LISA Technology Package (LTP) System Design and Operation



8



Guide to the Presentation



- □ LTP System Overview and Responsibilities
- □ LTP Accommodation on LISA Pathfinder
- Inertial sensor / Optical Metrology Alignment
- □ Test Mass Release by Caging Mechanism
- LTP Operations: Caging Mechanism Control Process
- □ Metrology Acquisition
- LTP Operations: Charge Management Control Process



LTP System Overview and Responsibilities



Earth Observation, Navigation & Science LISA Pathfinder - LISA Technology Package (LTP) Mission Goals



- Releasing Test Masses in an inertial system and, by using the "Drag-Free Attitude Control System (DFACS)", to compensate for disturbing forces and torques acting on the test masses.
- Compensation quality shall demonstrate performance in terms of Acceleration Spectral Density and bandwidth, so that LISA requirements can be safely extrapolated.
- Demonstration of feasibility of a suitably accurate distance measurement techniques:
 - Laser-Heterodyn-Interferometry with a determination accuracy of the time resolved Test Mass position and lateral attitude of
 9 * 10⁻¹² m Hz^{-1/2} * [1+(f/3mHz)²]; 10 nrad/ Hz^{-1/2} for 3 30 mHz
 - Capacitive sensors for absolute distance measurements with accuracies of < 3 nm/√Hz in translation and < 200 nrad /√Hz in rotation
- Demonstration of the feasibility to release test masses in orbit with residual disturbances to secure the drag-free mode operation

Page 4 6th Int. LISA Symp., June 23, 2006 R.Gerndt, W.Fichter: / LTP + LPF/DFACS Team, EADS Astrium GmbH

LTP-Elements

Charge Management Device control of Test Masses → Electric Charges

Data Management & Diagnostic Subsystem (DDS)

- ➔ Command & Control of LTP
- ➔ Optical Metrology Control
- Diagnostic
 Experiments (Heater, Magnetic Coils, Rad-Monitor, etc.)

 ISS Front-End Electronic / control of Test Masses
 → Electrostatic actuation
 → Electrostatic Positionand Attitude determination

Page 5 6th Int. LISA Symp., June 23, 2006



➔ TM handling during launch and in Orbit (Caging Mechanism)

LTP Consortium (Customers, Sub-Co's, Suppliers)



(in red contractual relations to ASD)

LTP Architect: EADS Astrium, Immenstaad, D

in cooperation with:

University of Trento, Italy (PI) Albert-Einstein-Institut, Hannover (Co-PI) ETH Zürich, Schweiz

Carlo Gavazzi, Mailand, Italy 🔶	ISS
Alenia-Laben, Mailand, Italy 🗲	CMA
Kayser-Threde, München, D 🗲	LA
Tesat, Backnang, D 🔶	RLU
University of Glasgow, UK →	OBI
University of Birmingham, UK \rightarrow	PMA
Imperial College London, UK 🔸	CMD
Contraves, Zürich, CH →	FEE, LM
SRON, Delft, Netherlands	IS SCOE
NTE, Barcelona, Spain -	DDS

PPARC, UK Page 6 6th Int. I

ASI, Rom, Italy

ESA/ESTEC, Noordwijk

AEI/DLR, Germany

CNES, Paris, France

IEEC, Barcelona, Spain

Customers:

Astrium Germany's LTP Industrial Architect Role ASTRIUM

- Overall System Engineering and LTP performance
- LTP Core Assembly system design
- Product Assurance
- Coordination of the contributions by other LTP Contributors
- Consolidate the LTP design on basis of previous activities Definition of LTP items for which no technical preparatory TRP programs were conducted
- Definition of LTP System and Unit requirements & definition of LTP SW modules
- Procurement of certain items (LA, RLU, CMA & LM)
- Integration of the LTP with respective GSE
- Test & Verification of LTP on instrument level
- Support of integration into the spacecraft and S/C level testing
- Support of in-orbit commissioning of LTP





Current Most Risky Technical Items in LTP

- 1. Caging and Release Mechanism
- 2. LTP Core Assembly Mounting to Spacecraft
- 2. Laser Modulator Performance and Qualification
- 3. Inertial Sensor Housing Vacuum System
- 4. Inertial Sensor Front End Electronics re-design
- 5. LTP Performance Verification
- 6. Software
- 7. Inertial Sensor / Optical metrology System Alignment Procedure



Start with Interferometer Bench...



























