# Coordinate systems for solar image data 

W. T. Thompson<br>L-3 Communications GSI, NASA Goddard Space Flight Center, Code 612.1, Greenbelt, MD 20771<br>Received DATE / Accepted DATE


#### Abstract

A set of formal systems for describing the coordinates of solar image data is proposed. These systems build on current practice in applying coordinates to solar image data. Both heliographic and heliocentric coordinates are discussed. A distinction is also drawn between heliocentric and helioprojective coordinates, where the latter takes the observer's exact geometry into account. The extension of these coordinate systems to observations made from non-terrestial viewpoints is discussed, such as from the upcoming STEREO mission. A formal system for incorporation of these coordinates into FITS files, based on the FITS World Coordinate System, is described, together with examples.
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## 1. Introduction

Solar research is becoming increasingly more sophisticated. Advances in solar instrumentation have led to increases in spatial resolution, and will continue to do so. Future space missions will view the Sun from different perspectives than the current view from ground-based observatories, or satellites in Earth orbit. Both of these advances will require more careful attention to the coordinate systems used for solar image data. In fact, some taste of this has already occured with the Solar and Heliospheric Observatory (SOHO) satellite (Domingo et al. 1995), which views the Sun from the inner Lagrange point between Earth and the Sun. The Sun appears approximately $1 \%$ bigger from SOHO than it does from Earth, requiring adjustment whenever SOHO images are compared with data from ground-based observatories, or satellites in low Earth orbit.

Although there is widespread agreement on the coordinate systems to be used for interplanetary space (Russell 1971; Hapgood 1992; Fränz \& Harper 2002) no formal structure exists for solar image coordinates, except for the well-established heliographic coordinate systems. In particular, there is no agreement on how these coordinates should appear in FITS headers, with potential confusion when data from one observatory is compared to data from another. This document outlines the various possible coordinate systems which may be used for solar image data, and to show how these coordinate systems relate to the World Coordinate System (WCS) formalism used in FITS files. In devising the coordinate systems outlined below, attention is given to current practice within the solar imaging community.

Normal coordinate systems used for extra-solar observations-such as right ascension and declination, or galactic longitude and latitude-only need to worry about
two spatial dimensions. The same can be said for normal cartography of a planet such as Earth. However, to properly treat the complete range of solar phenomena, from the interior out into the corona, a complete three-dimensional coordinate system is required. Unfortunately, not all the information necessary to determine the full three-dimensional position of a solar feature is usually available. Therefore, in developing coordinate systems for solar data, one must take into account that one of the axes of the coordinate system may be missing.

Also, since the Sun is a gaseous body, there are no fixed points of reference on the Sun. What's more, different parts of the Sun rotate at different rates. The rotation rate depends not only on latitude, but also on how deeply the magnetic field lines of a given feature are anchored in the photosphere. Thus, for example, active regions follow different differential rotation laws than smaller-scale magnetic features at the same latitudes.

For the above reasons, attention will be given to coordinate systems which take the observer's viewing geometry into consideration. Some coordinate systems will be rotating with respect to other coordinate systems, and in all the coordinate systems, at least some part of the Sun will be moving relative to that coordinate system. For those reasons, the coordinate system should not really be considered complete without also taking time into account. In the discussion which follows, all coordinates will assume a given observation time $t$.

With solar imaging instrumentation now planned for spacecraft which will operate at large distances away from the EarthSun line, such as STEREO (Socker et al. 1996), consideration must also be given as to how the viewpoint of the instrument should be taken into account in the coordinate system. This is discussed in Sec. 9.1.

### 1.1. Coordinate Systems within FITS files

Two different styles of including coordinate information within FITS files will be considered here. The first is the coordinate system from the original FITS specification, while the second is the more modern World Coordinate System. The latter allows for much more flexibility in the types of coordinate systems which can be expressed, as well as in describing how the instrument coordinate axes map into real-world coordinates. For those reasons, we will concentrate on the World Coordinate System implementations of the coordinate systems. However, the older system will also be considered where appropriate.

### 1.1.1. The Original FITS Coordinate System

In the original FITS paper (Wells et al. 1981), the coordinates of a data array were specified with the following keywords in the FITS header:

CRPIX $n$ : Reference pixel to subtract from the pixel coordinates along axis $n$. Note that CRPIX $n$ follows the Fortran convention of counting from 1 to $N$, instead of from 0 to $N-1$ as is done in some programming languages.
CRVALn: Coordinate value of the reference pixel along axis $n$.
CDELT $n$ : pixel spacing along axis $n$.
CROTA $n$ : Rotation angle, in degrees, to apply to axis $n$. The exact method of applying the rotation angle was not specified in the original paper, but commonly used conventions have developed over the years, as discussed in Sec. 8.
CTYPEn: A string value labeling each coordinate axis. A common system, used by the SOHO spacecraft, labels the westward axis as SOLARX and the northward axis as SOLARY.
In the simple case of linear coordinates with no rotation, the transformation from pixel to real world coordinates is then
$x=$ CRVAL $n+$ CDELT $n \times(i-\operatorname{CRPIX} n)$.
(The case where CROTA $n \neq 0$ is discussed in Sec. 8.) Later, we will show that this older system can be considered a special case of the coordinate systems described below.

### 1.1.2. The World Coordinate System

The World Coordinate System (WCS) (Greisen \& Calabretta 2002; Calabretta \& Greisen 2002) is a system for describing the coordinates of a FITS array, and includes a system of describing various map projections for spherical coordinates. ${ }^{1}$ In simplified form, the keywords CRPIX $n$, CRVAL $n$, CDELT $n$ are retained from the original specification, combined with the following additional or modified keywords:
$\mathrm{PC} i_{-} j$ : A coordinate transformation matrix, describing the conversion from pixel coordinate axes $j$ into intermediate pixel coordinates $i$. This transformation

[^0]matrix replaces the older CROTAn keyword, which is deprecated in the WCS formalism. As well as rotations, the PC matrix can also encode other transformations, such as skew. (There is also an optional form of the WCS formalism in which both the PCi-j and CDELTi keywords are combined into a single CDi_j matrix.)
CTYPE $i$ : For spherical coordinates, the first four characters express the coordinate type, while the second four characters express the map projection used.
PVi_m: Additional parameters required in some coordinate systems.
CUNIT $i$ : The units of the coordinates along axis $i$.
LONPOLE, LATPOLE: Angles used for spherical coordinate transformations. These keywords have default values which depend on the map projection used.

For spherical coordinates, some care must be taken in the selection of the reference pixel, depending on the projection being used.

It is a requirement in FITS files, and in WCS in particular, that all angles must be in degrees. (However, see Sec. 9.2.) For simplicity, in the following, all trigonometric functions are assumed to have their arguments in degrees, while the inverse functions are assumed to return values in degrees.

It is possible in WCS to have more than one coordinate system for any given data set. An alternate coordinate system would be expressed using all the above keywords followed by one of the letters "A" to "Z", e.g. CRPIX1A, PC1_1A, etc. The keywords WCSNAME $a$ can be used to label each alternative coordinate system—see Figs. 4 and 5.

Modified versions of the above keywords for use in binary tables and pixel lists are listed in Greisen \& Calabretta (2002) and in Calabretta \& Greisen (2002).

## 2. Heliographic Coordinates

The well-known heliographic ${ }^{2}$ coordinate system expresses the latitude $\Theta$ and longitude $\Phi$ of a feature on the solar surface, and can be extended to three dimensions by adding the radial distance $r$ from the center of the Sun. The rotational axis used to define the coordinate system is based on the original work of Carrington (1863). Seidelmann et al. (2002) lists the right ascension and declination of the solar north pole as $\alpha_{0}=286.13$, $\delta_{0}=63^{\circ} .87$. There are two basic variations on the heliographic system, which we will refer to as Stonyhurst and Carrington heliographic. Both use the same solar rotational axis, and differ only in the definition of longitude.

There are several limitations to the use of heliographic coordinates when used with two-dimensional image data:

- Without the $r$ axis, coordinates can only be expressed for pixels on the solar disk.

[^1]

Fig. 1. A diagram of the Sun, showing lines of constant Stonyhurst heliographic longitude and latitude on the solar disk. The origin of the coordinate system is at the intersection of the solar equator and the (terrestrial) observer's central meridian. This representation is also known as a Stonyhurst grid.

