



Heavy Quark Energy Loss Status and Perspectives



LDRD-DR seminar, LANL Los Alamos, NM





• Some data on light hadrons

For illustration only

•Single inclusive particle quenching at high p_T :

Review of e-loss Heavy flavor energy loss

• Other heavy quark calculations:

Elastic energy loss, transport coefficients In medium resonances

• Possible directions:

Look at D and B meson dissociation Verify the calculations



How Does it Work?



November 2 2005

3





Induced Radiation











(As much as I like oxymorons)

What did I mean yesterday

 $\Delta E_{vac} \sim 80-90\%$

Clearly does not make sense

(Will never be a leading hadron of z > 0.1-0.2)

DGLAP evolution

Matrix equation





Modulo

Splitting Functions



And the corresponding anti-quark

 α_{s}

 π

$$C_F = \frac{4}{3}, \ C_A = 3, \ T_F = \frac{1}{2}$$

The "+" prescription regulates a divergent integral with a divergent subtraction

$$\int_{z}^{1} dx f(x) \left[\frac{g(x)}{1-x} \right]_{+} = \int_{z}^{1} dx \frac{(f(x) - f(1))g(x)}{1-x}$$

Give the Altarelli-Parisi evolution kernels:

$$\mu^{2} \frac{d}{d\mu^{2}} \phi_{i/j}(x, \alpha_{s}(\mu^{2})) = P_{ij}(x) + O(\alpha_{s}^{2})$$

For real radiation leading to energy loss the loop corrections do not contribute

November 2 2005

$$P_{qq}^{(1)}(x) = C_F\left[(1+x^2) \left[\frac{1}{1-x} \right]_+ + \frac{3}{2} \delta(1-x) \right],$$

$$P_{qg}^{(1)}(x) = T_F[(1-x)^2 + x^2]$$
,

Х

$$P_{gq}^{(1)}(x) = C_F \frac{(1-x)^2 + 1}{x}$$
,

$$P_{gg}^{(1)}(x) = 2C_F \left[\frac{x}{(1-x)_+} + \frac{1-x}{x} + x(1-x) \right]$$

$$+\left[\frac{11}{6}C_A-\frac{2}{3}T(F)n_f\right]\delta(1-x),$$









The simpler argument: "the gamma boosted size of the hadron" gives qualitatively the same results $\tau_f = \gamma_h R_h \approx \gamma_h \times 1 fm$

The incorrect argument:
$$k_T \rightarrow p_T$$
 $\Delta y \sim \frac{2z(1-z)}{p_T}$ NOT correct

November 2 2005





S-matrix $S = I + iT, \langle f | T | i \rangle = (2\pi)^4 \delta^4 (P_i - P_f) M_{fi}$

• The Feynman diagrams automate the calculations of the contributions to the invariant scattering amplitude between definite initial and final states

$$d\sigma = \frac{1}{4\sqrt{(p_1 \cdot p_2)^2 - m_1^2 m_2^2}} \frac{|M_{fi}|^2}{\prod_i k_i!} \prod_n \frac{d^3 p_n}{2E_n (2\pi)^3} (2\pi)^4 \delta^4 (P_f - P_i)$$

8

• Initial particle flux factor (2 particles)



- Identical final state symmetry factor
- Phase space factor (final state)

Energy-momentum conservation factor









Ivan Vitev, LANL

November 2 2005



Derivation and Verification





"Modified fragmentation" is also a bad choice since it is not universal

$$D_{h_1/d}(z_1) \rightarrow \frac{1}{1-\varepsilon} D_{h_1/d} \left(\frac{z_1}{1-\varepsilon} \right) + \frac{p_{T_1}}{z_1} \int_0^1 \frac{dz_g}{z_g} D_{h_1/d}(z_g) \frac{dN^g}{d\omega}$$
Quenched parent parton
Feedback gluons

• Use energy conservation to verify the fragmentation sum rule

$$\int dz_1 \ z_1 \left(\frac{1}{1 - \varepsilon} D_{h_1/d} \left(\frac{z_1}{1 - \varepsilon} \right) + \frac{p_{T_1}}{z_1} \int_0^1 \frac{dz_g}{z_g} D_{h_1/d} (z_g) \frac{dN^g}{d\omega} \right)$$
$$= (1 - \varepsilon) + \varepsilon = 1$$

• May worry about Q² – from yesterday large changes, small effect (does not introduce large uncertainties)

