Diseases Other Than Cancer in A-bomb Survivors and Their Children

> Kiyohiko Mabuchi Radiation Epidemiology Branch, NCI For Radiation Epidemiology Course May 4-14, 2004

### **Non-cancer Diseases**

- Early deterministic effects
  - Acute radiation syndrome
  - Cataract
- Mental retardation and growth impairment
  - In-utero exposure
- LSS Non-cancer Disease Risk
  - Cardiovascular disease
- Genetic effects (F1)
  - Birth defects, and other pregnancy outcomes

#### Cataract

## Radiation cataract - lens opacity



- 2-3 years after exposure
- Partial opacity/cloudiness
- Most frequently posterior lenticular opacity
- Recent evidence on cortical opacity
- Rarely severe visual impairment

#### Cataract Dose Response



ICRP: Threshold for opacities causing visual impairment = 2-10 Gy for single low LET exposure

#### Mental Retardation and IQ

#### Mental retardation and IQ *In-utero exposure*

- Sever mental retardation in 21 of 476 in-utero survivors >0.005 Gy
  - 8-15 weeks of gestation at exposure
- Dose-related decreases
  - school performance
  - IQ scores



## IQ curve and retardation - ICRP model



Shift of IQ by 30 points per Sv

#### Non-cancer Disease in LSS

## Noncancer Diseases in LSS

Category	No of deaths	%
Stroke	7,859	29%
Heart disease	6,826	25%
Respiratory disease	3,163	12%
Digestive disease	1,723	6%
Infectious disease	4,804	18%
Total	27,117	100%

## Non-cancer Mortality 1950-97

Dose, Sv	Obs	Expected	Excess
<0.005	13,832	13,954	0
0.005-0.1	11,633	11,442	17
0.1-0.2	2,163	2,235	17
0.2-0.5	2,423	2,347	47
0.5-1	1,161	1,075	61
1-2	506	467	68
2+	163	111	40
Total	31,881	31,631	250

(LSS Report 13, Preston et al, 2003)



ERR: Excess Relative Risk per Sv

ERR

## **Reasons for Skepticism**

 Small excess relative risk (ERR) compared to cancer

– About 10% vs. 40%

- The apparent effect seen for many disease categories
- Lack of plausible biological models

## Questions

- Are the apparent radiation effects due to bias or confounding?
  - Selection effects
  - Cancer-noncancer misclassification
  - Confounding effects
- Shape of the dose response, especially at a low dose

## Cohort selection

- Possible dose-related cohort selection by survival of acute effects - "healthy survivor effect"
- This effect would lower death rates but not explain dose-related *increase* in noncancer mortality - opposite of the healthy survivor effect

# Causes of death misclassification

- Probability of cancer to noncancer misclassification = 22%
  - DC- autopsy comparisons
- After adjustment for this misclassification
  - Dose response remains highly significant
  - Noncancer ERR down by 20%
  - Cancer ERR up by 10-15%

	No correction	Corrected for 22% misclass.
Non-cancer % change in ERR	-	-21%
Non-cancer excess deaths	129	98
Cancer % change in ERR	-	+13%
Cancer excess deaths	354	396

#### Effects of Possible Confounders

Subjects	Death	ns adju	loncance No istment	r ERR/Sv Adjustment
10,308 men	1,16	3 (	).07	0.09
13,154 women	1,12	1 (	).14	0.14
Adjusted	for	<ul> <li>educa</li> <li>occup</li> <li>marita</li> <li>smoki</li> <li>house</li> <li>Japan</li> <li>physic</li> </ul>	ation ation al status ing size ese-style fo cal activity	ood

## **Evidence for Causality**

- Clear dose response trend
- Significant dose response among survivors exposed between 900-1,200 meters from the hypocenter
  - ERR/Sv = 0.25
  - Dose: 0.35 5.84 Sv, mid point 1.10 Sv



#### Shape of Dose-Response Curve

- Linear, linearquadratic, pure quadratic and threshold at 0.3 Gy give comparable fits
- But little clarification of risk below about 0.5 Sv



#### Non-cancer Dose Response



## Magnitude of Risk

	1950-1997		1991-1997	
	Deaths	Excess	Deaths	Excess
Cancer	9,335	440	1,756	114
Non-cancer	31,881	250	4,760	66

### Cardiovascular Disease in Other Irradiated Populations

 Clear evidence of high-dose irradiation (i.e., >30 Gy) on heart disease, including coronary heart disease

- Hodgkin lymphoma, breast cancer patients

- Some corroborating epidemiological data from medical and occupational studies
  - Ankylosing spondylitis and peptic ulcer patients, but not TB fluoroscopy patients
  - US radiologists and radiologic technologists, but not UK radiologists