- Features which are significantly elevated above the solar surface will project into coordinates $(\Theta, \Phi)$ which are different from their true coordinates in a complete $(r, \Theta, \Phi)$ system.
- At some wavelengths, particularly in Helium lines, the apparent solar limb will be larger than $R_{\odot}$, by as much as 1.5\%.

However, the coordinate system is well suited for many types of solar data.

### 2.1. Stonyhurst Heliographic Coordinates

The origin of the Stonyhurst heliographic coordinate system is at the intersection of the solar equator and the central meridian as seen from Earth. Thus, the coordinate system remains fixed with respect to Earth, while the Sun rotates (synodically) underneath it. The angles $\Theta$ and $\Phi$ are given in degrees, with $\Theta$ increasing towards solar North, and $\Phi$ increasing towards the solar West limb. The distance $r$ is either a physical distance in meters, or is relative to the solar photospheric radius $R_{\odot} \approx 6.96 \times 10^{8} \mathrm{~m}$. This coordinate system is demonstrated in Fig. 1.

An alternative to the $r$ coordinate is the height $h=r-R_{\odot}$ relative to the solar surface, where $h$ is positive above the surface and negative below the surface.

It should be noted that Stonyhurst heliographic coordinates are closely related to Heliocentric Earth Equatorial (HEEQ) coordinates (Hapgood 1992). Conversion between these two systems are given by the equations ${ }^{3}$

$$
r=\sqrt{X^{2}{ }_{\text {HEEQ }}+Y_{\text {HEEQ }}^{2}+Z_{\text {HEEQ }}^{2}},
$$

[^2]\[

$$
\begin{align*}
& \Theta=\tan ^{-1}\left(Z_{\text {HEEQ }} / \sqrt{X^{2}{ }_{\text {HEEQ }}+Y_{\text {HEEQ }}^{2}},\right.  \tag{1}\\
& \Phi=\arg \left(X_{\text {HEEQ }}, Y_{\text {HEEQ }}\right), \\
& X_{\text {HEEQ }}=r \cos \Theta \cos \Phi, \\
& Y_{\text {HEEQ }}=r \cos \Theta \sin \Phi,  \tag{2}\\
& Z_{\text {HEEQ }}=r \sin \Theta .
\end{align*}
$$
\]

To maintain this relation, and to avoid confusion, we require that for non-terrestrial observers, the origin will still be referenced to the central meridian as seen from Earth (specifically geocenter). Thus, the coordinates of any feature on the surface at a given time $t$ will be the same for any observer. Observations made from a distance significantly different than 1 A.U. may require a light travel time correction for utmost accuracy.

### 2.2. Carrington Heliographic Coordinates

The Carrington coordinate system is a variation of the heliographic system which rotates at an approximation to the mean solar rotational rate, as originally used by Carrington (1863). The sidereal period of the Carrington system is 25.38 days, which translates into a mean synodic period of 27.2753 days (Stix 1989). Seidelmann et al. (2002) gives the angle of the prime meridian from the ascending node as 84.10 at J2000.0. Each time the Carrington prime meridian coincides with the central meridian as seen from Earth, which happens once each 27.21 to 27.34 days, depending on where Earth is in its orbit, marks the beginning of a new "Carrington rotation". These rotations are numbered sequentially, with Carrington rotation number 1 commencing on 9 November 1853. The start date and time of each Carrington rotation (also known as the "synodic rotation number") is published in the Astronomical Almanac. For example, Carrington rotation number 1900 began on 2 September 1995.

Carrington longitude is offset from Stonyhurst longitude by a time-dependent scalar value. In other words, at any given time $t$, the relationship between Stonyhurst longitude $\Phi$ and Carrington longitude $\Phi_{C}$ is given by the equation
$\Phi_{\mathrm{C}}=\Phi+L_{0}$,
where $L_{0}$ is the Carrington longitude of the central meridian as seen from Earth. At the start of each Carrington rotation, $L_{0}=360^{\circ}$ and steadily decreases until it reaches $L_{0}=0$, at which point the next Carrington rotation starts. Note that this is the opposite behavior of the decimal Carrington number of the central meridian, which constantly increases. The daily values of $L_{0}$ are available in the Astronomical Almanac.

## 3. Heliocentric Coordinates

Heliocentric coordinates express the true spatial position of a feature in physical units from the center of the Sun. There are a number of well-established heliocentric coordinate systems used in space physics (Hapgood 1992). Examples include Heliocentric Aries Ecliptic (HAE), Heliocentric Earth Ecliptic
(HEE), and Heliocentric Earth Equatorial (HEEQ). Each of these coordinate systems consists of three mutually perpendicular axes, $X, Y$, and $Z$, which together form a right-handed coordinate system. For example, the HEE system has an $X$ axis pointing along the Sun-Earth line, and a $Z$ axis pointing along the ecliptic north pole. (A precise definition of the ecliptic can be found in Lieske et al. (1977).) In this work, we will define additional heliocentric coordinate systems oriented specifically towards solar image data.

No solar observation from a single perspective can truly be said to be in heliocentric coordinates as defined below. Lines of sight from the observer to the Sun are not truly parallel to each other, so that translating image position into a physical distance will depend to some extent on where the feature is along the line of sight. Also, no attempt is made to distinguish the various possible map projections-e.g. whether one is measuring an angular distance, the sine of the angle, or the tangent of the angle. However, for many cases, these distinctions are unimportant, and heliocentric coordinates as defined here are often very useful. They also serve as a basis for the helioprojective coordinate systems discussed later.

No matter what perspective an observer has, the heliocentric coordinate systems discussed below will have axes defined relative to that observer. Thus, unlike the heliographic case, an observation made from a non-terrestrial platform will measure coordinates which will be different from those measured from Earth. Hence, at least for non-terrestrial observations, information must also be provided about the observers position to properly define the coordinate system (see Sec. 9.1).

The class of observer-dependent heliocentric coordinate systems discussed in this report falls into two subcategories: heliocentric-cartesian and heliocentric-radial.

### 3.1. Heliocentric-Cartesian Coordinates

This is a true $(x, y, z)$ Cartesian coordinate system, with each of the axes being perpendicular to each other, and with all lines of constant $x$ (or $y$ or $z$ ) being parallel to each other. The $z$ axis is defined to be parallel to the observer-Sun line, pointing toward the observer. The $y$ axis is defined to be perpendicular to $z$ and in the plane containing both the $z$ axis and the solar North pole axis, with $y$ increasing towards solar North. The $x$ axis is defined to be perpendicular to both $y$ and $z$, with $x$ increasing towards solar West. Thus, it is a right-handed coordinate system. Each axis is expressed as either a physical distance in meters, or relative to $R_{\odot}$. The heliocentric-cartesian coordinate system is demonstrated in Fig. 2.

Heliocentric-cartesian coordinates are also known as heliocentric (or heliographic) Radial-Tangential-Normal (HGRTN) coordinates (Fränz \& Harper 2002), except with different nomenclature. The heliocentric-cartesian axes $x, y, z$ are equivalent to the HGRTN axes $Y_{\text {HGRTN }}, Z_{\text {HGRTN }}$, and $X_{\text {HGRTN }}$ respectively.


Fig. 2. A diagram of the Sun, with lines of constant heliocentriccartesian position $(x, y)$ overlayed. The $z$ axis points out of the page.


Fig. 3. A diagram of the Sun demonstrating heliocentric-radial coordinates, with lines of constant impact parameter $\rho$ and position angle $\psi$ overlayed. The value of $\psi$ at each of the four compass points is also shown. The $z$ axis points out of the page.

### 3.2. Heliocentric-Radial Coordinates

Heliocentric-radial coordinates share the same $z$ axis as heliocentric-cartesian coordinates, but replace $(x, y)$ with $(\rho, \psi)$. The parameter $\rho$ is the radial distance from the $z$ axis, and is also known as the impact parameter. It is expressed either as a physical distance or relative to $R_{\odot}$. Surfaces of constant $\rho$ form cylinders. The position angle $\psi$ is measured in degrees counterclockwise from the projection of the solar North pole. This coordinate system is demonstrated in Fig. 3.

