EPA Superfund Record of Decision:

CENTRAL CITY, CLEAR CREEK EPA ID: COD980717557 OU 01 IDAHO SPRINGS, CO 09/30/1987

- RUNOFF FROM TAILINGS AND WASTE ROCK PILES CONTAINS DISSOLVED AND SUSPENDED METALS.
- THERE ARE TAILINGS AND WASTE ROCK PILES ADJACENT TO CLEAR CREEK AND NORTH CLEAR CREEK
 THAT ARE UNSTABLE AND COULD COLLAPSE INTO THE CREEKS. THESE TAILINGS ARE ACIDIC IN
 NATURE. WHEN INTRODUCED TO WATER, THE PH WILL RAPIDLY DECREASE AND SIGNIFICANT AMOUNTS
 OF DISSOLVED AND SUSPENDED METALS WILL BE RELEASED TO THE STREAM.
- HYDROSTATIC PRESSURE WILL BUILD UP IN THE TUNNELS DUE TO CAVE-INS. AFTER SUFFICIENT PRESSURE HAS BUILT UP, THE TUNNELS WILL BLOW OUT, RELEASING LARGE VOLUMES OF METALS TO THE CREEKS.
- GROUND WATER IN THE VICINITY OF THE TUNNELS IS CONTAMINATED.
- THERE ARE ADDITIONAL SOURCES OF ACID MINE DRAINAGE AND TAILINGS UPSTREAM THAT COULD BE CONTRIBUTING DISSOLVED AND SUSPENDED METALS TO THE CREEKS.

ALL OF THE ABOVE FACTORS CONTRIBUTE TO WATER QUALITY AND AQUATIC HABITAT DEGRADATION AND WILL BE ADDRESSED IN THE FOLLOWING SUBSEQUENT OPERABLE UNITS:

```
OPERABLE UNIT NO. TWO - TAILINGS AND WASTE ROCK REMEDIATION

OPERABLE UNIT NO. THREE - SOURCE CONTROL

OPERABLE UNIT NO. FOUR - BLOWOUT CONTROL

OPERABLE UNIT NO. FIVE - REGIONAL GROUND WATER CONTAMINATION

OPERABLE UNIT NO. SIX - UPSTREAM MINE TUNNEL DISCHARGES AND TAILINGS.
```

#CSS

CURRENT SITE STATUS

THE CONCENTRATIONS OF MOST METALS (ALUMINUM, CADMIUM, COPPER, LEAD, MANGANESE, NICKEL, SILVER, AND ZINC) DETECTED IN THE MINE TUNNEL DISCHARGES EXCEED MAXIMUM CONTAMINANT LEVELS (MCLS) ESTABLISHED UNDER THE SAFE DRINKING WATER ACT (SDWA) FOR DRINKING WATER AND AMBIENT WATER QUALITY CRITERIA (AWQC) ESTABLISHED UNDER THE CLEAN WATER ACT FOR PROTECTION OF AQUATIC LIFE. IN SEVERAL INSTANCES, THE AWQC FOR PROTECTION OF AQUATIC LIFE ARE EXCEEDED IN THE MINE TUNNEL DISCHARGES BY MORE THAN TWO ORDERS OF MAGNITUDE. CONVERSELY, WITH RESPECT TO THE MCLS FOR DRINKING WATER, THE RESPECTIVE DISSOLVED AND SUSPENDED METAL CONCENTRATIONS IN CLEAR CREEK AND NORTH CLEAR CREEK ARE OFTEN WITHIN THE ESTABLISHED CRITERIA. IT IS IMPORTANT TO EMPHASIZE, HOWEVER, THAT MOST DISSOLVED AND SUSPENDED METAL CONCENTRATIONS IN THE RECEIVING STREAMS EXCEED AWQC FOR PROTECTION OF AQUATIC LIFE, WHICH ARE MORE STRINGENT THAN MCLS FOR DRINKING WATER FOR THESE PARTICULAR CONTAMINANTS OF CONCERN. TABLE 1 IS A COMPUTATION OF THE DAILY LOADING OF DISSOLVED AND SUSPENDED METALS IN THE MINE DISCHARGES FROM EACH OF THE FIVE MINE TUNNELS IN THE STUDY AND COMPARES MEAN DISCHARGE CONCENTRATIONS TO AWQC.

A PUBLIC HEALTH EVALUATION WAS CONDUCTED TO IDENTIFY COMPOUNDS WHICH COULD POSE A SIGNIFICANT HEALTH THREAT. ALL AVAILABLE DATA FROM SURFACE WATER AND GROUND WATER SAMPLING AND TAILINGS/WASTE ROCK ANALYSES WERE EVALUATED. RESULTS INDICATE THAT OF THE ELEMENTS DETECTED, THERE WERE 10 CONTAMINANTS OF PRIMARY CONCERN DUE TO THEIR WIDESPREAD EXTENT, POTENTIAL HEALTH AND ENVIRONMENTAL EFFECTS, AND RELATIVE CONCENTRATION. THE CONTAMINANTS OF CONCERN WERE IDENTIFIED AS ALUMINUM, ARSENIC, CADMIUM, CHROMIUM, COPPER, FLUORIDE, LEAD, MANGANESE, NICKEL, SILVER, AND ZINC.

THE PUBLIC HEALTH EVALUATION ASSESSED THE FOLLOWING RISKS ASSOCIATED WITH EXPOSURE TO SURFACE WATER FROM INGESTION AND DIRECT CONTACT BY HUMANS AND AQUATIC LIFE. THE RESULTS OF THE PUBLIC HEALTH EVALUATION FOLLOW AND ARE SUMMARIZED IN TABLE 3.

- CONCENTRATIONS OF DISSOLVED AND SUSPENDED METALS IN CLEAR CREEK WATER, AT THE INTAKE FOR THE CITY OF GOLDEN WATER SUPPLY, ARE BELOW MCLS SPECIFIED IN THE SAFE DRINKING WATER ACT (SDWA).
- INGESTION OF DRINKING WATER FROM GROUND WATER WELLS SCREENED IN THE CLEAR CREEK AND NORTH CLEAR CREEK ALLUVIAL AQUIFERS RESULTS IN AN UPPER-BOUND LIFETIME CANCER RISK OF 1 X 10-2 AND 7 X 10-3 FROM EXPOSURE TO THE GEOMETRIC MEAN CONCENTRATIONS OF ARSENIC IN THE CLEAR CREEK SUB-BASIN GROUND WATER AND THE NORTH CLEAR CREEK SUB-BASIN GROUND WATER, RESPECTIVELY. MAXIMUM CONCENTRATIONS OF ARSENIC IN BOTH SUB-BASINS EXCEED MCLS AS DID

THE MAXIMUM CONCENTRATIONS OF CADMIUM, CHROMIUM, COPPER, LEAD, AND ZINC. THE GEOMETRIC MEAN CONCENTRATIONS OF CADMIUM AND LEAD ARE BOTH ABOVE THE MCLS. RESIDENTS OF THE CITIES OF IDAHO SPRINGS, BLACK HAWK, AND CENTRAL CITY ARE ON PUBLIC WATER SUPPLY SYSTEMS THAT MEET MCLS.

- INCIDENTAL INGESTION OF ARSENIC WHILE SWIMMING IN CLEAR CREEK MAY RESULT IN AN UPPER BOUND RISK OF 5 X 10-6 AND 2 X 10-5, UNDER THE AVERAGE AND MAXIMUM PLAUSIBLE SCENARIOS, RESPECTIVELY. HOWEVER, IT SHOULD BE NOTED THAT ARSENIC CONCENTRATIONS IN CLEAR CREEK ARE SIMILAR TO CONCENTRATIONS OF ARSENIC IN OTHER COLORADO RIVERS.
- DIRECT CONTACT WITH MINE DISCHARGE WATER AT THE BIG FIVE MINE AND ARGO TUNNEL MAY NOT IRRITATE HANDS, BUT MAY CAUSE EYE IRRITATION.
- UNDER THE MAXIMUM PLAUSIBLE SCENARIO, INGESTION OF FISH FROM CLEAR CREEK MAY RESULT IN DOSES GREATER THAN THE CANCER RISK CRITERIA FOR CADMIUM, COPPER, SILVER, AND ZINC. INGESTION OF FISH CAUGHT FROM NORTH CLEAR CREEK MAY ALSO RESULT IN DOSES OF COPPER, SILVER AND ZINC THAT EXCEED THE CANCER RISK CRITERIA. AN UPPER BOUND LIFETIME EXCESS CANCER RISK OF 9 X 10-4 WAS CALCULATED FOR INGESTION IN FISH FROM CLEAR CREEK UNDER THE MAXIMUM PLAUSIBLE SCENARIO, BASED ON ARSENIC. BECAUSE ARSENIC IS METABOLIZED IN FISH TO A LESS TOXIC FORM, THE ACTUAL RISK IS PROBABLY LOWER. IN ADDITION, AS A RESULT OF MINE DRAINAGE FROM THE FIVE TUNNEL DISCHARGES AND OTHER UPSTREAM DISCHARGES, NEITHER CREEK MAY SUPPORT ENOUGH FISH TO RESULT IN THE ASSUMED INTAKE.

THE EXPOSURE OF AQUATIC LIFE TO ACID MINE DRAINAGE FROM THE TUNNEL DISCHARGES IN THE CLEAR CREEK AND NORTH CLEAR CREEK DRAINAGES, AND THE MARSH BELOW NATIONAL TUNNEL WERE ALSO CONSIDERED. THE MAJOR CONCLUSIONS OF THIS ASSESSMENT ARE SUMMARIZED AS FOLLOWS.

- SEVERAL OF THE CHEMICALS OF CONCERN PRESENT IN CLEAR CREEK, NORTH CLEAR CREEK, AND THE MARSH BELOW THE NATIONAL TUNNEL ARE AT CONCENTRATIONS THAT EXCEED THE FEDERAL AWQC ESTABLISHED UNDER THE CLEAN WATER ACT FOR THE PROTECTION OF FRESHWATER AQUATIC LIFE. IN PARTICULAR, CONCENTRATIONS OF ZINC, COPPER, AND ALUMINUM CONSISTENTLY EXCEED THE ACUTE AND CHRONIC CRITERIA. THE PH IS LOWER THAN THE RANGE OF PH'S SUGGESTED BY THE EPA FOR THE PROTECTION OF FRESHWATER AQUATIC LIFE. IN ADDITION, CONCENTRATIONS OF MANGANESE EXCEED THE LOWEST OBSERVED EFFECT LEVEL IN RAINBOW TROUT. BECAUSE AQUATIC ORGANISMS ARE EXPOSED TO A MIXTURE AND NOT INDIVIDUAL CHEMICALS, TOXIC EFFECTS MAY BE EVEN GREATER THAN INDICATED BY COMPARISON TO THE CRITERIA. ALTHOUGH SOME FISH MAY HAVE DEVELOPED TOLERANCE TO THE METALS IN THE CREEKS, IT IS HIGHLY UNLIKELY THAT THE POPULATION OF FISH FOUND IN THESE CREEKS ARE FREE OF TOXIC EFFECTS.

EPA CONDUCTED A SURVEY OF GROUND WATER USES IN THE STUDY AREA. SAMPLES OF GROUND WATER WERE TAKEN FROM 13 WELLS AND ANALYZED FOR SDWA CRITERIA. ONLY ONE WELL FAILED TO MEET MCLS SET UNDER THE SAFE DRINKING WATER ACT. THE WELL NOT MEETING SDWA MCLS EXCEEDED CADMIUM LEVELS. EPA HAS NOTIFIED THE OWNERS OF THE WELLS OF THE RESULTS OF THE ANALYSES. A SUPERFUND REMOVAL ACTION IS PLANNED TO REPLACE THE CONTAMINATED WELL WATER.

#ENF

III. ENFORCEMENT

EPA HAS DETERMINED THAT THE POSSIBILITY OF PARTICIPATION BY POTENTIALLY RESPONSIBLE PARTIES (PRPS) IN THE PROJECT IS MINIMAL. A POTENTIALLY RESPONSIBLE PARTY SEARCH WAS CONDUCTED FOR THE CLEAR CREEK/CENTRAL CITY SITE BUT DID NOT RESULT IN IDENTIFYING PRPS FOR THE MINE TUNNEL DISCHARGES, WHICH ARE THE FOCUS OF THIS OPERABLE UNIT. DUE TO THE COMPLEXITY OF THE UNDERGROUND TUNNELS AND LACK OF HISTORICAL SURVEY INFORMATION DOCUMENTING TUNNEL ORIGIN AND ENDING, EPA IS UNABLE TO TRACE THE CONTAMINATION SOURCES. THE TYPE OF INVESTIGATION THAT IS NEEDED TO ATTEMPT TO DETERMINE THE ORIGIN OF THE CONTAMINANT SOURCE IS BEYOND THE SCOPE OF A PRP SEARCH AND WOULD CONSIST OF A LAND SURVEY AND LITERATURE, DOCKET AND TAX RECORD SEARCH CONDUCTED TO ESTABLISH WHICH OF THE MINE CLAIMS ACTUALLY CROSS EACH OF THE TUNNELS AS WELL AS A HYDROGEOLOGIC ANALYSIS OF WHICH CLAIMS LOGICALLY DRAIN INTO THE TUNNELS. AT THIS TIME, EPA DOES NOT FEEL THAT SUCH AN INVESTIGATION WOULD BE FRUITFUL DUE TO THE LACK OF RECORDED INFORMATION.

THE PRP SEARCH FOUND INFORMATION ON OWNERSHIP OF THE MINE TAILINGS THAT WILL BE USED IN OPERABLE UNIT NO. TWO. EPA HAS AN EXTENSIVE LIST OF BOTH PAST AND PRESENT OWNERS OF THE MINE TAILINGS AND THE UNDERLYING PROPERTY.

BECAUSE OF THE INABILITY TO IDENTIFY THE ORIGIN AND THEREFORE ALLOCATE OWNERSHIP OF THE MINE DISCHARGES, EPA DOES NOT EXPECT PARTICIPATION IN THIS OPERABLE UNIT BY A FINANCIALLY SOLVENT PRP AND FOR NOW ASSUMES THAT THE HAZARDOUS SUBSTANCES TRUST FUND (SUPERFUND) WILL FINANCE THE REMEDIAL ACTION.

#CR

IV. COMMUNITY RELATIONS HISTORY

THE COMMUNITY RELATIONS RESPONSIVENESS SUMMARY (APPENDIX A) DESCRIBES THE COMMUNITY'S NATURE AND LEVEL OF CONCERN REGARDING THE ALTERNATIVES EVALUATED IN THE FEASIBILITY STUDY (FS) FOR OPERABLE UNIT NO. ONE.

AFTER RELEASE IN JUNE 1987 OF THE FS REPORT ON OPERABLE UNIT NO. ONE, EPA HELD TWO PUBLIC MEETINGS IN CONJUNCTION WITH THE PUBLIC COMMENT PERIOD, JUNE 8 THROUGH JULY 7, 1987. ON JUNE 3, 1987 AND JUNE 12, 1987, ANNOUNCEMENTS FOR THE PUBLIC COMMENT PERIOD AND PUBLIC MEETINGS WERE PUBLISHED IN THE NEWSPAPERS, THE CLEAR CREEK COURANT AND WEEKLY REGISTER CALL, RESPECTIVELY. EPA DISTRIBUTED THE PROPOSED PLAN DURING THE PUBLIC MEETINGS. THE PROPOSED PLAN WAS THE EXECUTIVE SUMMARY OF THE FS REPORT. IT GAVE A BRIEF DESCRIPTION OF THE REMEDIAL ACTION ALTERNATIVES AND STATED THE RATIONALE FOR THE PREFERRED REMEDY. THE PROPOSED PLAN WAS DISCUSSED IN ARTICLES IN THE CLEAR CREEK COURANT ON JUNE 24 AND JULY 1, 1987.

ON JUNE 16 AND JUNE 17, 1987, EPA HELD PUBLIC MEETINGS ON THE PROPOSED PLAN. THE PREFERRED REMEDY STATED IN THE PROPOSED PLAN WAS CONSTRUCTION OF PASSIVE TREATMENT SYSTEMS. IN GENERAL, THE PUBLIC HAD MIXED REACTION TO THE PASSIVE TREATMENT SYSTEMS, WITH SOME AREA RESIDENTS SUPPORTING THE CONCEPT WHILE OTHERS QUESTIONED THE NEED FOR ANY REMEDIATION. THE ALTERNATIVES SELECTED IN THE RECORD OF DECISION ARE A LOGICAL OUTGROWTH OF THE PROPOSED PLAN. VARIATIONS FROM THE PROPOSED PLAN WILL BE PUBLISHED WHEN THE RECORD OF DECISION IS SIGNED. THE RESPONSIVENESS SUMMARY TO THIS ROD DESCRIBES IN MORE DETAIL THE NATURE AND LEVEL OF THE COMMUNITY'S CONCERN, AND INCLUDE EPA'S RESPONSES TO ALL COMMENTS RECEIVED DURING THE PUBLIC REVIEW OF THE OPERABLE UNIT NO. ONE FEASIBILITY STUDY.

EPA HAS ESTABLISHED INFORMATION REPOSITORIES AT THE EPA LIBRARY IN DENVER, THE GILPIN COUNTY COURT HOUSE IN CENTRAL CITY, THE IDAHO SPRINGS PUBLIC LIBRARY AND THE IDAHO SPRINGS CITY HALL IN IDAHO SPRINGS, AND THE GOLDEN PUBLIC LIBRARY IN GOLDEN, COLORADO. THE ADMINISTRATIVE RECORD IS LOCATED AT THE GILPIN COUNTY COUNTHOUSE AND THE EPA LIBRARY. AN INDEX OF THE ADMINISTRATIVE RECORD IS LOCATED AT EACH INFORMATION REPOSITORY.

#AE

V. ALTERNATIVES EVALUATION

THE EPA EVALUATED POTENTIAL REMEDIAL ACTION ALTERNATIVES TO ABATE THE THREAT POSED BY CONTAMINATION IN FIVE MINE TUNNEL DISCHARGES PRIMARILY BY PROGRESSING THROUGH THE SERIES OF ANALYSES WHICH ARE OUTLINED IN THE NATIONAL CONTINGENCY PLAN (NCP), IN PARTICULAR, 40 CFR SECTION 300.68, THE INTERIM GUIDANCE ON SUPERFUND SELECTION OF REMEDY, DECEMBER 24, 1986, (OSWER DIRECTIVE NO. 9355.0-19) AND THE ADDITIONAL INTERIM GUIDANCE FOR FY '87 RECORDS OF DECISION, JULY 24, 1987, (OSWER DIRECTIVE NO. 9355.0-21). THIS PROCESS, IN PART, ENABLES THE EPA TO ADDRESS THE SARA SECTION 121 REQUIREMENTS OF SELECTING A REMEDIAL ACTION THAT IS PROTECTIVE OF HUMAN HEALTH AND THE ENVIRONMENT, THAT IS COST-EFFECTIVE, THAT ATTAINS FEDERAL AND STATE REQUIREMENTS THAT ARE APPLICABLE AND/OR RELEVANT AND APPROPRIATE, AND THAT UTILIZES PERMANENT SOLUTIONS AND ALTERNATIVE TREATMENT TECHNOLOGIES OR RESOURCE RECOVERY TECHNOLOGIES TO THE MAXIMUM EXTENT PRACTICABLE. ADDITIONALLY, SARA SECTION 121 AND THE GUIDANCE DOCUMENTS REFERENCED ABOVE REQUIRE THAT EPA GIVE PREFERENCE TO REMEDIES WHICH EMPLOY TREATMENT WHICH PERMANENTLY AND SIGNIFICANTLY REDUCES THE MOBILITY, TOXICITY, OR VOLUME OF HAZARDOUS SUBSTANCES AS THEIR PRINCIPAL ELEMENT.

THE SELECTION OF REMEDY PROCESS BEGINS BY IDENTIFYING CERTAIN SITE-SPECIFIC INFORMATION TO BE ASSESSED IN DETERMINING THE TYPES OF RESPONSE ACTIONS THAT WILL BE CONSIDERED FOR THE SITE. A GENERAL LIST OF SITE-SPECIFIC INFORMATION IS CONTAINED IN SECTION 300.68 (E)(2) OF THE NCP. THIS LIST WAS USED TO IDENTIFY SPECIFIC SITE AND WASTE CHARACTERISTICS OF THE OPERABLE UNIT NO. ONE OF THE CLEAR CREEK/CENTRAL CITY SITE. BASED UPON THESE SITE AND WASTE CHARACTERISTICS, THE EPA WAS ABLE TO REDUCE, FROM THE UNIVERSE OF MANY POSSIBLE RESPONSE ACTIONS, A SET OF RESPONSE ACTIONS AND ASSOCIATED TECHNOLOGIES TO BE CONSIDERED FOR OPERABLE UNIT NO. ONE.

SECTION 121(B)(1) OF SARA REQUIRES THAT AN ASSESSMENT OF PERMANENT SOLUTIONS AND ALTERNATIVE TREATMENT TECHNOLOGIES OR RESOURCE RECOVERY TECHNOLOGIES THAT, IN WHOLE OR IN PART, WILL RESULT IN A PERMANENT AND SIGNIFICANT DECREASE IN THE TOXICITY, MOBILITY, OR VOLUME OF THE HAZARDOUS SUBSTANCE, POLLUTANT, OR CONTAMINANT BE CONDUCTED. THE ALTERNATIVE TREATMENT AND RESOURCE RECOVERY TECHNOLOGIES CONSIDERED INCLUDED, AMONG OTHERS, PASSIVE TREATMENT AND METALS RECOVERY FROM SLUDGES.

BEFORE THE TECHNOLOGIES WERE EVALUATED FOR REMEDIAL ACTION ALTERNATIVES, THEY WERE CATEGORIZED AS EITHER DISCHARGE TREATMENT OR SOURCE CONTROL. SOURCE CONTROL MEASURES ARE INTENDED TO CONTAIN THE MINE DISCHARGES WITHIN THE FIVE TUNNELS.

THE NEXT STEP OF THE SELECTION OF REMEDY PROCESS IS ASSEMBLING THE TECHNOLOGIES AND/OR DISPOSAL OPTIONS INTO REMEDIAL ACTION ALTERNATIVES. PURSUANT TO OSWER DIRECTIVE NO. 9355.0-19, "INTERIM GUIDANCE ON SUPERFUND SELECTION OF REMEDY", REMEDIAL ACTION ALTERNATIVES WERE CONSIDERED RANGING FROM THOSE THAT WOULD ELIMINATE THE NEED FOR LONG-TERM MANAGEMENT (INCLUDING MONITORING) AT THE SITE TO ALTERNATIVES INVOLVING TREATMENT THAT WOULD REDUCE MOBILITY, TOXICITY, OR VOLUME AS THEIR PRINCIPAL ELEMENT. REMEDIAL ACTION ALTERNATIVES DEVELOPED IN THIS WAY WILL VARY MAINLY IN THE DEGREE TO WHICH THEY RELY ON LONG-TERM SITE MANAGEMENT. FURTHER, A CONTAINMENT OPTION INVOLVING LITTLE OR NO TREATMENT AND A NO ACTION ALTERNATIVE WERE DEVELOPED AS REQUIRED BY SECTION 300.68(F)(1)(V) OF THE NCP.

THE REMEDIAL ACTION ALTERNATIVES DEVELOPED IN THE FS FOR OPERABLE UNIT NO. ONE FOR THE CLEAR CREEK/CENTRAL CITY SITE ARE:

NO ACTION

ACTIVE TREATMENT

- LIME PRECIPITATION
- NAOH (CAUSTIC) PRECIPITATION
- REVERSE OSMOSIS
- ELECTRODIALYSIS
- ION EXCHANGE
- FREEZING
- IRON OXIDATION/PRECIPITATION
- SULFIDE PRECIPITATION
- DISTILLATION
- RECYCLING BACTERIAL WASTE
- COAGULATION/FLOTATION
- EVAPORATION
- DEEP WELL INJECTION
- ELECTROCHEMICAL PRECIPITATION

PASSIVE TREATMENT

SOURCE CONTROL

- DRY/AIR SEALS
- FRACTURE ZONE SEALS
- PORTAL BULKHEADS

CONTROLLED RELEASE.

ALTERNATIVES WERE SUBJECTED TO AN INITIAL SCREENING TO NARROW THE LIST OF POTENTIAL REMEDIAL ACTIONS FOR FURTHER DETAILED ANALYSES USING THE CRITERIA OF COST, EFFECTIVENESS, AND IMPLEMENTABILITY (ACCEPTABLE ENGINEERING PRACTICES) AS DIRECTED BY 40 CFR SECTION 300.68(G) AND THE ABILITY TO REDUCE THE TOXICITY, MOBILITY, OR VOLUME OF CONTAMINANTS, AS DIRECTED BY SARA. COSTS, INCLUDING OPERATION AND MAINTENANCE (O&M) WERE CONSIDERED FOR EACH ALTERNATIVE. EACH ALTERNATIVE WAS SCREENED BY EVALUATING ENGINEERING FEASIBILITY, APPLICABILITY, AND RELIABILITY. EFFECTIVENESS IN PROTECTING HUMAN HEALTH AND THE ENVIRONMENT WAS CONSIDERED. DURING THE INITIAL SCREENING PROCESS, THE CONTROLLED RELEASE ALTERNATIVE AND THE FOLLOWING DISCHARGE TREATMENT ALTERNATIVES WERE ELIMINATED: REVERSE OSMOSIS, ELECTRODIALYSIS, ION EXCHANGE, FREEZING, DISTILLATION, RECYCLING BACTERIAL WASTE, EVAPORATION, AND DEEP WELL INJECTION. THE SOURCE

CONTROL ALTERNATIVE WAS RECOMMENDED FOR FURTHER STUDY, INCLUDING FIELD INVESTIGATIONS, UNDER OPERABLE UNIT NO. THREE. THE REMAINING ALTERNATIVES WERE FURTHER CONSIDERED IN THE SECTION ON DETAILED ANALYSIS OF REMEDIAL ACTION ALTERNATIVES.

THE JUSTIFICATION FOR ELIMINATION OF THESE ALTERNATIVES FOLLOWS.

REVERSE OSMOSIS: THIS ALTERNATIVE WAS ELIMINATED FOR THE TREATMENT OF MINE TUNNEL DISCHARGES FROM CLEAR CREEK/CENTRAL CITY STUDY AREA FOR THE FOLLOWING REASONS:

- THE MAJORITY OF DISSOLVED IRON IN THE ARGO, BIG FIVE, AND NATIONAL DISCHARGES ARE IN THE FERRIC FORM. MAINTENANCE COSTS IN PROVIDING AN ADEQUATE PRODUCT FLOW RATE ARE EXPECTED TO BE EXTREME DUE TO MEMBRANE FOULING.
- SULFATE CONCENTRATIONS IN THE MINE TUNNEL DISCHARGES ARE EXPECTED TO RESULT IN SIGNIFICANT OPERATIONAL PROBLEMS FROM THE FORMATION OF CALCIUM SULFATES ON THE MEMBRANES.
- ADDITIONAL TREATMENT OR DISPOSAL FACILITIES WILL HAVE TO BE CONSTRUCTED TO PROVIDE FOR DISPOSAL OF THE BRINE, ESTIMATED TO BE UP TO 25 PERCENT OF THE INFLUENT.

ELECTRODIALYSIS: ELECTRODIALYSIS HAS DEMONSTRATED ONLY MARGINAL DISSOLVED SOLIDS REMOVAL. THE MOST EFFICIENT REMOVAL OCCURS AT HIGH TEMPERATURES WITH A 1 PERCENT REMOVAL PER DEGREE FAHRENHEIT INCREASE IN TEMPERATURE. INCREASES IN TEMPERATURE COMPOUND THE PROBLEM OF SCALING ON THE MEMBRANES. POWER CONSUMPTION ESTIMATES ARE 0.2 TO 0.4 kWH PER 100 MG/L DISSOLVED SOLIDS PER 1,000 GALLONS TREATED PLUS AN ADDITIONAL 3 TO 3.5 kWH/1,000 GALLONS TREATED FOR PUMPING AND BRINE HANDLING. POWER COST ESTIMATES, BASED ON 3,000 MG/L OF DISSOLVED SOLIDS AND \$0.06/kWH TRANSLATE INTO \$0.09/1,000 GALLONS. BRINE VOLUMES WILL BE IN THE RANGE OF 15 TO 25 PERCENT OF THE INFLUENT FLOW AND WILL REQUIRE ADDITIONAL TREATMENT BY NEUTRALIZATION AND PRECIPITATION AND SLUDGE DISPOSAL. ELECTRODIALYSIS WILL NOT BE CONSIDERED FURTHER BECAUSE THE TECHNOLOGY IS ONLY MARGINALLY FEASIBLE AND IS NOT COST-EFFECTIVE FOR THIS APPLICATION.

<u>ION EXCHANGE</u>: THIS ALTERNATIVE WAS ELIMINATED AS THE PRIMARY TREATMENT PROCESS FOR THE TREATMENT OF MINE TUNNEL DISCHARGES FOR THE FOLLOWING REASONS:

- THE ION EXCHANGE PROCESS GENERATES A WASTE STREAM THAT MAY BE AS HIGH AS 25 PERCENT OF DAILY INFLUENT TREATED. ANCILLARY TREATMENT FACILITIES FOR THESE WASTES PRESENT SIGNIFICANT ADDITIONAL COSTS.
- ALTHOUGH THE SUCCESS OF THE MODIFIED DESAL AND TWO RESIN PROCESSES IN REMOVING THE REDUCED FORM OF SOLUBLE METAL SPECIES HAS BEEN DEMONSTRATED, DISCHARGES FROM THE ARGO TUNNEL CONTAIN SIGNIFICANT FERRIC IRON WHICH IS EXPECTED TO CLOG THE WEAK BASE RESINS REQUIRING EXTENSIVE REGENERATION AND EVENTUALLY RENDERING THEM INEFFECTIVE AS A FORM OF TREATMENT.
- COST COMPARISON ON A DOLLAR/1,000 GALLONS TREATED BASIS SHOWS THAT COST RANGES FOR ION EXCHANGE (\$1.00-\$7.00/1,000 GALLONS, 1977 DOLLARS) EXCEEDS THAT OF CONVENTIONAL NEUTRALIZATION/PRECIPITATION/CLARIFICATION (\$0.20-\$1.30/1,000 GALLONS, 1977 DOLLARS) WITHOUT REALIZING SIGNIFICANT ADDITIONAL BENEFITS IN METALS REDUCTION.
- BOTH THE MODIFIED DESAL AND TWO RESIN PROCESSES REQUIRE TREATMENT OF COLUMN EFFLUENTS FOR THE PRECIPITATION AND REMOVAL OF METALS. ADDITIONAL TREATMENT OF WASTE BACKWASHES IS ALSO REQUIRED. BOTH TREATMENT PROCESSES WILL GENERATE SLUDGES THAT REQUIRE DEWATERING AND DISPOSAL.