November 2 2005



Gluon Radiation in Hard Processes



Hard Jet Production

Soft Gluon Radiation

We have assumed the gluon to be sufficiently soft and collinear

 $\Delta(p) = \frac{1}{p^2 + i\varepsilon}, \ c = T_c$

 $\mathbf{x} \ll \mathbf{1}$ and $\mathbf{k}_{\perp} \ll \mathbf{E}_{\mathbf{0}}$

 $M_{J} \qquad \qquad x = 1 - Z$ $k^{\mu} = \left[xE^{+}, \frac{k_{\perp}^{2}}{xE^{+}}, k_{\perp} \right]$ $M_{J} \times M_{0} \qquad \qquad k, c \qquad \qquad k, c \qquad \qquad k^{\mu} = \left[(1 - x)E^{+}, \frac{p_{\perp}^{2}}{(1 - x)E^{+}}, p_{\perp} \right]$

$$\begin{split} M_0 &= iJ(p+k)e^{i(p+k)x_0}(ig_s)(2p+k)_{\mu}\epsilon^{\mu}(k)i\Delta(p+k)c\\ &\approx J(p+k)e^{i(p+k)x_0}(-2ig_s)\frac{\epsilon\cdot\mathbf{k}}{k^2}c\approx J(p)e^{ipx_0}(-2ig_s)\frac{\epsilon\cdot\mathbf{k}}{k^2}\;e^{i\omega_0z_0} \end{split}$$

$$\mathcal{M}_J = J(P)e^{iPx_0},$$

 $x \frac{d^3 N_g^{(0)}}{d^3 N_g^{(0)}}$

$${\cal A}_0 \;\;=\;\; -2ig_srac{ec{\epsilon}_{\perp}\cdotec{k}_{\perp}}{k_{\perp}^2}e^{it_0rac{k_{\perp}^2}{2xE_0}}c\,\;,$$

$$d\mathbf{N}_{g}^{(0)} = \frac{\mathbf{C}_{\mathbf{R}}\alpha_{s}}{\pi} \frac{d\mathbf{x}}{\mathbf{x}} \frac{d\mathbf{k}_{\perp}^{2}}{\mathbf{k}_{\perp}^{2}}$$

Ivan Vitev, LANL

c

November 2 2005

 $rac{C_Rlpha_s}{\pi^2}rac{1}{k_1^2}$



Medium Induced Radiation





Clearly similar Recursion Method is needed to go toward a large number of scatterings!







November 2 2005

Equal but opposite momenta

 $=\cdots\left(-1\right)\left(\frac{\sigma}{A_{\perp}}\right)\int\frac{d^{2}\mathbf{q}_{i}}{(2\pi)^{2}}|\bar{v}(\mathbf{q}_{i})|^{2}\int d^{2}\mathbf{q}\left(\delta^{2}(\mathbf{q}_{i}+\mathbf{q}_{i}')\cdots\right)$

Ivan Vitev, LANL

or C.C.



The Gluon Scattering Vertices





The difference between QCD and QED is on the self coupling of the gauge field (dynamical color)

Result:

$$\Gamma_{m} \equiv (2p + k - q_{m})_{\alpha} \Gamma^{\alpha}(k; q_{m}) \approx 2E^{+} \underbrace{\epsilon \cdot (\mathbf{k} - \mathbf{q}_{m})}_{m_{m}}, \text{ one can also add the color factors}$$

$$\Gamma_{mn} \equiv (2p + k - q_{m} - q_{n})_{\alpha} \Gamma^{\alpha}(k; q_{n}, q_{m}) \approx 2E^{+} k^{+} \underbrace{\epsilon \cdot (\mathbf{k} - \mathbf{q}_{m} - \mathbf{q}_{n})}_{\text{November 2 2005}}$$
November 2 2005
14
Van Vitev, LAN



Direct Scattering



November 2 2005



Double Scattering - The Strictly Unitary Part



Ivan Vitev, LANL

• For double or "virtual" scattering we can perform all the integrals at the amplitude level (We know there will be no scattering in the complementary amplitude at the same position)

