 waves, Strehl = 0.9785

Analysis Aper. Pos[230, 224] Size[ 429, 430]





0.629 0.575

0.467

0.413 0.359 0.304

0.250 0.196 0.142 0.088 0.034

-0.020

-0.075 -0.129 -0.183

-0.237 -0.291 -0.345 -0.399





Range (PV) = 0.0766 waves, PMS = 0.0104 waves, Strehl = 0.9957 Analysis Aper. Pos[360, 260] Size[118, 118]

IntellWave: Surface Map [4A.M.TMD] Date: Acq: 04/02/02, 15:53:10 FILE: JBE\_VAC\_23-291A\_RES\_CLIP.ESD







- RoC decrease of 10 mm is less than the expected 26 mm.
- Changes tend to level off around 140 K, corresponding to level off of Be CTE.
- Total surface figure change of about 0.2 waves (127 nm) RMS. Mostly astigmatism, but may actually be "power" changes of left & right halves. Some change around intact portion of joining seam. Saw 81 nm RMS for SBMD.
- High-frequency surface figure change of about 0.02 waves (13 nm) RMS, or 0.01 waves (6 nm) RMS per "pocket". Saw 16 nm RMS for SBMD. Can see print-thru of ribs, but also a more random-looking component. No real difference between thin & thick rib print-thru.
- Difference of 0.018 waves (11 nm) RMS between pre & postcryo ambient figure - astigmatism. Need to check for measurement errors before concluding that a permanent change occurred.



# **SiC RoC vs Temperature**



SiC - ROC





## **HIT SIC RMS Cryo Deformation vs Temperature**



SiC - RMS Surface Change from 293 K





# SiC RMS Residual Cryo Deformation vs Temperature



SiC - RMS Residual Surface Change from 293 K





## **SiC Ambient Figure**



IntelliWave: Surface Map [4A,M,TMD] Date: Acq.: 04/02/02, 14:42:30 FILE: SIC\_VAC\_293A\_REF\_MSK.ESD



1.00
0.88
0.75
0.62
0.49
0.36
0.23
0.10
-0.03
J 16
_n 20
-0.23
0.42
0.00
-0.00
-0.01
1 07
-1.07
-1.20
-1.33
 -1.46

Range (PV) = 2.4627 waves, RMS = 0.4684 waves, Strehl = 0.0002 Analysis Aper: Pos[ 237, 224] Size[ 413, 414]



# **SiC Cryo Deformation**

0.143

0.128

0.114

0.099

0.085

0.070

0.056

0.041

0.027

0.012

-0.002

-0.017

-0.031

-0.045 -0.060

-0.074

-0.089

-0.103

-0.118

0.132





Range (PV)= 0.2751 waves, RMS = 0.0423 waves, Stehl= 0.9319 Analysis Aper: Pos[237,224] Size [413,414] htelhWave: Surface Map [4A,M,TMD] Date: Acq.:04/02/02, 14 42 30 FILE: SIC\_VAC\_30-293A.ESD



Range (PV) = 0.1404 waves, RMS = 0.0104 waves, Smehl= 0.9957 Analysis Aper: Pos[237,224] Size[413,414]







- Change in RoC of +3 mm is within +/-6 mm error bar of measurement - would expect -6 mm change from SiC CTE.
- Changes tend to level off around 160 K, corresponding to level off of SiC CTE.
- Total surface figure change of only about 0.04 waves (25 nm) RMS. Combination of trefoil & astigmatism. Saw 81 nm RMS for SBMD.
- High-frequency surface figure change of only about 0.01 waves (6 nm) RMS, which is probably near noise limit of difference plot. Saw 16 nm RMS for SBMD. Can not see any print-thru.
- Difference of 0.014 waves (9 nm) RMS between pre & post-cryo ambient figure trefoil. Need to check for measurement errors before concluding that a permanent change occurred.







- Successfully cryo-tested three 0.5 m diameter, 20 m radius lightweight mirrors simultaneously, saving cost & schedule.
- C/SiC results very repeatable from first test. Observed unexpected RoC increase. Observed rib print-thru, but along with other high-frequency error.
- Did observe some rib print-thru for JBe, but much less than for SBMD (however, not same areal density). Observed some deformation around seam, but not sure of crack influence in this area.
- SiC mirror performed very well, but not same areal density as C/SiC (22 vs 8 kg/m<sup>2</sup>).







#### hadawayj@email.uah.edu

#### phil.stahl@msfc.nasa.gov