



Back-to-Back Correlations in p+p, p+A and A+A Reactions



2005 Annual AGS-RHIC User's Meeting June 20, BNL, Upton, NY





Open charm production and correlations:

- Partonic subprocesses and cross sections
- D meson triggered correlations and hadrochemistry

p+A reactions at RHIC:

- Understanding initial and final state multiple scattering
- Dynamical gluon "shadowing" yields and correlations, including open charm
- E-loss in cold nuclear matter
- A+A jet triggered correlations:
 - Phase space distribution of non-Abelian energy loss
 - Redistribution of the lost energy in jet triggered correlations
 - Broadening of the away-side correlation function





Collinear factorization approach: can be systematically expanded to include nuclear corrections

Other phenomenologies: data = model



Non-perturbative matrix elements: Twist 2, 4, 6, ...

Twist: from Opertaor Product Expansion (OPE)

T = Dimension - Spin

Higher twist (elastic) corrections: dynamical shadowing



June 20, 2005



D⁰ and **D**⁺ at the Tevatron

$$\frac{d\sigma_{NN}^{D_1}}{dy_1 d^2 p_{T_1}} = K_{NLO} \sum_{abcd} \int_{x_{1,2} \le 1} dy_2 \int_{x_{1,2} \le 1} dz_1$$
$$\times \frac{1}{z_1^2} D_{D_1/c}(z_1) \frac{\phi_{a/N}(x_a)\phi_{b/N}(x_b)}{x_a x_b} \frac{\alpha_s^2}{S^2} |\overline{M}_{ab \to cd}|^2$$

• Fragmentation functions: From heavy quark effective field theory (Vector and Pseudoscalar)

E.Braaten et al., Phys.Rev.D 51 (1995)

• Branching ratios taken into account $D^{0^*} \rightarrow D^0 (BR \ 100\%) \quad \cdots$

Very reasonable K-factor: $K_{NLO} = 1.7$ Slightly stiffer power law



Data from:

D.Acosta et al., Phys.Rev.Lett. 91 (2003)



Contribution of Partonic Subprocesses



Define partial cross sections

$$R^{\sigma}(p_{T_1}) = \frac{d\sigma^{D_1}_{ab \to cd}}{dy_1 d^2 p_{T_1}} \left/ \frac{d\sigma^{D_1}_{tot}}{dy_1 d^2 p_{T_1}} \right|$$

Gluon fussion is not the dominant mechanism for open charm production

Clearly one expects 2 things:

- Dynamical shadowing comparable to light pions
- Trigger dependent hadrochemistry

How to test this? - di-hadron correlations



- (1) $cg \rightarrow cg$, (2) $cq(\overline{q}) \rightarrow cq(\overline{q})$ (3) $gg \rightarrow c\overline{c}$, (4) $q\overline{q} \rightarrow c\overline{c}$
- (5) $c\overline{c} \to c\overline{c}$



D Triggered Correlations

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{d\sigma_{NN}^{D_1h_2}}{dy_1 dy_2 dp_{T_1} dp_{T_2}} &= K_{NLO} \sum_{abcd} 2\pi \int_{x_1 \le 1, x_2 \le 1, z_2 \le 1} dz_1 \\ &\times \frac{1}{z_1} D_{D_1/c}(z_1) D_{h_2/d}(z_2) \frac{\phi_{a/N}(x_a) \phi_{b/N}(x_b)}{x_a x_b} \\ &\times \frac{\alpha_s^2}{S^2} |\overline{M}_{ab \to cd}|^2 . \end{aligned}$$

- \bullet Very strong dependence of particle species in the away side jet on p_{T2}
- Non-monotonic behavior on the away side yields: anti D at $p_{T2} = p_{T1}$



Real possibility for RHIC experiments to discover D meson triggered correlations

Constrain D meson production, c quark fragmentation



T.Goldman et al., in preparation





• Lightcone gauge: $A \cdot n = A^+ = 0$ • Breit frame: $\overline{n} = [1, 0, 0], n = [0, 1, 0]$ $q = -xp^{+}\overline{n} + \frac{Q^{2}}{2xp^{+}}n, \ p = \overline{n}p^{+}, \ xp + q = \frac{Q^{2}}{2xp^{+}}n$



Perturbative





Numerical A- and x_B-Dependence



Purely quantum exp $\left[+ \frac{\xi^2 (A^{1/3} - 1)}{Q^2} x \frac{d}{dx} \right] F_2(x)$ effect $F_T^A(x, Q^2) \approx A F_T^{(LT)} \left(x + \frac{x\xi^2 (A^{1/3} - 1)}{Q^2}, Q^2 \right)$

The scale of higher twist per nucleon is small $\xi^2 \simeq 0.1 \ GeV^2$

• Favorable comparison for the x- and A-dependence NA37 (NMC) and E665 data

• For $Q^2 \rightarrow 0$ we impose $Q^2 = m_N^2$. Finite resolution: same for all models (r_{max})