ION EXCHANGE WILL BE CONSIDERED AS A TERTIARY TREATMENT PROCESS TO REMOVE TRACE METALS.

FREEZING: THIS TECHNOLOGY IS CONSIDERED TECHNICALLY UNFEASIBLE. A LITERATURE REVIEW SHOWS THAT FREEZING HAS BEEN EFFECTIVE AND ECONOMICAL IN RECOVERING CADMIUM AND HEXAVALENT CHROMIUM. HOWEVER, INITIAL CONCENTRATIONS WERE 100 MG/L. FREEZING HAS NOT BEEN SHOWN TO BE TECHNICALLY FEASIBLE OR COST-EFFECTIVE FOR REMOVING OTHER HEAVY METALS, ESPECIALLY ON A LARGE SCALE.

<u>DISTILLATION</u>: OPERATING COSTS ARE SIGNIFICANTLY HIGHER THAN REVERSE OSMOSIS, ELECTRODIALYSIS, OR ION EXCHANGE. BECAUSE OF ATTENDANT HIGH OPERATING COSTS, THIS ALTERNATIVE WAS EXCLUDED FROM

FURTHER ANALYSIS.

RECYCLING BACTERIAL WASTE: THIS TECHNOLOGY WOULD NOT BE TECHNICALLY APPLICABLE FOR THE CLEAR CREEK/CENTRAL CITY STUDY AREA BECAUSE:

- MINE TUNNEL DISCHARGE AND INHIBITORS WOULD HAVE TO BE INJECTED AT ALL SURFACE RECHARGE POINTS. THIS IS NOT TECHNICALLY FEASIBLE CONSIDERING THE LENGTH OF MAIN TUNNELS AND CONNECTING TUNNELS.
- MINE TUNNEL DISCHARGES WOULD HAVE TO BE CONTINUOUSLY RECYCLED TO UPSTREAM SURFACE RECHARGE POINTS UNTIL BACTERIAL GROWTH WAS INHIBITED.

EVAPORATION: THIS TECHNOLOGY WOULD NOT BE TECHNICALLY APPLICABLE TO THIS SITE BECAUSE:

- THERE IS INSUFFICIENT LAND AREA FOR CONSTRUCTION OF A RESERVOIR, EXCEPT FOR QUARTZ HILL.
- DEPTH TO WATER TABLE IS MINIMAL AND RESERVOIR SITES WOULD BE IN THE FLOODPLAIN.

DEEP WELL INJECTION: THIS ALTERNATIVE, ALTHOUGH TECHNICALLY FEASIBLE, REQUIRES SIGNIFICANT GEOLOGICAL AND GEOPHYSICAL SUBSURFACE INVESTIGATIVE WORK AND LITERATURE REVIEW IN ORDER TO DETERMINE A SUITABLE INJECTION LOCATION FOR DISPOSAL OF ACID MINE DRAINAGE. DEEP WELL INJECTION MAY LEAD TO AQUIFER CONTAMINATION AND DOES NOT RESULT IN REDUCTION IN THE MOBILITY, VOLUME, OR TOXICITY OF CONTAMINANTS. EVEN AFTER A SUITABLE SITE HAS BEEN IDENTIFIED, COSTS FOR PERMITTING, INJECTION WELL INSTALLATION, AND OPERATION AND MAINTENANCE ARE EXPECTED TO BE EXTREME. (WITH IMPLEMENTATION OF LAND DISPOSAL RESTRICTIONS, UNDER RCRA, THE DISCHARGE MAY HAVE TO BE TREATED PRIOR TO INJECTION. MOREOVER, LONG-TERM VIABILITY OF AN OPERATING INJECTION WELL IS CONSTANTLY IN QUESTION BECAUSE FOR THE POTENTIAL OF FORMATION REJECTION OF INJECTED VOLUMES OR PLUGGING OF THE WELL).

THIS ALTERNATIVE WILL NOT BE ANALYZED FURTHER BECAUSE IT DOES NOT REDUCE TOXICITY, MOBILITY, AND VOLUME OF CONTAMINANTS AND BECAUSE OF THE EXPECTED HIGH COSTS AND UNCERTAINTY INVOLVED WITH USING THIS TECHNOLOGY.

CONTROLLED RELEASE: CONTROLLED RELEASE REQUIRES WATER TO BE STORED FOR RELEASE TO STREAMS DURING HIGH FLOW PERIODS TO TAKE ADVANTAGE OF DILUTION. THIS ALTERNATIVE WOULD NOT BE TECHNICALLY APPLICABLE BECAUSE:

- THERE IS INSUFFICIENT LAND AREA FOR CONSTRUCTION OF A RESERVOIR, EXCEPT FOR QUARTZ HILL.
- DEPTH TO WATER TABLE IS MINIMAL AND RESERVOIR SITES WOULD BE IN THE FLOODPLAIN.
- DISSOLVED AND SUSPENDED METALS WOULD PRECIPITATE WITH SUSPENDED CHARGE AND RELATED PARTICLES INTO BOTTOM SEDIMENTS. RESUSPENSION WOULD STILL BE POSSIBLE.

SOURCE CONTROL ALTERNATIVES: A LITERATURE SEARCH AND REVIEW OF AVAILABLE MINE MAPS WAS CONDUCTED IN ORDER TO INVESTIGATE THE FEASIBILITY OF VARIOUS ALTERNATIVES FOR SOURCE AND DISCHARGE CONTROL OF MINE DISCHARGES FROM THE FIVE TUNNELS. AVAILABLE INFORMATION ON THE HYDROLOGY IN THE CLEAR CREEK/CENTRAL CITY AREA INDICATES THE FOLLOWING:

- THE SOURCE OF DISCHARGE FROM THE TUNNELS IS FROM PERCOLATING GROUND WATER THAT DIRECTLY ENTERS THE MINES THROUGH FRACTURES, INTERSECTING VEINS OR INTERSECTING TUNNELS, SHAFTS, OR CROSS CUTS. THE WATER IN INTERSECTING TUNNELS IS ALSO DUE MAINLY TO GROUND WATER INFLOW.
- ALTHOUGH SOME OF THE MINE DISCHARGE MAY BE RELATED TO RUNOFF, THE MAJORITY OF FLOW IS DUE TO GROUND WATER INFLOW. THIS IS SHOWN BY THE RELATIVELY CONSTANT DISCHARGE FROM THE MINES. IF THE DISCHARGE WAS MOSTLY INFLUENCED BY RUNOFF, WE WOULD EXPECT THE DISCHARGE TO SHOW A MORE PRONOUNCED SEASONAL FLUCTUATION.
- THE SOURCE OR RECHARGE AREA FOR THE GROUND WATER IS MAINLY INFILTRATION OVER A LARGE AREA.

- THE WATER INFILTRATES MAINLY THROUGH FRACTURES AND VEINS AND ACCUMULATES IN THE DRAINAGE TUNNELS. LITTLE OF THE SOURCE IS DUE TO POINT SOURCE CONTRIBUTIONS (SUCH AS THE INTERSECTION OF THE ADITS WITH SURFACE CHANNELS).

GIVEN THIS CURRENT STATE OF INFORMATION, NO ACCURATE PREDICTIONS COULD BE MADE CONCERNING THE FEASIBILITY OF SOURCE CONTROL. THEREFORE, FURTHER INVESTIGATIONS INCLUDING FIELD WORK WILL BE CONDUCTED UNDER OPERABLE UNIT NO. THREE.

DETAILED ANALYSIS OF REMEDIAL ACTION ALTERNATIVES

CONSISTENT WITH SECTION 300.68(H) OF THE NCP, THE OFFICE OF SOLID WASTE AND EMERGENCY RESPONSE (OSWER) DIRECTIVE NO. 9355.0-19, AND THE OSWER DIRECTIVE NO. 9355.0-21, THE REMEDIAL ACTION ALTERNATIVES REMAINING AFTER INITIAL SCREENING WERE FURTHER REFINED AND THEN SUBJECT TO DETAILED ANALYSIS. DETAILED ANALYSIS OF EACH REMEDIAL ACTION ALTERNATIVE ENTAILED EVALUATION BASED ON THE CRITERIA DERIVED FROM THE NCP AND SARA. THESE CRITERIA RELATE DIRECTLY TO FACTORS MANDATED BY SARA IN SECTION 121, INCLUDING SECTION 121(B)(1)(A-G). THE CRITERIA ARE AS FOLLOWS:

- PROTECTION OF HUMAN HEALTH AND THE ENVIRONMENT
- COMPLIANCE WITH LEGALLY APPLICABLE AND/OR RELEVANT AND APPROPRIATE REQUIREMENTS
- REDUCTION OF MOBILITY, TOXICITY, OR VOLUME
- SHORT-TERM EFFECTIVENESS
- LONG-TERM EFFECTIVENESS AND PERMANENCE
- IMPLEMENTABILITY
- COST
- COMMUNITY ACCEPTANCE
- STATE ACCEPTANCE.

THE EVALUATION OF ALTERNATIVES REFLECTS THE MANDATE TO UTILIZE PERMANENT SOLUTIONS AND ALTERNATIVE TREATMENT TECHNOLOGIES TO THE MAXIMUM EXTENT PRACTICABLE, AS SPECIFIED IN SECTION 121 OF SARA. THE ALTERNATIVES SELECTED FOR FURTHER ANALYSES INCLUDED:

- NO ACTION
- PASSIVE TREATMENT
- ACTIVE TREATMENT
- A COMBINATION OF PASSIVE TREATMENT AND ACTIVE TREATMENT.

THE ALTERNATIVES ARE DESCRIBED IN THE FOLLOWING PARAGRAPHS, FOLLOWED BY A COMPARATIVE MATRIX EVALUATION, USING THE ABOVE EVALUATION CRITERIA.

DESCRIPTION OF ALTERNATIVES

NO ACTION ALTERNATIVE: THE NO ACTION ALTERNATIVE ASSUMES THAT THERE WILL BE NO TREATMENT OF MINE TUNNEL DISCHARGE AND THAT APPROXIMATELY 1,200 POUNDS PER DAY OF ALUMINUM, ARSENIC, CADMIUM, CHROMIUM, COPPER, IRON, LEAD, MANGANESE, NICKEL, SILVER, AND ZINC WILL CONTINUE TO BE DISCHARGED INTO CLEAR CREEK AND NORTH CLEAR CREEK. REMEDIAL MEASURES WOULD BE CONSTRUCTED TO LIMIT THE PUBLIC'S EXPOSURE TO THE ACID MINE DRAINAGE, I.E., FENCING, PIPING, ETC.

PASSIVE TREATMENT ALTERNATIVE: PASSIVE TREATMENT IS AN INNOVATIVE TREATMENT TECHNOLOGY THAT INVOLVES CREATION OF AN ARTIFICIAL WETLAND TO EMULATE OR ENHANCE NATURAL METAL ION REMOVAL AND ACIDITY REDUCTION PROCESSES. PASSIVE TREATMENT WAS EVALUATED FOR THE FIVE TUNNEL DISCHARGES BASED UPON AVAILABLE AREA REQUIREMENTS, THE ABILITY OF PASSIVE TREATMENT TO SIGNIFICANTLY REDUCE THE VOLUME, MOBILITY, OR TOXICITY OF CONTAMINANTS, AND ITS ABILITY TO ELIMINATE THE NEED FOR

LONG-TERM MANAGEMENT. A PASSIVE TREATMENT PILOT PLANT HAS BEEN CONSTRUCTED AT THE BIG FIVE TUNNEL TO EVALUATE THE EFFECTIVENESS OF WETLANDS IN REMOVING METALS FROM ACID MINE DRAINAGE. BASED UPON THE RESULTS OF THE PILOT PLANT STUDIES, PASSIVE TREATMENT SYSTEMS WOULD BE BUILT TO TREAT ALL FIVE TUNNEL DISCHARGES. THERE IS SUFFICIENT LAND AREA NEAR EACH TUNNEL TO INSTALL PASSIVE TREATMENT SYSTEMS.

OBSERVATIONS HAVE SHOWN THAT CONCENTRATIONS OF METALS PRESENT IN ACID MINE TUNNEL DISCHARGES ARE REDUCED AS THE WATER FLOWS THROUGH NATURAL BOGS AND WETLANDS. THESE OBSERVATIONS LED TO THE CONCEPT THAT THESE NATURAL SYSTEMS COULD BE DESIGNED AND CONSTRUCTED TO PROVIDE A SELF-SUSTAINING TREATMENT THAT WOULD BE INEXPENSIVE AND REQUIRE MINIMAL MAINTENANCE FOR LONG-TERM OPERATION. THESE "PASSIVE" TREATMENT TECHNIQUES RELY UPON EMULATING OR ENHANCING THE PROCESS OF METAL ION REMOVAL AND REDUCTION OF ACIDITY. IN ORDER TO DESIGN THE NATURAL WETLAND SITUATION, THE CONCEPT WAS EXPANDED TO INCLUDE AUGMENTING OR REPLACING THE PEAT WITH OTHER ORGANIC MATERIALS TO PROVIDE A GROWTH MEDIUM, AND THEN TRANSPLANTING SEVERAL APPROPRIATE SPECIES OF NATIVE VEGETATION TO ENHANCE THE CONTINUAL ADDITION OF ORGANIC MATTER TO THE GROWTH MEDIUM.

INVESTIGATIONS OF INEXPENSIVE, LOW-MAINTENANCE ALTERNATIVE TREATMENT SYSTEMS HAVE BEEN AND ARE CURRENTLY BEING CONDUCTED IN COLORADO AND ELSEWHERE. THESE ALTERNATIVE SYSTEMS RELY UPON NATURAL PROCESSES INCLUDING FILTRATION, CATION EXCHANGE, SORPTION, COPRECIPITATION, COMPLEXATION, AND BIOLOGIC EXTRACTION TO REMOVE METAL IONS, AND AERATION OR THE ADDITION OF LIMESTONE AS A BUFFER TO STABILIZE THE PH. SINCE THE INVESTIGATION INTO THE HEAVY METAL REMOVAL PROCESSES IS A RELATIVELY NEW FIELD OF STUDY, THE REMOVAL MECHANISMS, THE RELATIONSHIPS AMONG THE PROCESS. AND THEIR RELATIVE IMPORTANCE ARE NOT THOROUGHLY UNDERSTOOD.

STUDIES BY THE COLORADO MINE LAND RECLAMATION BOARD, U.S. BUREAU OF MINES, AND VARIOUS UNIVERSITIES ARE CURRENTLY EXPLORING CONTINUAL DISSOLVED/SUSPENDED METAL EXTRACTION USING SYSTEMS THAT CONSIST OF TWO BASIC PHASES. THE FIRST PHASE REMOVES METALS, PRIMARILY IRON, BY EMPLOYING THE NATURAL PROCESSES THAT OCCUR IN SELF-PERPETUATING, ARTIFICIALLY CREATED PEAT BOGS. IN THE SECOND PHASE, CASCADES ARE USED TO EXSOLVE CARBON DIOXIDE, AND COARSE LIMESTONE ROCK IS ADDED TO THE EFFLUENT DISCHARGE CHANNEL TO REDUCE ACIDITY. RESULTS OF THESE STUDIES HAVE AIDED IN THE DEVELOPMENT OF PRELIMINARY DESIGN CONCEPTS FOR LOW MAINTENANCE, PASSIVE TREATMENT SYSTEMS.

CATION EXCHANGE PROCESSES IN PEAT AND SPHAGNUM MOSS (HUMUS) ARE BELIEVED TO BE RESPONSIBLE FOR THE METAL ION REMOVAL. THE CATION EXCHANGE PROPERTIES OF PEAT AND PEAT-FORMING PLANTS ARE ATTRIBUTED TO THE CARBOXYL FUNCTIONAL GROUPS FOUND IN THE HUMIC ACIDS OF PEATS AND THE PECTIC COMPOUNDS IN PLANT CELLULAR TISSUE. PECTIC COMPOUNDS ARE POLYMERS COMPOSED PRIMARILY OF GALACTURONIC ACID. THEY ARE FOUND IN GREATEST ABUNDANCE IN THE MIDDLE LAMELLA BETWEEN THE PLANT TISSUE CELL WALLS.

ANNUAL VEGETATION AND DECAYING PLANTS GENERALLY REMAIN STANDING FOR A PERIOD OF TIME UNTIL SNOW, WIND, OR OTHER PHENOMENA CAUSE THEM TO COLLAPSE. THESE COLLAPSED MATERIALS CONTRIBUTE TO THE FORMATION OF PEAT AND DECOMPOSE SLOWLY UNDER ANAEROBIC OR LOW PH CONDITIONS. METALS ACCUMULATED IN DECAYING LEAVES AND STEMS MAY BE RETAINED IN THE SUBSTRATE IN THE FORMATION OF NEW PEAT, THUS THE PLANTS MAY PERFORM AN IMPORTANT METAL UPTAKE REMOVAL FUNCTION IN THE WETLAND. PARTIALLY DECOMPOSED PLANTS HAVE ALSO BEEN SHOWN TO REMOVE METAL IONS FROM SOLUTION.

PASSIVE TREATMENT SITES REQUIRE PLACEMENT OF A LINER BEFORE PLACEMENT OF ORGANIC MATERIAL. THE LINER WILL BE REQUIRED TO PREVENT GROUND WATER CONTAMINATION. TO STAY WITHIN THE INTENT OF RCRA, GROUNDWATER MONITORING MAY BE NECESSARY.

ACTIVE TREATMENT ALTERNATIVES: ALKALINE PRECIPITATION (BY USING LIME, SODIUM HYDROXIDE, OR SULFIDE) WAS EVALUATED AND IDENTIFIED AS AN ALTERNATIVE THAT WOULD MEET UPSTREAM WATER QUALITY CONCENTRATIONS IN A TREATMENT PLANT DISCHARGE LINE. LABORATORY TREATABILITY STUDIES OF PRECIPITATION TECHNOLOGIES WERE CONDUCTED. LIME PRECIPITATION WAS SELECTED AS THE PREFERRED TECHNOLOGY. ELECTROCHEMICAL PRECIPITATION IS AN INNOVATIVE PROCESS THAT MAY PLAY A ROLE IN ACTIVE TREATMENT. HOWEVER, ADDITIONAL INVESTIGATION WILL BE NECESSARY TO DETERMINE THE APPLICABILITY OF ELECTROCHEMICAL TREATMENT.

PASSIVE TREATMENT AND ACTIVE TREATMENT COMBINATION ALTERNATIVE: A COMBINATION OF PASSIVE AND ACTIVE TREATMENT SYSTEMS WOULD BE CONSTRUCTED TO TREAT MINE TUNNEL DISCHARGE. THE PURPOSE OF COMBINING THE TWO TREATMENT SYSTEMS IS TO REDUCE THE VOLUME OF LIME REQUIRED TO PRECIPITATE METAL HYDROXIDES AND THEREBY ALSO REDUCE THE VOLUME OF SLUDGES THAT OCCUR IN AN ACTIVE TREATMENT

FACILITY. THE REDUCTION IN SLUDGE VOLUME IS ESTIMATED TO BE 45 PERCENT.

COMPARISON OF ALTERNATIVES

LISTED IN TABLE 4, IN MATRIX FORMAT, ARE THE KEY CRITERIA CONSIDERED IN EVALUATING AND COMPARING ALTERNATIVES. THESE ARE SPECIFIED IN J. WINSTON PORTER'S MEMORANDUM "ADDITIONAL INTERIM GUIDANCE FOR FY '87 RECORDS OF DECISION," DATED JULY 21, 1987. THE COST SUMMARY OF ALTERNATIVES IS COMPARED IN TABLE 5.

#RA

VI. SELECTED REMEDY

DESCRIPTION OF SELECTED REMEDY

THE SELECTED REMEDY FOR OPERABLE UNIT NO. ONE OF THE CLEAR CREEK/CENTRAL CITY SITE CONSISTS OF CONSTRUCTION OF PASSIVE TREATMENT SYSTEMS TO TREAT MINE TUNNEL DISCHARGES PRIOR TO DISCHARGE TO SURFACE WATERS. THIS IS THE PREFERRED ALTERNATIVE AND IS CONTINGENT UPON THE RESULTS OF ON-GOING PILOT PLANT STUDIES DEMONSTRATING THAT UPSTREAM WATER QUALITY CONCENTRATIONS CAN BE ACHIEVED BY A PASSIVE TREATMENT SYSTEM. IF THE UPSTREAM WATER QUALITY CONCENTRATIONS CANNOT BE ACHIEVED BY PASSIVE TREATMENT, THEN EITHER OF THE FOLLOWING TREATMENT SYSTEMS WILL BE BUILT:

- A COMBINATION SYSTEM CONSISTING OF PASSIVE AND ACTIVE TREATMENT WILL BE CONSTRUCTED. A PHASED APPROACH TO CONSTRUCTION WILL BE UTILIZED.
- TWO ACTIVE TREATMENT SYSTEMS (CHEMICAL PRECIPITATION OR ELECTROCHEMICAL PRECIPITATION)
 WILL BE CONSTRUCTED TO TREAT MINE TUNNEL DISCHARGES PRIOR TO DISCHARGE TO SURFACE
 WATERS.

THE SELECTED REMEDY FOR TREATING MINE TUNNEL DISCHARGES IS COST-EFFECTIVE AND IS PROTECTIVE OF HUMAN HEALTH AND THE ENVIRONMENT. A PILOT TREATMENT PLANT HAS BEEN CONSTRUCTED AT THE BIG FIVE TUNNEL IN ORDER TO GATHER DESIGN DATA AND DETERMINE THE EXTENT OF TREATMENT ATTAINABLE FROM PASSIVE TREATMENT SYSTEMS.

TARGET TREATMENT LEVELS FOR THE INTERIM REMEDY IN THE TREATMENT SYSTEM DISCHARGE PIPE ARE UPSTREAM WATER QUALITY CONCENTRATIONS. THESE ARE MORE STRINGENT THAN COLORADO EFFLUENT LIMITATIONS (TABLE 6).

DISPOSAL OF METAL LADEN ORGANIC MATERIAL FROM PASSIVE TREATMENT SYSTEMS

THE MATERIAL PROCESSES OF SENESCENCE AND DEATH OF VEGETATION WILL PROVIDE ADDITIONAL ORGANIC MATTER (HUMUS) ANNUALLY TO REMOVE METALS FROM THE DISCHARGE. THIS ORGANIC REPLENISHMENT IS ESTIMATED TO BE SUFFICIENT TO INCREASE METALS UPTAKE CAPACITY SO THAT REMOVAL AND REPLACEMENT OF THE ORGANIC MATTER IN THE TREATMENT SYSTEMS IS PLANNED (AND INCLUDED IN THE COSTS) EVERY SEVEN YEARS. THE ORGANIC MATTER WILL CONTAIN METALS THAT WERE REMOVED FROM THE MINE TUNNEL DISCHARGES. FOR DISPOSAL CONSIDERATIONS, THE METALS OF CONCERN ARE ARSENIC, CADMIUM, CHROMIUM, LEAD, AND SILVER. THE ACCUMULATION OF THESE METALS COULD RESULT IN A MATERIAL THAT MAY BE A CHARACTERISTIC WASTE UNDER RCRA DUE TO METALS WHICH EXCEED THE EP TOXICITY LEVELS. IN ORDER TO DETERMINE IF THE MATERIALS ARE EP TOXIC, THE MATERIALS FROM THE PILOT PLANT WILL BE EVALUATED USING BOTH THE EP (EXTRACT PROCEDURE) TOXICITY TEST AND THE TCLP (TOXICITY CHARACTERISTIC LEACHING PROCEDURE) TESTS. IF THE LEACHATE CONCENTRATIONS EXCEED STANDARDS, TREATMENT WITH APPROPRIATE FIXATION AGENTS WILL BE PERFORMED PRIOR TO DISPOSAL IN A MUNICIPAL LANDFILL. BECAUSE THE MATERIALS ARE CHARACTERISTIC WASTES, THE METALS WILL BE MADE NON-HAZARDOUS BY REDUCING THE LEACHABILITY OF THE METALS BELOW EP AND TCLP LEVELS. THIS REDUCTION IS ACHIEVABLE BY USING VARIOUS AGENTS (CEMENT, KILN DUST, FLY ASH, ETC.) WHICH FIX THE METALS IN A LESS LEACHABLE FORM.

CURRENT KNOWLEDGE INDICATES THAT PASSIVE TREATMENT SYSTEMS HAVE TWO REMOVAL ZONES. AN AEROBIC ZONE REMOVES METAL OXYHYDROXIDES AND AN ANAEROBIC ZONE REMOVES METALS IN THE SULFIDE FORM. THE AEROBIC ZONE MAY ONLY BE 1 TO 3 INCHES DEEP AND THE ANAEROBIC ZONE MAY BE UP TO 3 FEET DEEP.

SULFIDES IN THE ANAEROBIC ZONE WILL NOT RESOLUBILIZE. AS VEGETATION DIES AND DECAYS AND THE WETLANDS INCREASE IN VOLUME, METAL IONS MAY CHANGE FROM THE HYDROXIDE TO THE SULFIDE FORM. IF THIS PROCESS DOES OCCUR, THEN PERIODIC REMOVAL OF METAL LADEN ORGANIC MATERIAL FROM THE PASSIVE TREATMENT SYSTEMS MAY NOT BE REQUIRED.

THE PASSIVE TREATMENT PILOT PLANT THAT HAS BEEN CONSTRUCTED AT THE BIG FIVE TUNNEL WILL BE USED TO STUDY THE CATION EXCHANGE PROCESS AND TO DETERMINE THE DEPTH OF THE AEROBIC AND ANAEROBIC ZONES. THE RESULTS OF THE PILOT PLANT INVESTIGATION WILL DETERMINE THE DEPTH AND FREQUENCY OF REMOVAL OF METAL LADEN ORGANIC MATERIAL FROM THE PASSIVE TREATMENT SYSTEMS.

AS PART OF THE COST ESTIMATE, REMOVAL AND FIXATION OF THE ORGANIC MATERIAL HAS BEEN ASSUMED TO OCCUR EVERY SEVEN YEARS. BECAUSE THE MATERIALS ARE NON-HAZARDOUS, THE MATERIALS CAN BE DISPOSED IN MUNICIPAL LANDFILLS. HOWEVER, AS AN ADDED PRECAUTION, DISPOSAL COSTS WERE ESTIMATED FOR CONTAINMENT IN LINED CELLS WITHIN A FLY ASH DISPOSAL AREA. A SITE IN DENVER, IS CURRENTLY APPROVED BY THE STATE OF COLORADO FOR DISPOSAL OF METAL FIXED WASTES.

THE DISPOSAL ESTIMATE IS BASED ON REMOVAL AND FIXATION OF 6,500 CUBIC YARDS OF MATERIAL EVERY SEVEN YEARS AT \$50 PER CUBIC YARD. THIS ASSUMES THAT THE UPPER 1 FOOT OF MATERIAL WILL BE REMOVED FROM 175,000 SQUARE FEET OF PASSIVE TREATMENT SYSTEMS AND REPLACED WITH CLEAN ORGANIC MATERIAL AT \$10 PER CUBIC YARD (1987 DOLLARS).

DISPOSAL OF LIME TREATED SLUDGE FROM ACTIVE TREATMENT PLANTS

TREATABILITY STUDIES OF MINE TUNNEL DISCHARGES SHOWED THAT LIME TREATED METAL HYDROXIDE SLUDGES PASS BOTH THE EP AND TCLP TESTS AND CAN BE DISPOSED IN MUNICIPAL LANDFILLS.

EFFECTS OF PASSIVE TREATMENT SYSTEM CONSTRUCTION ON WILDLIFE

CONSTRUCTION OF PASSIVE TREATMENT SYSTEMS WILL RESULT IN CREATION OF FOOD AND COVER SOURCES FOR TERRESTRIAL AND AQUATIC WILDLIFE. USE OF THESE AREAS WILL BE DEPENDENT UPON THE EXTENT OF OPEN WATER HABITAT CREATED AND THE PROXIMITY OF THESE AREAS TO OTHER SUITABLE HABITATS WHICH COULD ATTRACT WILDLIFE, THEREBY ENHANCING THE POTENTIAL FOR USE OF PASSIVE TREATMENT AREAS. WATERFOWL (PARTICULARLY SPECIES OF ANATID DUCKS, WHICH ARE RESIDENTS OF THE AREA), SONGBIRDS WHICH REQUIRE WETLAND, OR RIPARIAN AREAS AS BREEDING HABITAT, AND HERBIVOROUS VERTEBRATES ARE AMONG THE SPECIES MOST LIKELY TO BE AFFECTED BY WETLAND CONSTRUCTION. CREATION OF WETLAND HABITATS 1,200 TO 80,000 SQUARE FEET IN EXTENT WILL NOT HAVE A SIGNIFICANT REGIONAL EFFECT ON HABITAT CARRYING CAPACITY OR ON POPULATION LEVELS OF WILDLIFE. LOCAL CONCENTRATIONS OF SOME SPECIES CAN BE EXPECTED TO OCCUR WHERE WETLANDS ARE CREATED IN CLOSE PROXIMITY TO RIVERINE, RIPARIAN, OR WETLAND AREAS WHICH CURRENTLY RECEIVE USE.

