

Local Work Group development of local EQIP.

_____ Pine _____ District FY06 EQIP

1. List the local resource concerns that EQIP can address: **The highest local priorities are Water Resource Protection, Wildlife Habitat Management and Erosion Control.**
2. If applicable, list any geographic regions (i.e. watersheds, townships, etc.) and their respective resource concerns within the District to receive priority:
 - a. **DNR designated trout streams, adjoining land, B1 – Water Resource Protection Practices. ****
 - b. **Grindstone River Watershed – Wastewater and Runoff Control Practice – 784, Livestock Exclusion – 472, Filter Strip – 393 and Riparian Buffer – 391.**
 - c. **Grindstone Lake Watershed – Erosion Reduction Practices – 329B – Mulch Tillage, 362 – Diversion, 410 – Grade Stabilization Structure, 412 – Grassed Waterway, 638 – Water and Sediment Debris Basin, 342 – Critical Area Planting and 512 – Permanent Pasture and Hay Land Planting.**
 - d. **Cross Lake Watershed – Runoff Protection Practices – 329B – Mulch Tillage, 342 – Critical Area Planting, 512 – Permanent Pasture and Hay Land Planting, 645 – Wildlife Plantings and 657 – Wetland Restoration.**
 - e. **Askov Sinkhole Area - Sinkhole Treatment - 725 and Well decommissioning - 351.**
3. Prioritize and weight each local resource concern for the district. Weight must be between 1 and 10:

Factor	Resource Priority	Weight
A1. Erosion Control	Medium	7
A2 Gully Control	Medium	5
B1 Water Resource	High	10
B2 Wastewater/CNMP	Medium	5
C Habitat Improvement	High	8
D Air Quality	Low	1
E Impaired Water	Low	3
F Distance	Medium	5
G Grazing System	Medium	6
H Forest Mgt.	High	8
Additional Local*	High	10

* If the additional local concern is scored, describe the concern here and how points will be scored. Include any geographic priorities. **Points will be scored for local concerns if specified practices are planned for implementation within the areas listed in Item #2.**

4. Attach the scoring worksheet as recommended for the district.
5. List any recommended practices to be deleted from the state Conservation Practice Payment Document. **None**

The local EQIP program description, cost-share docket changes, and ranking worksheet must be reviewed and approved by the State Conservationist before any EQIP contract is approved and signed.

This document serves as the Local Work Group recommendation for FY 06 EQIP. Attached is a roster of participation in the Local Work Group: Robert Korth, NRCS; Mary Kay Anderson, Pine SWCD; Dave Johnson, DNR-Wildlife, Tony Miller, DNR-Forestry and Larry Sederstrom, FSA.

Chair, Local Work Group

Date