J.W.Qiu, I.V., Phys.Rev.Lett. 93 (2004)



June 20, 2005





Type of scattering	Transverse momentum dependence of the nuclear effect
Elastic (incoherent)	Cronin effect: small suppression at low p_T , enhancement at moderate p_T , disappears at high p_T . Dijet acoplanarity: p_T diffusions, broadening of away-side corelations
Inelastic (radiative)	Single inclusives: suppression at all p_T , weak p_T dependence, persists at high p_T (amplified near kinematic bounds). Double inclusive: suppression of high p_T correlations, reapearance of the energy at low p_T
Coherent (elastic t-channel)	Both single and double inclusive: suppression at low p_T , disappears at high p_T , pronounced p_T dependence

TABLE I: Effect of elastic, inelastic and coherent multiple scattering on the transverse momentum dependence of single and double inclusive hadron production in the perturbative regime.



- p+A collisions do not carry direct information about PDFs (unfortunately all effects)
- Forward (backward) physics not equal small x (large x)
- 1) Initial state energy loss and broadening DY
- 2) Shadowing DIS
- 3) Final state e-loss is added in p+A



p+A Collisions





Resum the multiple final state scattering of the parton "d" with the remnants of the nucleus Α p Starting point: LO pQCD

 Other interactions are less coherent (elastic) and sppressed at forward rapidity by a large scale 1/u, 1/s

$$\frac{d\sigma_{NN}^{h_1}}{dy_1 d^2 p_{T1}} = K \sum_{abcd} \int_{z_1 \min}^1 dz_1 \frac{D_{h_1/c}(z_1)}{z_1^2} \int_{x_a \min}^1 x_a \frac{\phi(x_a)}{x_a} \frac{1}{x_a S + U/z_1} \frac{\alpha_s^2}{S} \int_0^1 x_b \delta(x_b - \overline{x}_b) F(x_b)$$

$$\frac{d\sigma_{NN}^{h_1h_2}}{dy_1 dy_2 d^2 p_{T1} d^2 p_{T2}} = \frac{\delta(\Delta \varphi - \pi)}{p_{T1} p_{T2}} \sum_{abcd} \int_{z_1 \min}^1 dz_1 \frac{D_{h_1/c}(z_1)}{z_1} D_{h_2/d}(z_2) \frac{\phi(\overline{x}_a)}{\overline{x}_a} \frac{\alpha_s^2}{S^2} \int_0^1 x_b \delta(x_b - \overline{x}_b) F(x_b)$$

 $\phi(x_{h})$ - standard parton distribution functions

Isolate all the x_b dependence of the integrand:

 $D_{h_1/c}(z_1)$ - standard parton distribution functions $F(x_b) = \frac{\phi(x_b)}{2}$

 x_{μ}

 $|\overline{M}^{2}{}_{ab \rightarrow cd}|$

Ivan Vitev, LANL

June 20, 2005



Numerical Results





- Similar power corrections modification to single and double inclusive hadron production
 - increases with rapidity and centrality



J.W.Qiu, I.V., hep-ph/0405068

Ivan Vitev, LANL

June 20, 2005

Forward Correlations



TAR Preliminary: L.Bland, [STAR Colaboration]

Los Alamos



 There isn't mono jettiness

• There is room for suppression due to power corrections

• There is room for suppression due to energy loss



Dynamical Shadowing to Inclusive D⁰+D⁺





Increases with centrality:

(dynamical shadowing has little to do with A but with the local path length through nuclear matter) Increases with rapidity:

(slightly)

Disappears at high p_T:

(power correction type, coherent elastic)



Quite similar to light pions (even slightly larger)

June 20, 2005



(D⁰+D⁺) - (D ⁰+D⁻) Triggered Correlations



Similar results for the D meson triggered correlations

$$\hat{t} = (x_a P_a - p_c / z_1)^2$$

• We know for a fact that the c quark rescatters (as in DIS)

$$\xi^2 (A^{1/3} - 1)/(-\hat{t})$$

• In light hadrons $C_{A}/C_{E}\xi^{2}(A^{1/3}-1)/(-\hat{t})$

Naively expected that the partonic ^o composition will matter but apparently what dominates is:

 $z_{light hadons} < z_{charm mesons}$



Experimentally probably biased to $p_{T1} = p_{T2}$

June 20, 2005





Before jumping to conclusions investigate existing data

NA35 collaboration: d+Au (interestingly enough) data - $\sqrt{s_{NN}} = 19.4 \ GeV$ Same rapidity asymmetry as at $\sqrt{s_{NN}} = 200 \ GeV$



- Leading twist shadowing: (phenomenology) clearly insufficient (in fact antishadowing)
- Power corrections (theory): clearly insufficient (~13%)

