Edge-plasma modeling for APEX & ALPS*

- 1. Overview for APEX; detached plasma & role of convection
- 2. CLIFF edge-plasma with acceptable power & shielding
- 3. Status of fluid edge-plasma transport modeling for NSTX

T.D. Rognlien and M.E. Rensink

Fusion Energy Program, LLNL

and important collaboration with Mike Kotschenreuther, IFS

Presented at APEX/ALPS Meeting Grand Canyon, AZ April 7-11, 2003

*Work performed under auspices of US DOE by Univ.of Calif. LLNL under contract No. W-7405-Eng-48.

Outline



- Highly radiating detached SOL plasmas for CLIFF
- Beginnings of a study on strong convection
- NSTX module calculations

Properties of plasma surrounding liquid wall are modeled using the 2D UEDGE transport code



- Solves multispecies fluid equations (n_i, v_{||}, T_e, T_i, n_g) in annular poloidal domain
- Parallel transport along B classical; perpendicular is enhanced diffusion (convection)
- Used to model various experimental conditions in DIII-D, JT60-U, JET, and Alcator C-Mod

Initial temperature range; updated below



Carbon-III and D_{α} emissions are well matched by UEDGE (DIII-D simulations by Gary Porter, LLNL)



Weakly pumped, high density SOLs yield stable, highly-radiating edge plasmas



- Fluorine from the flinabe wall can provide an effective radiatorfor core alpha-particle power
- High core-edge density is helpful, but may not be essential
- An operating window in power and core-edge density is identified
- Stability of the radiating zone and sufficient core-edge temperature for good core confinement are key issues



Plasma profiles at the outer midplane show large drop in temperature at the wall



- Diffusive radial transport model
 used
- T_e and T_i are low (2-3 eV) at the flinabe wall from combination of hydrogenic and fluorine radiation
- Low temperatures imply low sputtering
- Convective loss will increase particle loss to wall, but local T_{e,i} should remain low



Detached divertor plasmas characterized by $\rm T_{e,l}$ of a few eV in the divertor legs



- Fluorine and hydrogen radiation remove nearly all of the particle power crossing the core separatrix
- Hydrogen radiation is optically thick, and thus largely reabsorbed (80% assumed here)





Rognlien APEX/ALPS 4/7/03 7

Profile of wall heat flux from core radiation evaluated by UEDGE extended into the core



- Two core radiation source profiles are compared - very little difference
- Full CLIFF (ARIES-RS) geometry included
- Radiation is assumed optically thin, and having with no reemission from the surface



Rognlien APEX/ALPS 4/7/03 8

Profile of wall heat flux is then the sum of the SOL fluorine radiation & that from core



Core radiation is assumed to be a combination of bremsstrahlung and line radiation



Rognlien APEX/ALPS 4/7/03 9

Substantial operating window exists for highly-radiating SOL plasmas in CLIFF



- Radiating plasma prefer high density owing to P_{rad} ~ n_{imp}x n_e
- Lower density portion of window
 is interesting plateau
- Upper limit is burn-through to divertor plate
- Lower limit is core MARFE



What about strong plasma convection?



- A beginning study with Mike Kotschenreuther
- Plasma flux = -D $d(n_i)/dr + n_i V_{conv}$
 - How do we distinguish between D and V_{conv} ?
 - What are the consequences?
 - Is the D and V_{conv} characterization adequate

Plasma outward convection in the outer SOL may be a substantial source of wall sputtering



- Experimental data shows large transport in the far SOL, implying
 ion flux = -D d(n_i)/dr + n_i V_{conv}
- Scaling from experiments, Kotschenreuther has found that such transport could give rise to significant first-wall sputtering & other effects
- Initial UEDGE modeling indicates that wall recycling is important in possibly reducing the plasma energy and thus sputtering
- High density and impurities help produce lower T_{e,i} at the wall; much remains to be done



Convection at lower edge density also gives high wall density



• Again, wall recycling plays an important role



Rognlien APEX/ALPS 4/7/03 13

Plus, better characterization of turbulence transport needs to be extended to impurities



- Impurity transport may be increased - not simply outward convection for impurities from the wall, but may be inward
- Helium recycling on main chamber may reduce private-flux helium pumping
- Better theoretical model for convection, or rather, large SOL transport in general