## 4. Projected Coordinate Systems

Data taken from a single perspective can only approximate true heliocentric coordinates. A more precise rendition of coordinates should recognize that observations are projected against the celestial sphere. This class of coordinate systems, which we will call helioprojective, mimics the heliocentric coordinate
systems discussed above, replacing physical distances with angles. Although there's a one-to-one correspondence between the heliocentric parameters and the helioprojective parameters, the latter is really an observer-centric system. When the observer is on or just above Earth, these can be described as geocentric coordinate systems.

All projective angles discussed here have an origin at disk center. This is the apparent disk center as seen by the observer, without any corrections made for light travel time or aberrations. Such considerations do not play a role in these solarspecific coordinate systems.

Because helioprojective coordinates are ultimately spherical in nature, the full map-projection aspects of WCS come into play. Thus, one must take into account exactly what map projections are used in taking the data. In essence, helioprojective coordinates are celestial spherical coordinates that use the Sun to define their pole and origin, and which can be extended from disk center out into the corona, and ultimately over the full $4 \pi$ steradian sky.

### 4.1. Helioprojective-Cartesian Coordinates

This is the projected equivalent of heliocentric-cartesian coordinates, where the distance parameters $x$ and $y$ are replaced with the angles $\theta_{x}$ and $\theta_{y}$, where $\theta_{x}$ is the longitude, and $\theta_{y}$ is the latitude. Close to the Sun, where the small angle approximation holds, the heliocentric-cartesian and helioprojective-cartesian are related through the equations
$x \approx d\left(\frac{\pi}{180^{\circ}}\right) \theta_{x} \approx D_{\odot}\left(\frac{\pi}{180^{\circ}}\right) \theta_{x}$,
$y \approx d\left(\frac{\pi}{180^{\circ}}\right) \theta_{y} \approx D_{\odot}\left(\frac{\pi}{180^{\circ}}\right) \theta_{y}$,
where $d$ is the distance between the observer and the feature, and $D_{\odot}$ is the distance between the observer and Sun center.

The helioprojective equivalent of $z$ is $\zeta$, defined as
$\zeta \equiv D_{\odot}-d$.
Surfaces of constant $\zeta$ are concentric spheres centered on the observer, with the sphere representing $\zeta=0$ passing through the center of the Sun. Positive values of $\zeta$ represent points closer in to the observer than Sun center, while negative values represent points farther out than Sun center. Close to the Sun, $\zeta \approx z$.

Note that, unlike usual celestial coordinate systems, helioprojective-cartesian coordinates are left-handed. This is handled through the sign of the CDELT $i$ keyword.

### 4.2. Helioprojective-Radial Coordinates

This is the helioprojective equivalent of heliocentric-radial, where the impact parameter $\rho$ is replaced with the angle $\theta_{\rho}$. Again, this is a spherical coordinate system, and the map projection rules come into play. Close to the Sun, where the small-angle approximation holds, the heliocentric-radial and helioprojective-radial impact parameters are related through the equation
$\rho \approx d\left(\frac{\pi}{180^{\circ}}\right) \theta_{\rho} \approx D_{\odot}\left(\frac{\pi}{180^{\circ}}\right) \theta_{\rho}$.

Note that $\theta_{\rho}=0$ at one pole of the helioprojectiveradial system, where most spherical coordinate systems have $\theta= \pm 90^{\circ}$ at the poles. We resolve this by defining the declination parameter
$\delta_{\rho} \equiv \theta_{\rho}-90^{\circ}$,
so that solar disk center lies at the helioprojective-radial south pole, making the coordinate system right-handed. To satisfy the requirements of WCS coordinate transform formalism, angular coordinates in FITS files will be expressed as $\left(\delta_{\rho}, \psi\right)$ pairs. The conversion to $\left(\theta_{\rho}, \psi\right)$ is then accomplished simply as $\theta_{\rho}=\delta_{\rho}+90^{\circ}$.

### 4.2.1. Computing the Surface Normal

A variation on radial coordinates involves replacing either $\rho$ or $\theta_{\rho}$ with the cosine of the angle between the surface normal and the line of sight to the observer. This parameter, $\mu$, varies between 1 at disk center to 0 at the limb. Because this describes spatial positions at the Sun's surface, it has the same limitations as heliographic coordinates. (See Sec. 2.)

The conversion between heliocentric coordinates and $\mu$ is quite simple
$\mu=\sqrt{1-\left(\rho / R_{\odot}\right)^{2}}$.
On the other hand, the conversion between helioprojective coordinates and $\mu$ is more complicated, and is given by
$\mu=\frac{1-a b}{\sqrt{\left(1+a^{2}\right)\left(1+b^{2}\right)}}$,
where
$a=\tan \theta_{\rho}$,
$q=\sqrt{R_{\odot}^{2}\left(1+a^{2}\right)-a^{2} D_{\odot}^{2}}$,
$b=\frac{a\left(D_{\odot}-q\right)}{a^{2} D_{\odot}+q}$.
The helioprojective angle $\theta_{\rho}$ has a maximum at the limb, where $\sin \theta_{\rho}=R_{\odot} / D_{\odot}$, and thus $q=0, b=1 / a$ and $\mu=0$. For smaller values of $\theta_{\rho}$, the smallness of $a$ will overcome the potentially large value of $D_{\odot}$, so that $q$ will evaluate to a positive real number.

When $D_{\odot} \gg R_{\odot}$, the distinction between Eqs. (8) and (9) is negligible. Only when $D_{\odot}$ is within 8 solar radii does the error grow to $0.1 \%$, and at $1 \mathrm{~A} . \mathrm{U}$. the approximation is good to almost six significant figures. Thus, for most applications, Eq. (9) can be simplified to
$\mu \approx \sqrt{1-\left(\theta_{\rho} / \theta_{\rho_{\mathrm{limb}}}\right)^{2}}$.

## 5. World Coordinate System Implementations

Table 1 lists all the coordinate parameters referred to in this document, together with their units and WCS labels, where appropriate. The WCS labels are designed to be used in CTYPE $i$ declarations, e.g. CTYPE1= 'HPLN-TAN'. They also serve as the

Table 1. A complete list of all coordinate parameters referred to in this document. Also included is the associated WCS label where appropriate, and the units in which the parameters are expressed. Parameters given in meters can also be expressed as relative to the solar radius $R_{\odot}$.

| Par | Units | WCS label | Description |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $t$ | - |  | Observation date/time |
| $R_{\odot}$ | m |  | Solar radius ( $\approx 6.96 \times 10^{8} \mathrm{~m}$ ) |
| $D_{\text {。 }}$ | m | DSUN | Distance between the observer and Sun center |
| $\Theta$ | deg | HGLT | Heliographic latitude |
|  |  | CRLT | Synonym when used with CRLN |
| $\Phi$ | deg | HGLN | Stonyhurst heliographic longitude |
| $\Phi_{\text {C }}$ | deg | CRLN | Carrington heliographic longitude |
| $L_{0}$ | deg |  | Carrington longitude of central meridian as seen from Earth |
| $r$ | m | HECR | Radial distance from sun center |
| $h$ | m | HECH | Radial distance from the solar surface, $h=r-R_{\odot}$ |
| $x$ | m | SOLX | Heliocentric westward distance |
| $y$ | m | SOLY | Heliocentric northward distance |
| $z$ | m | SOLZ | Heliocentric observerward distance |
| $\rho$ | m | SOLI | Heliocentric impact parameter |
| $\psi$ | deg | HCPA | Position angle from solar North |
|  |  | HRLN | Synonym when used with HRLT |
| $\theta_{x}$ | deg | HPLN | Helioprojective westward angle |
| $\theta_{y}$ | deg | HPLT | Helioprojective northward angle |
| $\theta_{\rho}$ | deg |  | Helioprojective impact angle |
| $\delta_{\rho}$ | deg | HRLT | Helioprojective-radial declination angle, defined as $\theta_{\rho}-90^{\circ}$ |
| $d$ | m | DIST | Radial distance from the observer |
| $\zeta$ | m | HPRZ | Helioprojective analog of $z$, defined as $D_{\odot}-d$ |
| $\mu$ | - |  | Cosine of the angle to the surface normal |
| $B_{0}$ | deg |  | Tilt of the solar North rotational axis toward the observer (heliographic latitude of the observer) |
| $\Phi_{0}$ | deg |  | Stonyhurst heliographic longitude of the observer |

basis for a family of keywords establishing the viewer's location (see Sec. 9.1). Spherical coordinates, i.e. heliographic or helioprojective, are always in pairs of the form $x x \mathrm{LN} / x x \mathrm{LT}$, for longitude and latitude, which is why some quantities in Table 1 have synonyms.