#### Cardiovascular Disease Risk

Dose	Populations	Findings
30-50 Gy High	Radiotherapy for cancer: Hodgkin's disease, breast cancer	<ul> <li>Excess myocardial infarction mortality</li> </ul>
		Dose response
		Possible age / time effect
<10 Gy Moderate	Radiotherapy for benign diseases:Ankylosing spondylitis (2.5 Gy, 0-5 Gy)Peptic ulcer disease (2.2 Sv, 0.2-6 Gy)Metropathia haemorrhagica (1.3 Gy, 0-2Gy)Mass TB fluoroscopy (0.9 G, 0-9 Gy)	<ul> <li>Excess heart disease mortality based on SMRs, except for Tb fluoroscopy cohort</li> <li>Some dose response data</li> </ul>
<1-2 Gy Low to moderate	Occupational exposures: Radiologists (UK, US), Radiologic technologists (US, Canada, China, and others)	<ul> <li>Excess heart disease mortality (US radiologists); but not in the UK cohort</li> <li>Excess heart disease /stroke mortality (US rad techs)</li> <li>Some dose response data</li> </ul>
<0.5 Gy Low	Chernobyl liquidators Nuclear workers	<ul> <li>Excess heart disease mortality and dose response</li> <li>Cannot rule out confounding effects</li> </ul>

## Possible Mechanisms: Radiation and Heart Disease

- Microvasculature theory
  - Especially in relation to high-dose irradiation
- Mutation theory
  - Monoclonal origin or expansion of atherosclerotic plaques
- Inflammation theory
  - Endothelial injury / dysfunction and inflammatory response

#### A-bomb Clinical Data -1

- Cardiovascular precursor lesions and related conditions
  - Changes in age trends for serum cholesterol levels and blood pressure
  - Increased prevalence of aortic arch calcification, isolated systolic hypertension



Wong et al, 1999

#### A-bomb Clinical Data - 2

- Evidence that radiation may increased inflammatory activity
  - Effect on leukocyte count, erythrocyte sedimentation rate, α-1 and α-2 globulin and sialic acid Neriishi et al, 2001
  - Increased C-reactive protein and Interleukin 6 levels - Hayashi et al, 2003
- Atherosclerosis an inflammatory process involving endothelial damage and dysfunction (Ross, 1999)

#### F1 Children of A-Bomb Survivors

## Early genetic studies

- 77,000 newborns, 1948-54
  - Use of food ration program for pregnant women (>20 weeks)
    - => 90% all pregnancies in Hiroshima/Nagasaki
  - Follow-up by midwifes
  - Physical examination during 2 weeks after birth
- Untoward pregnancy outcomes
  - stillbirth
  - malformations
  - neonatal death (2 weeks)

#### Birth Defects, 1948-53

Total major birth defects: 0.91% (n=594) Tokyo Red Cross Hospital data: 0.92%

Mother's	Father's		
dose,	dose,		
Sv	Sv		
	< 0.01	0.01 – 0.49	> 0.50
< 0.01	2,257/45,234	81/1,614	29/506
	5,0%	<mark>5.0%</mark>	5.7%
0.01 - 0.49	260/5,445	54/1,171	6/133
> 0.50	4.8%	4.5%	4.5%
	63/1,039	3/73	7/88
	6.1%	4.1%	8.0%
	01170	11170	

# Blood protein mutations, 1976-

	< 0.01 Sv	> 0.01 Sv
		(mean 0.49 Sv)
Electrophoretic protein varia	<u>ints</u>	
Children tested	12,297	11,364
Loci tested	589,506	544,779
New mutations	4	2
Mutation rate / 100,000	0.7	0.4
Enzyme-deficient protein va	<u>riants</u>	
Children tested	5,026	4,989
Loci tested	61,741	60,529
New mutations	0	1
Mutations rate / 100,000	0	2

## DNA Studies in F1

- Lymphocytes from 1,000 child-parents trios:
  - 500 one or both parents exposed
  - 500 non-exposed

- Pilot on 100 families
  - minisatellite loci, 8
     probes
- Two-dimensional electrophoresis
- DNA chip technology

## F<sub>1</sub> Cancer Results

- Mortality through 1999 (Izumi et al 2003)
  - No excess cancer and non-cancer mortality
  - Hazard ratio for cancer = 0.96 (95% CI 0.59, 1.55)
  - Hazard ratio for non-cancer = 1.16 (95% CI 0.92, 1.46)
- Cancer incidence before age 20 yrs (Yoshimoto, 1990)
  - No excess for heritable and non-heritable type cancers