ALTHOUGH THE POTENTIAL EXISTS FOR BIOCONCENTRATION OF SOME METALS IN WETLAND PLANTS WHICH MAY BE USED AS WILDLIFE FORAGE, BIOCONCENTRATION AND SUBSEQUENT BIOACCUMULATION OF METALS IN VERTEBRATE AND INVERTEBRATE WILDLIFE WILL BE DEPENDENT UPON A VARIETY OF SITE-SPECIFIC PHYSICAL, CHEMICAL, AND ECOLOGICAL FACTORS. THESE FACTORS MAY INCLUDE: THE FORM OR CHEMICAL SPECIES OF METALS PRESENT; THE AMOUNT OF CONTAMINANTS PRESENT (AND VARIATION OF THESE AMOUNTS THROUGHOUT WETLAND AREAS AND OVER TIME); THE AVAILABILITY OF POLLUTANTS TO ORGANISMS CAPABLE OF UPTAKE; AND THE ECOLOGICAL SIGNIFICANCE OF PASSIVE TREATMENT AREAS AS SOURCES OF FOOD FOR ANY ORGANISM, CONSIDERING THE PROPORTION OF ITS HOME RANGE WHICH ANY TREATMENT AREA REPRESENTS. IN GENERAL, THE BIOLOGICAL SIGNIFICANCE OF METALLIC CONTAMINANTS IN WILDLIFE FOOD CHAINS AT THESE PASSIVE TREATMENT SITES IS EXPECTED TO BE NEGLIGIBLE DUE TO ADSORPTION AND COMPLEXATION PROCESSES WITHIN DETRITAL MATERIALS OR SEDIMENTS WHICH ARE EXPECTED TO LIMIT BIOCONCENTRATION IN FORAGE AND INVERTEBRATE PREY ORGANISMS. IN ADDITION, WILDLIFE ACCESS TO THESE SITES WILL BE CONTROLLED BY FENCING TO LIMIT EXPOSURE. WHERE FEASIBLE, STROBE LIGHTS WILL BE INSTALLED TO LIMIT WILDFOWL ACCESS.

#OM

CAPITAL AND OPERATION AND MAINTENANCE COSTS (O&M)

ESTIMATED CAPITAL COSTS, O&M COSTS, AND PRESENT WORTH COSTS ARE LISTED IN TABLE 5.

#SCH

SCHEDULE

THE FOLLOWING SCHEDULE IS PLANNED FOR THIS PROJECT:

APPROVE REMEDIAL ACTION (SIGN ROD)

SEPTEMBER, 1987

INITIATE DESIGN

COMPLETE DESIGN

JUNE, 1989

INITIATE CONSTRUCTION

JULY, 1989.

#OEL

STATUTORY DETERMINATIONS

PROTECTIVENESS: THE PUBLIC HEALTH EVALUATION SHOWED THAT THERE IS NO IMMEDIATE DANGER TO PUBLIC HEALTH FROM MINE TUNNEL DISCHARGE AT PRESENT FLOW RATES BECAUSE OF DILUTION FROM FLOWS IN CLEAR CREEK. ALSO, THE CITIES OF IDAHO SPRINGS, BLACKHAWK AND CENTRAL CITY HAVE MUNICIPAL WATER SUPPLY SYSTEMS THAT MEET MCLS. HOWEVER, MINE TUNNEL DISCHARGES HAVE SEVERELY IMPACTED WATER QUALITY FOR AQUATIC LIFE AND AQUATIC HABITAT HAS BEEN DESTROYED. CONSTRUCTION OF TREATMENT SYSTEMS WILL IMPROVE WATER QUALITY AND ENHANCE AQUATIC LIFE.

CONSISTENCY WITH OTHER ENVIRONMENTAL REQUIREMENTS: SECTION 121(D)(1) OF SARA REQUIRES THAT SELECTED REMEDIAL ACTIONS ATTAIN A DEGREE OF CLEANUP OF HAZARDOUS SUBSTANCES RELEASED INTO THE ENVIRONMENT AND CONTROL OF FURTHER RELEASE AT A MINIMUM WHICH ASSURES PROTECTION OF HUMAN HEALTH AND THE ENVIRONMENT. SECTION 121(D)(2) OF SARA STATES THAT REMEDIAL ACTIONS SHALL REQUIRE A LEVEL OR STANDARD OF CONTROL WHICH AT LEAST ATTAINS LEGALLY APPLICABLE AND/OR RELEVANT AND APPROPRIATE STANDARDS, LIMITATIONS, CRITERIA, AND REQUIREMENTS OF FEDERAL ENVIRONMENTAL LAWS, AND APPLICABLE AND/OR RELEVANT AND APPROPRIATE PROMULGATED REQUIREMENTS UNDER STATE ENVIRONMENTAL OR SITING LAWS THAT ARE MORE STRINGENT THAN FEDERAL REQUIREMENTS. THE ARARS ANALYSIS IS INCLUDED IN APPENDIX B.

THE FEASIBILITY STUDY FOR THIS OPERABLE UNIT IDENTIFIED A RANGE OF POTENTIAL ARARS, INCLUDING MCLS, AND AWQC ESTABLISHED UNDER THE CLEAN WATER ACT. AFTER CONSIDERATION OF PUBLIC COMMENTS, THE AGENCY HAS DETERMINED THAT THE CONTAMINANT SPECIFIC APPLICABLE AND/OR RELEVANT AND APPROPRIATE REQUIREMENTS FOR THIS OPERABLE UNIT ARE THE MAXIMUM CONTAMINANT LEVELS (MCLS) ESTABLISHED UNDER THE SAFE DRINKING WATER ACT (SDWA) FOR HAZARDOUS SUBSTANCES, POLLUTANTS, OR CONTAMINANTS IDENTIFIED IN MINE DISCHARGE IN THE CLEAR CREEK/CENTRAL CITY SITE, AMBIENT WATER QUALITY CRITERIA (AWQCS) ESTABLISHED UNDER THE CLEAN WATER ACT FOR PROTECTION OF AQUATIC LIFE AND HUMAN HEALTH, AND STATE CONTAMINANT-SPECIFIC ARARS.

THE INTERIM REMEDY WILL MEET UPSTREAM WATER QUALITY CONCENTRATIONS FOR TREATING MINE TUNNEL DISCHARGES. THE REMEDY IS AN INTERIM SOLUTION FOR THE OVERALL CLEAR CREEK/CENTRAL CITY SITE REQUIRING THE EXERCISE OF THE "INTERIM REMEDY" WAIVER FROM CONTAMINANT-SPECIFIC ARARS (SECTION 121(D)(4) OF SARA). THE UPSTREAM WATER QUALITY CONCENTRATIONS WILL BE USED AS OPERATIONAL STANDARDS FOR THIS INTERIM REMEDY. THE UPSTREAM WATER QUALITY CONCENTRATIONS ("UPSTREAM LEVELS") CONSIST OF THE GEOMETRIC MEAN OF THE SUBSET OF RI SAMPLES TAKEN ON CLEAR CREEK IMMEDIATELY UPSTREAM OF THE DISCHARGE FROM THE BIG FIVE TUNNEL AND ON NORTH CLEAR CREEK IMMEDIATELY UPSTREAM OF THE DISCHARGE FROM THE GREGORY INCLINE. THESE UPSTREAM LEVELS ARE NOT TO BE CONSIDERED AS FINAL APPLICABLE AND/OR RELEVANT AND APPROPRIATE REQUIREMENTS FOR THE FINAL SITE REMEDY. CLEANUP OF CLEAR CREEK AND NORTH CLEAR CREEK TO MEET CONTAMINANT SPECIFIC ARARS IS DEPENDENT ON FURTHER REMEDIAL ACTION TO BE UNDERTAKEN IN FUTURE OPERABLE UNITS. FUTURE OPERABLE UNITS ARE EXPECTED TO BE COMPLETED WITHIN 18 MONTHS, AT WHICH TIME A FINAL SOLUTION WILL BE PROPOSED. THE INTERIM REMEDY IS CONSISTENT WITH THE FINAL SITE REMEDY.

IN ACCORDANCE WITH SARA SECTION 121(D)(2)(A(II)), EPA INTENDS THAT THE FINAL REMEDY WILL AT LEAST ATTAIN WATER QUALITY CRITERIA ESTABLISHED UNDER THE CLEAN WATER ACT, WHERE SUCH CRITERIA ARE RELEVANT AND APPROPRIATE UNDER THE CIRCUMSTANCES OF THE RELEASE. ADDITIONAL DATA COLLECTION AND ANALYSIS ARE NECESSARY FOR EPA TO DETERMINE WHETHER SUCH NATIONAL CRITERIA ARE RELEVANT AND APPROPRIATE UNDER THE CIRCUMSTANCES OF THESE RELEASES OR WHETHER SITE-SPECIFIC MODIFICATION TO NATIONAL CRITERIA WOULD MORE APPROPRIATELY ESTABLISH A CLEAN-UP GOAL FOR THIS SITE. EPA NEEDS TO CONSIDER SUCH CIRCUMSTANCES AS AMBIENT BACKGROUND LEVELS, STREAM HABITAT, UPSTREAM CONTAMINANT CONTRIBUTIONS AND NON-POINT SOURCE CONTRIBUTIONS IN MAKING A DETERMINATION. THIS INTERIM REMEDY WILL PROVIDE SUBSTANTIAL PROTECTION OF HUMAN HEALTH AND THE ENVIRONMENT, WHILE PROVIDING THE TIME NECESSARY FOR EPA TO MAKE THIS DETERMINATION. UNTIL SUCH TIME THAT IT IS DETERMINED THAT SITE SPECIFIC MODIFICATION TO INDIVIDUAL CONTAMINANT CRITERIA ARE NECESSARY, EPA WILL CONSIDER THE MORE STRINGENT OF HUMAN HEALTH OR AQUATIC LIFE AMBIENT WATER QUALITY CRITERIA (AWQCS) AS AN ARAR FOR THE FINAL REMEDY.

LOCATION SPECIFIC AND ACTION SPECIFIC ARARS WILL BE MET. LAND DISPOSAL CRITERIA ESTABLISHED UNDER 40 CFR SECTION 268 ARE APPLICABLE FOR DISPOSAL OF METAL LADEN ORGANIC MATERIAL. NEW REQUIREMENTS ESTABLISHED FOR MINING WASTES UNDER SUBTITLE D OF RCRA WILL BE APPLICABLE. ALSO, PORTIONS OF THE SITE ARE WITHIN HISTORICAL DISTRICTS AND CRITERIA ESTABLISHED UNDER THE NATIONAL HISTORIC PRESERVATION ACT ARE APPLICABLE (36 CFR SECTION 800).

THE STATE OF COLORADO PROVIDED EPA WITH A LIST OF APPLICABLE AND/OR RELEVANT AND APPROPRIATE STATE STANDARDS, REQUIREMENTS, LIMITATIONS, OR CRITERIA ("STATE REQUIREMENTS") FOR THIS OPERABLE UNIT ON MAY 14, 1987. THE STATE AMENDED ITS LIST ON JULY 27, 1987 TO ADD TWO ADDITIONAL REQUIREMENTS. EPA HAS REVIEWED THE PROPOSED STATE REQUIREMENTS UNDER THE CRITERIA SET FORTH IN SECTION 121(D) OF CERCLA, AND DETERMINED THAT CERTAIN PROVISIONS WITHIN THE STATE REQUIREMENTS GENERALLY ARE APPLICABLE OR RELEVANT AND APPROPRIATE (SEE APPENDIX B).

COST EFFECTIVENESS AND UTILIZATION OF PERMANENT SOLUTIONS AND ALTERNATIVE TREATMENT TECHNOLOGIES TO THE MAXIMUM EXTENT POSSIBLE: THE PRINCIPAL THREATS POSED BY MINE TUNNEL DISCHARGES ARE METALS CONTAMINATION OF SURFACE WATER, GROUND WATER, AND SEDIMENTS. PASSIVE TREATMENT IS AN INNOVATIVE TECHNOLOGY THAT IS EXPECTED TO REDUCE DISSOLVED AND SUSPENDED METAL LOADING BY AT LEAST 50 TO 90 PERCENT IN THE DISCHARGE, DEPENDING ON THE METAL. IF PASSIVE TREATMENT DOES NOT MEET UPSTREAM WATER QUALITY CONCENTRATIONS, THEN EITHER ACTIVE TREATMENT OR A COMBINATION OF ACTIVE TREATMENT AND PASSIVE TREATMENT WILL BE IMPLEMENTED.

THE INSTALLATION OF EITHER OF THESE TREATMENT SYSTEMS MEETS THE STATUTORY PREFERENCE FOR PERMANENT SOLUTIONS THAT REDUCE THE MOBILITY, TOXICITY, OR VOLUME OF METALS IN THE DISCHARGE.

#RS

APPENDIX A

RESPONSIVENESS SUMMARY OPERABLE UNIT NO. 1 OF THE CLEAR CREEK/CENTRAL CITY SITE CLEAR CREEK AND GILPIN COUNTIES, COLORADO

SEPTEMBER 1987

THIS COMMUNITY RELATIONS RESPONSIVENESS SUMMARY FOR OPERABLE UNIT NO. 1 OF THE CLEAR CREEK/CENTRAL CITY SITE WAS PREPARED BY THE U.S. ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY (EPA) TO DESCRIBE THE ISSUES RAISED BY RESIDENTS OF CLEAR CREEK AND GILPIN COUNTIES REGARDING EPA'S ACTIVITIES IN THE AREA AND TO SUMMARIZE EPA'S RESPONSES TO THOSE ISSUES. EPA IS CONDUCTING A REMEDIAL INVESTIGATION AND FEASIBILITY STUDY (RI/FS) AT THE SITE TO DETERMINE THE NATURE AND EXTENT OF CONTAMINATION THAT MAY HAVE RESULTED FROM HISTORIC MINING ACTIVITIES IN THE AREA, AND TO DEVELOP WAYS OF REMEDIATING ANY CONTAMINATION FOUND.

A RESPONSIVENESS SUMMARY IS REQUIRED UNDER SUPERFUND LAW TO DOCUMENT PUBLIC CONCERNS ABOUT PROPOSED REMEDIAL ACTIONS AND EPA'S RESPONSES TO THOSE CONCERNS. THIS RESPONSIVENESS SUMMARY SUMMARIZES PUBLIC COMMENTS FOR THE PERIOD THAT BEGAN WITH THE INITIATION OF THE REMEDIAL INVESTIGATION (RI) OF THE SITE IN APRIL 1985, THROUGH THE PUBLIC COMMENT PERIOD ON THE OPERABLE UNIT NO. 1 FS REPORT THAT CLOSED ON JULY 7, 1987. EPA ACTIVITIES, HOWEVER, ARE ALWAYS OPEN TO PUBLIC REVIEW, AND THIS RESPONSIVENESS SUMMARY REFLECTS COMMENTS RECEIVED THROUGH SEPTEMBER 25, 1987. THIS REPORT IS DIVIDED INTO THE FOLLOWING SECTIONS:

- SECTION I. INTRODUCTION AND BACKGROUND. THIS SECTION PROVIDES A BRIEF INTRODUCTION TO THE SITE AND EPA'S PREFERRED ALTERNATIVES FOR REMEDIAL ACTION.
- SECTION II. THE COMMUNITY RELATIONS PROGRAM AT THE CLEAR CREEK/CENTRAL CITY SITE. THIS SECTION PROVIDES A BRIEF HISTORY OF COMMUNITY RELATIONS ACTIVITIES CONDUCTED BY EPA DURING THE RI/FS AT THE SITE.
- SECTION III. SUMMARY OF PUBLIC COMMENTS RECEIVED AND EPA'S RESPONSES. THIS SECTION
 SUMMARIZES COMMENTS RECEIVED BY EPA ON OPERABLE UNIT NO. 1, CATEGORIZED AS
 FOLLOWS:
 - COMMENTS AND EPA'S RESPONSES. COMMENTS RECEIVED FROM INCEPTION OF THE RI/FS ON MINE DRAINAGE THROUGH LATE SEPTEMBER 1987 AND EPA'S RESPONSES TO THOSE COMMENTS; AND
 - REMAINING COMMENTS. COMMENTS RECEIVED FOR WHICH EPA WILL PROVIDE MORE COMPLETE ANSWERS AFTER FURTHER STUDY.

I. INTRODUCTION AND BACKGROUND

SINCE FEBRUARY 1985, EPA HAS BEEN INVESTIGATING PUBLIC HEALTH AND ENVIRONMENTAL RISKS POSED BY METALS IN MINE DRAINAGES AS A PART OF THE RI/FS AT THE CLEAR CREEK/CENTRAL CITY SITE IN GILPIN AND CLEAR CREEK COUNTIES. THERE HAS BEEN ONE RI AT THE SITE; THE FS HAS BEEN DIVIDED INTO OPERABLE UNITS.

THE PROPOSED PLAN THAT EPA OFFERED FOR PUBLIC COMMENT IN JULY 1987 INCLUDED PASSIVE TREATMENT OF MINE DRAINAGE WITH THE POSSIBLE INCLUSION OF ADDITIONAL ACTIVE TREATMENT LATER IF THE NEED IS IDENTIFIED. AFTER CONSIDERING ALL THE TECHNICAL FACTORS AND PUBLIC COMMENTS, EPA DETERMINED THAT THE APPROPRIATE REMEDY SHOULD INCLUDE BOTH PASSIVE AND ACTIVE TREATMENT.

COMMUNITY RESPONSE TO THE PROPOSED PLAN HAS BEEN GUARDED. RESIDENTS EXPRESSED MISGIVINGS ABOUT THE NEED TO SOLVE A PROBLEM THEY FEEL DOES NOT EXIST -- MANY AREA RESIDENTS WOULD PREFER TO SEE THE FEDERAL GOVERNMENT SPEND MONEY TO REVITALIZE THE ECONOMY BY HELPING TO REOPEN MINING, RATHER THAN TO CLEAN UP MINE WASTES THAT HAVE NOT IN THEIR MINDS PRESENTED A DISCERNIBLE THREAT.

THREE OTHER ALTERNATIVES EPA OFFERED FOR COMMENT ARE DESCRIBED BELOW.

- NO ACTION WOULD INVOLVE ONLY FENCING AREAS WHERE MINE DRAINAGE IS ACCESSIBLE TO THE PUBLIC OR ENCLOSING THE DRAINAGE IN PIPES. NO OTHER TREATMENT PROGRAM WOULD BE INITIATED.
- ACTIVE DISCHARGE TREATMENT WOULD INVOLVE THE USE OF LIME TO REMOVE THE METALS FROM CONTAMINATED DISCHARGES. SLUDGE WOULD BE PRODUCED AS A BY-PRODUCT THAT WOULD HAVE TO BE DISPOSED. SOME ACTIVE TREATMENT MAY BE CONSIDERED LATER FOR THE REMAINING CONTAMINANTS THAT PASSIVE TREATMENT DOES NOT REMOVE.
- SOURCE CONTROL WOULD INVOLVE CONTROLLING THE DISCHARGES BY SEALING THEM IN THE MINES OR LINING STREAMS THAT FEED THE MINES TO PREVENT ADDITIONAL WATER FROM SEEPING IN.

SEVERAL ACTIVITIES WITH HIGH VISIBILITY IN THE COMMUNITY HAVE BEEN UNDERTAKEN DURING THE STUDIES THUS FAR. THEY ARE DESCRIBED BELOW.

- GREGORY TAILINGS: A REMOVAL ACTION WAS INITIATED BY EPA AT THE GREGORY INCLINE AND TAILINGS IN MARCH 1987 TO PROTECT THE PUBLIC FROM HAZARDS ASSOCIATED WITH THE POSSIBLE COLLAPSE OF THE TAILINGS INTO NORTH CLEAR CREEK. EPA WAS CONCERNED THAT COLLAPSE OF THE TAILINGS WOULD WASH A LARGE LOAD OF METALS DOWNSTREAM INTO CLEAR CREEK, CONTAMINATE THE GOLDEN MUNICIPAL WATER SUPPLY THAT IS DRAWN FROM CLEAR CREEK, AND RESULT IN A MASSIVE FISH KILL. EPA ALSO WAS CONCERNED THAT A COLLAPSE COULD CAUSE SHORT-TERM FLOODING IN THE BLACK HAWK AREA.

TO PROTECT THE PUBLIC AND THE ENVIRONMENT FROM THESE HAZARDS, EPA DECREASED THE SLOPE OF THE TAILINGS PILE TO STABILIZE IT AND CONSTRUCTED A TEMPORARY RETAINING WALL. EPA ORIGINALLY PLANNED TO IMPLEMENT A REMEDY AT THE GREGORY TAILINGS THROUGH AN EXPEDITED RESPONSE ACTION (ERA) IN THE FALL OF 1986. ENGINEERING REPORTS WERE RELEASED IN APRIL AND JUNE 1986, FOLLOWED BY A PUBLIC COMMENT PERIOD JULY 7-28, 1986. EPA WAS UNABLE TO PROCEED WITH CONSTRUCTION AT THAT TIME, HOWEVER, DUE TO THE SHORTAGE OF FUNDS THAT PRECEDED REAUTHORIZATION OF THE SUPERFUND PROGRAM. THE TEMPORARY RETAINING WALL WAS BUILT UNDER THE EPA EMERGENCY RESPONSE PROGRAM.

- RESIDENTIAL WELLS SURVEY: DURING THE RI/FS, EPA FOUND THAT SHALLOW GROUND WATER, THE SOURCE OF WATER FOR SHALLOW DOMESTIC WELLS, IS CONTAMINATED IN PLACES WITH METALS INCLUDING LEAD, ARSENIC, CADMIUM, ZINC, COPPER, NICKEL, AND CHROMIUM. IN MARCH AND APRIL 1987, EPA CONDUCTED A SURVEY OF AREA HOUSEHOLDS TO FIND OUT IF RESIDENTS ARE USING SHALLOW WELLS. THE SURVEY REVEALED THAT ONLY A SMALL NUMBER OF WELLS EXIST, AND ONE PRIVATE WELL WAS CONTAMINATED WITH ELEVATED LEVELS OF CADMIUM. THE OWNER OF THAT WELL HAS BEEN INFORMED OF THE ELEVATED LEVELS OF CADMIUM IN THE WELL WATER. A SUPERFUND REMOVAL ACTION TO ADDRESS THIS WELL IS BEING DEVELOPED BY THE EMERGENCY REMOVAL BRANCH.
- PASSIVE TREATMENT PILOT PROJECT: A PILOT PROJECT FOR PASSIVE TREATMENT OF MINE DISCHARGE AT THE BIG FIVE TUNNEL PORTAL IS NOW UNDERWAY. THIS PROJECT INVOLVES CONSTRUCTION OF A CONCRETE BOX AT THE MINE PORTAL TO HOLD SOME OF THE MINE DISCHARGE IN FRONT OF THE PORTAL. AN ARTIFICIAL WETLAND WILL BE CREATED IN THE BOX TO STUDY THE EFFICIENCY OF THIS TECHNOLOGY FURTHER IN REDUCING THE METAL LOADINGS AND NEUTRALIZING THE ACID DRAINAGE. EPA EXPECTS TO USE THE RESULTS OF THIS PROJECT TO REFINE ESTIMATES OF LAND REQUIREMENTS AND THE TYPES OF VEGETATION MOST SUITED TO THE IMPLEMENTATION OF THIS TECHNOLOGY FOR OPERABLE UNIT NO. 1.

THE DRAFT RI REPORT AND THE DRAFT FS REPORT ON MINE DRAINAGES WERE COMPLETED AND RELEASED TO THE PUBLIC IN JUNE 1987. THE RI REPORT DESCRIBES THE RESULTS OF EPA'S INVESTIGATION OF THE ENTIRE SITE. THE FS REPORT DISCUSSES AND EVALUATES METHODS OF CLEANING UP MINE DRAINAGE.

II. THE COMMUNITY RELATIONS PROGRAM AT THE CLEAR CREEK/CENTRAL CITY SITE

EPA'S COMMUNITY RELATIONS ACTIVITIES AT THE CLEAR CREEK/CENTRAL CITY SITE BEGAN IN THE FALL OF 1982 WHEN THE SITE WAS PLACED ON THE NPL. SINCE THAT TIME, COMMUNITY CONCERN ABOUT EPA'S ACTIVITIES AT THE SITE HAS TENDED TO BE MODERATE, WITH OCCASIONAL PERIODS OF HIGH INTEREST CAUSED BY RESIDENTS' CONCERN ABOUT THE ACTION AT THE GREGORY TAILINGS AND ABOUT THE BIG FIVE PILOT PROJECT. IN ADDITION, MANY LOCAL PEOPLE HAVE BEEN CONCERNED ABOUT THE FATE OF AT LEAST TWO LOCAL PROPERTY OWNERS WHO MAY HAVE SOME LIABILITY FOR CLEANUP COSTS, BOTH OF WHOM ARE PRIVATE CITIZENS WHO LIVE AT THE SITE AND HAVE MANY FRIENDS IN THE COMMUNITIES. IN RESPONSE, EPA

REPRESENTATIVES HAVE MET MANY TIMES WITH LOCAL OFFICIALS, RESIDENTS, AND THE PRESS TO LISTEN TO CONCERNS AND PROVIDE INFORMATION. MUCH OF THE COMMUNITY CONCERN HAS FOCUSED ON RESIDENTS' EXPRESSED FEELINGS THAT MINE WASTES DO NOT PRESENT A PROBLEM WORTHY OF THE HIGH LEVEL OF ATTENTION GIVEN TO THIS SITE. IN ADDITION, THERE IS A GENERAL ANTAGONISM TOWARD THE FEDERAL GOVERNMENT BECAUSE OF MINING REGULATIONS THAT AREA RESIDENTS FEEL HAVE RESTRICTED MINING ACTIVITY IN THE AREA.

ON SEVERAL OCCASIONS, NEW INFORMATION ABOUT ON-GOING WORK AT THE SITE HAS BEEN THE SUBJECT OF EXTENSIVE NEWS AND EDITORIAL COVERAGE IN LOCAL NEWSPAPERS, PARTICULARLY DUE TO EPA'S ACTION AT THE GREGORY TAILINGS IN THE SPRING OF 1987. RESIDENTS EXPRESSED CONCERN ABOUT THE COST OF AND NECESSITY FOR CONDUCTING THE PROJECT, PARTICULARLY IN LIGHT OF THE POSSIBILITY THAT THE PROPERTY OWNER, A LOCAL RESIDENT, MIGHT HAVE TO REPAY THE GOVERNMENT LATER FOR THE COSTS. THE PILOT PASSIVE TREATMENT PROJECT AT THE BIG FIVE TUNNEL PORTAL HAS BEEN CLOSELY FOLLOWED IN THE LOCAL NEWSPAPERS AS WELL. AREA RESIDENTS AND THE LOCAL NEWSPAPERS HAVE EXPRESSED RELATIVELY LESS INTEREST IN THE MINE DRAINAGE PROBLEM THAN IN THE GREGORY TAILINGS OR THE BIG FIVE TUNNEL PROJECTS.

IN PREPARING THE DRAFT REVISED COMMUNITY RELATIONS PLAN (CRP) COMPLETED IN JANUARY 1986, EPA CONDUCTED DISCUSSIONS WITH LOCAL OFFICIALS AND COMMUNITY MEMBERS IN SEPTEMBER 1985. THE ORIGINAL CRP WAS PREPARED IN THE FALL OF 1982. TO FACILITATE THE FLOW OF INFORMATION TO THE COMMUNITIES, INFORMATION REPOSITORIES WERE ESTABLISHED AT THE GILPIN COUNTY COURT HOUSE IN CENTRAL CITY, THE IDAHO SPRINGS PUBLIC LIBRARY IN IDAHO SPRINGS, AND THE EPA LIBRARY IN DENVER IN THE FALL OF 1985. IN DECEMBER 1985, EPA PREPARED AND DISTRIBUTED TO RESIDENTS AN INITIAL FACT SHEET DESCRIBING THE SITE AND THE POTENTIAL CONTAMINANTS OF CONCERN.

EPA PREPARED AND DISTRIBUTED A SECOND FACT SHEET IN JULY 1986 REGARDING THE EXPEDITED RESPONSE ACTION PLANNED AT THE GREGORY TAILINGS FOR THE FALL OF 1986. THE AGENCY HELD A PUBLIC COMMENT PERIOD ON THE PROPOSED ACTION, AND HAD A PUBLIC MEETING WITH LOCAL RESIDENTS. AS DESCRIBED IN SECTION II OF THIS RESPONSIVENESS SUMMARY, THAT ACTION WAS LATER CONDUCTED AS A SUPERFUND REMOVAL ACTION IN THE SPRING OF 1987. IN RESPONSE TO PUBLIC CONCERN ABOUT THE COST OF THE REMOVAL ACTION, THE POTENTIAL LIABILITY OF THE PROPERTY OWNER, WHO IS A LIFE-LONG RESIDENT OF THE COMMUNITY, THE POTENTIAL LOSS OF AN HISTORIC SITE, AND THE POTENTIAL FOR OTHER SIMILAR ACTIONS IN THE AREA, EPA EXPANDED THE NUMBER OF INFORMATION REPOSITORIES TO INCLUDE THE GOLDEN PUBLIC LIBRARY IN GOLDEN, AND THE IDAHO SPRINGS CITY HALL IN IDAHO SPRINGS.

LATER, IN AUGUST 1987, EPA PLACED THE FULL ADMINISTRATIVE RECORD IN THE CENTRAL CITY COURTHOUSE AND THE EPA LIBRARY. AN INDEX TO THE ADMINISTRATIVE RECORD WAS PLACED IN THE OTHER THREE REPOSITORIES. IN ADDITION, EPA REVISED THE DRAFT CRP, AND SIGNED A MEMORANDUM OF UNDERSTANDING CONCERNING THE GREGORY TAILINGS REMOVAL ACTION WITH THE COLORADO STATE HISTORICAL SOCIETY TO ASSURE THAT THE ACTION THAT EPA UNDERTOOK AT THE SITE WAS CARRIED OUT IN ACCORD WITH STATE GUIDELINES FOR PRESERVING HISTORIC SITES IN THE AREA.

DURING THE SURVEY OF SHALLOW DOMESTIC WELLS IN THE SPRING OF 1987, EPA PREPARED A LETTER TO RESIDENTS AND A QUESTION-ANSWER FACT SHEET -- BOTH DESIGNED TO PROVIDE RESIDENTS WITH INFORMATION ABOUT THE SURVEY AND ITS PURPOSE -- TO BE HANDED OUT BY THE INDIVIDUALS TAKING THE SURVEY. AGENCY REPRESENTATIVES ALSO MET WITH LOCAL OFFICIALS AND AREA RESIDENTS TO DISCUSS THE SURVEY.