Map projections do not enter into heliocentric coordinates, and therefore the axis labels will simply be the appropriate four-letter designation as given in Table 1. The CUNITi keyword will give the units of the coordinate values. Some examples of valid entries for CUNIT $i$ are

| CUNIT1 $=$ 'm | ,$\quad /$ meter |
| :--- | :--- |
| CUNIT1 $=$ 'km | /kilometer |
| CUNIT1 | $=$ 'Mm |
| CUNIT1 | $=$ 'solRad |
| /megameter |  |
| /solar radius |  |

See Greisen \& Calabretta (2002) for more information.
The heliographic and helioprojective coordinate systems, however, are spherical in nature, and therefore are subject to the map projection formalism described in Calabretta \& Greisen
(2002). Some of the more common projections used are discussed below, although solar data can potentially be in any of the projections discussed in Calabretta \& Greisen (2002).

### 5.1. TAN: Gnomonic Projection

One common observing method is where a solar image is focused onto a flat focal plane, such as a CCD detector. The data can then be described as being in helioprojective-cartesian coordinates. In this case, the gnomonic projection, or TAN, comes into play. The TAN projection has its native north pole at the reference pixel, and distance away from the pole increases as the tangent of the colatitude. Use of the TAN projection will place the following requirements on the WCS keywords:

CRPIX $j$ : Will express the pixel coordinates of the onaxis point. Often, the center of the array, or solar disk center, is a reasonable approximation.
CDELT $i$ : The detector plate scale at the reference pixel, in degrees.
CTYPE $i$ : The values for the $\left(\theta_{x}, \theta_{y}\right)$ coordinate axes will be 'HPLN-TAN' and 'HPLT-TAN' respectively.
CRVAL $i$ : The coordinates of the reference pixel, in degrees.
LONPOLE: Normally $180^{\circ}$. See Calabretta \& Greisen (2002) for a complete discussion.

The TAN projection can also be used with helioprojectiveradial coordinates. The coordinate axes should be defined so that the associated parameter is locally increasing. There is, however, an ambiguity in the helioprojective-radial case when the reference pixel is disk center. At disk center, $\delta_{\rho}$ increases in all directions, and the value of $\psi$ becomes indeterminate. In that case, the $\delta_{\rho}$ axis should point toward the solar North pole, while the $\psi$ axis should point toward the East limb. The reference value for disk center will be given as $\left(\delta_{\rho}, \psi\right)=\left(-90^{\circ}, 0\right)$. With these definitions, the value of LONPOLE will be $180^{\circ}$.

We pay particular attention to the TAN projection in this paper, because we anticipate that it will be the workhorse projection for helioprojective coordinates. However, other projections can be used, depending on how the data were generated. For example, one would use a cylindrical projection for data resampled as a polar plot.

### 5.2. AZP: Perspective Zenithal Projection

The heliographic coordinates of a solar image, e.g. from a CCD detector, can be described as a perspective zenithal projection, or AZP. The keywords in this case would be

CRPIX $j$ : Normally, the pixel coordinates of disk center, even if that's outside the array. (However, see Calabretta \& Greisen (2002) for a discussion of slant zenithal projections.)
CDELTi: The size of the reference pixel, in heliographic degrees. For the simple case where the reference pixel is disk center, this will be $\left(D_{\odot} / R_{\odot}-1\right)$ times the helioprojective plate scale described in the previous section. At a distance of 1 A.U., this
renormalization factor is approximately 213.9. See Section 7.4.4 of Calabretta \& Greisen (2002) for a more complete explanation.
CTYPE $i$ : The CTYPE $i$ keyword for the longitude dimension will be either 'HGLN-AZP' or 'CRLN-AZP', depending on whether Stonyhurst or Carrington heliographic longitudes are used, while the value for the latitude dimension will be either 'HGLT-AZP' or 'CRLT-AZP' respectively.
PVi_1: This keyword will contain the value $-D_{\odot} / R_{\odot}$ (note the minus sign), where $i$ is the index of the latitude coordinate axis. At a distance of 1 A.U., this is approximately -214.9.
CRVAL $i$ : These will specify the latitude and longitude of the reference (disk center) pixel in degrees. Thus, together with the PVi_1 keyword, the information about the observer's position is complete.
LONPOLE: Unless one is looking straight down along one of the poles, this should normally be $180^{\circ}$.

### 5.3. SIN: Orthographic Projection

A special case of the AZP projection is when $D_{\odot}$ is large enough to be considered to be infinitely far away. This is known as the SIN projection and is implemented in FITS headers as follows:

CRPIX $j$ : The pixel coordinates of disk center, even if that's outside the array.
CDELTi: The plate scale to be used for the SIN projection is $180^{\circ} / \pi$ times the plate scale in solar radii per pixel.
CTYPE $i$ : The CTYPE $i$ keyword for the longitude dimension will be either 'HGLN-SIN' or 'CRLN-SIN', while the value for the latitude dimension will be either 'HGLT-SIN' or 'CRLT-SIN' respectively.
$\mathrm{PV} i_{-} 1$ : Set to 0 , where $i$ is the latitude axis.
PVi_2: Set to 0 , where $i$ is the latitude axis.
CRVAL $i$ : These will specify the latitude and longitude of the reference (disk center) pixel in degrees.
LONPOLE: Same as for the AZP projection.
For most cases, the AZP projection is preferable to the SIN projection, but the latter can be a useful approximation when not all the information for the AZP projection is available.

### 5.4. CAR: Plate Carrée Projection

Cylindrical projections are well suited for synoptic maps, where observations are made over a period of time to build up a complete rotation's worth of data. Either Stonyhurst or Carrington heliographic coordinates can be used. The simplest kind of cylindrical projection is plate carrée (CAR), where both the longitude and latitude values are equally spaced in degrees. In order for the cylindrical axis to be aligned with the solar rotation axis, the reference pixel must be on the equator. Otherwise, the values of CRPIX $j$, CRVAL $i$, and CDELT $i$ are straightforward. The CTYPE $i$ keyword for the longitude dimension will be either 'HGLN-CAR' or 'CRLN-CAR', while the value for the latitude
dimension will be either 'HGLT-CAR' or 'CRLT-CAR' respectively. The default value of LONPOLE is zero.

We reserve the additional keyword CAR_ROT to associate the appropriate Carrington rotation number with the observation. For example, a synoptic map covering the period beginning on 2 September 1995 would have CAR_ROT = 1900. For a map containing data beginning within one Carrington rotation and ending within the next, the value of CAR_ROT will be associated with the reference pixel given by the CRPIX $j$ keywords.

Care should be used in the formulation and use of synoptic maps, which are generally built up from data taken over a period of weeks. Because the Sun rotates differentially, the Carrington longitude of any feature will evolve over time, regardless of any evolution of the feature itself. The observation time will vary from one part of the map to another, and will depend on how the map was constructed. The general problem of mapping synoptic coordinates into instantaneous spatial coordinates is too complex for the present work. Synoptic map data providers are encouraged to document in the FITS header how the map was constructed.

Another use of the plate carree projection is when making maps of the corona, where one axis is position angle, and the other is the radial distance from disk center. This can be naturally handled either as heliocentric coordinates in physical units (HCPA/SOLI), or as angular helioprojective-radial coordinates in the plate carrée projection (HRLN-CAR/HRLT-CAR).

### 5.5. CEA: Cylindrical Equal Area Projection

Another commonly used projection for synoptic maps is cylindrical equal area (CEA), where the latitude pixels are equally spaced in the sine of the angle. In its simplest form, the keywords for the latitude axis $i$ are

CDELT $i$ : Set to $180^{\circ} / \pi$ times the pixel spacing of the sine of the latitude.
PVi_1: Set to 1. (See Calabretta \& Greisen (2002) for a discussion of the more general case, where PVi_1 < 1.)
CTYPE $i$ : Either 'HGLT-CEA' or 'CRLT-CEA', while the same for the longitude axis will be either 'HGLN-CEA' or 'CRLN-CEA' respectively.
The other keywords are the same as for the CAR projection, including LONPOLE $=0$, and the proviso that the reference pixel be a point on the equator, which is true for all cylindrical and pseudo-cylindrical projections.