Leaves additional effects: enegy loss



One Implementation of Energy Loss



Bertsch-Gunion bremsstrahlung:

$$\frac{dn_G}{dy} = \frac{3\alpha_s}{\pi} \ln\left(\frac{m_\rho^2}{\Lambda_{QCD}^2}\right)$$

Implemented as "Sudakov Form Factors":

$$S(x_F) = (1 - x_F)^{dn_G/dy}$$

This is one way of implementing energy loss (large rapidity gap events, amplification near kinematic bounds)

B. Kopeliovich *et al.*, hep-ph/0501260

Where energy loss arguably plays a role





III. Non-Abelian Energy Loss







$$i(-i) = 1 \qquad i(i) = -1 = \cos(\pi)$$

$$\frac{dN^{s}_{med}}{d\omega d\sin\theta * d\delta} \propto \left(\left| M_{a} \right|^{2} + 2\operatorname{Re} M_{b}^{*}M_{c} \right) + \dots$$



Solution to first order in the mean # of scatterings

$$\frac{dN^{g}_{med}}{d\omega d\sin\theta^{*}d\delta} \approx \frac{2C_{R}\alpha_{s}}{\pi^{2}} \int_{z_{0}}^{L} \frac{d\Delta z}{\lambda_{g}(z)} \int_{0}^{\infty} dq_{\perp} q_{\perp}^{2} \frac{1}{\sigma_{el}} \frac{d\sigma_{el}}{d^{2}q_{\perp}}$$

$$\times \int_{0}^{2\pi} d\alpha \frac{\cos\alpha}{(\omega^{2}\sin^{2}\theta^{*} - 2q_{\perp}\omega\sin\theta^{*}\cos\alpha + q_{\perp}^{2})}$$

$$\times \left[1 - \cos\frac{(\omega^{2}\sin^{2}\theta^{*} - 2q_{\perp}\omega\sin\theta^{*}\cos\alpha + q_{\perp}^{2})\Delta z}{2\omega}\right]$$
I.V., hep-ph/0501255

Ivan Vitev, LANL

June 20, 2005



Angular and Frequency Behavior





- Radiation is moderately large angle. Finite gluon number
- The small angle $\theta^* \to 0$ and small frequency $\omega \to 0$ behavior of the radiative spectrum is under perturbative control



Jet Tomography



Depletion of high-p_T hadron multiplicities in Au+Au relative to the binary collision scaled p+p result



June 20, 2005



Deriving the Fragmentation Contributions





• Use energy conservation to verify the fragmentation sum rule



Numerical Results





- The redistribution of the energy is a parameter free prediction
- For large energy loss the radiative gluons dominate to unexpectedly high $p_{T2} \sim 10 \text{ GeV}$
- \bullet The amount of energy depends on the trigger \mathbf{p}_{T}



Superposition of Jet Cones







Experiments measure in the plane ϕ (to make the life of theorists difficult)

- Surprisingly flat dijets in a wide rapidity range $\Delta y \simeq 2-3$
- One has to filter through the di-jet rapidity distribution



Independent Verification



• The distribution of away-side jets comes form

$$\frac{d\sigma_{_{NN}}^{h_1}}{dy_1 d^2 p_{_{T1}}} = \sum_{_{abcd}} \int_{_{x_a} \min}^1 dx_a \int_{_{x_b} \min}^1 dx_b \dots$$

- It is there in p+p
- Has nothing to do with random walk





How broad is broad?

• Practically flat at all angles except very close to the beam pipes

R.Bellwied, RHIC II presentation, April 2005



June 20, 2005



Angular Di-Hadron Distribution





$\sigma_{\scriptscriptstyle Far}(AA) > \sigma_{\scriptscriptstyle Far}(pp)$

- The width $\left| \Delta \varphi \pi \right|$ of the large-angle correlations is dominated by medium induced gluon radiation
 - Reasessment of the origin of small and moderate p_T away triggered hadrons

The quenched parton is not wider

Because:

$$\sigma_{Far} \approx \frac{\sqrt{\langle k_T^2 \rangle_{vac}}}{p_{Tc}} \rightarrow \frac{\sqrt{\langle k_T^2 \rangle_{tot}}}{\left[p_{Tc} / (1 - \varepsilon) \right]}$$





STAR preliminary:

Talk by F.Wang, March 2005



June 20, 2005







June 20, 2005





- Charm triggered correlations are new p_T dependent hadron composition (light hadrons and charm mesons). It will be interesting to test experimentally.
- Dynamical power corrections lead to shadowing and suppression of yields and correlations. Has very well defined p_T dependence. Additional effects are related to energy loss. Low energy.
- Medium induced radiation converts the high p_T suppression enhancement produces large angle correlations. However there are a number of effects that tend to dilute these signatures.
- If one looks for topological structures one has to do event by event analysis in more detail