THE COLORADO HISTORICAL SOCIETY HAS DETERMINED THAT THE BIG FIVE TUNNEL PORTAL IS ELIGIBLE TO BECOME A NATIONAL HISTORIC LANDMARK. THUS, EPA SUBMITTED TO THE STATE ADVISORY COUNCIL ON HISTORIC PRESERVATION DRAWINGS OF THE PASSIVE TREATMENT SYSTEM AT THE BIG FIVE TUNNEL PORTAL. UPON RECOMMENDATION OF THE ADVISORY COUNCIL, EPA CHANGED THE LOCATION AND MATERIALS OF THE FENCE TO BE BUILT AROUND THE PASSIVE TREATMENT PLANT. THESE CHANGES WERE DEEMED IMPORTANT IN PRESERVING HISTORIC ASPECTS OF THE TUNNEL.

AFTER RELEASE IN JUNE 1987 OF THE FS REPORT ON OPERABLE UNIT NO. 1, EPA PUBLISHED A QUESTION-ANSWER FACT SHEET AND HELD TWO PUBLIC MEETINGS IN CONJUNCTION WITH THE PUBLIC COMMENT PERIOD FROM JUNE 8 THROUGH JULY 7, 1987. THE FIRST MEETING, IN CENTRAL CITY ON JUNE 16, HAD A TURNOUT OF ABOUT SEVENTEEN PEOPLE, AND ONLY ONE PERSON ASKED A QUESTION OF EPA. A LOCAL PROPERTY OWNER LATER COMMENTED TO EPA THAT HE FELT THE PUBLIC NOTICE FOR THIS MEETING WAS INSUFFICIENT. HE REQUESTED AN EXTENSION OF THE PUBLIC COMMENT PERIOD. ABOUT FORTY PEOPLE ATTENDED THE SECOND MEETING ON JUNE 17 IN IDAHO SPRINGS. THESE PEOPLE HAD NUMEROUS QUESTIONS AND COMMENTS, AND THE ATMOSPHERE AT THE MEETING SEEMED TO BE ONE OF QUESTIONS AND RESERVATIONS ABOUT EPA'S PLANS AT

THE SITE. ONCE AGAIN, RESIDENTS EXPRESSED DOUBT ABOUT THE NECESSITY FOR TREATING MINE DRAINAGES WHEN THEY ARE NOT PERCEIVED AS CAUSING ANY PROBLEMS. THEY ALSO SAID THAT THE COST OF THE TREATMENT CAST FURTHER DOUBT ON THE NEED FOR REMEDIATION, AND EXPRESSED CONCERN ABOUT THE EFFECTS OF EPA'S ACTIVITIES ON THE LOCAL ECONOMY. THESE CONCERNS ARE DESCRIBED IN GREATER DETAIL IN SECTION III.

III. SUMMARY OF PUBLIC COMMENTS RECEIVED AND EPA'S RESPONSES

THIS SECTION SUMMARIZES PUBLIC CONCERNS EXPRESSED ABOUT OPERABLE UNIT NO. 1 DURING REMEDIAL PLANNING AT THE SITE THROUGH THE END OF THE PUBLIC COMMENT PERIOD. COMMUNITY COMMENTS GENERALLY CENTERED ON LOCAL ECONOMIC ISSUES THAT MAY BE AFFECTED BY EPA'S ACTIONS AT THE SITE, AND ON POLICY QUESTIONS ABOUT HOW EPA FIRST BECAME INVOLVED IN THE AREA AND HOW EPA SETS ITS PRIORITIES. SEVERAL PEOPLE, MOST NOTABLY THE CLEAR CREEK COUNTY METAL MINING ASSOCIATION (CCCMMA) AND OTHER AREA RESIDENTS AT THE SITE, COMMENTED THAT THE METALS IN MINE DRAINAGE HAVE ECONOMIC VALUE. MANY COMMENTS THAT EPA RECEIVED ON THE DRAFT FS REPORT WERE MADE BY TWO OWNERS OF MINING PROPERTY AT THE SITE, BOTH OF WHOM LIVE IN COMMUNITIES AT THE SITE.

ASIDE FROM LOCAL COUNTY AND MUNICIPAL GOVERNMENTS, THE CCCMMA IS THE ONLY CITIZENS' GROUP THAT HAS EXPRESSED INTEREST IN EPA'S ACTIVITIES. THE CCCMMA INCLUDES A BROAD MEMBERSHIP THROUGHOUT THE SITE AND AREAS DOWNSTREAM. THE RESIDENTS AND CCCMMA SUGGESTED THAT EPA EVALUATE RECLAMATION OF THESE METALS FROM THE DRAINAGE AS A WAY OF REDUCING THE PUBLIC HEALTH AND ENVIRONMENTAL RISKS AT THE SAME TIME ECONOMIC RETURN IS PROVIDED TO THE COMMUNITIES.

A MAJOR CONCERN AT THE SITE HAS BEEN THE EXTENT TO WHICH EPA'S SUPERFUND ACTIVITIES MAY
ADVERSELY IMPACT AREA PROPERTY OWNERS AND THE GENERAL PROSPECTS FOR FUTURE MINING. A NUMBER OF
CITIZENS HAVE EXPRESSED SUPPORT FOR AREA PROPERTY OWNERS BOTH ENCOURAGING EPA TO LIMIT THE
FINANCIAL LIABILITIES ANY LOCAL PROPERTY OWNERS MAY HAVE TO BEAR AND DISCOURAGING EPA FROM
DESTRUCTION OR REMOVAL OF THE WASTES BEFORE THE MINERALS CAN BE EXPLOITED. THESE PEOPLE AND
OTHERS SUGGESTED ALSO THAT EPA SHOULD REEVALUATE ITS CLEANUP PRIORITIES -- THEY SAID THAT
BLOWOUT CONTROL IS THE MOST IMPORTANT HEALTH AND ENVIRONMENTAL ISSUE AT THE SITE AND SHOULD BE
ADDRESSED FIRST. BLOWOUTS ARE LARGE EXPLOSIVE RELEASES OF MINE DRAINAGE FROM MINES WHOSE
TUNNELS WERE TEMPORARILY BLOCKED FOR A PERIOD OF TIME THROUGH NATURAL SILTING OR COLLAPSE OF
MINE WORKINGS. THE ARGO TUNNEL HAS EXPERIENCED BLOWOUTS IN THE PAST, WITH RESULTING
CONTAMINATION OF CLEAR CREEK DOWNSTREAM TO GOLDEN.

DURING THE PUBLIC MEETINGS, EPA RESPONDED THAT IT WOULD EXAMINE THE ECONOMIC BENEFITS OF METALS RECLAMATION FROM THE MINE DRAINAGE. THE AGENCY ALSO AGREED THAT BLOWOUT CONTROL IS AN IMPORTANT ISSUE. NOTING THAT BLOWOUT CONTROL IS CURRENTLY UNDER STUDY, EPA SAID THAT MINE DRAINAGE REMEDIATION IS AN INITIAL REMEDIAL STEP THAT IS RELATIVELY EASILY DEVELOPED AND IMPLEMENTED AND TREATS THE BASELINE, OR CONSTANT, FLOW WHILE THE DIFFICULT QUESTION OF BLOWOUT CONTROL IS STUDIED.

THE FIRST SUBSECTION BELOW PROVIDES A SUMMARY OF COMMENTS AND EPA'S RESPONSES; THE SECOND SUBSECTION SUMMARIZES REMAINING COMMENTS FOR WHICH EPA WILL BE ABLE TO PROVIDE MORE COMPLETE RESPONSES AFTER FURTHER STUDY.

A. SUMMARY OF COMMENTS RECEIVED DURING THE PUBLIC COMMENT PERIOD AND AFTERWARDS AND EPA'S RESPONSES

THIS SECTION CATEGORIZES QUESTIONS AND COMMENTS RECEIVED DURING THE PUBLIC COMMENT PERIOD AND AFTERWARDS, AND EPA'S RESPONSES TO THOSE COMMENTS IN THE CATEGORIES BELOW.

- POLICY ISSUES. RESIDENTS QUESTIONED HOW AND WHY THE SITE WAS LISTED ON THE NATIONAL PRIORITIES LIST (NPL), HOW THE SUPERFUND PROCESS WOULD WORK AS DECISIONS ARE MADE AND ACTIONS TAKEN, HOW EPA SELECTED WATER QUALITY STANDARDS FOR THE SITE, AND HOW EPA WOULD ACQUIRE LAND FOR A PASSIVE TREATMENT FACILITY.
- REMEDIAL ALTERNATIVE ISSUES. IN GENERAL, RESIDENTS EXPRESSED THE OPINION THAT THE MINE DRAINAGE CONTAINS METALS WITH SIGNIFICANT ECONOMIC VALUE, SUGGESTED THAT EPA ADDRESS BLOWOUT CONTROL AS A FIRST PRIORITY, AND URGED EPA TO CONSIDER REOPENING THE ARGO TUNNEL AS A MEANS OF BLOWOUT AND DRAINAGE CONTROL. THE COLORADO DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH (CDH) SUPPORTED PASSIVE TREATMENT AS BEING RELATIVELY COST-EFFECTIVE, BUT STRONGLY RECOMMENDED THAT EPA CONSIDER OTHER METHODS OF REMEDIATION SUCH AS SOURCE CONTROL -- THAT WILL HAVE

EVEN LOWER LONG-TERM COSTS.

- TECHNICAL ISSUES. CDH RECOMMENDED THAT EPA BEGIN TUNNEL MAPPING TO EXPEDITE INVESTIGATIONS OF SOURCE CONTROL, GROUND WATER, AND BLOWOUT CONTROL, AND SUGGESTED ADDITIONAL TAILINGS CHARACTERIZATION AND SURFACE GEOLOGIC MAPPING BE UNDERTAKEN.

 RESIDENTS COMMENTED THAT THE GROUND WATER MAY CONTRIBUTE TO THE CONTAMINATION PROBLEM, AND ASKED HOW MUCH LAND WOULD BE NEEDED FOR A PASSIVE TREATMENT FACILITY AT THE ARGO TUNNEL PORTAL.
- HEALTH ISSUES. TWO RESIDENTS MADE HEALTH-RELATED COMMENTS: ONE SAID HE HAD LIVED AT THE SITE FOR FIFTY YEARS WITHOUT NEGATIVE EFFECTS, AND THE OTHER SUGGESTED THAT EPA INCLUDE PRIVATE WELLS IN ITS INVESTIGATION.
- COMMUNITY ISSUES. THE CENTRAL CITY BOARD OF TRUSTEES ASKED EPA TO KEEP IT BETTER INFORMED OF ACTIVITIES PLANNED TO TAKE PLACE WITHIN THE CITY LIMITS.
- COST ISSUES. A REPRESENTATIVE OF A RESIDENT PROPERTY OWNER OF BLACK HAWK ASKED WHETHER EPA WOULD LEAVE THE QUESTION OF LIABILITY OPEN FOR A LONG TIME, AND ANOTHER RESIDENT COMMENTED THAT STUDIES AT THE SITE ARE COSTING TOO MUCH MONEY.

1. POLICY ISSUES

<u>COMMENT</u>: THE MAYOR OF IDAHO SPRINGS NOTED THAT WHEN THE SITE WAS FIRST CONSIDERED FOR THE NATIONAL PRIORITIES LIST (NPL), IT INITIALLY RECEIVED A LOW HAZARD RANKING SYSTEM (HRS) SCORE. HE ASKED WHAT PROMPTED THE RESCORING OF THE SITE AFTER THE INITIAL LOW SCORE.

EPA'S RESPONSE: THE PRELIMINARY SCORE AT THE SITE WAS BASED ON A REVIEW OF AVAILABLE REPORTS. A LATER FIELD INVESTIGATION PRODUCED INFORMATION ABOUT THE ARGO TUNNEL BLOWOUTS AND THE IMPORTANCE OF THIS SECTION OF CLEAR CREEK AS A CRITICAL HABITAT FOR AQUATIC LIFE. "CRITICAL HABITAT" REFERS TO THE ENVIRONMENTAL FACTORS THAT MAKE IT POSSIBLE FOR THE STREAM TO SUPPORT THE LIFE CYCLE OF ANIMALS, INCLUDING OBTAINING FOOD, PROTECTING THEMSELVES, AND REPRODUCING.

COMMENT: A LOCAL PROPERTY OWNER ASKED WHEN THE HRS SITE SCORE WAS CHANGED SUFFICIENTLY TO CAUSE IT TO BE INCLUDED ON THE NPL, AND WHETHER THE HRS DATA WERE AVAILABLE FOR REVIEW. THE MAYOR OF IDAHO SPRINGS ALSO EXPRESSED A DESIRE TO SEE THE HRS DATA, AND ANOTHER RESIDENT ASKED WHETHER THE SCORE CAN BE CHANGED.

EPA'S RESPONSE: DURING THE PRELIMINARY ASSESSMENT, EPA REVIEWED INITIAL SCORING DATA, WHICH WAS BASED ON AVAILABLE REPORTS. THE REVIEWERS DETERMINED THAT A FIELD INVESTIGATION WOULD BE NEEDED. THE FIELD INVESTIGATION PRODUCED THE INFORMATION ABOUT THE ARGO TUNNEL BLOWOUTS AND THE ROLE OF CLEAR CREEK AS A CRITICAL HABITAT FOR AQUATIC LIFE. THE SITE WAS PROPOSED FOR INCLUSION ON THE NPL IN 1982. THE CHANGE IN THE INITIAL SCORE OCCURRED DURING THE TIME WHEN THE SITE WAS EVALUATED FOR INCLUSION ON THE NPL, NOT AT SOME LATER DATE. EPA HAS PLACED THE HRS SCORING INFORMATION IN THE SITE INFORMATION REPOSITORIES AS PART OF THE ADMINISTRATIVE RECORD, BUT AT THIS TIME IT IS NOT POSSIBLE TO CHANGE THE SCORE.

<u>COMMENT</u>: THE MAYOR OF IDAHO SPRINGS ASKED TO SEE THE EPA CRITERIA USED FOR RANKING THE SITE. HE SAID THAT IF THE BLOWOUT LED TO A HIGHER HRS RANKING, THEN BLOWOUT POTENTIAL SHOULD RECEIVE PRIORITY TREATMENT.

EPA'S RESPONSE: THE HRS SCORING IS PART OF THE ADMINISTRATIVE RECORD THAT IS PLACED IN THE INFORMATION REPOSITORIES. BLOWOUT STUDY IS UNDERWAY AND THE BLOWOUT CONTROL FS REPORT IS SCHEDULED TO BE ISSUED FOR PUBLIC COMMENT IN JULY 1988. BECAUSE OF THE TECHNICAL COMPLEXITY OF THE BLOWOUT ISSUE, THE STUDY CANNOT BE ACCELERATED FURTHER.

COMMENT: A LOCAL PROPERTY OWNER ASKED WHEN EPA EXPECTS TO SELECT A REMEDY FOR MINE DRAINAGE AND WHETHER IT WILL DECIDE TO MAKE THIS SELECTION PRIOR TO COMPLETION OF THE ONE-YEAR PILOT PROJECT AT THE BIG FIVE TUNNEL PORTAL. THE MAYOR OF IDAHO SPRINGS ASKED WHETHER CONSTRUCTION FOR THE PILOT PASSIVE TREATMENT PROJECT AT THE BIG FIVE TUNNEL WOULD BEGIN AS SOON AS THE REMEDIAL ACTION HAS BEEN SELECTED.

<u>EPA'S RESPONSE</u>: EPA EXPECTS TO SELECT A REMEDY FOR MINE DRAINAGE BY THE END OF SEPTEMBER 1987. THE PRIMARY PURPOSE OF THE BIG FIVE PROJECT IS TO REFINE THE TECHNOLOGY TO DETERMINE HOW MUCH

LAND WILL BE NEEDED, AND WHAT KINDS OF VEGETATION AND ORGANIC MATERIAL WILL BE MOST APPROPRIATE. INITIATION OF THE PROJECT IS NOT DEPENDENT UPON THE DECISION FOR REMEDIAL ACTION ON MINE DRAINAGE. EPA IS INITIATING THE PILOT PROJECT AT THE BIG FIVE TUNNEL PORTAL PRIOR TO MAKING A FINAL DECISION ON THE REMEDIAL ACTION FOR MINE DRAINAGE BECAUSE THE PILOT STUDY WILL PROVIDE EPA WITH VALUABLE INFORMATION ABOUT HOW A PASSIVE TREATMENT SYSTEM SHOULD BE SET UP IN THAT AREA. SEASONAL CONSIDERATIONS REQUIRED THAT THE PILOT PROJECT BE INITIATED DURING THE SUMMER MONTHS. THE PROJECT IS INCLUDED AS A PART OF THE PROPOSED PLAN EPA RELEASED ON JUNE 8, 1987.

<u>COMMENT</u>: A RESIDENT ASKED WHETHER EPA HAS ESTABLISHED A BASELINE OR BACKGROUND FIGURE FOR WATER QUALITY IN COLORADO.

EPA'S RESPONSE: THE STATE OF COLORADO HAS ESTABLISHED WATER QUALITY STANDARDS FOR ALL COLORADO STREAMS. EPA IS COMMITTED TO CLEANING UP THE DISCHARGES FROM MINE DRAINAGES IN ORDER TO MEET COLORADO STREAM STANDARDS WHEREVER POSSIBLE. COLORADO STREAM STANDARDS ARE BASED ON PROTECTION OF HUMAN HEALTH AND AQUATIC LIFE. THESE STANDARDS MAY BE HIGHER THAN BACKGROUND AT SOME POINTS.

COMMENT: THE MAYOR OF IDAHO SPRINGS SAID THE CRITERIA USED BY EPA TO EVALUATE DRINKING WATER QUALITY WERE TOO STRINGENT. HE ADDED THAT USE OF OVERLY STRINGENT CONCENTRATIONS MAKES THE SITUATION APPEAR WORSE THAN IT REALLY IS.

<u>EPA'S RESPONSE</u>: EPA RESPONDED THAT NATIONAL STANDARDS FOR DRINKING WATER WERE ESTABLISHED BY CONGRESS UNDER THE FEDERAL SAFE DRINKING WATER ACT. THESE STANDARDS ARE APPLIED UNIFORMLY ACROSS THE COUNTRY.

COMMENT: THE MAYOR OF IDAHO SPRINGS QUESTIONED WHY SOME AREA DRAINAGES WERE INCLUDED IN THE FS REPORT AND OTHERS WERE NOT. HE SAID THAT EVEN WITH CLEANUP OF THE FIVE MINES, OTHER POINT SOURCE AND NON-POINT SOURCE PROBLEMS WOULD CONTINUE TO EXIST. HE SUGGESTED EPA LOOK AT OTHER SOURCES OF CONTAMINATION. HE ASKED WHETHER EPA HAS CONSIDERED THE ECONOMIC FEASIBILITY OF RESTORING CLEAR CREEK WATER QUALITY.

EPA'S RESPONSE: REGARDING THE CONCERN ABOUT CONTINUING SOURCES OF CONTAMINATION, EPA RESPONDED THAT ITS STUDIES SHOW THAT DRAINAGE FROM THE FIVE TUNNELS IS A PRINCIPAL CONTRIBUTOR IN THE DEGRADATION OF THE WATER QUALITY OF CLEAR CREEK AND NORTH CLEAR CREEK. MINE DRAINAGE TREATMENT IS JUST ONE OF THE REMEDIAL ACTIONS THAT EPA EXPECTS TO TAKE, HOWEVER, AND OTHER CONCERNS WILL BE ADDRESSED IN LATER STUDIES. CONCERNING THE QUESTION OF THE ECONOMIC FEASIBILITY OF RESTORING CLEAR CREEK WATER QUALITY, THE COLORADO DEPARTMENT OF WILDLIFE HAS ESTIMATED THAT THE ECONOMIC VALUE OF CLEAR CREEK AS A FISHERY RESOURCE IS \$736,000 PER YEAR, IF CLEAR CREEK IS RESTORED TO A VIABLE FISHERY. EPA HAS CONSIDERED THE ECONOMIC FEASIBILITY OF RESTORING THE WATER QUALITY, BUT IT WAS NOT A MAJOR CONSIDERATION IN THE FINAL DECISION-MAKING PROCESS.

<u>COMMENT</u>: THE MAYOR OF IDAHO SPRINGS SAID THAT THE CREEKS ARE NO LONGER STOCKED WITH FISH BELOW IDAHO SPRINGS AS THEY WERE PREVIOUSLY. HE SUGGESTED THAT THE RI/FS REPORT SHOULD HAVE CONSIDERED THIS FACT IN ITS EVALUATION OF FISH POPULATIONS BELOW IDAHO SPRINGS.

EPA'S RESPONSE: EPA HAS CONSIDERED THIS ISSUE. THE COLORADO DEPARTMENT OF WILDLIFE NO LONGER STOCKS FISH BELOW IDAHO SPRINGS BECAUSE OF REDUCED FISH HABITAT AND POOR WATER QUALITY. IF THE AQUATIC HABITAT IS IMPROVED, THE STREAM WILL PROBABLY BE RESTOCKED.

<u>COMMENT</u>: A LOCAL PROPERTY OWNER ASKED HOW EPA PLANS TO ACQUIRE LAND FOR A PASSIVE TREATMENT FACILITY, AND QUESTIONED WHETHER EPA HAS CONDEMNATION AUTHORITY.

EPA'S RESPONSE: EPA HAS NOT YET PURSUED OPTIONS FOR ACQUIRING LAND FOR A PASSIVE TREATMENT FACILITY. IF THE AGENCY ENCOUNTERS A PROBLEM IN ITS EFFORTS TO OBTAIN LAND IN ONE AREA, IT WILL EXPLORE OTHER OPTIONS. ALTHOUGH IT IS NOT PRESENTLY CONTEMPLATING CONDEMNATION PROCEEDINGS, IF NECESSARY, EPA CAN EXERCISE CONDEMNATION AUTHORITY. EPA IS HOPEFUL THAT PASSIVE TREATMENT LOCATIONS WILL CONSTITUTE A COMPATIBLE LAND USE.

<u>COMMENT</u>: A LOCAL PROPERTY OWNER ASKED WHETHER EPA'S DECISION IS SUBJECT TO APPEAL ON THE GROUNDS THAT IT IS NOT IN THE BEST INTEREST OF THE LOCAL ECONOMY.

<u>EPA'S RESPONSE</u>: ONE REASON THAT EPA IS ASKING FOR PUBLIC COMMENT NOW IS TO BE AS RESPONSIVE AS POSSIBLE TO COMMUNITY NEEDS IN THE DECISION-MAKING PROCESS. EPA'S DECISIONS CAN BE CHANGED THROUGH A FORMAL PROCESS, ALTHOUGH THERE IS NO DEFINED PERIOD DURING WHICH APPEALS CAN BE MADE.

<u>COMMENT</u>: A LOCAL PROPERTY OWNER SAID THAT INDIVIDUALS WHO MAY WISH TO APPEAL A DECISION NEED MORE CONCRETE INFORMATION ABOUT EPA'S PLANS AT THE SITE IN ORDER TO COMMENT.

<u>EPA'S RESPONSE</u>: EPA RESPONDED THAT THE PLANS EPA HAS PRESENTED IN THE FS REPORT WERE AS CONCRETE AS THE AGENCY HAD AT THAT TIME.

<u>COMMENT</u>: A LOCAL PROPERTY OWNER ASKED IF THE AFFECTED PROPERTY OWNERS, THE CITIES, OR THE COUNTIES HAVE INPUT TO THE FINAL DECISION ABOUT THE TREATMENT.

<u>EPA'S RESPONSE</u>: EPA ENCOURAGES COMMUNITY COMMENTS. ALL COMMENTS RECEIVED ARE REVIEWED DURING THE DECISION PROCESS. EPA EXPECTS TO CONTINUE TO INTERACT WITH AFFECTED PROPERTY OWNERS AS THE PROCESS CONTINUES TO UNFOLD.

2. REMEDIAL ALTERNATIVE ISSUES

COMMENT: SEVERAL COMMENTERS EXPRESSED THE OPINION THAT THERE IS COMMERCIAL VALUE TO THE SLUDGE PRODUCED THROUGH THE ACTIVE DISCHARGE TREATMENT PROCESS. ONE COMMENTER ASKED WHETHER EPA WILL CONSIDER THE POSSIBILITY OF RESOURCE RECOVERY, ADDING THAT THE AGENCY SHOULD CONSIDER THE POTENTIAL VALUE OF PRECIOUS METALS IN THE SLUDGE, AND IN THE MINE WATER. ANOTHER COMMENTER SAID THAT PROCESSING COULD REDUCE THE VOLUME OF THE SLUDGE AS MUCH AS 50 PERCENT AND THE VALUE OF THE METALS WOULD OFFSET THE COSTS OF DISPOSAL. THE PRESIDENT OF THE CLEAR CREEK COUNTY METAL MINING ASSOCIATION RECOMMENDED THAT EPA UNDERTAKE A PROGRAM OF RESEARCH ON PASSIVE DISCHARGE TREATMENT SYSTEMS TO INVESTIGATE METHODS OF METALS RECLAMATION. THE COLORADO DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH (CDH) SUPPORTED THIS RECOMMENDATION. IT SAID SLUDGE AND PRECIPITATE REPROCESSING FOR GOLD AND SILVER RECOVERY SHOULD BE EVALUATED BY ASSAYING THE WASTES TO DETERMINE HOW MUCH PRECIOUS METAL THEY CONTAIN, AND BY IDENTIFYING POTENTIAL REPROCESSING TECHNOLOGIES AND COSTS. IN EARLIER STUDIES, THE STATE DETERMINED THE DRAINAGE WAS NON-HAZARDOUS.

EPA'S RESPONSE: AT THE PUBLIC MEETING, EPA RESPONDED THAT ALTHOUGH IT HAS NOT CONSIDERED THE ISSUE OF METALS RECLAMATION FROM MINE DRAINAGE, THE SUPERFUND AMENDMENTS AND REAUTHORIZATION ACT (SARA) REQUIRES EVALUATION OF RESOURCE RECOVERY AND RECLAMATION, AND THE AGENCY WILL CONSIDER THIS ISSUE BEFORE SELECTING THE FINAL REMEDY. REFERRING TO THE COMMENT THAT AN EARLIER STATE STUDY HAD SHOWN THE SLUDGE TO BE NON-HAZARDOUS, EPA SAID IT IS REQUIRED TO COMPLY WITH THE LAWS AS THEY EXIST AT THE TIME OF TREATMENT. A PAST DETERMINATION THAT THE DRAINAGE IS NON-HAZARDOUS MAY NO LONGER BE VALID.

COMMENT: CDH SAID IT SUPPORTS THE CONCEPT OF PASSIVE TREATMENT BECAUSE ITS LONG TERM COSTS ARE LOWER THAN FOR ACTIVE TREATMENT. CDH ADDED, HOWEVER, THAT MORE DISCUSSIONS ARE NEEDED ON THE ROLE OF PASSIVE TREATMENT IN THE TREATMENT SEQUENCE. CDH SAID THE SAME COMMENT APPLIES TO IRON OXIDATION/PRECIPITATION, COAGULATION/FLOTATION, REVERSE OSMOSIS, AND ION EXCHANGE UNIT TREATMENT PROCESSES. CDH EXPRESSED THE OPINION THAT DETAILED COSTS PRESENTED IN SECTION 3 OF THE FS REPORT MAY NOT REFLECT THESE UNIT TREATMENT PROCESSES, AND ARE THUS TOO LOW.

EPA'S RESPONSE: EPA HAS SUBSEQUENTLY MET WITH CDH AND REVIEWED THE UNIT TREATMENT PROCESSES. THE PILOT PLANT THAT HAS BEEN CONSTRUCTED AT THE BIG FIVE TUNNEL WILL EVALUATE THE REMOVAL EFFICIENCY OF PASSIVE TREATMENT. ACTIVE TREATMENT WILL BE ADDED AS A POLISHING STEP.

COMMENT: CDH EXPRESSED THE OPINION THAT EPA'S REJECTION OF SOURCE CONTROL ALTERNATIVES AS TECHNICALLY INFEASIBLE IS PREMATURE. IT SAID CONSIDERATION SHOULD BE GIVEN TO DOING REMEDIAL DESIGN INVESTIGATIONS FOR CHANNEL PAVING IN LAKE GULCH TO EVALUATE ITS EFFECT ON FLOWS FROM THE NATIONAL TUNNEL DURING OPERABLE UNIT NO. 1. IN ANY EVENT, CDH CONCLUDED, IT IS CRITICAL THAT SOURCE CONTROL EVALUATIONS BE GIVEN THE HIGHEST PRIORITY, SINCE SOURCE CONTROL OFFERS THE BEST OPPORTUNITY FOR MINIMIZING LONG-TERM COSTS.

<u>EPA'S RESPONSE</u>: EPA PLANS TO INVESTIGATE SOURCE CONTROL ALTERNATIVES FOR SELECTED AREAS UNDER OPERABLE UNIT NO. 3.

COMMENT: ONE COMMENTER NOTED THAT THE APPROPRIATENESS OF ANY PROPOSAL WOULD HAVE TO BE ANALYZED IN DETAIL, PARTICULARLY WITH REGARD TO COST EFFECTIVENESS AND THE POSSIBLE DESTRUCTION OF A VALUABLE ECONOMIC ASSET. GIVEN THESE TWO CONSIDERATIONS, THIS PARTY RECOMMENDED THE "NO ACTION" PROPOSAL.

EPA'S RESPONSE: CONSIDERATION OF COST EFFECTIVENESS IS THE PRINCIPAL CRITERION REQUIRED IN THE DECISION-MAKING PROCESS. THE REVISED SUPERFUND LEGISLATION REQUIRES THAT PRIORITY BE GIVEN TO ALTERNATIVES THAT REDUCE THE MOBILITY, TOXICITY AND VOLUME OF WASTES. THERE IS NO PRESENT INDICATION THAT MINE DRAINAGE REPRESENTS A VALUABLE ECONOMIC ASSET. THE NO ACTION ALTERNATIVE IS NOT ACCEPTABLE, AS HAS BEEN EXPLAINED IN THE ROD.

<u>COMMENT</u>: REFERRING TO THE ARGO TUNNEL, A RESIDENT NOTED THAT PASSIVE TREATMENT IS OF NO VALUE UNLESS THE POTENTIAL FOR A BLOWOUT IS CONTROLLED.