## 6. Sample FITS Headers

Figure 4 shows a sample header for a hypothetical instrument with a plate scale of $3.6 \mathrm{arcsec} / \mathrm{pixel}$ ( 0.001 degrees/pixel), observing from 1 A.U. The detector is a $1024 \times 1024$ CCD, with the Sun centered in the CCD. Four different coordinate systems are shown: heliocentric-cartesian, helioprojectivecartesian, Stonyhurst heliographic, and helioprojective-radial. Note that the pixel scale for the heliographic coordinates is $D_{\odot} / R_{\odot}-1=213.9$ times the pixel scale for the helioprojective coordinates (see Section 5.2). The pixel scale for heliocentriccartesian is in solar radii based on a solar diameter of 0.533 de-

| NAXIS = | 2 /2D image |
| :---: | :---: |
| NAXIS1 = | 1024 /Number of columns |
| NAXIS2 = | 1024 /Number of rows |
| WCSNAME = 'Heliocen | -cartesian (approximate)' |
| CTYPE1 = 'SOLX | /Axis labels |
| CTYPE2 = 'SOLY | / |
| CRPIX1 = | 512.5 /Center of CCD |
| CRPIX2 = | $512.5 /$ |
| CUNIT1 = 'solRad | /Solar radii |
| CUNIT2 = 'solRad | / |
| CDELT1 = | 0.00375 /[radii] Plate scale |
| CDELT2 = | 0.00375 / |
| CRVAL1 = | 0.0 /Sun center |
| CRVAL2 $=$ | 0.0 / |
| WCSNAMEA= 'Helioprojective-cartesian' |  |
| CTYPE1A = 'HPLN-TAN | /Axis labels (ThetaX) |
| CTYPE2A $=$ 'HPLT-TAN | / (ThetaY) |
| CRPIX1A = | 512.5 /Center of CCD |
| CRPIX2A $=$ | 512.5 / |
| CUNIT1A = 'deg | /Angles in degrees |
| CUNIT2A = 'deg | / |
| CDELT1A $=$ | $0.001 /[\mathrm{deg}]$ Plate scale |
| CDELT2A = | 0.001 / |
| CRVAL1A $=$ | 0.0 /Sun center |
| CRVAL2A $=$ | 0.0 / |

WCSNAMEB= 'Stonyhurst heliographic'

| CTYPE1B = 'HGLN-AZP' | /Heliogr. longitude |
| :---: | :---: |
| CTYPE2B = 'HGLT-AZP' | / latitude |
| CRPIX1B $=$ | 512.5 /Center of CCD |
| CRPIX2B = | 512.5 / |
| CUNIT1B = 'deg | /Angles in degrees |
| CUNIT2B = 'deg | / |
| CDELT1B $=$ | $0.2139 / 0.001 *($ Dsun/Rsun-1) |
| CDELT2B $=$ | 0.2139 / |
| CRVAL1B $=$ | 0.0 /Central meridian |
| CRVAL2B $=$ | 6.5 /B0 angle |
| PV2_1B = | -214.9 /Negative Dsun/Rsun |

WCSNAMEC= 'Helioprojective-radial'
CTYPE1C $=$ 'HRLN-TAN' /Position Angle
CTYPE2C = 'HRLT-TAN' /Delta_Rho
CRPIX1C $=\quad 512.5 /$ Center of CCD
CRPIX2C $=\quad 512.5 /$
CUNIT1C $=$ 'deg , /Angles in degrees
CUNIT2C = 'deg , /
CDELT1C $=\quad-0.001$ /Long. $->$ E (deg/pix)
CDELT2C $=\quad 0.001$ /Lat. $->\mathrm{N}$ (deg/pix)
CRVAL1C =
CRVAL2C =
0.0 /Ref. latitude is 0

LONPOLEC=
-90.0 /Sun center
180.0 /Default value

Fig. 4. Sample FITS header, demonstrating heliocentriccartesian, helioprojective-cartesian, Stonyhurst heliographic, and helioprojective-radial coordinates for the same array. For simplicity, not all FITS keywords are shown
grees at 1 A.U. Although omitted in the FITS header here to save space, the default value of LONPOLE $=180^{\circ}$ is used in both the helioprojective and heliographic coordinate systems. In general, it's recommended that all keywords be included in the header, even when they take default values.

As noted earlier, a special case of the use of the helioprojective-radial coordinate system is when the reference pixel is disk center. This case is illustrated as section "C" in Fig. 4. Note that CDELT1C is the negative of the value CDELT1A shown in Fig. 4, because the $\psi$ unit vector as defined points toward the East limb. Consult Calabretta \& Greisen (2002) for more information about how the parameters are used to define the coordinate system.

A more complicated example of helioprojective-radial coordinates is demonstrated in Fig. 5. In this example, a scanning slit spectrometer, operating in the extreme ultraviolet, is used to scan the corona. The slit is oriented tangential to the limb, and is scanned outward along a radial at position angle $-45^{\circ}$, starting at 18 arcmin ( 0.3 ) from disk center. (For simplicity, we assume here that the radial steps go as the tangent of the angle $\theta_{\rho}$, which may only be an approximation for a real spectrograph. The projection to be used for any instrument will depend on its design.) Note that the position angle $\psi$ is denoted as 'HRLN-TAN' because it is here part of the helioprojective coordinate system. When used as part of a heliocentric coordinate system, it simply denoted as 'HCPA'. Note also that $\delta_{\rho}$ is used instead of $\theta_{\rho}$, so the radial position is given as $-89^{\circ} 7$ rather than $0^{\circ} .3$. Although not included in the FITS header, the default value of LONPOLE $=180^{\circ}$ is used in both helioprojective coordinate systems.

## 7. Coordinate Conversions

The following equations describe the conversion from one coordinate system into another, and are intended to demonstrate the relationships between the coordinate systems. Other coordinate conversions not shown below can be derived from those shown. Where appropriate, the assumptions to be made when one of the dimensions is missing is discussed. When converting between heliocentric and helioprojective coordinates, if all three spatial dimensions are not present, and no additional constraints (such as $r=R_{\odot}$ ) can be applied, then the small angle approximation may be used instead. (See Eqs. (4) and (6).)

Between Stonyhurst heliographic and heliocentriccartesian:

$$
\begin{align*}
x & =r \cos \Theta \sin \left(\Phi-\Phi_{0}\right), \\
y & =r\left[\sin \Theta \cos B_{0}-\cos \Theta \cos \left(\Phi-\Phi_{0}\right) \sin B_{0}\right],  \tag{11}\\
z & =r\left[\sin \Theta \sin B_{0}+\cos \Theta \cos \left(\Phi-\Phi_{0}\right) \cos B_{0}\right], \\
r & =\sqrt{x^{2}+y^{2}+z^{2}}, \\
\Theta & =\sin ^{-1}\left(\left(y \cos B_{0}+z \sin B_{0}\right) / r\right),  \tag{12}\\
\Phi & =\Phi_{0}+\arg \left(z \cos B_{0}-y \sin B_{0}, x\right),
\end{align*}
$$

where $B_{0}$ and $\Phi_{0}$ are the Stonyhurst heliographic latitude and longitude of the observer. If the $r$ dimension is missing, then we make the assumption that $r=R_{\odot}$. If the $z$ dimension is