LTP Core Assembly



LTP Core Assembly Configuration







LTP Accommodation on LISA Pathfinder



Page 18 6th Int. LISA Symp., June 23, 2006 R.Gerndt, W.Fichter: / LTP + LPF/DFACS Team, EADS Astrium GmbH



Accommodation of LTP on LPF Science Module



Internal View –X-Y







Inertial Sensor and Optical Metrology Alignment



Alignment Task: Items to be Aligned (LTP internal) EADS



Alignment Reference Frames







Test Mass Release by Caging Mechanism



Caging Mechanism Assembly (CMA)



- □ The CMA Release function feasibility is currently <u>the</u> challenge of LTP development.
- Demonstration of release feasibility is ongoing and concepts have to be proven by breadboard tests yet
 - Caging Mechanism SubSystem (CMSS) will hold TM during launch and will release TM from caged position
 requires large holding forces up to 3000 N to be applied to a gold-gold contact surface and consequentially strong adhesion forces are to be controlled
 - Grabbing, Positioning, and Release Mechanism (GPRM) shall release TMs into free fall after TM has been separated from CMSS
 → requires separation of gold-gold contacts which were exposed to contact forces up to 300 N
 → requires release of the TMs with residual initial velocities suitable for the DFCAS / Front-End Electronics range of control forces

Caging Mechanism (CM) Design Principle





CMA Critical Issue



Demonstration of release feasibility and reliability

- Caging Mechanism SubSystem (CMSS) holding of TM for launch and release from caged position
- Grabbing, Positioning, and Release Mechanism (GPRM) release of TM into free fall after TM has been separated from CMSS





LTP Operations: Caging Mechanism Control Process



LTP Operations: Caging Mechanism Control Process

- □ Functionalities modeled
 - TM caged by CMSS
 - TM held/positioned by GPRM
 - TM grabbed
 - TM released
- □ The model is interfaced with:
 - IS sensing/actuation
 - plunger in contact
 - electrical field variation
 - DFACS
 - release/positioning commands
 - TM velocity estimation for automatic grabbing/recaging







LTP Operations: Caging Mechanism Control Process



LTP Operations: Caging Mechanism Control Process



- □ Release Procedure
 - 1. Fast&Short Retraction GPRM Plungers
 - 2. Check TM velocity < Threshold
 - 3. YES \rightarrow Full retraction GPRM Plungers NO \rightarrow Recage, Repeat Procedure
- □ Simulation Setup @ TM Release
 - Velocity 5.10⁻⁶ m/s 1.10⁻⁴ rad/s
 - Displacement 200 m 2 mrad
- Transient time
 - TM centered within 600 sec
- Overshoots

TM steady state accuracy (3σ)

< 2 m, < 40 rad

□ TM velocity estimation

Reliable estimation (<10% error) provided to the CMA within 6 sec







< 100 m, < 2 mrad

LTP operations: Optical Metrology Acquisition





Acquisition sequence





Simulation results (E2E)





Page 35 6th Int. LISA Symp., June 23, 2006 R.Gerndt, W.Fichter: / LTP + LPF/DFACS Team, EADS Astrium GmbH

LTP Operations: Charge Management Control Process







Charge Management Control Process Top-Level Architecture



Page 37 6th Int. LISA Symp., June 23, 2006 R.Gerndt, W.Fichter: / LTP + LPF/DFACS Team, EADS Astrium GmbH

Charge Management Control Process Principle of Charge Measurement



Goal: Determine Charge on TM (illustrated for measurement along x)

 $V_1 = V_2 = B \cdot sin(\omega \cdot t + \pi)$

 $V_3 = V_4 = B \cdot sin(\omega \cdot t)$

Apply Oscillating Voltage with Proper Phase to Electrodes 1-4:

Force Proportional to Charge is Generated: $F_x^Q(t) = -\frac{4 \cdot \varepsilon_o \cdot A}{c_{tot} \cdot d_x^2} \cdot Q_{TM} \cdot V_1(t)$

Equal electrodes, no dc voltage, and constant d_x assumed

z

Sinusoidal force with same frequency and phase as V(t) produced \Box

Force not Directly Measurable, but Displacement: $x^{Q}(t) = \frac{F_{x}^{Q}}{m_{TM} \cdot (2\pi f)^{2}}$

Finally: Estimate Charge out of Measured Signal $x^{Q}(t)$

Data-recursive estimation algorithms used for online estimation \Box



Charge Management Control Process Charge/Discharge Control

Discharge Rate Depending on:

- Commanded UV Lamp Current I_{UV}
- Potential Difference between TM and EH \rightarrow Apply Bias Voltage V_{DC} to Enhance Discharge

□ Constraint: Max. 2 UV Lamps can be Used at Same Time

One Lamp per TM for simultaneous discharging



Charge Management Control Process Fast Discharge Results (based on ICL model)



- \Box Control Accuracy: $Q_{TM} < \pm 1 \cdot 10^5 e$
- Duration: 3 Charge Estimation/Discharge Cycles Required
 Less than 4000 sec for Fast Discharging of Both TMs



LTP operations: Optical Metrology Acquisition





Summary



- □ Astrium has to manage a "can of worms" and has to forge together heterogeneous preparatory work
- Current critical issues identified and mitigation ongoing
- Close interaction of DFACS modes development, LTP performance engineering and LTP hardware development most critical
- Initalisation of operation modes well understood and verified in simulation