EPA'S RESPONSE: EPA WILL LOCATE THE PASSIVE TREATMENT FACILITY AWAY FROM THE ARGO TUNNEL PORTAL SO THAT A BLOWOUT WOULD NOT AFFECT IT. TREATMENT OF DISCHARGE IS A FIRST STEP IN THE PROCESS OF REMEDIATION. BECAUSE THE REMEDY MUST GO INTO THE DESIGN PHASE, IT WILL BE ONE TO ONE-AND-A-HALF YEARS BEFORE THE AGENCY ACTUALLY BUILDS A PERMANENT PASSIVE TREATMENT SYSTEM. MEANWHILE EPA WILL STUDY BLOWOUT CONTROL AND REACH A DECISION ON THE MOST COST-EFFECTIVE MANNER TO PROTECT AGAINST BLOWOUTS.

COMMENT: THE MAYOR OF IDAHO SPRINGS SUGGESTED THAT EPA RE-EVALUATE ITS PRIORITIES IF BLOWOUT WAS A MAIN CONSIDERATION IN LISTING THE SITE ON THE NPL. HE SUGGESTED THAT EPA FIRST ADDRESS BLOWOUT CONTROL AT THE SITE.

EPA'S RESPONSE: EPA HAS BEGUN TO STUDY BLOWOUT CONTROL; IN THE MEANTIME, HOWEVER, EPA IS ADDRESSING OTHER IMPORTANT ISSUES RELATED TO METALS IN THE ENVIRONMENT. UNLESS THE DISCHARGES CAN BE PLUGGED, BASE FLOW FROM THE TUNNELS WILL ALWAYS BE AN ISSUE, AND EPA CAN PROCEED WITH A REMEDY FOR IT.

COMMENT: A RESIDENT NOTED THAT ALTHOUGH THE ARGO TUNNEL BLOWOUT INCREASED THE HRS RANKING, THE EXECUTIVE SUMMARY OF THE RI/FS REPORT STATES THAT WATER QUALITY NEAR GOLDEN MEETS EXISTING DRINKING WATER QUALITY STANDARDS. HE QUESTIONED WHETHER IT IS ESSENTIAL TO SPEND MONEY TO TREAT MINE DRAINAGE. ANOTHER RESIDENT NOTED THERE ARE TWO PATHWAYS OF HUMAN EXPOSURE TO THE CONTAMINANTS -- WELLS AND MUNICIPAL WATER -- AND ASKED WHAT THE PATHWAYS WERE THAT AFFECTED HUMAN HEALTH IN THE RANKING OF THE SITE.

EPA'S RESPONSE: IN CONSIDERING POTENTIAL THREATS TO WATER QUALITY, EPA LOOKS AT POPULATION WITHIN THREE MILES OF A SITE, AS WELL AS AT ALL POSSIBLE PATHWAYS OF CONTAMINATION (E.G., THROUGH DRINKING WATER). IF A PATHWAY FROM THE CONTAMINATION TO THE POPULATION EXISTS, THAT WILL RAISE THE SCORE. IN THE ORIGINAL HRS SCORING, IT WAS SUSPECTED THAT HUMAN HEALTH WAS THREATENED. SUBSEQUENT STUDIES INDICATED, HOWEVER, THAT THE PRIMARY THREAT WAS NOT TO HUMAN HEALTH, BUT RATHER TO THE ENVIRONMENT. UNDER THE SUPERFUND LAW, EPA IS RESPONSIBLE FOR PROTECTING BOTH HUMAN HEALTH AND THE ENVIRONMENT, HOWEVER, AND MUST ADDRESS BOTH TYPES OF PROBLEMS. STUDY AND ACTION ARE REQUIRED AT THE SITE BECAUSE THE CREEKS DO NOT MEET WATER QUALITY CRITERIA FOR AQUATIC LIFE. THE POTENTIAL ALSO EXISTS FOR HUMAN EXPOSURE FAR DOWNSTREAM IF A BLOWOUT WERE TO OCCUR.

3. TECHNICAL ISSUES

<u>COMMENT</u>: CDH COMMENTED THAT EPA SHOULD BEGIN MINE TUNNEL MAPPING IN ORDER TO EXPEDITE INVESTIGATIONS OF SOURCE CONTROL, GROUND WATER, AND BLOWOUT CONTROL.

<u>EPA'S RESPONSE</u>: EPA BELIEVES ITS FILES DO INCLUDE ALL AVAILABLE MINE MAPS. THE AGENCY IS LOOKING FURTHER INTO THE AVAILABILITY OF ADDITIONAL MAPS. EPA HAS INITIATED A BLOWOUT CONTROL STUDY THAT WILL USE THESE MAPS.

<u>COMMENT</u>: A RESIDENT ASKED WHETHER THE RESULTS FROM EPA'S APRIL 1987 TESTS OF AQUIFER WATER QUALITY WILL BE AVAILABLE FOR REVIEW.

EPA'S RESPONSE: EPA IS IN THE PROCESS OF WRITING THE REPORTS THAT INCLUDE THESE FINDINGS; THESE FINDINGS WILL BE INCORPORATED INTO THE ADDENDUM REPORT TO THE RI REPORT, WHICH WILL BE ISSUED IN LATE 1987. WHEN THEY ARE COMPLETED, COPIES WILL BE PLACED IN THE INFORMATION REPOSITORIES AS PART OF THE ADMINISTRATIVE RECORD.

<u>COMMENT</u>: A RESIDENT STATED THAT A 1975 STUDY BY THE STATE SHOWED METALS CONCENTRATIONS IN AREA WELLS, AND SUGGESTED THAT GROUND WATER MAY CONTRIBUTE TO THE CONTAMINATION PROBLEM.

<u>EPA'S RESPONSE</u>: EPA BELIEVES THIS MAY BE CORRECT, AND WILL STUDY GROUND WATER DURING OPERABLE UNIT NO. 6. MEANWHILE, EPA'S INVESTIGATION HAS ALSO SHOWN THAT THE MINE DRAINAGES HAVE A SIGNIFICANT IMPACT ON THE STREAMS, AND THE AGENCY IS NOW ADDRESSING THIS MINE DRAINAGE PROBLEM.

COMMENT: A LOCAL PROPERTY OWNER SAID THAT A STUDY DONE TEN TO FIFTEEN YEARS AGO SHOWED THAT WATER 100 TO 150 YARDS DOWNSTREAM FROM THE ARGO TUNNEL PORTAL DOES NOT EXCEED WATER QUALITY STANDARDS.

<u>EPA'S RESPONSE</u>: EPA'S FINDINGS DO NOT AGREE WITH THIS STATEMENT. EPA'S WATER QUALITY MONITORING STUDIES SHOW THAT AMBIENT WATER QUALITY CRITERIA ARE EXCEEDED ALONG CLEAR CREEK AS FAR SOUTH AS GOLDEN.

<u>COMMENT</u>: THE MAYOR OF IDAHO SPRINGS ASKED HOW MUCH LAND IS NEEDED FOR PASSIVE TREATMENT OF THE ARGO TUNNEL DRAINAGE.

EPA'S RESPONSE: EPA ESTIMATES THAT OVER 200 SQUARE FEET OF LAND ARE NEEDED TO TREAT ONE GALLON PER MINUTE OF DRAINAGE FLOW. THE PILOT PROJECT AT THE BIG FIVE TUNNEL PORTAL WILL HELP EPA FURTHER REFINE THESE ESTIMATES. WITH A 206-GALLON-PER-MINUTE FLOW FROM THE ARGO TUNNEL, EPA ESTIMATES THAT ABOUT ONE TO TWO ACRES WOULD BE REQUIRED FOR THE PASSIVE TREATMENT FACILITY FOR THE ARGO TUNNEL. EPA CONSIDERS THE QUESTION OF SITING AN IMPORTANT ONE, AND WILL CONTACT THE COMMUNITY AGAIN TO SOLICIT PUBLIC COMMENTS WHEN IT REACHES THE SITE SELECTION STAGE.

COMMENT: A LOCAL PROPERTY OWNER SUGGESTED THAT FURTHER CONSIDERATION OF PASSIVE TREATMENT SHOULD BE LIMITED TO AN EVALUATION OF JUST ONE SITE, AND ASKED WHETHER EPA HAS CONSIDERED THE BIG FIVE TUNNEL SITE FOR SUCH TESTS.

EPA'S RESPONSE: THE RESULTS OF THE PILOT PLANT PROGRAM AT THE BIG FIVE TUNNEL SITE WILL BE APPLIED TO OTHER SITES IN THE AREA.

COMMENT: CDH SUGGESTED THAT ADDITIONAL TAILINGS CHARACTERIZATION AND SURFICIAL GEOLOGIC MAPPING TO COMPLETE THE INVENTORY OF TAILINGS/WASTE ROCK PILES WILL BE NEEDED TO COMPLETE THE FEASIBILITY STUDY FOR TAILINGS REMEDIATION.

EPA'S RESPONSE: THE CHARACTERIZATION AND MAPPING HAVE BEEN COMPLETED AND WILL BE INCLUDED IN THE FS REPORT ON OPERABLE UNIT NO. 2.

4. HEALTH ISSUES

<u>COMMENT</u>: ONE RESIDENT NOTED THAT HE HAS LIVED IN THE AREA FOR FIFTY YEARS, AND HE DOES NOT BELIEVE THAT THE WATER QUALITY PRESENTS A HEALTH HAZARD.

EPA'S RESPONSE: THE PURPOSE OF THE RI/FS PROCESS IS TO DETERMINE SCIENTIFICALLY THE RISKS TO HUMAN HEALTH AND THE ENVIRONMENT THAT EXPOSURE TO CONTAMINANTS AT THE SITE MAY CAUSE. THE EFFECTS OF SOME METALS MAY NOT BE OBSERVED FOR YEARS. NO HUMAN HEALTH HAZARD WAS FOUND IN THE CREEKS BECAUSE THE METALS ARE DILUTED BY THE FLOW OF THE STREAMS. MINE DRAINAGE, HOWEVER, DOES PRESENT HUMAN HEALTH HAZARDS.

<u>COMMENT</u>: THE MAYOR OF IDAHO SPRINGS RECOMMENDED THAT EPA INVESTIGATE HEALTH EFFECTS FROM POSSIBLY CONTAMINATED PRIVATE WELLS JUST OUTSIDE THE SUPERFUND BOUNDARY.

EPA'S RESPONSE: EPA CONDUCTED A WELL SURVEY IN THE SPRING OF 1987 AND DETERMINED THAT AN IMMEDIATE RISK DOES NOT EXIST BECAUSE MOST PEOPLE ARE USING PUBLIC WATER SUPPLIES THAT MEET THE CRITERIA OF THE FEDERAL SAFE DRINKING WATER ACT. A GROUND WATER STUDY WILL BE COMPLETED UNDER OPERABLE UNIT NO. 6 TO EVALUATE THE LONG-TERM RISKS.

5. COMMUNITY ISSUES

COMMENT: THE CENTRAL CITY COUNCIL SAID THAT EPA SHOULD HAVE CONSULTED WITH THE CITY COUNCIL PRIOR TO UNDERTAKING ACTIVITIES AND MAKING PLANS FOR WORK WITHIN THE CITY LIMITS, AND ASKED EPA TO CONSULT WITH THE CITY COUNCIL ON ACTIVITIES ALREADY UNDERTAKEN AS WELL AS PROPOSED ACTIVITIES.

EPA'S RESPONSE: EPA HAS SUBSEQUENTLY MET WITH THE CITY COUNCIL AND AGREED TO REGULAR CONSULTANT MEETINGS. NO SIGNIFICANT ACTIVITY HAS TAKEN PLACE WITHIN THE LIMITS OF CENTRAL CITY.

<u>COMMENT</u>: REPRESENTATIVES OF A LOCAL PROPERTY OWNER REQUESTED AN EXTENSION OF THE COMMENT PERIOD FOR COMMUNITY REVIEW OF THE DRAFT RI AND FS REPORTS, ADDING THAT THEY BELIEVE EPA HAS NOT DONE AN ADEQUATE JOB OF MAKING THE DOCUMENTS AVAILABLE TO THIS INDIVIDUAL.

EPA'S RESPONSE: EPA NOTIFIED THE COMMENTER BY LETTER THAT COMMENTS WOULD BE ACCEPTED AND CONSIDERED UNTIL MID-SEPTEMBER BEFORE THE ROD IS SIGNED. EPA IS ALWAYS OPEN TO PUBLIC COMMENT AT ANY TIME.

6. COST ISSUES

<u>COMMENT</u>: A LOCAL PROPERTY OWNER COMMENTED THAT THE SITE IS THE FOCUS OF TOO MUCH STUDY, ADDING THAT SUCH STUDIES ARE A WASTE OF THE TAXPAYERS' MONEY.

<u>EPA'S RESPONSE</u>: WHENEVER POSSIBLE, EPA ATTEMPTS TO USE OTHER STUDIES AND NOT TO DUPLICATE EFFORTS UNNECESSARILY. ALL OF THE STUDIES EPA HAS CONDUCTED TO DATE HAVE BEEN ESSENTIAL TO GAIN A THOROUGH UNDERSTANDING OF THE CONTAMINATION PROBLEM AT THE SITE.

<u>COMMENT</u>: A REPRESENTATIVE OF A LOCAL PROPERTY OWNER ASKED WHETHER THE PROPERTY OWNER WILL PAY THE BILL FOR REMEDIATION, OR IF THE QUESTION OF FINANCIAL RESPONSIBILITY WILL BE LEFT OPEN FOR A LONG PERIOD.

<u>EPA'S RESPONSE</u>: EPA IS CONDUCTING A THOROUGH SEARCH FOR ALL POTENTIALLY RESPONSIBLE PARTIES; IT IS NOT EPA'S INTENT, HOWEVER, TO BANKRUPT ANYONE.

B. SUMMARY OF REMAINING COMMENTS

SEVERAL COMMENTERS ASKED QUESTIONS THAT CAN BE ANSWERED MORE THOROUGHLY DURING LATER STUDIES. THESE FOUR REMAINING COMMENTS ARE SUMMARIZED BELOW, FOLLOWED BY EPA'S PRELIMINARY RESPONSE.

<u>COMMENT</u>: A LOCAL PROPERTY OWNER ASKED IF EPA HAS CALCULATED THE ROLE THAT CONTAMINATED GROUND WATER PLAYS IN CONTRIBUTING METALS TO THE CREEKS.

<u>EPA'S RESPONSE</u>: WHEN EPA BEGAN ITS INVESTIGATION OF THE SITE, IT DID NOT EXPECT GROUND WATER TO BE THE FOCUS OF STUDY. A GROUND WATER PROBLEM WAS FOUND, HOWEVER, AND EPA PLANS TO STUDY THE PROBLEM FURTHER UNDER OPERABLE UNIT NO. 6.

<u>COMMENT</u>: A RESIDENT ASKED WHETHER THE CONTAMINATION OF CLEAR CREEK FROM THE GROUND WATER ORIGINATES IN THE BEDROCK OR THE ALLUVIUM.

EPA'S RESPONSE: EPA BELIEVES THAT DURING PERIODS OF HIGH FLOW, THE STREAM RECHARGES THE GROUND WATER; DURING LOW FLOW PERIODS, RECHARGE IS FROM THE AQUIFER TO THE STREAM. EPA HAS ESTABLISHED THAT THE ALLUVIAL GROUND WATER IS CONTAMINATED IN SOME PLACES. IT WILL STUDY BEDROCK GROUND WATER UNDER OPERABLE UNIT NO. 6.

COMMENT: THE PRESIDENT OF THE CLEAR CREEK COUNTY METAL MINING ASSOCIATION RECOMMENDED THAT EPA CONSIDER SERIOUSLY THE OPTION OF REOPENING THE ARGO TUNNEL, CLEANING OUT THE CAVE-INS, AND DIVERTING OR GROUTING THE WATER CHANNELS. TWO COMMENTERS RECOMMENDED THAT EPA CONSIDER THE BENEFITS OF CLEANING OUT THE TUNNELS AS A MEANS OF PROVIDING ECONOMIC BENEFITS TO THE COUNTY AND THE STATE, AND AS BEING THE MOST VIABLE METHOD OF PREVENTING FUTURE BLOWOUTS. ONE COMMENTER ADDED THAT RECORDS WILL BEAR OUT THE FACT THAT MOST OF THE DEPOSITS ABOVE THE TUNNEL ARE OF SUFFICIENT VALUE THAT MINES WOULD BE REOPENED IF THE TUNNEL WERE CLEANED OUT. THE OTHER COMMENTER ADDED THAT CLEANING OUT THE TUNNELS MUST BE DONE CAREFULLY TO MINIMIZE SAFETY RISKS. FURTHER, THE MAYOR OF IDAHO SPRINGS STATED THAT BLOWOUT POTENTIAL EXISTS AT SEVERAL MINE ADITS IN THE AREA.

EPA'S RESPONSE: EPA HAS INITIATED A STUDY ON BLOWOUT CONTROL TO PROTECT HUMAN HEALTH AND THE ENVIRONMENT. SUPERFUND DECISIONS CANNOT BE INFLUENCED BY ECONOMIC BENEFITS THAT RESULT TO THE COMMUNITY, HOWEVER. A DRAFT FS REPORT WILL BE AVAILABLE FOR PUBLIC REVIEW IN JULY 1988.

<u>COMMENT</u>: A LOCAL PROPERTY OWNER STATED THAT HE OWNS ONLY A SMALL PORTION OF THE LAND AT THE ARGO TUNNEL PORTAL, ADDING THAT THE BUREAU OF LAND MANAGEMENT (BLM) OWNS 99.97 PERCENT OF THE TUNNEL.

<u>EPA'S RESPONSE</u>: EPA IS IN THE PROCESS OF FINALIZING A SEARCH TO IDENTIFY POTENTIALLY RESPONSIBLE PARTIES.

ATTACHMENT 1 TO APPENDIX A

CHRONOLOGY OF COMMUNITY RELATIONS ACTIVITIES AT THE

CLEAR CREEK/CENTRAL CITY SITE

THE LIST BELOW SUMMARIZES COMMUNITY RELATIONS ACTIVITIES AT THE CLEAR CREEK/CENTRAL CITY SITE. IN ADDITION TO THE ACTIVITIES LISTED BELOW, EPA HAS MET WITH AREA RESIDENTS AND LOCAL OFFICIALS THROUGHOUT THE RI/FS.

- EPA DEVELOPS COMMUNITY RELATIONS PLAN (CRP). (OCTOBER 1982).
- EPA CONDUCTS ON-SITE DISCUSSIONS WITH LOCAL OFFICIALS AND AREA RESIDENTS. (SEPTEMBER 1985).
- EPA ESTABLISHES INFORMATION FILES AT THREE LOCATIONS IN THE LOCAL COMMUNITIES, AT THE GILPIN COUNTY COURT HOUSE, THE IDAHO SPRINGS PUBLIC LIBRARY, AND THE EPA LIBRARY. (NOVEMBER 1985).
- EPA DISTRIBUTES A KICK-OFF FACT SHEET ON THE SITE. (DECEMBER 1985).
- EPA COMPLETES THE DRAFT COMMUNITY RELATIONS PLAN. (JANUARY 1986).
- EPA RELEASES A QUESTION-ANSWER FACT SHEET ON THE PROPOSED EXPEDITED RESPONSE ACTION AT THE GREGORY TAILINGS, HOLDS A PUBLIC COMMENT PERIOD, AND SPONSORS A PUBLIC MEETING ON THE ERA. (JULY 1986).
- EPA HOLDS A PUBLIC MEETING ON THE PROPOSED EMERGENCY REMOVAL ACTION AT THE GREGORY TAILINGS, AND SIGNS A MEMORANDUM OF UNDERSTANDING WITH THE COLORADO HISTORICAL SOCIETY. (MARCH 1987).
- IN RESPONSE TO COMMUNITY REQUESTS, EPA EXPANDS THE NUMBER OF LOCAL-AREA INFORMATION REPOSITORIES TO INCLUDE THE GOLDEN PUBLIC LIBRARY AND THE IDAHO SPRINGS CITY HALL. (MAY 1987).
- EPA RELEASES A QUESTION-ANSWER FACT SHEET ON THE WELL SURVEY AND WRITES AN OPEN LETTER TO RESIDENTS. (APRIL 1987).
- EPA REVISES THE DRAFT CRP. (JUNE 1987).
- EPA DISTRIBUTES A QUESTION-ANSWER FACT SHEET, HOLDS A PUBLIC MEETING, AND HAS A PUBLIC COMMENT PERIOD ON THE RI/FS AND PROPOSED PLAN FOR OPERABLE UNIT NO. 1. (JUNE-JULY 1987).
- EPA PLACES THE ADMINISTRATIVE RECORD IN THE INFORMATION REPOSITORIES AT THE GILPIN COUNTY COURT HOUSE AND THE EPA LIBRARY. (AUGUST 1987).

APPENDIX B

APPLICABLE AND/OR RELEVANT AND APPROPRIATE FEDERAL AND STATE REQUIREMENTS

INTRODUCTION

UNDER SECTION 121(D) (1) OF THE SUPERFUND AMENDMENTS AND REAUTHORIZATION ACT OF 1986 ("SARA"), REMEDIAL ACTIONS MUST ATTAIN A DEGREE OF CLEANUP WHICH ASSURES PROTECTION OF HUMAN HEALTH AND THE ENVIRONMENT. ADDITIONALLY, SUPERFUND REMEDIAL ACTIONS THAT LEAVE ANY HAZARDOUS SUBSTANCE, POLLUTANT, OR CONTAMINANT ONSITE MUST MEET, UPON COMPLETION OF THE REMEDIAL ACTION, A LEVEL OR STANDARD OF CONTROL THAT AT LEAST ATTAINS STANDARDS, REQUIREMENTS, LIMITATIONS, OR CRITERIA THAT ARE "APPLICABLE AND/OR RELEVANT AND APPROPRIATE" UNDER THE CIRCUMSTANCES OF THE RELEASE. THESE REQUIREMENTS, KNOWN AS "ARARS", MAY BE WAIVED IN CERTAIN INSTANCES. (SECTION 121(D)(4) OF SARA.).

ARARS ARE DERIVED FROM BOTH FEDERAL AND STATE LAWS. UNDER SECTION 121(D)(2) OF SARA, THE FEDERAL ARARS FOR A SITE COULD INCLUDE REQUIREMENTS UNDER ANY OF THE FEDERAL ENVIRONMENTAL LAWS (E.G., THE CLEAN AIR ACT, THE CLEAN WATER ACT, AND THE SAFE DRINKING WATER ACT). STATE ARARS INCLUDE PROMULGATED REQUIREMENTS UNDER THE STATE ENVIRONMENTAL OR FACILITY SITING LAWS THAT ARE MORE STRINGENT THAN FEDERAL ARARS AND HAVE BEEN IDENTIFIED TO EPA BY THE STATE IN A TIMELY MANNER.

SUBSECTION 121(D) OF SARA REQUIRES THAT FEDERAL AND STATE SUBSTANTIVE REQUIREMENTS THAT QUALIFY AS ARARS BE COMPLIED WITH BY REMEDIES (IN THE ABSENCE OF A WAIVER). STATE REQUIREMENTS CAN BE WAIVED IF A STATE HAS NOT CONSISTENTLY APPLIED OR DEMONSTRATED THE INTENT TO CONSISTENTLY APPLY A REQUIREMENT IN SIMILAR CIRCUMSTANCES AT OTHER REMEDIAL ACTIONS WITHIN THE STATE (SUBPARAGRAPH 121(D)(4)(E) OF SARA). FEDERAL, STATE, OR LOCAL PERMITS DO NOT NEED TO BE OBTAINED FOR REMOVAL OR REMEDIAL ACTIONS IMPLEMENTED ON SITE (SUBSECTION 121(E) OF SARA).

THE DEFINITION OF "APPLICABLE" AND "RELEVANT OR APPROPRIATE" REQUIREMENTS IS DERIVED FROM THE NATIONAL CONTINGENCY PLAN, 40 C.F.R. SS300.6 (1986) ("NCP"). "APPLICABLE" REQUIREMENTS ARE THOSE THAT WOULD BE LEGALLY APPLICABLE TO A REMEDIAL ACTION EXCEPT THAT THE ACTION IS BEING TAKEN PURSUANT TO CERCLA AUTHORITY. APPLICABLE REQUIREMENTS MAY APPLY DIRECTLY OR THROUGH INCORPORATION BY A FEDERALLY AUTHORIZED STATE PROGRAM. "RELEVANT AND APPROPRIATE" REQUIREMENTS ARE NOT LEGALLY APPLICABLE, BUT ARE DESIGNED TO APPLY TO PROBLEMS OR SITUATIONS SUFFICIENTLY SIMILAR THAT THEIR APPLICATION IS APPROPRIATE. FOR EXAMPLE, REQUIREMENTS MAY BE RELEVANT AND APPROPRIATE IF THEY WOULD BE "APPLICABLE" BUT FOR JURISDICTIONAL RESTRICTIONS ASSOCIATED WITH THE REQUIREMENT.

THERE ARE THREE TYPES OF ARARS. THE FIRST TYPE INCLUDES "CONTAMINANT-SPECIFIC" REQUIREMENTS. THESE ARARS SET LIMITS ON CONCENTRATIONS OF SPECIFIC HAZARDOUS SUBSTANCES, POLLUTANTS, AND CONTAMINANTS IN THE ENVIRONMENT. EXAMPLES OF THIS TYPE OF ARAR ARE AMBIENT WATER QUALITY CRITERIA AND DRINKING WATER STANDARDS. A SECOND TYPE OF ARAR INCLUDES LOCATION-SPECIFIC REQUIREMENTS WHICH SET RESTRICTIONS ON CERTAIN TYPES OF ACTIVITIES BASED ON SITE CHARACTERISTICS. THESE INCLUDE RESTRICTIONS ON ACTIVITIES IN WETLANDS, FLOODPLAINS, AND HISTORIC SITES. THE THIRD TYPE OF ARAR INCLUDES ACTION-SPECIFIC REQUIREMENTS. THESE ARE TECHNOLOGY-BASED RESTRICTIONS WHICH ARE TRIGGERED BY THE TYPE OF ACTION UNDER CONSIDERATION. EXAMPLES OF ACTION-SPECIFIC ARARS ARE RESOURCE CONSERVATION AND RECOVERY ACT (RCRA) REGULATIONS FOR WASTE TREATMENT, STORAGE, AND DISPOSAL.

ARAR IDENTIFICATION PROCESS

EPA AND THE STATE OF COLORADO REVIEWED, RESPECTIVELY, FEDERAL AND STATE LAWS, STANDARDS, REQUIREMENTS, CRITERIA, AND LIMITATIONS FOR POSSIBLE APPLICATION TO THE CLEAR CREEK/CENTRAL CITY SITE. TABLES B-1 AND B-2 CONTAIN A LISTING OF THE POTENTIAL ARARS SCREENED BY EPA AND THE STATE. THESE CHARTS IDENTIFY EACH POTENTIAL ARAR AND WHETHER OR NOT IT IS "APPLICABLE" OR "RELEVANT AND APPROPRIATE.". THE REMAINDER OF THIS ANALYSIS DESCRIBES THE THREE TYPES OF ARARS IDENTIFIED FOR OPERABLE UNIT NO. ONE IN GREATER DETAIL.

CONTAMINANT-SPECIFIC ARARS

THE CONTAMINANT PATHWAYS OF CONCERN ARE DISCHARGE FROM FIVE DISTINCT TUNNELS TO CLEAR CREEK AND NORTH CLEAR CREEK SURFACE WATER AND SUBSEQUENT INTERACTIONS WITH SHALLOW GROUND WATER. THE

PRINCIPAL CONTAMINANTS INCLUDE BUT ARE NOT LIMITED TO ARSENIC, CADMIUM, CHROMIUM, COPPER, FLUORIDE, LEAD, NICKEL, SILVER AND ZINC. HUMANS ARE A POTENTIAL RECEPTOR OF CONTAMINATION FROM DISCHARGE THROUGH EXPOSURE TO BOTH CONTAMINATED ACID MINE DRAINAGE AND GROUND WATER. AQUATIC LIFE IS EXPOSED TO CONTAMINATED SURFACE WATER.

CONTAMINANT-SPECIFIC ARARS

THE CONTAMINANT-SPECIFIC ARARS FOR OPERABLE UNIT NO. ONE ARE DESCRIBED BELOW AND LISTED IN TABLES B-1 THROUGH B-3.

1. MAXIMUM CONTAMINANT LEVELS FOR DRINKING WATER

THE FEDERAL SAFE DRINKING WATER ACT AND COLORADO DRINKING WATER AUTHORITIES PROVIDE FOR THE ESTABLISHMENT OF DRINKING WATER STANDARDS FOR PUBLIC WATER SYSTEMS. THESE STANDARDS ARE "APPLICABLE" ONLY TO PUBLIC WATER SYSTEMS AS DEFINED BY THE ACT AND REGULATIONS. HOWEVER, THEY MAY BE CONSIDERED "RELEVANT AND APPROPRIATE" AS ARARS FOR POTENTIAL GROUND WATER AND SURFACE WATER EXPOSURE VIA DRINKING WATER (U.S. EPA, SUPERFUND PUBLIC HEALTH EVALUATION MANUAL (OCT. 1986)). BECAUSE OF THE CONNECTION AT THE SITE BETWEEN SURFACE WATER AND GROUND WATER WHICH IS AN EXISTING OR POTENTIAL SOURCE OF DRINKING WATER, DRINKING WATER STANDARDS ARE CONSIDERED ARARS FOR OPERABLE UNIT NO. ONE.

THE PRIMARY "MAXIMUM CONTAMINANT LEVELS" OR "MCLS" FOR INORGANIC CHEMICALS ARE CONSIDERED ARARS. PRIMARY MCLS ARE ENFORCEABLE STANDARDS ESTABLISHING MAXIMUM PERMISSIBLE LEVELS OF CONTAMINANTS IN DRINKING WATER. (40 C.F.R. SS141.2(C) (1986)). THESE STANDARDS ARE HEALTH-BASED, BUT HAVE AN ECONOMIC COMPONENT. (42 U.S.C. SS1401(1)(C)). PRIMARY MCLS ARE CURRENTLY SET FOR THE FOLLOWING CHEMICALS: ARSENIC, BARIUM, CADMIUM, CHROMIUM, LEAD, MERCURY, NITRATE, SELENIUM, AND SILVER. (40 C.F.R. SS141.11(B)). THE FEDERAL AND STATE MCLS FOR THESE SUBSTANCES ARE IDENTICAL. (COLORADO PRIMARY DRINKING WATER REGULATIONS, 5 COLO. ADMIN. CODE 1003-1 (1981)). MCLS ARE LESS STRINGENT THAN AWQC.