| NAXIS | $3 / 3 \mathrm{D}$ array |
| :---: | :---: |
| NAXIS1 | 1024 /No. wavelengths |
| NAXIS2 | 100 /No. slit pixels |
| NAXIS3 | 100 /No. slit exposures |
| WCSNAME = 'Helioprojective-radial' |  |
| CTYPE1 = 'WAVE | /Wavelength |
| CTYPE2 = 'HRLN-TAN' | /Position angle |
| CTYPE3 = 'HRLT-TAN' | /rad. dist. - 90 deg |
| CRPIX1 | 512.5 /Center of CCD |
| CRPIX2 | 50.5 /Slit center |
| CRPIX3 | 1.0 /First exposure |
| CUNIT1 = 'Angstrom' | /Wavelenth in Ang. |
| CUNIT2 = 'deg | /Angles in degrees |
| CUNIT3 = 'deg | / |
| CDELT1 | 0.1 /Wavelength scale |
| CDELT2 | 0.001 /Slit pixel size |
| CDELT3 | 0.001 /Scan step size |
| CRVAL1 | 350.0/Reference wavelength |
| CRVAL2 | -45.0 /Pos. angle slit cntr |
| CRVAL3 | -89.7 /Rad. dist. 18 arcmin |
| WCSNAMEA= 'Helioprojective-cartesian' |  |
| CTYPE1A = 'WAVE | /Wavelength |
| CTYPE2A $=$ 'HPLT-TAN' | /Theta_Y |
| CTYPE3A $=$ ' $\mathrm{HPLN}-\mathrm{TAN}$ ' | /Theta_X |
| CRPIX1A $=$ | 512.5 /Center of CCD |
| CRPIX2A | 50.5/Slit center |
| CRPIX3A | 1.0 /First exposure |
| CUNIT1A $=$ 'Angstrom' | /Wavelength in Ang. |
| CUNIT2A $=$ 'deg | /Angles in degrees |
| CUNIT3A = 'deg | / |
| CDELT1A $=$ | 0.1/Wavelength scale |
| CDELT2A | 0.001 /Scale after rotation |
| CDELT3A | $0.001 /$ |
| PC1_1A | 1.0 /No wavelength trans. |
| PC2_2A | 0.707107 /Rotates by 45 deg . |
| PC2_3A | 0.707107 / |
| PC3_2A | -0.707107 / |
| PC3_3A | 0.707107 / |
| CRVAL1A $=$ | 350.0/Reference wavelength |
| CRVAL2A $=$ | 0.212132 /Coord. of ref. pixel |
| CRVAL3A $=$ | 0.212132 / |

Fig. 5. Sample FITS header, demonstrating helioprojective-radial, and helioprojective-cartesian coordinates for the same array. See text for details. For simplicity, not all FITS keywords are shown.
missing, then we again make the assumption that $r=R_{\odot}$, and therefore $z=\sqrt{R_{\odot}^{2}-x^{2}-y^{2}}$.

Between heliocentric-cartesian and heliocentric-radial:

$$
\begin{aligned}
\rho & =\sqrt{x^{2}+y^{2}}, \\
\psi & =\arg (y,-x), \\
z & =z, \\
x & =-\rho \sin \psi,
\end{aligned}
$$

$y=+\rho \cos \psi$,
$z=z$.
Between helioprojective-cartesian and heliocentriccartesian:
$x=d \cos \theta_{y} \sin \theta_{x}$,
$y=d \sin \theta_{y}$,
$z=D_{\odot}-d \cos \theta_{y} \cos \theta_{x}$,
$d=\sqrt{x^{2}+y^{2}+\left(D_{\odot}-z\right)^{2}}$,
$\theta_{x}=\arg \left(D_{\odot}-z, x\right)$,
$\theta_{y}=\sin ^{-1}(y / d)$.
Between helioprojective-radial and heliocentric-radial:
$\rho=d \sin \theta_{\rho}$,
$\psi=\psi$,
$z=D_{\odot}-d \cos \theta_{\rho}$,
$\theta_{\rho}=\arg \left(D_{\odot}-z, \rho\right)$,
$\psi=\psi$,
$d=\sqrt{\rho^{2}+\left(D_{\odot}-z\right)^{2}}$.
From the above, one can derive the conversions between helioprojective-cartesian and helioprojective-radial:

$$
\begin{align*}
\theta_{\rho} & =\arg \left(\cos \theta_{y} \cos \theta_{x}, \sqrt{\cos ^{2} \theta_{y} \sin ^{2} \theta_{x}+\sin ^{2} \theta_{y}}\right) \\
\psi & =\arg \left(\sin \theta_{y},-\cos \theta_{y} \sin \theta_{x}\right)  \tag{19}\\
d & =d \\
\theta_{x} & =\arg \left(\cos \theta_{\rho},-\sin \theta_{\rho} \sin \psi\right) \\
\theta_{y} & =\sin ^{-1}\left(\sin \theta_{\rho} \cos \psi\right)  \tag{20}\\
d & =d
\end{align*}
$$

### 7.1. Converting to Space Physics Coordinates

The simplest relationship between the solar imaging coordinate systems discussed above and the coordinate systems used in space physics is that between Heliocentric Cartesian coordinates and Heliocentric Earth Equatorial (HEEQ) coordinates (Hapgood 1992). In HEEQ coordinates, the $Z_{\text {HEEQ }}$ axis is aligned with the solar North rotation pole, while the $X_{\text {HEEQ }}$ axis points toward the intersection between the solar equator and the solar central meridian as seen from Earth. The conversion between Heliocentric Cartesian $(x, y, z)$ as seen from Earth, and Heliocentric Earth Equatorial ( $X_{\text {HEEQ }}, Y_{\text {HEEQ }}, Z_{\text {HEEQ }}$ ) is then

$$
\begin{align*}
& X_{\text {HEEQ }}=z \cos B_{0}-y \sin B_{0}, \\
& Y_{\text {HEEQ }}=x,  \tag{21}\\
& Z_{\text {HEEQ }}=z \sin B_{0}+y \cos B_{0}, \\
& x=Y_{\text {HEEQ }}, \\
& y=Z_{\text {HEEQ }} \cos B_{0}-X_{\text {HEEQ }} \sin B_{0},  \tag{22}\\
& z=Z_{\text {HEEQ }} \sin B_{0}+X_{\text {HEEQ }} \cos B_{0},
\end{align*}
$$

where $B_{0}$ is the heliographic latitude of Earth. For further conversion to other space physics coordinate systems, see Russell (1971), Hapgood (1992), and Fränz \& Harper (2002).

### 7.2. Pseudo-angles and the TAN projection

Solar astronomers have not traditionally used spherical trigonometry for image coordinates, except in the heliographic case. Instead, it's more common to work in pseudo-angles representing the plate scale of the instrument. These pseudoangles vary as the tangent of the actual angles. This is identical to working in the gnomonic (TAN) projection. Close to the Sun, the pseudo-angles are approximately equal to their real angle counterparts. (From 1 A.U., on the solar disk, they're the same to at least five significant figures.)

We define the pseudo-angles $\rho_{\theta}, x_{\theta}$, and $y_{\theta}$ to be the projection of a feature onto the $z=0$ plane, expressed as angles. Given this definition, the relationship between the pseudoangle $\rho_{\theta}$ and the actual angle $\theta_{\rho}$ is fairly simple,
$\rho_{\theta}=\left(\frac{180^{\circ}}{\pi}\right) \tan \theta_{\rho}$,
$\theta_{\rho}=\tan ^{-1}\left[\left(\frac{\pi}{180^{\circ}}\right) \rho_{\theta}\right]$,
while the relationships for helioprojective-cartesian coordinates are somewhat more complicated,
$x_{\theta}=\left(\frac{180^{\circ}}{\pi}\right) \tan \theta_{x}$,
$y_{\theta}=\left(\frac{180^{\circ}}{\pi}\right) \frac{\tan \theta_{y}}{\cos \theta_{x}}$,
$\theta_{x}=\tan ^{-1}\left[\left(\frac{\pi}{180^{\circ}}\right) x_{\theta}\right]$,
$\theta_{y}=\tan ^{-1}\left[\left(\frac{\pi}{180^{\circ}}\right) \frac{y_{\theta}}{\sqrt{1+\left(\pi x_{\theta} / 180^{\circ}\right)^{2}}}\right]$.
(In the above we assume that the pseudo-angles $\rho_{\theta}, x_{\theta}$, and $y_{\theta}$ are all expressed in pseudo-degrees; hence the conversion factors of $180^{\circ} / \pi$. Additional conversion factors would be needed for pseudo-arcminutes or pseudo-arcseconds.)