Summary for part 1; APEX overview



- Highly radiating SOL plasmas offer a power-handling solution for CLIFF
 - Reduce peak heat flux to low levels
 - Offer adequate impurity shielding
 - Require low pumping rate (throughput)
 - Stability and helium pumping need better assessment
- Convection has been ignored in previous studies
 - Initial self-consistent simulations have been done
 - Wall recycling tends to produce low-temperature, dense plasma near the wall - is this real?
 - Impurity transport will be affected how much? We don't have adequate models presently. Associated turbulence needs better characterization

Convective transport raises a number of issues (summary from Kotschenreuther/Rognlien)



- Sputtering from local ion temperature at the wall is likely low
- But sputtering from hotter "tail" neutrals from non-local chargeexchange near the separatrix may be large
- Enhanced power detachment of near-wall plasma; may allow increased impurity intrusion, but could also shield via high density
- Impurity transport may be increased not simply outward convection for impurities from the wall, but may be inward
- Helium recycling on main chamber may reduce private-flux helium pumping
- Better theoretical model for convection, or rather, large SOL transport in general



- Temperature window in power and density
- Wall temperature now T_{inlet} = 425 C and T_{outlet} = 510 C
- New total wall and divertor heat fluxes acceptable
- Stability of tilted plate configurations

Surface temperature inlet/outlet values from S. Smolentsev now implemented



- An iterative process
 - Initially UEDGE used 300 C inlet and 515 C outlet
 - Resulting wall heat-flux profiles used by Sergey
 - New temperature inlet/outlet temperatures = 425 C / 510 C now used in UEDGE
- Present base-case values shown
 on figure to right
- Need to check if iteration is sufficiently converged



Rognlien APEX/ALPS 4/7/03 18

Base-case now radiates more power in SOL with acceptable wall/divertor heat flux



- Inlet/outlet temperature are now 425/510 C, changed from 300/515 C
- Total SOL radiation is now 480 MW, leaving 430 MW from core



Rognlien APEX/ALPS 4/7/03 19

Tilted divertor plates indicate sensitivity of solution to details of divertor flows/pumping



- Tilting plates change neutral distribution near plates & private flux region
- Unbalanced pumping on inner and outer divertor (PF) regions may help balance inner and outer radiation zones for better stability
- Steady solutions for new basecase (April '03) have not been found yet, but unbalanced pumping may help





- Highly radiating SOL plasmas offer a power-handling for CLIFF
 - Reduce peak heat flux to low levels
 - wall ~ 3 MW/m²
 - Divertor ~ 10 MW/m²
 - Offer adequate impurity shielding (< 1% fluorine at core boundary)
 - Operating window in n_{core} and P_{SOL}
 - Wall plasma temperature is low; small sputtering (need kinetic est.)

Issues to be resolved

- Stablity, especially for tilted plates
- Helium pumping
- Good core confinement (adequate T_{edge}) & required radiation
- Role of convective transport, especially at high edge density



- The NSTX lithium module design has been modified
 - Previously, partial insertion and 40 cm toroidal length
 - Now, complete radial coverage of outer divertor for a 1 m toroidal length

Lithium contamination of core from NSTX module modeled by coupling UEDGE & WBC



- Heat and particle flux to module computed by UEDGE
- Temperature rise of Li surface from Ulrickson's model
- Sputtering of Li from U. III. composite model
- WBC calculates lithium source near the divertor plate
- UEDGE uses this Li source to calculate lithium density at core boundary



NSTX modeling extended to larger Li module gives lower divertor density (more pumping)





Rognlien APEX/ALPS 4/7/03 24



NSTX with $n_{core} = 4x10^{19} \text{ m}^{-3}$, $P_{core} = 6 \text{ MW}$

- Minimum toroidally-averaged recycling coeff. R = 0.8 for complete pumping on module
- Incomplete pumping characterized by R = 0.8 --> 1.0
- Edge-plasma density changes most strongly



Rognlien APEX/ALPS 4/7/03 25

Larger module results in low influx of lithium to the core







- Substantial operating window for radiating SOL in CLIFF
- NSTX 1-meter lithium module produces small core Li content
- Begun evaluation of impact of convective SOL transport