THE SAFE DRINKING WATER ACT ALSO PROVIDES FOR ESTABLISHMENT OF SECONDARY MCLS. THESE ARE DESIGNED TO "CONTROL CONTAMINANTS IN DRINKING WATER THAT PRIMARILY AFFECT THE AESTHETIC QUALITIES RELATING TO PUBLIC ACCEPTANCE OF DRINKING WATER.". 40 C.F.R. SS143.1 (1986). THE REGULATIONS NOTE THAT SECONDARY MCLS "IN THE JUDGMENT OF THE ADMINISTRATOR (OF EPA) ARE REQUISITE TO PROTECT THE PUBLIC WELFARE.". 40 C.F.R. SS143.2(F). FEDERAL SECONDARY MCLS ARE SET FOR CHLORIDE, COLOR, COPPER, CORROSIVITY, FLUORIDE, FOAMING AGENTS, IRON, MANGANESE, ODOR, PH, SULFATE, TOTAL DISSOLVED SOLIDS, AND ZINC. 40 C.F.R. SS143.3. THE STATE OF COLORADO HAS NOT PROMULGATED SECONDARY MCLS.

2. FEDERAL AMBIENT WATER QUALITY CRITERIA

SECTION 304(A) OF THE CLEAN WATER ACT, 33 U.S.C. SS1314(A) (1982), REQUIRES EPA TO DEVELOP WATER QUALITY CRITERIA RELATED TO PROTECTION OF HUMAN HEALTH AND AQUATIC LIFE. EPA HAS DEVELOPED CRITERIA FOR NUMEROUS SUBSTANCES. THE FEDERAL WATER QUALITY CRITERIA ARE NOT LEGALLY ENFORCEABLE AND ARE THEREFORE NOT "APPLICABLE" TO THE CLEANUP. HOWEVER, THEY MAY BE CONSIDERED "RELEVANT AND APPROPRIATE" UNDER THE CIRCUMSTANCES OF THE RELEASE.

UNDER SECTION 121(D)(2) (A) OF SARA, THE REMEDY SELECTED MUST "REQUIRE A LEVEL OR STANDARD OF CONTROL WHICH AT LEAST ATTAINS ... WATER QUALITY CRITERIA ESTABLISHED UNDER SECTION 304 OR 303 OF THE CLEAN WATER ACT, WHERE SUCH ... CRITERIA ARE RELEVANT AND APPROPRIATE UNDER THE CIRCUMSTANCES OF TIME RELEASE OR THREATENED RELEASE.". SARA FURTHER PROVIDES THAT "(I) IN DETERMINING WHETHER OR NOT ANY WATER QUALITY CRITERIA UNDER THE CLEAN WATER ACT IS RELEVANT AND APPROPRIATE UNDER THE CIRCUMSTANCES OF THE RELEASES, (EPA) SHALL CONSIDER THE DESIGNATED OR POTENTIAL USE OF THE SURFACE OR GROUND WATER, THE ENVIRONMENTAL MEDIA AFFECTED, THE PURPOSES FOR WHICH SUCH CRITERIA WERE DEVELOPED, AND THE LATEST INFORMATION AVAILABLE.". (SECTION 121(D)(2)(B)(I) OF SARA.).

EPA HAS DETERMINED THAT THE AMBIENT WATER QUALITY CRITERIA FOR ACUTE AND CHRONIC TOXICITY TO FRESH WATER AQUATIC LIFE AND TO HUMANS FOR ARSENIC, CADMIUM, CHROMIUM,

COPPER, CYANIDE, IRON, LEAD, MERCURY, NICKEL, SELENIUM, SILVER, AND ZINC ARE RELEVANT FOR OPERABLE UNIT NO. ONE. ADDITIONAL DATA COLLECTION AND ANALYSIS ARE NECESSARY FOR EPA TO DETERMINE WHETHER SUCH NATIONAL CRITERIA ARE APPROPRIATE UNDER THE CIRCUMSTANCES OF THESE RELEASES INTO CLEAR CREEK AND NORTH CLEAR CREEK OR WHETHER SITE SPECIFIC MODIFICATIONS TO NATIONAL CRITERIA WOULD MORE APPROPRIATELY ESTABLISH A CLEANUP GOAL FOR THIS SITE. UNTIL SUCH TIME THAT IT IS DETERMINED THAT SITE SPECIFIC MODIFICATION TO INDIVIDUAL CONTAMINANT CRITERIA ARE NECESSARY, EPA WILL CONSIDER THE MORE STRINGENT OF HUMAN HEALTH OR AQUATIC LIFE AMBIENT WATER QUALITY CRITERIA (AWQCS) AS AN ARAR FOR THE FINAL REMEDY.

3. STATE WATER QUALITY STANDARDS

SECTION 303 OF THE CLEAN WATER ACT, 33 U.S.C. SS1313, PROVIDES FOR PROMULGATION OF WATER QUALITY STANDARDS BY THE STATES. THE STANDARDS CONSIST OF DESIGNATED USES OF WATER AND WATER QUALITY CRITERIA FOR WATER BASED ON USES DESIGNATED. 40 C.F.R. SS131.3(I) (1986). THE CRITERIA ARE "ELEMENTS OF STATE WATER QUALITY STANDARDS, EXPRESSED AS CONSTITUENT CONCENTRATIONS, LEVELS, OR NARRATIVE STATEMENTS, REPRESENTING A QUALITY OF WATER THAT SUPPORTS A PARTICULAR USE.". 40 C.F.R. SS131.3(B).

A. STATE CONTAMINANT-SPECIFIC ARARS

STATE CONTAMINANT-SPECIFIC ARARS ARE LISTED IN TABLE B-1 AND B-3. TABLE B-1 COMPARES FEDERAL MCLS, AWQC AND STATE CONTAMINANT-SPECIFIC ARARS. STATE CONTAMINANT-SPECIFIC ARARS ARE CONSIDERED RELEVANT, BUT TO THE EXTENT THAT THEY ARE DUPLICATIVE OF FEDERAL AWQC, THEIR APPROPRIATENESS FOR THIS SITE HAS TO BE EVALUATED.

B. COLO. ADMIN. CODE 1002-8.

THE STATE HAS ALSO IDENTIFIED THE "BASIC STANDARDS" PORTION OF "THE BASIC STANDARDS AND METHODOLOGIES" AS AN ARAR FOR OPERABLE UNIT NO. ONE. (5 COLO. ADMIN. CODE 1002-8.). SECTION 3.1.11 OF THESE REGULATIONS ESTABLISHES BASIC STANDARDS APPLICABLE TO ALL WATERS OF THE STATE. THE KEY PORTIONS OF THESE STANDARDS WHICH ARE RELEVANT AND APPROPRIATE FOR OPERABLE UNIT NO. ONE STATE:

SUBSTANCES ATTRIBUTABLE TO HUMAN-INDUCED DISCHARGES ... SHALL NOT BE INTRODUCED INTO WATERS OF THE STATE:

- A. WHICH CAN SETTLE TO FORM BOTTOM DEPOSITS DETRIMENTAL TO THE BENEFICIAL USES.

 DEPOSITS ARE STREAM BOTTOM BUILDUP OF MATERIALS WHICH INCLUDE BUT ARE NOT LIMITED TO ANAEROBIC SLUDGES, MINE SLURRY OR TAILINGS, SILT, OR MUD; OR
- B. WHICH FORM FLOATING DEBRIS, SCUM, OR OTHER SURFACE MATERIALS SUFFICIENT TO HARM EXISTING BENEFICIAL USES; OR
- C. WHICH PRODUCE COLOR, ODOR, OR OTHER CONDITIONS IN SUCH A DEGREE AS TO CREATE A NUISANCE OR HARM EXISTING BENEFICIAL USES OR IMPART ANY UNDESIRABLE TASTE TO SIGNIFICANT EDIBLE AQUATIC SPECIES OR TO THE WATER; OR
- D. IN AMOUNTS, CONCENTRATIONS, OR COMBINATIONS WHICH ARE HARMFUL TO THE BENEFICIAL USES OR TOXIC TO HUMANS, ANIMALS, PLANTS, OR AQUATIC LIFE; OR
- E. IN AMOUNTS, CONCENTRATIONS, OR COMBINATION WHICH PRODUCE A PREDOMINANCE OF UNDESIRABLE AQUATIC LIFE; OR
- F. IN CONCENTRATIONS WHICH CAUSE A FILM ON THE SURFACE OR PRODUCE A DEPOSIT ON SHORELINES.

C. ANTIDEGRADATION STANDARD

THE STATE OF COLORADO HAS ALSO IDENTIFIED ITS ANTIDEGRADATION STANDARD AS AN ARAR FOR THE OPERABLE UNIT NO. ONE. SECTION 3.1.8 OF THE BASIC STANDARDS AND METHODOLOGIES, 5 COLO. ADMIN. CODE 1002-8, PROVIDES:

EXISTING USES SHALL BE MAINTAINED AS REQUIRED BY STATE AND FEDERAL LAW. NO FURTHER WATER QUALITY DEGRADATION IS ALLOWABLE WHICH WOULD INTERFERE WITH OR BECOME INJURIOUS TO EXISTING USES.

UNDER SECTION 3.1.3, THE ANTIDEGRADATION STANDARD APPLIES TO ALL WATERS OF THE STATE AND IS CONSIDERED RELEVANT AND APPROPRIATE FOR OPERABLE UNIT NO. ONE.

STATE REGULATIONS DO NOT DEFINE THE TERM "EXISTING USES". UNDER FEDERAL REGULATIONS, EXISTING USES ARE DEFINED AS "USES ACTUALLY ATTAINED IN THE WATER BODY ON OR AFTER NOVEMBER 28, 1975, WHETHER OR NOT THEY ARE INCLUDED IN THE WATER QUALITY STANDARDS.". (40 C.F.R. SS131.10.).

LOCATION-SPECIFIC ARARS

PHYSICAL CHARACTERISTICS OF THE SITE INFLUENCE THE TYPE AND LOCATION OF REMEDIAL RESPONSES CONSIDERED FOR OPERABLE UNIT ONE. THE LOCATION-SPECIFIC ARARS IDENTIFIED FOR THE SITE IN TABLES B-1 THROUGH B-3 ESTABLISH CONSULTATION PROCEDURES WITH FEDERAL AND STATE AGENCIES AND MAY IMPOSE CONSTRAINTS ON THE LOCATION OF REMEDIAL MEASURES OR REQUIRE MITIGATION MEASURES.

THE LOCATION-SPECIFIC ARARS FOR OPERABLE UNIT NO. ONE RELATE TO HISTORIC PRESERVATION, FISH AND WILDLIFE, WETLANDS, FLOODPLAINS, AND WORK IN NAVIGABLE WATERS. THE LOCATION-SPECIFIC ARARS INFLUENCE THE TYPE AND LOCATION OF REMEDIAL ALTERNATIVES DEVELOPED FOR THE SITE.

1. HISTORIC PRESERVATION ARARS

BOTH FEDERAL AND STATE LAWS PROVIDE FOR PROTECTION OF HISTORICAL RESOURCES. THE CENTRAL CITY HISTORIC DISTRICT IS LOCATED WITHIN THE SUPERFUND SITE. IN ADDITION, THERE MAY BE FEATURES ELIGIBLE FOR THE FEDERAL OR STATE HISTORICAL REGISTERS. ALL REGULATIONS RELATING TO HISTORIC PRESERVATION WILL BE FOLLOWED.

2. FISH AND WILDLIFE

THE FISH AND WILDLIFE COORDINATION ACT REQUIRES EPA TO COORDINATE WITH FEDERAL AND STATE AGENCIES IF THE REMEDY WOULD MODIFY ANY STREAM OR WATER BODY. IF ANY REMEDY SELECTED INVOLVES MODIFICATION OF CLEAR CREEK OR NORTH CLEAR CREEK, EPA WILL WORK WITH THESE AGENCIES TO PROVIDE FOR PROTECTION OF FISH AND WILDLIFE.

3. FLOODPLAINS

PORTIONS OF THE SITE ALONG NORTH CLEAR CREEK ARE IN THE FLOODPLAIN. FACILITIES WILL BE SITUATED OUT OF THE FLOODPLAIN FOR OPERABLE UNIT NO. ONE. REQUIREMENTS OF THE EXECUTIVE ORDER ON FLOODPLAIN MANAGEMENT ARE APPLICABLE TO THIS OPERABLE UNIT.

4. WETLANDS

THE EXECUTIVE ORDER ON PROTECTION OF WETLANDS IS APPLICABLE FOR THIS OPERABLE UNIT.

5. WORK IN OR AFFECTING NAVIGABLE WATERS

IF THE REMEDY SELECTED FOR OPERABLE UNIT NO. ONE INVOLVES WORK IN OR AFFECTING NAVIGABLE WATERS, EPA WILL FOLLOW ALL RELEVANT ARARS UNDER SECTION 404 OF THE CLEAR WATER ACT.

ACTION-SPECIFIC ARARS

THE ACTION-SPECIFIC ARARS FOR OPERABLE UNIT NO. ONE DEAL WITH REQUIREMENTS FOR THE DEGREE OF DISCHARGE TREATMENT REQUIRED AND REQUIREMENTS FOR DISPOSAL OF SLUDGES AND METAL LADEN ORGANIC MATERIAL.

1. NATIONAL POLLUTANT DISCHARGE ELIMINATION SYSTEM (NPDES)

THE DEGREE OF TREATMENT REQUIRED FOR LOW PH MINE DISCHARGES WILL BE SUFFICIENT TO MEET UPSTREAM WATER QUALITY CONCENTRATIONS IN CLEAR CREEK AND NORTH CLEAR CREEK. THE NPDES EFFLUENT LIMITATIONS WOULD NOT BE AS STRINGENT.

2. SOLID WASTE DISPOSAL ACT (SWDA)

THE SWDA SETS CRITERIA FOR LANDFILLING OF SLUDGES AND WOULD ALSO IMPOSE A LAND BAN ON LANDFILLING OF METAL LADEN ORGANIC MATERIAL REMOVED FROM PASSIVE TREATMENT SYSTEMS, IF NOT TREATED TO PASS EP TOXICITY TESTS. THE SWDA IS AN ARAR. SUBTITLE D OF RCRA IS CONSIDERED RELEVANT AND APPROPRIATE UPON IMPLEMENTATION.

APPENDIX C

INDEX TO THE ADMINISTRATIVE RECORD

UNITED STATES ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY

AUGUST 28, 1987

TO: RESIDENTS AND OTHER INTERESTED PARTIES

THE ADMINISTRATIVE RECORD (AR), A COMPILATION OF ALL SIGNIFICANT DOCUMENTS USED IN MAKING DECISIONS FOR THE CLEAR CREEK/CENTRAL CITY SUPERFUND SITE, IS NOW AVAILABLE. BECAUSE OF THE VOLUME OF MATERIALS, THE FULL AR HAS BEEN PLACED IN THE INFORMATION FILES AT THE FOLLOWING ADDRESSES:

GILPIN COUNTY COURTHOUSE U.S. EPA LIBRARY 203 EUREKA 999 18TH STREET CENTRAL CITY, CO 80427 DENVER, CO 80202 (303) 569-3251 (303) 293-1444

HOURS: MON.-FRI. 8:00-4:30 HOURS: MON.-FRI. 8:00-4:00.

AN INDEX OF THE AR IS AVAILABLE AT ALL FIVE INFORMATION FILES, INCLUDING THOSE LISTED BELOW:

IDAHO SPRINGS IDAHO SPRINGS GOLDEN PUBLIC
CITY HALL PUBLIC LIBRARY LIBRARY

1711 MINER STREET 219 14TH STREET 923 10TH STREET
IDAHO SPRINGS, CO 80452 IDAHO SPRINGS, CO 80452 GOLDEN, CO 80401
(303) 567-4421 (303) 567-2020 (303) 279-4585

HOURS: MON.-FRI. HOURS: MON., TUES., HOURS: MON.-THURS.

8:00-5:00 THURS. 1:00-7:00 10:00-9:00 WED., FRI. 9:00-5:00 FRI.-SAT. SATURDAY 10:00-3:00 10:00-5:00

SUNDAY 12:00-5:00.

THE PURPOSE OF THE AR IS TO PROVIDE COMPLETE DOCUMENTATION OF THE PROCESS AND STUDIES PREPARED FOR THE SITE. WE ENCOURAGE YOU TO REVIEW THESE MATERIALS. IF YOU HAVE ANY QUESTIONS ABOUT THE MATERIALS, PLEASE GIVE ME OR WALTER SANDZA A CALL. YOU CAN REACH WALTER SANDZA AT (303) 293-1519. I CAN BE REACHED AT 1-800-332-3321 (TOLL FREE) OR (303) 293-1699.

SINCERELY,

JANE O. RUSSO
COMMUNITY RELATIONS COORDINATOR.

CENTRAL CITY/CLEAR CREEK ADMINISTRATIVE RECORD CENTRAL CITY/CLEAR CREEK REMEDIAL ACTION DOCUMENTS

100001 DECEMBER 1, 1967 PAGES: 150 REPORT BY: U.S. DEPT. OF INTERIOR TO: UNKNOWN

POLLUTION/AQUATICS S. PLAT BASIN

100002

DECEMBER 1, 1967

PAGES: 82 RPT APP

POLLUTION/AQUATICS S. PLAT BASIN

BY: U.S. DEPT. OF INTERIOR

TO: UNKNOWN

100003

DECEMBER 1, 1975

PAGES: 88 REPORT

BIOTA & W. QUALITY S. PLAT RIVER

BLUESTEIN & HENDRICKS

BY: UNKNOWN

100004

AUGUST 1, 1978

PAGES: 4 DATA

CC FISH SPECIES COUNTS NEAR METRO

BY: COLO. DIV. OF WILDLIFE

TO: UNKNOWN

100005

JULY 30, 1982

PAGES: 41

REPORT

EPA FIT TEAM REPORT ON NCC DRAINAGE

BY: DONNA TOEROEK, FRED C. HART ASSOCIATES, INC.

TO: KEITH O. SCHWAB (EPA)

100006

JANUARY 18, 1983

PAGES: 4

EPA ACTION MEMO PROCEED W/RI/FS FROM: WILLIAM H. HEDEMAN (EPA) TO: RITA M. LAVELLE (EPA)

100007

FEBRUARY 8, 1983

PAGES: 48 REPORT

BLACK & VEATCH REM. PLAN TO EPA

BY: BLACK AND VEATCH

TO: EPA

100008

JUNE 3, 1983 PAGES: 20

MCINTOSH TITLE SEARCH AND TAIL ID

FROM: JAMES MCINTOSH

TO: ECOLOGY AND ENVIRONMENT

100009

SEPTEMBER 15, 1983

PAGES: 40 REPORT

CH2M HILL WORK PLAN AND ASSIGN.

BY: CH2M HILL TO: UNKNOWN

100010

SEPTEMBER 19, 1983

PAGES: 107

LETTER

COLO. DEPT. HEALTH COMMENTS ON CC

FROM: VARIOUS AUTHORS
TO: VARIOUS ADDRESSEES

100011

JUNE 28, 1984

PAGES: 4

CO. MINED LAND RECLAIM ON CC RI/FS

BY: DAVID HOLM (MINED LAND RECLAIM DIV.)

TO: JOHN HARDAWAY

100012

FEBRUARY 25, 1985

PAGES: 50 REPORT

CH2M HILL FINAL WORK PLAN RI/FS

BY: UNKNOWN TO: UNKNOWN

100013

MAY 31, 1985 PAGES: 78

REPORT

DRAFT WORK PLAN FOR CC RI/FS BY: ROBERT L. OLSEN (CDM)

TO: JUDITH WONG AND WALTER SANDZA (EPA)

100014

JUNE 6, 1985 PAGES: 167

(POP)

PROJECT OPERATIONS PLAN V. 2 ORGS

BY: CDM TO: EPA

100015

JUNE 6, 1985 PAGES: 101

(POP)

PROJECT OPERATIONS PLAN V. 1 ORGS

BY: CDM
TO: UNKNOWN

100016

JUNE 19, 1985

PAGES: 13

LETTER

GORMLEY WK. PLAN RECOMMEND ON CC

FROM: JAMES J. GUSEK & JOHN T. GORMLEY

TO: ROGER OLSEN (CDM)

100017

JUNE 24, 1985

PAGES: 133

REPORT

FINAL WORK PLAN FOR CC RI/FS V. 1

BY: CDM TO: EPA

100018

OCTOBER 1, 1985

PAGES: 15

DATA

STS SOIL BORING LOGS, TAIL. & TUN

BY: J. LECLAIRE (CDM)

100019

OCTOBER 1, 1985

PAGES: 41

DATA

GORMLEY DRILLING BOREHOLE LOGS CC

BY: GORMLEY TO: CDM

100020

OCTOBER 14, 1985

PAGES: 32

MISC.

AQUATIC ECOLOGY INFO. NOTES DATA

BY: CDM
TO: UNKNOWN

100021

NOVEMBER, 1985

PAGES: 6 DATA

GROUND WATER RESULTS

BY: UNKNOWN TO: UNKNOWN

100022

DECEMBER 1, 1985

PAGES: 4 FIGURES

FIGURES FOR SUPERFUND FACT SHEET

BY: UNKNOWN TO: UNKNOWN

100023

DECEMBER 1, 1985

PAGES: 4
FACT SHEET

SUPERFUND PROGRAM FACT SHEET CC

TO: UNKNOWN

100024

FEBRUARY 4, 1986

PAGES: 1 DATA

GEOTECH BOREHOLE COORDS

BY: UNKNOWN TO: UNKNOWN

100025

APRIL 22, 1986

PAGES: 6

CC CREEK CENSUS AND STOCKING INFO

BY: UNKNOWN TO: UNKNOWN

100026

APRIL 23, 1986

PAGES: 19

REPORT

FINAL HEALTH & SAFETY PLAN APPROV

BY: UNKNOWN TO: UNKNOWN

100027

MAY 29, 1986

PAGES: 2

CDM TO DEPT. HEALTH ON WATER STDS

FROM: JOHN K. HOPKINS (CDM)

TO: THOMAS LOOBY (CO DEPT. OF HEALTH)

100028

JUNE 11, 1986

PAGES: 2 MINUTES

CDM INTERVIEW GOLDEN W. TREATMENT

BY: OLSEN & HOPKINS (CDM)

TO: CLEAR CREEK/CENTRAL CITY FILES

100029

JUNE 21, 1986

PAGES: 9 REPORT

REPORT ON VALUE OF GREGORY TAIL.

BY: W. REX BULL & THOMAS R. WILDEMAN

TO: CDM

100030

JULY 1, 1986

PAGES: 4
FACT SHEET

SUPERFUND FACT SHEET GREGORY TAIL

BY: UNKNOWN

100031

JULY 1, 1986

PAGES: 62

REPORT

GORMLEY SOURCE CONTROL INVESTIGS.

BY: GORMLEY TO: CDM

100032 JULY 10, 1986 PAGES: 28 LTR RPT LOW FLOWS CC: CO. DEPT. HEALTH BY: RICH HORSTMANN (CO DEPT. OF HEALTH) TO: JOHN HOPKINS (CDM) 100033 AUGUST 1, 1986 PAGES: 24 REPORT BIG 5 PASS. TREAT. DESIGN & COST BY: E. A. HOWARD (CO SCHOOL OF MINES) TO: CDM 100034 AUGUST 1, 1986 PAGES: 188 REPORT GORMLEY GEOTECH INVESTIGATIONS BY: JAMES J. GUSEK (GORMLEY) TO: ROGER OLSEN (CDM) 100035 AUGUST 1, 1986 PAGES: 18 APPENDIX GORMLEY GEOTECH BY: JAMES J. GUSEK (GORMLEY) TO: ROGER OLSEN (CDM) 100036 AUGUST 19, 1986 PAGES: 2 EPA MEMO, RCRA REGS AT MINE SITES BY: HENRY L. LORGEST II (EPA) TO: WASTE MANAGEMENT DV DIR. 100037 NOVEMBER 1, 1986 PAGES: 222 VALIDATED LAB DATA PKGS (V GT 2) BY: CDM 100038 NOVEMBER 1, 1986 PAGES: 121 VALIDATED LAB DATA PKGS (V GT 4) BY: CDM 100039 NOVEMBER 1, 1986 PAGES: 108 VALIDATED LAB DATA PKGS (V. 1C) BY: CDM

100040 NOVEMBI

NOVEMBER 1, 1986

PAGES: 175

VALIDATED LAB DATA PKGS (V. 1A)

BY: CDM

```
100041
NOVEMBER 1, 1986
PAGES: 301
VALIDATED LAB DATA PKGS. (V. 7)
BY: CDM
100042
NOVEMBER 1, 1986
PAGES: 223
VALIDATED LAB DATA PKGS (V. 3)
BY: CDM
100043
NOVEMBER 1, 1986
PAGES: 197
VALIDATED LAB DATA PKGS (V. 10)
BY: CDM
100044
NOVEMBER 1, 1986
PAGES: 192
VALIDATED LAB DATA PKGS (V. 5)
BY: CDM
100045
NOVEMBER 1, 1986
PAGES: 236
VALIDATED LAB DATA PKGS (V. 8)
BY: CDM
100046
NOVEMBER 1, 1986
PAGES: 229
VALIDATED LAB DATA PKGS (V. 1B)
BY: CDM
100047
NOVEMBER 1, 1986
PAGES: 280
VALIDATED LAB DATA PKGS (V. 6)
BY: CDM
100048
NOVEMBER 1, 1986
PAGES: 189
VALIDATED LAB DATA PKGS (V. 9)
BY: CDM
100049
DECEMBER 15, 1986
PAGES: 2
MINUTES
MINUTES RISK ASSESSMENT MEETING
BY: JOHN HOPKINS (CDM)
TO: ATTENDEES OF MEETING
100050
DECEMBER 16, 1986
PAGES: 12
ICF/CLEMENT WATER QUALITY SUMMS.
FROM: PATRICIA BILLING (CDM)
TO: PAUL CHRYSTOWSKI (ICF)
```

DECEMBER 23, 1986

PAGES: 2

EPA TO CO DEPT. OF HEALTH ON ARARS

FROM: ROBERT L. DUPREY (EPA)

TO: THOMAS LOOBY (CO DEPT. OF HEALTH)

100052

FEBRUARY 10, 1987

PAGES: 4

EPA ON HISTORIC MEMO OF AGREEMENT

FROM: RICK CLAGGETT (HIST. PRESERV. OFFICER)

TO: ADDRESSEES

100053

MARCH 2, 1987

PAGES: 3

MEMO OF AGREEMENT

EPA, CO HIST. SOC. AND THE ADV. COUNCIL ON HIST. PRESERVATION

FROM: UNKNOWN TO: UNKNOWN

100054

MARCH 10, 1987

PAGES: 1

1 TR. RE: MEMO OF AGREEMENT

FROM: ROBERT FINK (COUNCIL ON HIST. PRESER.)

TO: SHARON L. KERCHER (EPA)

100055

APRIL 1, 1987

PAGES: 589

REPORT

DRAFT REMEDIAL INVEST. V. 1 TEXT

BY: ROGER L. OLSEN (CDM)

TO: EPA

100056

APRIL 1, 1987

PAGES: 732

REPORT

DRAFT REMEDIAL INVEST. V 2 TEXT

BY: ROGER L. OLSEN (CDM)

TO: EPA

100057

APRIL 7, 1987

PAGES: 103

REPORT

ARGO TUNNEL WATER TREATAB. STUDY

FROM: RESOURCE TECH. GROUP

TO: CDM

100058

APRIL 7, 1987

PAGES:

EPA RELEASE ON CC WELL WATER SURV

BY: EPA

```
100059
MAY 22, 1987
PAGES: 1
KLUSMAN SCIENTIFIC COMMENTS ON RI
FROM: RONALD W. KLUSMAN (CO SCHOOL OF MINES)
     ROGER OLSEN (CDM)
100060
MAY 27, 1987
PAGES: 7
EPA LTR TO CO HIST SOC ON BIG 5
FROM: WALTER STANDZA (EPA)
     BARBARA NOGREN (CO HIST. SOC.)
TO:
100061
JUNE 1, 1987
PAGES: 36
EPA LETTER ASKING PUBLIC COMM ON FS
FROM: JANE O. RUSSO (EPA)
TO:
     RESIDENTS
100062
JUNE 4, 1987
PAGES: 19
EPA LTR 2 TO CO HIST SOC ON BIG 5
FROM: WALTER STANDZA (EPA)
TO:
    KAREN K. PATTERSON (CO HIST. SOC)
100063
JUNE 8, 1987
PAGES: 786
REPORT
FINAL DRAFT REM. INVEST. V. 2 APP.
BY: CDM
TO: EPA
100064
JUNE 8, 1987
PAGES: 489
REPORT
FINAL DRAFT REM. INVEST. V. 1 TEXT
BY: CDM
TO: EPA
100065
JUNE 8, 1987
PAGES: 416
REPORT
DRAFT FEAS. STUDY PUBLIC COMMENT
BY: CDM
TO: EPA
```

100066 JUNE 9, 1987 PAGES: 2

EPA MEMO ON DELEG BRIEF SUMM CC FROM: JAMES J. SCHERER (EPA)
TO: J. WINSTON PORTER (EPA)

```
100067
JUNE 25, 1987
PAGES: 2
CO HIST SOC REPLY ON BIG 5 ACTION
FROM: BARBARA SUDLER (STATE HIST. PRESERV. OFFICER)
      WALTER STANDZA (CDM)
100068
JUNE 29, 1987
PAGES: 15
REPORT
ARMY CORPS ENG. COMMENTS ON RI/FS
BY: S. L. CARLOCK (DEPT. OF ARMY)
TO: JOHN HOPKINS (CDM)
100069
JULY 2, 1987
PAGES: 34
LTR & REFS
MAXWELL COMMENTS ON RI/FS & ARGO
FROM: JAMES N. MAXWELL
TO: WALTER STANDZA (EPA)
100070
JULY 3, 1987
PAGES: 2
LETTER
IDAHO SPRINGS COMMENTS ON RI/FS
FROM: JAMES REED (MAYOR OF IDAHO SPR.)
TO: WALTER STANDZA (EPA)
100071
JULY 6, 1987
PAGES: 1
LETTER
CC METAL MIN. ASSN. ON RI/FS
FROM: PATRICIA C. MOSCH (CLEAR CREEK CO. METAL MINING ASSOC.)
TO: WALTER STANDZA (EPA)
100072
JULY 7, 1987
PAGES: 2
LETTER
ATTYS. FOR BLAKES ON RI/FS
FROM: JERALD J. DEWITT
TO:
      WALTER STANDZA AND JANE O. RUSSO (EPA)
100073
JULY 7, 1987
PAGES: 2
LETTER
CENTRAL CITY PROTEST ON RI/FS
FROM: BRUCE SCHMALZ (CITY OF CENTRAL CITY)
      WALTER STANDZA AND JANE O. RUSSO (EPA)
100074
JULY 8, 1987
PAGES: 1
HISTORIC PRESERV. REPLY ON BIG 5
FROM: ROBERT FINK (AD. COUNCIL ON HIST. PRES.)
TO:
      WALTER STANDZA (EPA)
```

JULY 10, 1987

PAGES: 1 LETTER

TROUT UNLTD RESPONSE TO CC RI/FS FROM: KENT FISHMAN (TROUT UNLTD)

TO: JANE RUSSO (EPA)

100076

JULY 23, 1987 PAGES: 67

REFS

METAL. ACID EFFECTS ON STRM. LIFE

BY: VARIOUS AUTHORS

TO: UNKNOWN

100077

JULY 27, 1987 PAGES: 16

LETTER

CO DEPT. OF HEALTH REPLY ON DRAFT RI/FS CC ST IN

FROM: NED NOACK (DEPT. OF HEALTH)

TO: JAY SILVERNAIL (EPA)

100078

OCTOBER 11, 1984

PAGES: 2

LETTER WITH MAIL CONTROL SCHEDULE INFORMATION REGARDING ARGO TUNNEL

FROM: CYNTHIA S. LEAP, ADOLPH COORS CO.