Although the conversion between pseudo-angles and true angles is not necessarily simple, pseudo-angles are much easier to deal with than true angles, and act more like heliocentric coordinates. For example, in pseudo-angles, the relationships between helioprojective-cartesian and helioprojective radial coordinates are the same as their heliocentric counterparts:
$\rho_{\theta}=\sqrt{x_{\theta}^{2}+y_{\theta}^{2}}$,
$\psi=\arg \left(y_{\theta},-x_{\theta}\right)$,
$x_{\theta}=-\rho_{\theta} \sin \psi$,
$y_{\theta}=+\rho_{\theta} \cos \psi$.
The approximations of Eqs. (4) and (6) work equally well with the pseudo-angles $x_{\theta}, y_{\theta}, \rho_{\theta}$ as with their real-angle counterparts. In fact, the distances
$x^{\prime}=D_{\odot}\left(\frac{\pi}{180^{\circ}}\right) x_{\theta}$,
$y^{\prime}=D_{\odot}\left(\frac{\pi}{180^{\circ}}\right) y_{\theta}$,
$\rho^{\prime}=D_{\odot}\left(\frac{\pi}{180^{\circ}}\right) \rho_{\theta}$,
are true distances of the projection of a point onto the $z=0$ plane.

For a disk-centered solar telescope, using the TAN projection, the pseudo-angles $x_{\theta}, y_{\theta}$ are equal to the intermediate coordinates calculated from the FITS keywords if the spherical corrections of the TAN projection are not applied, e.g. as calculated by older non-WCS software. The differences from the real angles $\theta_{x}, \theta_{y}$ scale as $\theta_{\rho}^{3}$, and are about 7 milliseconds of arc at the limb, as seen from 1 A.U. When the telescope axis is not disk-centered, additional differences of comparable magnitude will be incurred.

The situation is somewhat different with helioprojectiveradial coordinates when the TAN projection is used near the Sun. Because of the high level of curvature, one cannot simply calculate the intermediate coordinates from CRPIX $j$, CRVALi, etc. Instead, one must either calculate $\theta_{\rho}, \psi$ using the full WCS spherical formalism, or calculate $\rho_{\theta}, \psi$ based on Eq. (27).

## 8. The older FITS coordinate system

Over the last few years, an informal standard has been developing for solar image coordinates in FITS files, using the older FITS keywords rather than the newer WCS formalism. This system has the following characteristics:

- The coordinate axes correspond to Fig. 2.
- The coordinate axis labels vary, or are omitted, but are typically SOLARX, SOLARY, as used by the SOHO project.
- The coordinates are usually expressed in arcseconds (although the appropriate CUNIT $i$ keywords may not appear in the header). However, no map projections are explicitly given, and the distinction between heliocentric and helioprojective coordinates is glossed over.

This system can be incorporated into the overall formalism being considered here by recognizing that the coordinates given in such a system are actually the pseudo-angles discussed in Sec. 7.2. Thus, this system is implicitly helioprojectivecartesian with the standard TAN projection.

Although we encourage the eventual adoption of a WCSbased system, FITS readers should also be written to parse files using the older coordinate system described above, as an implicit helioprojective-cartesian system. This format is acceptable for simple two-dimensional solar images. FITS files using this older system should include the keywords CRPIX $j$, CRVAL $i$, CDELT $i$, CTYPE $i$, CUNIT $i$, and optionally CROTA $i$ if the image is rotated. When CROTA $i$ is included, then the conversion from pixel coordinates $(i, j)$ to spatial coordinates $(x, y)$ should be done via the following equations (Calabretta \& Greisen 2002).
$\gamma=$ CROTA $j$,
$x=\operatorname{CDELT} i \times \cos \gamma \times i-\operatorname{CDELT} j \times \sin \gamma \times j$,
$y=\operatorname{CDELT} i \times \sin \gamma \times i+\operatorname{CDELT} j \times \cos \gamma \times j$,

Table 2. Comparison of the FITS coordinate keywords in the old and new system, for helioprojective-cartesian coordinates in the TAN projection. The phrase "exactly the same" assumes that the same units are used in both cases. See Sec. 9.2 for a discussion of angular units. Although CUNITi did not appear in the original Wells et al. (1981) paper, it has been commonly used.

| Keyword | Original | WCS |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| CRPIX $j$ | Exactly the same in both systems |  |
| CRVAL $i$ | Exactly the same in both systems |  |
| CDELT $i$ | Exactly the same in both systems |  |
| CROTA $i$ | Rotation angle | Deprecated |
| PCi_ $j$ | Not used | Replaces CROTA $i$ |
| CUNIT $i$ | Exactly the same in both systems |  |
| CTYPE $i$ | SOLARX | HPLN-TAN |
|  | SOLARY | HPLT-TAN |

where $i$ is the $x$-like axis, and $j$ is the $y$-like axis. This is equivalent in the WCS to a CD matrix with the following definition:

$$
\begin{align*}
\mathrm{CD} i_{-} i & =+\mathrm{CDELT} i \times \cos (\mathrm{CROTA} j) \\
\mathrm{CD} i_{-} j & =-\mathrm{CDELT} j \times \sin (\mathrm{CROTA} j)  \tag{31}\\
\mathrm{CD} j_{-} i & =+\mathrm{CDELT} i \times \sin (\mathrm{CROTA} j) \\
\mathrm{CD} j_{-} j & =+\mathrm{CDELT} j \times \cos (\mathrm{CROTA} j)
\end{align*}
$$

or to a PC matrix with the following definition:
PCi_i $=+\cos (\operatorname{CROTA} j)$,
PCi $i_{-} j=-\sin ($ CROTA $j) \times($ CDELT $j /$ CDELT $i)$,
PC $j_{-} i=+\sin ($ CROTA $j) \times($ CDELT $i / C D E L T ~ j)$,
$\mathrm{PC} j_{-} j=+\cos (\mathrm{CROTA} j)$.
(The inverse problem of decomposing a PC matrix into CROTA $i$ values, and how to determine if such a decomposition is possible, is discussed in Calabretta \& Greisen (2002).) Table 2 shows the usage of the various FITS coordinate system keywords in the old and new systems.

There has also recently been growing usage of some metadata keywords for solar images, such as XCEN, YCEN, and ANGLE. Although these are very useful keywords for cataloging sets of observations, they should never be considered as substitutes for the standard FITS coordinate system keywords. However, these can also be incorporated by making the identifications

```
CRPIX1 = (NAXIS1 + 1)/2,
CRPIX2 = (NAXIS2 + 1)/2,
CRVAL1 = XCEN,
CRVAL2 = YCEN,
CROTA2 = ANGLE.
```


## 9. Additional Considerations

### 9.1. Observer's Position

As mentioned earlier, some of the coordinate systems mentioned here are oriented with one of the coordinate axes pointing toward the observer. Therefore, information should be

Table 3. Standard space physics coordinate systems from Russell [1971], Hapgood [1992], and Fränz and Harper [2002], together with their associated WCS labels for CTYPE $i$ declarations.

| Coordinate system | Abbrev. | WCS labels |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Geocentric equatorial inertial | GEI | GEIX, GEIY, GEIZ |
| Geographic | GEO | GEOX, GEOY, GEOZ |
| Geocentric solar ecliptic | GSE | GSEX, GSEY, GSEZ |
| Geocentric solar magnetic | GSM | GSMX, GSMY, GSMZ |
| Solar magnetic | SM | SM_X, SM_Y, SM_Z |
| Geomagnetic | MAG | MAGX, MAGY, MAGZ |
| Helicentric Aries Ecliptic | HAE | HAEX, HAEY, HAEZ |
| Heliocentric Earth Ecliptic | HEE | HEEX, HEEY, HEEZ |
| Heliocentric Earth Equatorial | HEEQ | HEQX, HEQY, HEQZ |
| Heliocentric Intertial | HCI | HCIX, HCIY, HCIZ |

placed in the FITS header to specify the viewer's location. This is done by using the labels listed in Table 1 followed by the characters "_OBS". Since there's no standard mechanism for specifying what units that the value of a keyword in the header takes, all distance keywords will have the units meters, while angular keywords are in degrees. In principal, any standard coordinate system could be used to specify the position of the observer, but it is recommended that at least the keywords DSUN_OBS, HGLN_OBS, and HGLT_OBS be included to specify the Stonyhurst heliographic coordinates of the observer. For example, a FITS header might include the lines

| DSUN_OBS= | $1.507 \mathrm{E}+11$ |
| :--- | ---: |
| HGLN_OBS= | 0.0 |
| HGLT_OBS= | 7.25 |

If the observer's position is not specified, then it should be assumed that the observation was made from Earth, or from low Earth orbit.

The observer's position can also be specified in any of the standard space physics coordinate systems (Russell 1971; Hapgood 1992; Fränz \& Harper 2002). Table 3 lists these coordinate systems, together with their associated WCS labels. Like the other keywords discussed in this document, the observer's position would be specified in the header by appending the characters "_OBS", e.g. HEQX_OBS, HEQY_OBS, HEQZ_OBS. In keeping with WCS standards, the values of these keywords would be in meters.

### 9.2. Other Angular Units

It has long been standard in FITS files that angular measurements be expressed in degrees (Wells et al. 1981; Calabretta \& Greisen 2002). However, it is also common in solar observations to express the coordinates in arcseconds from disk center. This possibility was presaged in Sec. 7.2, where helioprojective-cartesian pseudo-angles can be calculated from the FITS header parameters without resorting to spherical coordinate calculations, which is not the case for helioprojectiveradial coordinates. Thus, only for the limited case where the coordinate axes are HPLN-TAN and HPLT-TAN, and where the coordinates are all relatively close to the Sun, it can be appropriate to express the coordinates in units other than degrees. Although

Table 4. Alternate angular units.

| Unit String | Meaning | Notes |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| deg | degree of arc | $\pi / 180$ radians |
| arcmin | minute of arc | $1 / 60$ degree |
| arcsec | second of arc | $1 / 3600$ degree |
| mas | millisecond of arc | $1 / 3600000$ degree |
| rad | radian | $180 / \pi$ degrees |

strongly discouraged, the World Coordinate System (Greisen \& Calabretta 2002) does allow for this possibility through the CUNITi keyword, which can take on the values given in Table 4. For example, if units of arcseconds is desired for a two dimensional image array, then this could be specified by setting

```
CTYPE1 = 'HPLN-TAN'
CUNIT1 = 'arcsec '
CTYPE2 = 'HPLT-TAN'
CUNIT2 = 'arcsec '
```

This allows for compatibility with other proposed standards for solar image data, which have generally called for coordinates to be expressed in arcseconds. Note that the value of the CUNIT $i$ keyword only applies to the keywords CRVAL $i$, and CDELT $i$ (or CDi $i_{-}$). All other angular keywords, such as LONPOLE, CROTA $i$, etc., must be in degrees.

### 9.3. Celestial coordinates

Although the helioprojective coordinates described here are similar in many ways to celestial coordinates, they have not been defined to be applicable to any other bodies than the Sun and its atmosphere. A solar data set may also contain other astronomical bodies of interest, such as sun-grazing comets or calibration stars. Analysis of these extra-solar targets would be aided by including additional coordinate information in one of the traditional celestial coordinate systems (e.g R.A., Dec.) as discussed in Calabretta \& Greisen (2002). This is particularly true when the solar coordinates are expressed in arcseconds, which may cause trouble for software used by non-solar astronomers.

## 10. Conclusions

We have presented a standardized coordinate system for solar image data which is precise, rigorous, and comprehensive. It builds on current standards, and extends them for the needs of future missions. Both terrestrial and non-terrestrial viewpoints are supported. By incorporating the emerging World Coordinate System standard, compatibility with future astronomy software is ensured, and a powerful level of flexibility is achieved. Many current FITS files are incorporated as a special subset of the new system.

The coordinate systems presented here have the following characteristics:

- Stonyhurst and Carrington heliographic coordinates are defined to be the same for all observers. Two observers with distinctly different perspectives, such as the STEREO
spacecraft, would measure the same heliographic coordinates for a feature at $r=R_{\odot}$.
- Helioprojective coordinates, and the associated heliocentric systems discussed here, are observer-specific. Two observers with different perspectives, such as STEREO, would measure different coordinates for the same feature, because one axis always points towards the observer.
- The keywords HGLN_OBS, HGLT_OBS, and DSUN_OBS specify the position of the observer.
- The keyword CAR_ROT specifies the Carrington rotation number.

We recommend that new solar data be written using the World Coordinate System formalism discussed here. The simplest of the WCS-compliant coordinate systems is the helioprojective-cartesian system in the TAN projection, and is also the best match to current practice for many observatories. A simple way to implement this scheme, when no rotation needs to be applied, is to set

```
CRPIX \(j=(\) NAXIS \(i+1) / 2\)
CRVAL \(i=\) (pointing of center of image in arcseconds)
CDELT \(i=\) (pixel spacing in arcseconds)
CUNIT \(i=\), arcsec ,
CTYPE1 \(=\) 'HPLN-TAN'
CTYPE2 \(=\) 'HPLT-TAN'
```

Alternatively, the CRPIX $j$ values can represent the position of disk center in the image, and then CRVAL $i=0$.

Although this proposal treats solar images as a spherical coordinate system, FITS files written in helioprojective-cartesian coordinates with the TAN projection are compatible with older non-WCS software to a high degree of accuracy. Also, files using the older non-WCS system can be treated as being implicitly helioprojective-cartesian with the TAN projection.

However, the spherical coordinate map projection capabilities of the World Coordinate System offer a large amount of flexibility in dealing with data which are not cast in straightforward Cartesian terms. With the appropriate map projections, one can handle not only images, but also synoptic maps in the heliographic system, and maps of the corona in radial distance and position angle.
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## Appendix A: Time

Although a complete description of the specification of date and time in FITS files is beyond the scope of this document, we wish to bring the reader's attention to the revised specification for dates in FITS files, which was adopted by the IAU Fits Working Group (IAU-FWG) in November 1997 (Hanisch
et al. 2001). This applies not only to the keyword DATE-OBS, but all keywords starting with the letters "DATE". Such keywords can take one of two forms. When only the date is required, these keywords can take the form "CCYY-MM-DD", where "CCYY" is the century and year (i.e. the four-digit year), "MM" is the two-digit month, and "DD" is the two-digit day-of-month. For example,

```
DATE-OBS= '2000-03-27'
```

The time can also be included, separated from the year by the letter "T". In that case, the date keywords take the form "CCYY-MM-DDThh:mm:ss[.sss...]", where "hh", "mm", and "ss" are the hours, minutes, and seconds respectively, and where the seconds can be expanded to an arbitrary fraction. For example,

```
DATE-OBS= '2000-03-27T22:01:41.123'
```

Unless otherwise specified, the dates and times are assumed to be in Coordinated Universal Time (UTC) (except for DATE itself, where no assumptions are made).

Before the IAU-FWG adopted the above convention, the SOHO project adopted a similar convention, using the keyword DATE_OBS in place of DATE-OBS to avoid conflict with the previous standard. Although the standard adopted by SOHO and later by the IAU-FWG are both ultimately based upon ISO8601 (ISO 1988), the SOHO DATE_OBS keyword was based upon a recommendation by the Consultative Committee for Space Data Systems (CCSDS 1990) which was less restrictive than what the IAU-FWG finally adopted. The SOHO keyword differs from the IAU-FWG specification in the following ways:

- It allowed, and in fact encouraged, the date-time string to end in the letter "Z" to indicate the UTC time-zone. The IAU-FWG decided to not allow this.
- It allowed a variation where the day-of-year was given instead of the month and day, which was also not allowed by the IAU-FWG.

The SOHO project adopted the non-standard DATE_OBS keyword to address Y2K issues which the FITS committees had not yet addressed, and to allow for the specification of time as well as date. Now that the FITS standard addresses these same issues, one should consider the SOHO DATE_OBS keyword to be deprecated in favor of the standard DATE-OBS keyword. For backwards compatibility, both forms are acceptable, but DATE-OBS is to be preferred.
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[^0]:    ${ }^{1}$ Future planned developments for the WCS include spectral coordinates, non-linear distortions, and possibly temporal coordinates.

[^1]:    ${ }^{2}$ Since the Sun is assumed to have zero oblateness, and rotates in the prograde sense, there is no distinction between planetographic and planetocentric latitudes and longitudes for the Sun. We will use the traditional term heliographic when referring to latitude and longitude.

[^2]:    ${ }^{3}$ The function arg is defined such that $\arg (x, y)=\tan ^{-1}(y / x)$, where the answer can lie anywhere from $-180^{\circ}$ to $180^{\circ}$, and thus resolves the the ambiguity between which quadrant the result should lie in.