TO: FREEDOM OF INFORMATION ACT OFFICER, EPA

100079

SEPTEMBER 27, 1985

PAGES: 6

LETTER WITH ATTACHED FORMS INVESTIGATION IN THE VICINITIES OF IDAHO SPRINGS AND CENTRAL CITY

FOR ACID MINE DRAINAGE AND TAILINGS

FROM: J. WILLIAM GEISE, EPA

TO: THE RESIDENTS

100080

OCTOBER 8, 1985

PAGES: 2 LETTER

CENTRAL CITY/CLEAR CREEK CERCLA SITE; REQUEST FOR ACCESS

FROM: J. WILLIAM GEISE, JR., EPA

TO: GEORGE GROVES, RAQUETTE REAL ESTATE

100081

OCTOBER 29, 1985

PAGES: 2 LETTER

CENTRAL CITY/CLEAR CREEK CERCLA SITE; REQUEST FOR ACCESS

FROM: STEPHEN P. CHERRY, (EPA)

TO: GEORGE GROVES, RAQUETTE REAL ESTATE

OCTOBER 31, 1985

PAGES: 6 LETTER

MEETING ON ARAR'S INOPERABLE UNITS CLEAR CREEK/CENTRAL CITY RI/FS

FROM: ROGER L. OLSEN, CDM

TO: WALTER STANDZA AND SANDRA MORENO, EPA

100083

NOVEMBER 11, 1985

PAGES: 6
FACT SHEET

CLEAR CREEK/CENTRAL CITY SITE

BY: EPA

100084

NOVEMBER 7, 1985

PAGES: 5

FORM

USEPA PERMISSION FORM FOR ACCESS TO PROPERTIES CONCERNING THE CLEAR

CREEK/CENTRAL CITY HAZARDOUS WASTE SITE INVESTIGATIONS

FROM: EPA

TO: VARIOUS PROPERTY OWNERS

100085

NOVEMBER 13, 1985

PAGES: 1

MEETING REPORT

CLEAR CREEK COUNTY METAL MINING ASSN.

FROM: UNKNOWN TO: UNKNOWN

100085A

APRIL 11, 1986

PAGES: 38

REPORT

PRELIMINARY SCREENING ANALYSIS, GREGORY INCLINE & TAILINGS/EXPEDITED RESPONSE

FROM: CAMP DRESSER & MCKEE INC.

TO: EPA

100086

MAY 12, 1986 PAGES: 15 MEMORANDUM

MEETING WITH COLORADO HISTORICAL SOCIETY MAY 12, 1986

FROM: MARK SWITAK, CDM
TO: WALTER STANDZA, EPA

100087

JUNE 13, 1986 PAGES: 66

REPORT

ENGINEERING EVALUATION & COST ANALYSIS OF ALTERNATIVES, GREGORY INCLINE

& TAILINGS EXPEDITED RESPONSE FROM: CAMP DRESSER & MCKEE

TO: EPA

NOVEMBER 18, 1986

PAGES: 14
MEMORANDUM

NOVEMBER 17, 1986 MEETING SUMMARY AND ACTION ITEM

FROM: ROGER OLSEN, CDM
TO: WALTER STANDZA, EPA

100089

DECEMBER 5, 1986

PAGES: 2 MEMORANDUM

AGENDA FOR CLEAR CREEK/CENTRAL CITY RI/FS RISK ASSESSMENT MEETING

FROM: ROGER OLSEN, CDM

TO: WALTER STANDZA, SANDRA MORENO, JIM BAKER AND NED NOACK

100090

DECEMBER 16, 1986

PAGES: 1

RECORD OF COMMUNICATION

THE NEDERLAND MOUNTAINEER (A NEWSPAPER)

FROM: BARBARA LAWLOR
TO: JANE RUSSO

100091

DECEMBER 22, 1986

PAGES: 1
MEMORANDUM

MEETING TO DISCUSS ARARS FOR THE CLEAR CREEK/CENTRAL CITY SITE

FROM: PATRICIA BILLIG, CDM

TO: DISTRIBUTION

100092

FEBRUARY 19, 1987

PAGES: 2 LETTER

CLEAR CREEK/CENTRAL CITY RI/FS STATUS

FROM: CDM

TO: SANDRA MORENO, EPA

100093

FEBRUARY 19, 1987

PAGES: 27 LETTER

SITE ACCESS AND SITE USE BIG 5 TUNNEL, CLEAR CREEK/CENTRAL CITY RI/FS

FROM: ROGER L. OLSEN, CDM
TO: SANDRA MORENO, EPA

100094

FEBRUARY 25, 1987

PAGES: 16
MEMORANDUM

CLEAR CREEK/CENTRAL CITY FS, FEBRUARY 19, 1987 PROGRESS REPORT

FROM: R. L. OLSEN AND J. K. HOPKINS, CDM

TO: WALTER STANDZA, EPA

100095

MARCH 4, 1987

PAGES: 5

GREGORY TAILINGS CENTRAL CITY/CLEAR CREEK, CERCLA SITE

FROM: JERALD J. DEVITT, OF DEVITT AND WEISZMANN

TO: ROBERT L. DUPREY, EPA

MARCH 4, 1987

PAGES: 4

LETTER

GREGORY TAILINGS CENTRAL CITY/CLEAR CREEK, CERCLA SITE

FROM: JERALD J. DEVITT, OF DEVITT AND WEISZMANN

TO: SANDRA R. MORENO, EPA

100097

MARCH 6, 1987

PAGES: 2

LETTER

ENCLOSURE OF FACT SHEETS FOR THE GREGORY TAILINGS OPERABLE UNIT OF THE

CLEAR CREEK/CENTRAL CITY NATIONAL PRIORITIES LIST SITE

FROM: SHARON L. KERCHER, EPA

TO: STEVE SMITH, COLORADO SECOND CONGRESSIONAL DISTRICT OFFICE

100098

MARCH 10, 1987

PAGES: 1

AGENDA

MEETING OF THE CITY OF BLACK HAWK

100099

MARCH 25, 1987

PAGES: 1

CONSENT FORM

CLEAR CREEK/CENTRAL CITY CERCLA SITE, GREGORY TAILINGS

FROM: MARKO LAH AND JOANNE LAH

TO: EPA

100100

MARCH 26, 1987

PAGES: 1

CONSENT FORM

CLEAR CREEK/CENTRAL CITY CERCLA SITE, GREGORY TAILINGS

FROM: KEVIN J. ROCHE

TO: EPA

100101

MARCH 25, 1987

PAGES: 1

CONSENT FORM

CLEAR CREEK/CENTRAL CITY CERCLA SITE, GREGORY TAILINGS

FROM: DAVID G. SPELLMAN

TO: EPA

100102

MARCH 27, 1987

PAGES: 2 LETTER

THE CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF MARCH 10, 1987

FROM: SHARON L. KERCHER, EPA

TO: BILL LORENZ, MAYOR OF BLACK HAWK

100103

MARCH 27, 1987

PAGES: 2

LETTER

THE BIG 5 TUNNEL SITE ACCESS CLEAR CREEK/CENTRAL CITY RI/FS SITE

FROM: JOHN HOPKINS, CDM
TO: SANDRA MORENO, EPA

MARCH 30, 1987

PAGES: 3
MEMORANDUM

MEMORANDUM

CLEAR CREEK/CENTRAL CITY FS MARCH 1987 PROGRESS REPORT

FROM: R. L. OLSEN/J. K. HOPKINS

TO: WALTER STANDZA, EPA

100105

MARCH 31, 1987

PAGES: 2 LETTER

ISSUANCE OF THE UTILITY PERMIT TO HIGHWAY RIGHT-OF-WAY NEAR MILE POST

240

FROM: JOHN HOPKINS, CDM

TO: P. R. MCOLLOUGH, COLORADO DEPT. OF HWYS.

100106

MARCH 31, 1987

PAGES: 3 LETTER

GREGORY TAILINGS, CENTRAL CITY/CLEAR CREEK CERCLA SITE

FROM: JERALD J. DEVITT, DEVITT & WEISZMANN

TO: ROBERT L. DUPREY, EPA

100107

APRIL 1, 1987

PAGES: 1

SAMPLE LETTER

AGREEMENT WITH NORMAN R. BLAKE AND MILDRED L. BLAKE REGARDING UTILIZATION OF PROPERTY OWNED

BY THE BLAKE'S AND LOCATED BETWEEN SELACK ST. AND GREGORY MILL SITE

FROM: NORMAN R. AND MILDRED L. BLAKE

TO: HAROLD W. ZARLING, RIEDEL ENVIRONMENTAL SERVICES INC.

100108

APRIL 2, 1987

PAGES: 1

LETTER

VERBAL CONSENT TO ACCESS THE MILL TAILINGS ON ADJACENT PROPERTY FROM NORMAN BLAKE

FROM: SHARON L. KERCHER

TO: HAROLD ZARLING, RIEDEL ENVIRONMENTAL SERVICES INC.

100109

APRIL 6, 1987

PAGES: 3
MEMORANDUM

BIG 5 TUNNEL PASSIVE TREATMENT PILOT LAND

FROM: JOHN HOPKINS, CDM

TO: WALTER SANDZA AND SANDRA MORENO, EPA

100110

APRIL 13, 1987

PAGES: 1 LETTER

GREGORY TAILINGS, CENTRAL CITY/CLEAR CREEK CERCLA SITE

FROM: JERALD J. DEVITT, DEVITT AND WEISZMANN

TO: SHARON KERCHER, EPA

APRIL 13, 1987

PAGES: 4 LETTER

DECISION NOT TO PLACE RIPRAP MATERIAL ALONG THE BANK OF THE CREEK BEHIND

PROPERTY

FROM: SHARON KERCHER, EPA
TO: MR. AND MRS. MARKO LAH

100112

APRIL 16, 1987

PAGES: 3 LETTER

CLEAR CREEK/CENTRAL CITY SITE REQUEST FOR ACCESS

FROM: SANDRA R. MORENO, EPA

TO: THE HONORABLE BILL LORENZ, MAYOR CITY OF BLACK HAWK

100113

APRIL 20, 1987

PAGES: 3 LETTER

CLEAR CREEK/CENTRAL CITY SITE REQUEST FOR ACCESS

FROM: SANDRA R. MORENO, EPA
TO: KERMIT'S RESTAURANT

100114

APRIL 24, 1987

PAGES: 8
MEMORANDUM

BIG 5 TUNNEL OWNERSHIP CLEAR CREEK/CENTRAL CITY

FROM: JOHN HOPKINS, CDM
TO: SANDRA MORENO, EPA

100115

APRIL 29, 1987

PAGES: 1 LETTER

PAPERS ON PASSIVE TREATMENT TECHNOLOGY

FROM: JOHN HOPKINS, CDM

TO: JIM REID, MAYOR CITY OF IDAHO SPRINGS

100116

APRIL 30, 1987

PAGES: 1 LETTER

CENTRAL CITY/CLEAR CREEK CERCLA SITE: REQUEST FOR ACCESS

FROM: SANDRA R. MORENO, EPA

TO: GEORGE GROVES, RAQUETTE REAL ESTATE

100117

MAY 1, 1987

ACCESS AGREEMENT

CONSTRUCTION OF A PASSIVE TREATMENT PILOT PLANT STRUCTURE AT THE BIG 5 TUNNEL

FROM: GEORGE GROVES

TO: EPA

100118

MAY 14, 1987

PAGES: 3

LETTER

GEOTECHNICAL DRILLING, BIG 5 TUNNEL WASTE ROCK

FROM: J. WILLIAM GEISE

TO: AL HOYL, LOS LAGOS RANCH

100119 JUNE 1987 PAGES: 2

FACT SHEET

CLEAR CREEK/CENTRAL CITY SUPERFUND SITE

BY: EPA

100120

JUNE 10, 1987 PAGES: 2

LETTER

COPY OF REQUESTED STATUTE FROM: SANDRA R. MORENO

TO: ALFRED G. HOYL, LOS LAGOS RANCH

100121

JUNE 11, 1987 PAGES: 2

GREGORY TAILINGS, CENTRAL CITY/CLEAR CREEK CERCLA SITE

FROM: JERALD J. DEVITT, DEVITT AND WEISZMANN
TO: SHARON KERCHER AND WALTER SANDZA, EPA

100122

JUNE 12, 1987

PAGES: 1

ACCESS AGREEMENT

EPA AUTHORITY TO ENTER UPON PROPERTY

FROM: ALFRED G. HOYL

TO: EPA

100123

JUNE 16, 1987

PAGES: 3

GREGORY TAILINGS, CENTRAL CITY/CLEAR CREEK CERCLA SITE REQUEST FOR INFORMATION AND DOCUMENTS

FROM: J. WILLIAM GEISE, EPA

TO: JERALD J. DEVITT, DEVITT AND WEISZMANN

100124

JULY 7, 1987 PAGES: 6

LETTER

DRAFT FEASIBILITY STUDY REPORT, CLEAR CREEK/CENTRAL CITY SITE DRAFT REMEDIAL INVESTIGATION

REPORT

FROM: BRUCE SCHMALTZ, MAYOR OF CENTRAL CITY
TO: WALTER STANDZA AND JANE O. RUSSO, EPA

100125

JULY 8, 1987 PAGES: 1

RECORD OF COMMUNICATION

CENTRAL CITY/CLEAR CREEK NPL SITE

FROM: BILL GEISE TO: NORM BLAKE

100126

JULY 15, 1987

PAGES: 1

RECORD OF COMMUNICATION

MEETING AT CENTRAL CITY WITH MAYOR SCHMALTZ 7/15/87

FROM: C. JAY SILVERNAIL
TO: WALTER STANDZA, EPA

JULY 16, 1987

PAGES: 3

RECORD OF COMMUNICATION OPERABLE UNIT SCHEDULE

BLOW OFF CONTROL

FROM: WALTER STANDZA, EPA TO: C. JAY SILVERNAIL

100128

JULY 20, 1987

PAGES: 1

RECORD OF COMMUNICATION

MEETING AT CENTRAL CITY WITH MAYOR SCHMALTZ AND ALDERMEN

FROM: JANE RUSSO

TO: C. JAY SILVERNAIL

100129

JULY 21, 1987

PAGES: 1

RECORD OF COMMUNICATION

CADMIUM AND DRINKING WATER OF RESIDENCES IN CLEAR CREEK

FROM: C. JAY SILVERNAIL TO: WALTER STANDZA

100130

JULY 21, 1987

PAGES: 1 LETTER

THE TRANSMITTAL OF REVISED DESIGN FOR THE FENCE AT THE BIG 5 TUNNEL CLEAR CREEK

FROM: WALTER STANDZA, EPA

JAY YANZ, COLORADO HISTORICAL SOCIETY

100131

JULY 23, 1987

PAGES: 1 LETTER

EXTENSION TO THE PUBLIC COMMENT FOR CLEAR CREEK SUPERFUND PROJECT

FROM: J. WILLIAM GEISE, EPA

JERALD J. DEVITT, DEVITT AND WEISZMANN

100132

VARIOUS DATES FROM APRIL THROUGH JULY 1987

PAGES: 11

NEWSPAPER ARTICLES

CENTRAL CITY/CLEAR CREEK REMOVAL SITE OF THE GREGORY TAILINGS

FROM: VARIOUS

TO: GENERAL PUBLIC

100133

DATE UNKNOWN PAGES: 5 SITE PLANS

GREGORY TAILINGS

FROM: CDM TO: UNKNOWN

100134

DATE UNKNOWN

PAGES: 6

REPORT

CHARACTERISTICS OF CONTAMINANTS

FROM: UNKNOWN TO: UNKNOWN

OCTOBER 10, 1980

PAGES: 5

INTER-OFFICE COMMUNICATION

TEST OF WATER TREATMENT ARGO TUNNEL FROM: DON SIMPSON AND DAVE HELM, CDH

TO: ARDEN WALLUM

100136

JUNE 30, 1982

PAGES: 5

INTER-OFFICE COMMUNICATION

ARGO TUNNEL AND GREGORY TAILING PILE

FROM: JUNE DREITH
TO: SUPERFUND FILE

100137

MAY 31, 1983

PAGES: 1

INTER-OFFICE COMMUNICATION ARGO TUNNEL/MAY 28, 1983

FROM: MARY CERVERA

TO: EMERGENCY RESPONSE FILE

100138

OCTOBER 21, 1985

PAGES: 2 LETTER

TECHNICAL ADVISORY COMMITTEE UPDATE CLEAR CREEK/CENTRAL CITY SUPERFUNDS SITE

FROM: ROGER L. OLSEN, CDM

TO: NED NOACK, COLO. DEPT. OF HEALTH

100139

FEBRUARY 19, 1986

PAGES: 2 LETTER

TECHNICAL ADVISORY COMMITTEE UPDATE, CLEAR CREEK/CENTRAL CITY SUPERFUND SITE

FROM: ROGER L. OLSEN AND PATRICIA R. FULLER, CDM

TO: NED NOACK, COLO. DEPT. OF HEALTH

100140

JUNE 19, 1986

PAGES: 1

INTER-OFFICE COMMUNICATION
CENTRAL CITY, RI, FS AND ERA

FROM: NED NOACK, COLO. DEPT. OF HEALTH TO: KEN MESCH, CENTRAL CITY, CERCLA

100141

JUNE 25, 1986

PAGES: 2

INTER-OFFICE COMMUNICATION

CENTRAL CITY ERA

FROM: NED NOACK, COLO. DEPT. OF HEALTH
TO: KEN MESCH, CENTRAL CITY, CERCLA FILE

100142

JUNE 26, 1986

PAGES: 1

RECORD OF COMMUNICATION

WOODBURY AND CENTRAL CITY UPDATE

FROM: NED NOACK, COLORADO DEPT. OF HEALTH

TO: KEN MESCH

JULY 8, 1986

PAGES: 1

INTER-OFFICE COMMUNICATION

ARGO TUNNEL COMPLEX

FROM: GARY BROETZMAN, CDH

TO: TOM LOOBY

100144

SEPTEMBER 29, 1986

PAGES: 1 LETTER

MANAGEMENT OF SUPERFUND SITE CLEANUP

FROM: L. RUSSELL FREEMAN OF JAMES L. GRANT AND ASSOCIATES

TO: MR. PETER KENNEY, COMMISSION CHAIRMAN OF CLEAR CREEK COUNTY

100145

NOVEMBER 11, 1986

PAGES: 3

RECORD OF COMMUNICATION

CDH/EPA SUPERFUND/COLORADO HISTORICAL SOCIETY MEETING 11/10/86

FROM: NED N.

TO: KEN M. AND CENTRAL CITY FILE

100146

JANUARY 20, 1987

PAGES: 1 LETTER

THE BOARD OF COUNTY COMMISSIONERS OF CLEAR CREEK COUNTY'S INTEREST IN THE POSSIBILITY OF

PLAYING A PRIMARY ROLE IN THE EPA SUPERFUND CLEANUP ACTIVITIES

FROM: PEGGY STOKSTAD, PETER KENNEY AND JOE HRUSKA, BOARD OF COUNTY

COMMISSIONERS

TO: NED NOACK, COLO. DEPT. OF HEALTH

100147

FEBRUARY 13, 1987

PAGES: 1

RECORD OF COMMUNICATION

PROGRESS, GREGORY INCLINE TAILINGS ERA

FROM: NED

TO: KEN M., CENTRAL CITY CERCLA

100148

APRIL 1987
PAGES: 3

PROPOSED SCHEDULE OF DELIVERABLES

FROM: UNKNOWN TO: UNKNOWN

100149

APRIL 27, 1987

PAGES: 1

RECORD OF COMMUNICATION DOMESTIC WELL EFFORTS

FROM: NED N.

TO: KEN M., CENTRAL CITY CERCLA FILE

JUNE 15, 1987

PAGES: 2 MEMORANDUM

CLEAR CREEK/CENTRAL CITY COLO. REMEDIAL INVESTIGATION

FROM: ELLEN MANGIONE AND SHARON NORMAN

TO: KEN MESCH

100151

JULY 9, 1987 PAGES: 5

INTER-OFFICE COMMUNICATION

PRELIMINARY COMMENTS DRAFT RI, CLEAR CREEK/CENTRAL CITY SITE

FROM: NED NOACK, COLO. DEPT. OF HEALTH

TO: KEN MESCH AND CENTRAL CITY CERCLA FILE

100152

JULY 13, 1987

PAGES: 2 MEMORANDUM

CLEAR CREEK/CENTRAL CITY FEASIBILITY STUDY

FROM: MAUREEN DUDLEY

TO: NED NOACK, COLO. DEPT. OF HEALTH

100153

JULY 27, 1987

PAGES: 5

INTER-OFFICE COMMUNICATION

CLEAR CREEK/CENTRAL CITY FS REVIEW
FROM: NED NOACK, COLO. DEPT. OF HEALTH
TO: DAN SCHEPPERS AND KEN MESCH

100154

DATE UNKNOWN

PAGES: 2

QUESTIONS AND COMMENTS

CLEAR CREEK METAL MINERS ASSN. MEETING

FROM: UNKNOWN TO: UNKNOWN

100155

DATE UNKNOWN

LIST

THE TECHNICAL ADVISORY COMMITTEE OF THE CLEAR CREEK/CENTRAL CITY SITE

FROM: UNKNOWN TO: UNKNOWN

CENTRAL CITY/CLEAR CREEK REMOVAL ACTION DOCUMENTS

200001

NOVEMBER 18, 1985

PAGES: 1

MINING ASSOCIATION COOPERATION

FROM: E. R. LEWANDOWSKI
TO: JANE O. RUSSO

200002

DECEMBER, 1985

PAGES: 4
FACT SHEET

MINING AND MILLING WASTE AT THE SOURCE OF TOXIC METAL CONTAMINATION

BY: EPA

APRIL 11, 1986

PAGES: 37

REPORT

PRELIMINARY SCREENING ANALYSIS, GREGORY INCLINE AND TAILINGS, EXPEDITED

RESPONSE ACTION

BY: CDM

200004

MAY 12, 1986 PAGES: 2 MEMORANDUM

MEETING WITH HISTORICAL SOCIETY

FROM: MARK SWATEK, CDM
TO: WALTER SANDZA, EPA

200005

JUNE 13, 1986

REPORT

ENGINEERING EVALUATION AND COST ANALYSIS OF ALTERNATIVE, GREGORY INCLINE AND TAILINGS,

EXPEDITED RESPONSE ACTION

FROM: MARK SWATEK, CAMP DRESSER AND MCKEE, INC.

TO: WALTER SANDZA, EPA

200006

JULY 1, 1986

PAGES: 1

REPORT

REGION 8 INCIDENT NOTIFICATION

FROM: WALTER SANDZA, SUPERFUND REMEDIAL

TO: UNKNOWN

200007

JULY, 1986

PAGES: 4

FACT SHEET

EPA'S PROGRESS AT THE CLEAR CREEK/CENTRAL CITY SUPERFUND SITE

BY: EPA

200008

JULY, 1986
PAGES: 188

REPORT

GEOTECHNICAL INVESTIGATIONS, TAILINGS AND WASTE ROCK, CLEAR CREEK/CENTRAL CITY SITE

BY: GORMLEY CONSULTANTS, INC. TO: CAMP DRESSER MCKEE, INC.

200009

JULY, 1986 PAGES: 28

APPENDIX TO GEOTECHNICAL INVESTIGATIONS

TAILINGS AND WASTE ROCK, CLEAR CREEK/CENTRAL CITY SITE

BY: GORMLEY CONSULTANTS, INC. TO: CAMP DRESSER MCKEE, INC.

200010

SEPTEMBER 8, 1986

PAGES: 3

NEWS RELEASE

PUBLIC COMMENT. ANNOUNCED BY EPA

BY: EPA

JULY 14, 1986

PAGES: 2 LETTER

COMMENTS ON PROPOSAL FOR FIFTIES MILL TAILING POND

FROM: NORMAN R. BLAKE

TO: UNKNOWN

200012

JULY 22, 1986 PAGES: 2

LETTER

RECOMMENDATIONS ON THE ENGINEERING EVALUATION AND COST ANALYSIS OF ALTERNATIVE AND

PRELIMINARY SCREENING ANALYSIS

FROM: MONA DAWKINS, CITY OF BLACK HAWK

TO: JANE O. RUSSO, EPA

200013

LETTER

JULY 24, 1986

PAGES: 2

RESPONSE TO SUPERFUND PROGRAM FACT SHEET

FROM: MARVIN THURBER, CLEAR CREEK WATER USER'S ALLIANCE

TO: JANE O. RUSSO, EPA

200014

AUGUST 5, 1986

PAGES: 4
MEMORANDUM

REVIEW FROM THE AGENCY TO TOXIC SUBSTANCES AND DISEASE REGISTRY OF SOIL SAMPLES

FROM: JEFFREY A. LYBARGER, M.D., DEPT. OF HEALTH AND HUMAN SERVICES

TO: MICHAEL A. MCGEEHIN, EPA

200015

AUGUST 18, 1986

PAGES: 1 LETTER

PERSONAL CORRESPONDENCE REGARDING PROPOSALS TO CONTAIN THE HAZARD AT THE GREGORY INCLINE AND

TAILINGS

FROM: BILLY JEAN SMITH TO: JAMES O. RUSSO

200016

OCTOBER 17, 1986

PAGES: 4
MEMORANDUM

SUMMARY OF DEMOGRAPHICS AND COMMUNITY CONCERNS

BY: ANN MARSHALL TO: ROGER OLSEN

200017

NOVEMBER 21, 1986

PAGES: 1 LETTER

PHASE I AND II REPORTS

BY: SHARON KERCHER, EMERGENCY RESPONSE BRANCH
TO: MAUREEN DUDLEY, COLORADO DEPT. OF HEALTH

DECEMBER 12, 1986

PAGES: 2 LETTER

MEMORANDUM OF AGREEMENT FOR THE HISTORICAL VALUE OF THE CRIB WALL

FROM: SHARON L. KERCHER

TO: GREGORY KENDRICKS, NAT'L PARK SERVICE

200019

DECEMBER 18, 1986

PAGES: 4 LETTER

REQUEST FOR COMMENTS OF THE COLO. STATE HISTORICAL PRESERVATION OFFICER AND THE ADVISORY

COUNCIL IN COMPLIANCE WITH 36 CFR PART 800

FROM: SHARON KERCHER, EPA

TO: ROBERT FINK, ADVISORY COUNCIL ON HISTORIC PRESERVATION

200020

DECEMBER 18, 1986

PAGES: 4 LETTER

REQUEST FOR COMMENTS OF THE COLO. STATE HISTORICAL PRESERVATION OFFICER AND THE ADVISORY

COUNCIL IN COMPLIANCE WITH 36 CFR PART 800

FROM: SHARON KERCHER

TO: LESLIE WILDESEN, COLO. HISTORICAL SOCIETY

200021

DECEMBER 29, 1986

PAGES: 1

RESPONSE TO DOCUMENTATION REQUEST FROM THE HISTORIC AMERICAN ENGINEERING RECORD

FROM: GREGORY D. KENDRICK, NAT'L PARK SERVICE

TO: SHARON KERCHER, EPA

200022

DECEMBER 31, 1986

PAGES: 2

SIGNIFICANCE OF THE CRIB WALL AND THE NEED FOR ADDITIONAL INFORMATION REQUIRED BY THE COUNCIL

TO COMPLETE THEIR REVIEW

FROM: ROBERT FINK

TO: SHARON KERCHER, EPA

200023

JANUARY 12, 1987

PAGES: 1 LETTER

HISTORICAL VALUE AND THE ADVERSE EFFECTS OF THE CONSTRUCTION

FROM: LESLIE WILDESEN, DEPUTY STATE HISTORICAL PRESERVATION OFFICER

TO: SHARON KERCHER

200024

JANUARY 21, 1987

PAGES: 9

MEMORANDUM WITH ATTACHED DOCUMENTATION

HISTORIC AMERICAN ENGINEERING RECORD, DOCUMENTATION PREPARED FOR GREGORY TAILINGS

FROM: DAVE H. ERICKSON, TAT REGION 8

TO: SHARON KERCHER, EPA

FEBRUARY 4, 1987

PAGES: 1
MEMORANDUM

GREGORY TAILINGS AND INCLINE OPERABLE UNIT OF THE CLEAR CREEK/CENTRAL

CITY NPL SITE

FROM: JOHN R. GIEDT TO: ROBERT L. DUPREY

200026

FEBRUARY 4, 1987

PAGES: 1

ENCLOSURE OF A MEMORANDUM OF AGREEMENT

FROM: SHARON L. KERCHER

TO: LESLIE E. WILDESEN, COLO. HISTORICAL SOCIETY

200027

FEBRUARY 12, 1987

PAGES: 1 LETTER

THE RETAINMENT OF A PORTION OF THE CRIB WALL FOR THE MUSEUM FOR HISTORICAL VALUE

FROM: SHARON KERCHER, EPA

TO: DIRECTOR, GILPIN HISTORICAL SOCIETY AND MUSEUM

200028

FEBRUARY 12, 1987

PAGES: 1 LETTER

REQUEST FROM THE EPA FOR THE IMMEDIATE CONCURRENCE OF THE ADVISORY COUNCIL ON THE MEMORANDUM

AGREEMENT

FROM: SHARON L. KERCHER

TO: ROBERT FINK, ADVISORY COUNCIL ON HISTORIC PRESERVATION

200029

FEBRUARY 17, 1987

PAGES: 1

TRANSMITTAL OF DOCUMENTATION PACKAGE PER THE HISTORIC AMERICAN ENGINEERING RECORDS

REQUIREMENTS

FROM: SHARON L. KERCHER

TO: GREGORY P. KENDRICK, NAT'L PARK SERVICE

200030

FEBRUARY 18, 1987

PAGES: 1 LETTER

INFORMATIVE LETTER DESCRIBING THE TIMBER CRIB WALL POSSIBLE COLLAPSE WITH THE UNEXPLANATION

OF THE RESULTS IF THIS SHOULD HAPPEN

FROM: SHARON KERCHER, EPA

TO: HONORABLE WILLIAM LORENZ, MAYOR CITY OF BLACK HAWK

200031

FEBRUARY 18, 1987

PAGES: 1 LETTER

INFORMATIVE LETTER REGARDING THE POSSIBLE COLLAPSE OF THE CRYPT WALL WITH POSSIBLE

RAMIFICATIONS OF CONTAMINATION OF DRINKING WATER

FROM: SHARON KERCHER, EPA

TO: NED NOACK, COLO. DEPT. OF HEALTH

FEBRUARY 19, 1987

PAGES: 1 LETTER

CONFIRMATION OF TELEPHONE CONVERSATION OF RECEIPT OF CONSTRUCTION DRAWINGS FOR GREGORY

TAILINGS

FROM: SHARON L. KERCHER, EPA

TO: KAAREN PATTERSON, COLORADO HISTORICAL SOCIETY

200033

FEBRUARY 26, 1987

PAGES: 2

THE GABIAN WALL PLACEMENT AT THE GREGORY TAILINGS AND QUESTIONS RAISED BY THE COLO.

HISTORICAL SOCIETY

FROM: BUDDY HINES, ROBINSON ENGINEERING INC.

TO: PETER BARRETT, ECOLOGY AND ENVIRONMENT, INC.

200034

FEBRUARY 27, 1987

PAGES: 1 LETTER

COMMENTS AND UNDERSTANDINGS FROM THE COLO. HISTORICAL SOCIETY REGARDING THE GABIAN WALL

CONSTRUCTION

FROM: LESLIE E. WILDESEN, COLO. HISTORICAL SOCIETY

TO: SHARON L. KERCHER, EPA

200035

MARCH 2, 1987

PAGES: 3

MEMORANDUM OF AGREEMENT BY AND AMONG THE US EPA, THE COLORADO STATE HISTORICAL PRESERVATIONS

OFFICER AND THE ADVISORY COUNCIL ON HISTORIC PRESERVATION

FROM: UNKNOWN TO: UNKNOWN

200036

MARCH 3, 1987

PAGES: 8
MEMORANDUM

REQUEST FOR REMOVAL ACTION FUNDING TO ELIMINATE THE THREAT POSED BY THE POTENTIAL COLLAPSE OF

THE MINE TAILINGS AT NORTH CLEAR CREEK

FROM: SHARON L. KERCHER, EPA

TO: ROBERT L. DUPREY

200037

MARCH 6, 1987

PAGES: 1

LETTER

INFORMATION TO RESIDENTS REGARDING THE STUDY AND CLEAN-UP OF THE CLEAR CREEK/CENTRAL CITY

SUPERFUND SITE

200038

MARCH 10, 1987

PAGES: 1 LETTER

MEMORANDUM OF AGREEMENT REGARDING EMERGENCY RESPONSE ACTION

FROM: ROBERT FINK
TO: SHARON KERCHER

MARCH 12, 1987

PAGES: 7
REPORT

DRAINAGE CALCULATIONS FOR NORTH CLEAR CREEK, BLACK HAWK, CO

FROM: ROBINSON ENGINEERING, INC., BY H. J. HEINZ

TO: UNKNOWN

200040

MARCH 16, 1987

PAGES: 1 LETTER

CONCERN ABOUT THE PLACEMENT OF THE GABIAN WALL AND THE IMPACT ON PERSONAL PROPERTY

FROM: SHARON L. KERCHER, EPA
TO: MR. AND MRS. MARKO LAH

200041

MARCH 17, 1987

PAGES: 1

THE ACCEPTANCE BY THE CULTURAL RESOURCES DIVISION OF THE HISTORIC AMERICAN ENGINEERING RECORD

DOCUMENTATION OF THE GREGORY TAILINGS

FROM: GREGORY KENDRICK, NAT'L PARK SERVICE

TO: SHARON KERCHER, EPA

200042

MARCH 20, 1987

PAGES: 3 LETTER

INFORMATION REGARDING THE NEED FOR IMMEDIATE ACTION REQUIRED TO PROTECT THE NORTH CLEAR CREEK

FROM: SHARON L. KERCHER, EPA

TO: CURT MUSGRAVE, U.S. ARMY CORP OF ENGINEERS

200043

MARCH 23, 1987

PAGES: 1 LETTER

WRITTEN RECORD OF THE CONCERNS OF JOHN LIOU OF FEMA

FROM: BUDDY HEINZ, ROBINSON ENGINEERING INC.
TO: PETER BARRETT, ECOLOGY AND ENVIRONMENTALS

200044

MARCH 24, 1987

PAGES: 3 LETTER

AUTHORIZATION BY THE DEPARTMENT OF THE ARMY FOR THE PROPOSED WORK OF THE ENVIRONMENTAL

PROTECTION AGENCY

FROM: ELDON E. STRINE, DEPT. OF THE ARMY

TO: SHARON KERCHER

200045

MARCH 26, 1987

PAGES: 1
CONSENT FORM

ACCESS TO PROPERTY

FROM: KEVIN JOHN ROCHE

TO: EPA

MARCH 26, 1987

PAGES: 7

REPORT WITH ATTACHED COMMUNICATIONS

FLOOD PLAIN DEVELOPMENT PERMIT FROM THE CITY OF BLACK HAWK

BY: PETER BARRETT TO: SHARON KERCHER

200047

MARCH 27, 1987

PAGES: 2 LETTER

REITERATION OF INITIAL DESIGN PLANS CALLED FOR THE PLACEMENT OF THE GABIAN WALL AND THE

SPECIFIC CONCERNS EXPRESSED BY THE MAYOR OF THE CITY OF BLACK HAWK

FROM: SHARON KERCHER, EPA

TO: BILL LORENZ, MAYOR OF THE CITY OF BLACK HAWK

200048

MARCH 27, 1987

PAGES: 8
REPORT

SITE HEALTH AND SAFETY PLAN FOR THE GREGORY TAILINGS PROJECT, BLACK HAWK, COLORADO

BY: EPA

200049

MARCH 27, 1987 THROUGH APRIL 29, 1987

PAGES: 60 RECORD

DATES AND TIMES OF VARIOUS ACTIVITIES ASSOCIATED WITH THE GREGORY INCLINE AND MINE TAILINGS

HAPPENINGS

FROM: B. M. THOMAS

TO: UNKNOWN

200050

APRIL, 1987

PAGES: 4

REPORT

COMMUNICATIONS STRATEGY FOR THE GREGORY TAILINGS REMOVAL ACTION

BY: UNKNOWN TO: UNKNOWN

200051

APRIL 1, 1987

PAGES: 3

MEMORANDUM

EP TOXICITY RESULTS FOR WOOD TRIMMING SAMPLES FROM GREGORY TAILINGS, BLACK HAWK, CO

FROM: RICK CHAPPELL

TO: SHARON KERCHER, EPA

200052

APRIL 3, 1987

PAGES: 2

MEMORANDUM

TOXICITY POTENTIAL OF WOOD CRIBBING AT GREGORY TAILINGS

FROM: PETER BARRETT, ECOLOGY & ENVIRONMENT, INC.

TO: SHARON KERCHER, EPA

APRIL 11, 1987

PAGES: 1 LETTER

MINE OWNER'S OPINION ON THE EPA HANDLING OF THE GREGORY INCLINE PROJECT

FROM: CHAS E. FETTERHOFF
TO: SHARON KERCHER, EPA

200054

APRIL 13, 1987

PAGES: 2 LETTER

PLACEMENT OF RIPRAP MATERIAL ALONG THE BANK OF THE CREEK BEHIND INDIVIDUAL PROPERTY OWNER

FROM: SHARON L. KERCHER, EPA

TO: MR. AND MRS. MARKO LAH, PROPERTY OWNERS

200055

APRIL 17, 1987

PAGES: 1

MATERIALS SUBMITTED TO THE NATIONAL ARCHIVES PURSUANT TO THE MEMORANDUM OF AGREEMENT

FROM: SHARON KERCHER, EPA

TO: DIRECTOR OF THE GILPIN HISTORICAL SOCIETY AND MUSEUM

200056

APRIL 23, 1987

PAGES: 2 LETTER

CHANGE IN THE FINAL CONSTRUCTION PLANS

FROM: SHARON KERCHER, EPA

TO: LESLIE WILDESEN, COLORADO HISTORICAL SOCIETY

200057

APRIL 23, 1987

PAGES: 1
MEMORANDUM

REMOVAL ACTION AT GREGORY TAILINGS AND DECISION TO REMOVE THE CRIB WALL

FROM: SHARON KERCHER, EPA

TO: THE RECORD

200058

APRIL 23, 1987

PAGES: 2 MEMORANDUM

GREGORY TAILINGS: DECISION NOT TO INSTALL RIPRAP ON "CONOCO BANK"

FROM: SHARON KERCHER, EPA

TO: THE RECORD

200059

APRIL 23, 1987

PAGES: 1
MEMORANDUM

REMOVAL ACTION AT GREGORY TAILINGS AND THE DECISION TO DEVIATE FROM ALIGNMENT AT GABIAN WALL

AS SPECIFIED IN THE DESIGN DRAWINGS

FROM: SHARON KERCHER, EPA

TO: THE RECORD

APRIL 23, 1987

PAGES: 1
MEMORANDUM

REMOVAL ACTION AT GREGORY TAILINGS AND DECISION TO USE KEY GRAVEL AS BACKFILL BEHIND GABIAN

WAT.T

FROM: SHARON KERCHER, EPA

TO: THE RECORD

200061

MAY 11, 1987

PAGES: 1

LETTER

JUSTIFICATION FOR THE CHANGE IN THE FINAL CONSTRUCTION PLANS AND REQUEST FOR A PHOTO OF THE APPEARANCE OF THE FINISHED PRODUCT

FROM: BARBARA SUDLER, STATE HISTORIC PRESERVATION OFFICER

TO: SHARON L. KERCHER, EPA

200062

MAY 14, 1987 PAGES: 13

LETTER WITH ENCLOSURES

LISTS OF APPLICABLE AND RELEVANT STATE REQUIREMENTS, STANDARDS, CRITERIA AND LIMITATIONS FOR

THE PROPOSED REMEDIAL ACTION AT THE CLEAR CREEK/CENTRAL CITY SUPERFUND SITE

FROM: KEN MESCH, CDH

TO: EPA

200063

MAY 18, 1987 PAGES: 1

LETTER

LANDOWNER RESPONSIBILITY FOR CLEAN-UP COSTS AT THE SITE

FROM: JAMES J. SCHERER, EPA
TO: GLEN P. ANDERSON

200064

NO DATE

PAGES: 5

REPORT

DOCUMENTATION PACKAGE FOR GREGORY TAILINGS WITH A DESCRIPTION OF THE

UNDERTAKING

BY: EPA

200065

NO DATE

PAGES: 1

VICINITY MAP

THE PROJECT SITE

BY: UNKNOWN TO: UNKNOWN

200066

NO DATE

PAGES: 3

REPORT

GENERAL INFORMATION ON THE SITE WITH HISTORY INCLUDED

BY: UNKNOWN TO: UNKNOWN

200067 NO DATE PAGES: 4 REPORT HISTORICAL INFORMATION BY: UNKNOWN TO: UNKNOWN 200068 NO DATE PAGES: 2 INVENTORY HABS/HAER INVENTORY OF THE GREGORY TAILINGS WITH THE SIGNIFICANCE NOTED 200069 NO DATE PAGES: 6 RECORD HISTORIC AMERICAN ENGINEERING RECORD OF THE GREGORY TAILINGS, HISTORICAL NARRATIVE BY: ECOLOGY AND ENVIRONMENT, INC. TO: EPA 200070 NO DATE PAGES: 3 DIAGRAM CREEK ISOLATION ALTERNATIVE CONCRETE BOX CULVERT BY: UNKNOWN TO: UNKNOWN 200071 NO DATE PAGES: 2 THE SUMMARY OF THE CHEMICAL TEST RESULTS FROM NORTH CLEAR CREEK ABOVE AND BELOW THE GREGORY TAILINGS AND FROM THE GREGORY WASTE ROCK TAILINGS AND THE GROUND WATER BY: UNKNOWN TO: UNKNOWN 200072 NO DATE PAGES: 2 PLAN THE ISOLATION ALTERNATIVE GABIAN WALL FROM: ROBINSON ENGINEERING, INC. TO: EPA 200073

JULY 18, 1986

PAGES: 16

REPORT

WRAP-UP REPORT ON THE FEASIBILITY OF REPROCESSING THE GREGORY TAILINGS

BY: W. REX BULL AND THOMAS R. WILDEMAN, THE COLORADO SCHOOL OF MINES

TO: CAMP, DRESSER AND MCKEE

200074

JULY 21, 1986

PAGES: 9

REPORT

PRELIMINARY REPORT ON THE VALUE OF THE GREGORY TAILINGS

BY: W. REX BULL AND THOMAS R. WILDEMAN, COLORADO SCHOOL OF MINES

TO: CAMP, DRESSER AND MCKEE

FEBRUARY 13, 1987

PAGES: 8
MEMORANDUM

REMOVAL REQUEST FOR THE GREGORY INCLINE AND TAILINGS

BY: PETER BARRETT, ECOLOGY AND ENVIRONMENT, INC.

TO: FLOYD NICHOLS, EPA

200076

FEBRUARY 18, 1987

PAGES: 4 LETTER

GREGORY TAILINGS CENTRAL CITY/CLEAR CREEK CERCLA SITE

FROM: ROBERT L. DUPREY, ERA

TO: NORMAN R. BLAKE

200077

FEBRUARY 26, 1987

PAGES: 1 RECEIPT

GREGORY TAILINGS

SIGNED: NORMAN R. BLAKE

200078

MARCH 4, 1987

PAGES: 1

RECORD OF COMMUNICATION

REMOVAL ACTION AT GREGORY TAILINGS, BLACK HAWK, CO

FROM: CLAIR TANNER, WEEKLY REGISTER

TO: SHARON L. KERCHER

200079

MARCH 11, 1987

PAGES: 1

RECORD OF COMMUNICATION

REMOVAL ACTION GREGORY TAILINGS, BLACK HAWK, CO. GABIAN BASKETS VS RIPRAP

FROM: BUDDY HINES, ROBINSON ENGINEERING

TO: SHARON KERCHER

200080

MARCH 19, 1987

PAGES: 1

RECORD OF COMMUNICATION

REMOVAL ACTION: GREGORY TAILINGS, BLACK HAWK, CO

FROM: JIM BAKER, REGIONAL TOXICOLOGIST

TO: SHARON KERCHER

200081

MARCH 20, 1987

PAGES: 4 LETTER

GREGORY TAILINGS, CENTRAL CITY/CLEAR CREEK CERCLA SITE

FROM: ROBERT L. DUPREY, EPA

TO: JERALD J. DEVITT OF DEVITT AND WEISZMANN, ATTYS AT LAW

200082

MARCH 23, 1987

PAGES: 1

RECORD OF COMMUNICATION

REMOVAL ACTION: GREGORY TAILINGS, BLACK HAWK, CO

FROM: MEL CASSIDY

TO: SHARON KERCHER, EPA

MARCH 24, 1987

PAGES: 1 MEMORANDUM

DATA QUALITY REVIEW TRAINING, APRIL 14, 1987 FROM: DIANE SHORT, RICHARD CHEATHAM, REM II

TO: REGION 8 EPA

200084

MARCH 24, 1987

PAGES: 1

RECORD OF COMMUNICATION

REMOVAL ACTION: GREGORY TAILINGS, BLACK HAWK, CO/HEALTH SAFETY

FROM: CINDY COE, OSHA HEALTH REGS. TEAM

TO: SHARON KERCHER, EPA.

APPENDIX D

STATE OF COLORADO CONCURRENCE WITH REMEDY

COLORADO DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH

STATE OF COLORADO

DECEMBER 22, 1987

U.S. ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY REGION VIII ONE DENVER PLACE 999 18TH STREET, SUITE 500 DENVER, COLORADO 80202-2405

ATTN: ROBERT DUPREY

DEAR BOB:

THIS LETTER WILL SERVE TO PROVIDE COLORADO'S CONCURRENCE WITH THE PROPOSED REMEDY FOR DISCHARGE TREATMENT (OPERABLE UNIT #1) AT THE CLEAR CREEK/CENTRAL CITY SUPERFUND SITE, IN CLEAR CREEK AND GILPIN COUNTIES, COLORADO. THE REMEDY SELECTION PROCESS IS DESCRIBED THOROUGHLY IN AN ATTACHMENT TO THE RECORD-OF-DECISION DATED SEPTEMBER 30, 1987.

THE PROPOSED REMEDY AUTHORIZES PILOT INVESTIGATIONS OF PASSIVE WETLANDS TREATMENT, FOLLOWED BY FURTHER REFINEMENTS USING ACTIVE CONVENTIONAL TREATMENT IF PILOT TESTING INDICATES THE NEED. DISCHARGES FROM FIVE SOURCES (THE BIG 5, ARGO, QUARTZ HILL, NATIONAL AND GREGORY TUNNELS) ARE NOW SLATED FOR REMEDIATION, BUT CONTROL STRATEGIES FOR OTHER SURFACE DISCHARGES AND GROUND WATER CONTRIBUTIONS WILL BE INVESTIGATED BEFORE A FINAL TREATMENT REMEDY IS SELECTED. ALSO, WHILE WE RECOGNIZE THAT SOURCE CONTROL IS NOT LIKELY TO ELIMINATE ALL DISCHARGES IN THE REGION, THE PROPOSED REMEDY ACKNOWLEDGES THE NEED TO INVESTIGATE SOURCE CONTROL'S EFFECTIVENESS FOR IMPROVING WATER QUALITY AND MINIMIZING THE VOLUME OF FLOW TO BE TREATED IN THE LONG TERM.

THE CONCURRENCE PROVIDED BY THIS LETTER APPLIES TO THE TECHNICAL ASPECTS OF THE PROPOSED REMEDY. SARA STATES THAT PERMITS ARE NEEDED FOR REMEDIAL ACTIVITIES THAT ARE NOT ENTIRELY ON SITE. THE DISCHARGE FROM THIS OPERABLE UNIT WOULD NOT BE ENTIRELY ON SITE AND A PERMIT SHOULD BE REQUIRED. POLICY CONCERNING PERMITTING REQUIREMENTS IS CURRENTLY BEING DISCUSSED BY THE STATE AND EPA.

IF YOU HAVE ANY QUESTIONS, PLEASE CALL JEFF DECKLER AT 331-4830.

SINCERELY,

THOMAS P. LOOBY
ASSISTANT DIRECTOR
COLORADO DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH

CC: BILL GEISE, JR.

KEN MESCH

DAN SCHEPPERS

JEFF DECKLER

WALTER SANDZA

TPL:NR.

COLORADO DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH DRINKING WATER/GROUND WATER SECTION

INTER-OFFICE COMMUNICATION

TO: DAVE SHELTON

FROM: PAUL FERRARO

DATE: DECEMBER 18, 1987

SUBJECT: CONCURRENCE: RECORD OF DECISION, CLEAR CREEK/CENTRAL CITY

OPERABLE UNIT NO. ONE

THE ARARS AND STANDARDS IN THE ROD APPEAR TO MEET STATE AQUATIC, RIVER, HEALTH AND GROUNDWATER STANDARDS AND REQUIREMENTS, THE PROPOSED REMEDIATION AND CONTINGENCIES APPEAR TO BE VIABLE. THEREFORE, THIS DIVISION CONCURS WITH THE SUBJECT RECORD OF DECISION EXCEPTING AS FOLLOWS:

- 1. APPENDIX B, PAGE 1 AND TABLE B-2 -- OHEP POLICY IS THAT CPDES PERMITS MUST BE ISSUED AT CERCLA/SUPERFUND SITES FOR DISCHARGES TO STATE WATERS FROM TREATMENT PLANT/PASSIVE-TREATMENT SYSTEMS. ACCORDINGLY, THE DIVISION DOES NOT AGREE WITH THE ROD STATEMENT THAT NO PERMITS ARE REQUIRED.
- 2. PAGE 2 (EXECUTIVE SUMMARY) AND PAGE 12 (MAIN BODY) -- THE DIVISION DOES NOT AGREE WITH THE ROD THAT GEOMETRIC MEANS SHOULD BE USED INSTEAD OF ARITHMETIC MEANS TO DETERMINE AMBIENT AND EFFLUENT MEANS FOR METALS, ETC., FOR PURPOSES OF COMPLIANCE WITH ARARS OR STANDARDS. IN ADDITION TO BEING NON-COMPARABLE BETWEEN DATA SETS AND IN ERROR (LOW) COMPARED TO ARITHMETIC MEANS, THE USE OF GEOMETRIC MEANS, APPLIED TO WATER CONTAMINANTS, CREATES A FALSE TOLERANCE FOR EXCEEDANCE OF STREAM STANDARDS.

PF/LS

XC: JOHN LEIFER TOM LOOBY.

TABLE 1

DAILY DISCHARGE OF DISSOLVED AND SUSPENDED METALS FROM MINE DRAINAGES

PARAMETER	MEAN DISCHARGE	AQUATIC LIFE AWQC (A)	MEAN F	HARGE	METALS LOADING TO STREAM
(TOTAL)	UG/L	UG/L	(CFS)	(MGD)	LBS/DAY
NATIONAL PORTAL					
ALUMINUM	243	150 (B)			0.08
ARSENIC	7	190 (C)			0.002
CADMIUM	7	0.66 (D)			0.002
CHROMIUM	6	7.2 (C)			0.002
COPPER	185	6.5 (E)			0.06
IRON	47,475	_			15.8
LEAD	8	1.3 (F)			0.002
MANGANESE	17,625	_			5.9
NICKEL	212	88 (B)			0.07
SILVER	2	1.2 (G)			0.001
ZINC	6,303	47 (H)			2.1
TOTAL	72,073		0.06	0.04	24
GREGORY INCLINE					
ALUMINUM	3,288	150			7.2
ARSENIC	5	190			0.01
CADMIUM	11	0.66			0.02
CHROMIUM	8	7.2			0.02
COPPER	879	6.5			1.9
IRON	138,333	_			300.0
LEAD	20	1.3			0.04
MANGANESE	27,950	_			59.4
NICKEL	192	88			0.4
SILVER	3	1.2			0.01
ZINC	6,315	47			13.7
TOTAL	176,977		0.40	0.26	383

DAILY DISCHARGE OF DISSOLVED AND SUSPENDED METALS FROM MINE DRAINAGES

TABLE 1 (CONT.)

PARAMETER (TOTAL)	MEAN DISCHARGE CONCENTRATION UG/L	AQUATIC LIFE AWQC (A) UG/L	OF DIS	FLOW CHARGE (MGD)	LOADING TO STREAM
QUARTZ HILL					
ALUMINUM	63,400	150			1.5
ARSENIC	1,474	190			0.04
CADMIUM	363	0.66			0.009
CHROMIUM	56	7.2			0.001
COPPER	48,733	6.5			1.2
IRON	549,667	-			13.3
LEAD	137	1.3			0.003
MANGANESE	62,100	-			1.5
NICKEL	480	88			0.01
SILVER	18	1.2			0.001
ZINC	89,300	47			2.2
TOTAL	815,728		0.004	0.0029	20
ARGO TUNNEL					
ALUMINUM	19,600	150			49.0
ARSENIC	135	190			0.3
CADMIUM	126	0.66			0.3
CHROMIUM	19	7.2			0.05
COPPER	5,170	6.5			13.0
IRON	144,000	-			360.3
LEAD	59	1.3			0.2
MANGANESE	84,050	-			210.3
NICKEL	218	88			0.6
SILVER	75	1.2			0.2
ZINC	42,375	47			106.0
TOTAL	295,827		0.46	0.3	740

TABLE 1 (CONT.)

DAILY DISCHARGE OF DISSOLVED AND SUSPENDED METALS FROM MINE DRAINAGES

PARAMETER	MEAN DISCHARGE	AQUATIC LIFE AWQC (A)	MEAN OF DIS		METALS LOADING TO STREAM
			(CDC)	(MCD)	
(TOTAL)	UG/L	UG/L	(CFS)	(MGD)	LBS/DAY
BIG FIVE					
ALUMINUM	14,067	150			3.4
ARSENIC	8	190			0.002
CADMIUM	27	0.66			0.007
CHROMIUM	14	7.2			0.003
COPPER	1,420	6.5			0.3
IRON	51,000	-			12.3
LEAD	40	1.3			0.01
MANGANESE	28,733	-			6.9
NICKEL	239	88			0.06
SILVER	6	1.2			0.002
ZINC	8,253	47			2.0
TOTAL	103,807		0.045	0.029	25

- (A) AWQC AMBIENT WATER QUALITY CRITERIA (CLEAN WATER ACT)
- (B) SEE FED. REG. VOL. 51, NO. 47, MARCH 11, 1986, P. 8362
- (C) SEE FED. REG. VOL. 50, NO. 145, JULY 29, 1985
- (D) AWQC FOR CADMIUM, EPA 440/5-84/032, JANUARY 1985
- (E) AWQC FOR COPPER, EPA 440/5-84-031, JANUARY 1985
- (F) AWQC FOR LEAD, EPA 440/5-84/027, JANUARY 1985
- (G) SEE FED. REG. VOL. 45, NO. 231, NOVEMBER 28, 1980, P. 79340
- (H) SEE FED. REG. VOL. 51, NO. 102, MAY 28, 1986, P. 19269.

TABLE 2

MEAN UPSTREAM WATER QUALITY CONCENTRATION

		CLEAR CREEK ABOVE BIG FIVE	NORTH CLEAR CREEK ABOVE
PARAMETER	UNITS	TUNNEL	GREGORY INCLINE
ALUMINUM (TOTAL)	UG/L	172.60	185.49
ARSENIC (TOTAL)	UG/L	3.93	3.93
CADMIUM (TOTAL)	UG/L	4.47	3.42
CHROMIUM (TOTAL)	UG/L	5.00	4.75
COPPER (TOTAL)	UG/L	15.54	17.90
LEAD (TOTAL)	UG/L	3.68	4.58
MANGANESE (TOTAL)	UG/L	317.34	222.96
NICKEL (TOTAL)	UG/L	8.45	8.05
SILVER (TOTAL)	UG/L	.66	.76
ZINC (TOTAL)	UG/L	110.71	178.03.

TABLE 3

SUMMARY OF RISK ASSESSMENT RESULTS FOR HUMAN EXPOSURE TO CONTAMINANTS AT THE CLEAR CREEK/CENTRAL CITY SITE

TOTAL EXCESS UPPER-BOUND LIFETIME CANCER RISK (A)

EXPOSURE PATHWAY	AVERAGE CASE	MAXIMUM PLAUSIBLE CASE
DIRECT CONTACT AND INCIDENTAL INGESTION OF WATER WHILE SWIMMING		
CLEAR CREEK	5 X 10-6	(B) 2 X 10-5
INGESTION OF FISH		
CLEAR CREEK	4 X 10-5	9 X 10-4
NORTH CLEAR CREEK	NE	NE
INGESTION OF DRINKING WATER		
FROM ALLUVIAL WELLS		
CLEAR CREEK SUBBASIN	1 X 10-2	NE
NORTH CLEAR CREEK SUBBASIN	7 X 10-3	NE

 ${\tt NE}$ = ${\tt NOT}$ ESTIMATED

- (A) IT IS THE AGENCY'S POLICY THAT THE SELECTED REMEDY WILL AT LEAST ATTAIN A LEVEL OF CONTROL FOR SUCH HAZARDOUS SUBSTANCES, POLLUTANTS, OR CONTAMINANTS THAT FALLS WITHIN A TOTAL RISK RANGE OF 10-4 TO 10-7 OVER A 70-YEAR LIFETIME EXPOSURE, WITH A GOAL OF ATTAINING A LEVEL OF CONTROL THAT REFLECTS A 10-6 RISK (SEE SUPERFUND PUBLIC HEALTH EVALUATION MANUAL)
- (B) FIVE ADDITIONAL CANCER DEATHS OUT OF A POPULATION OF 1 MILLION OVER A $70-{\rm YEAR}$ LIFETIME EXPOSURE.

TABLE 5

COST SUMMARY

	COST ESTIMATES (\$1,000)		PRESENT WORTH AT DISCOUNT RATE (\$1,000)
ALTERNATIVE	CAPITAL	ANNUAL O&M	10%
1. NO ACTION	33	-	-
2. PASSIVE TREATMENT	1,663	115	2,549
3. ACTIVE TREATMENT	2,275	549	7,732
4. PASSIVE TREATMENT AND ACTIVE TREATMENT COMBINATION.	3,864	511	8,967