This one will be relevant when we compute larger number of scatterings

This factor ½ can be considered a symmetry factor in the case of identical momentum exchanges

$$\begin{array}{c} M_{2,0,3} \\ & \overbrace{\mathbf{q}_{1},\mathbf{a}_{1}}^{\mathbf{z}_{0}} \underbrace{\mathbf{z}}_{1} \underbrace{\mathbf{z}_{2}}_{\mathbf{z}_{2}} \\ & \overbrace{\mathbf{q}_{2},\mathbf{a}_{2}}^{\mathbf{z}_{0}} \underbrace{\mathbf{z}}_{1} \underbrace{\mathbf{z}_{1}}_{\mathbf{z}_{1}} \\ & \overbrace{\mathbf{q}_{2},\mathbf{a}_{2}}^{\mathbf{z}_{0}} \underbrace{\mathbf{z}}_{\mathbf{z}_{1}} \underbrace{\mathbf{z}_{1}}_{\mathbf{z}_{1}} \\ & \overbrace{\mathbf{q}_{1},\mathbf{a}_{1}}^{\mathbf{z}_{0}} \underbrace{\mathbf{z}}_{\mathbf{q}_{2},\mathbf{a}_{2}} \\ & \overbrace{\mathbf{q}_{1},\mathbf{a}_{1}}^{\mathbf{z}_{0}} \underbrace{\mathbf{z}}_{\mathbf{q}_{2},\mathbf{a}_{2}} \\ M_{2,0,3}^{c} \approx J(p)e^{ipx_{0}}(-i) \int \frac{d^{2}\mathbf{q}_{1}}{(2\pi)^{2}}v(0,\mathbf{q}_{1})e^{-i\mathbf{q}_{1}\cdot\mathbf{b}_{1}}(-i) \int \frac{d^{2}\mathbf{q}_{2}}{(2\pi)^{2}}v(0,\mathbf{q}_{2})e^{-i\mathbf{q}_{2}\cdot\mathbf{b}_{2}} \\ & \underset{\mathbf{es}}{M_{2,0,3}^{c}} \approx J(p)e^{ipx_{0}}(-i) \int \frac{d^{2}\mathbf{q}_{1}}{(2\pi)^{2}}v(0,\mathbf{q}_{1})e^{-i\mathbf{q}_{1}\cdot\mathbf{b}_{1}}(-i) \int \frac{d^{2}\mathbf{q}_{2}}{(2\pi)^{2}}v(0,\mathbf{q}_{2})e^{-i\mathbf{q}_{2}\cdot\mathbf{b}_{2}} \\ & \underset{\mathbf{es}}{M_{2,0,3}^{c}} \approx J(p)e^{ipx_{0}}(-i) \int \frac{d^{2}\mathbf{q}_{1}}{(2\pi)^{2}}v(0,\mathbf{q}_{1})e^{-i\mathbf{q}_{1}\cdot\mathbf{b}_{1}}(-i) \int \frac{d^{2}\mathbf{q}_{2}}{(2\pi)^{2}}v(0,\mathbf{q}_{2})e^{-i\mathbf{q}_{2}\cdot\mathbf{b}_{2}} \\ & \underset{\mathbf{es}}{M_{2,0,3}^{c}} \approx J(p)e^{ipx_{0}}(-i) \int \frac{d^{2}\mathbf{q}_{1}}{(2\pi)^{2}}v(0,\mathbf{q}_{1})e^{-i\mathbf{q}_{1}\cdot\mathbf{b}_{1}}(-i) \int \frac{d^{2}\mathbf{q}_{2}}{(2\pi)^{2}}v(0,\mathbf{q}_{2})e^{-i\mathbf{q}_{2}\cdot\mathbf{b}_{2}} \\ & \underset{\mathbf{es}}{M_{2,0,3}^{c}} \approx J(p)e^{ipx_{0}}(-i) \int \frac{d^{2}\mathbf{q}_{1}}{(2\pi)^{2}}v(0,\mathbf{q}_{1})e^{-i\mathbf{q}_{1}\cdot\mathbf{b}_{1}}(-i) \int \frac{d^{2}\mathbf{q}_{2}}{(2\pi)^{2}}v(0,\mathbf{q}_{2})e^{-i\mathbf{q}_{2}\cdot\mathbf{b}_{2}} \\ & \underset{\mathbf{es}}{M_{2,0,3}^{c}} \approx J(p)e^{ipx_{0}}(-i) \int \frac{d^{2}\mathbf{q}_{1}}{(2\pi)^{2}}v(0,\mathbf{q}_{1})e^{-i\mathbf{q}_{1}\cdot\mathbf{b}_{1}}(-i) \int \frac{d^{2}\mathbf{q}_{2}}{(2\pi)^{2}}v(0,\mathbf{q}_{2})e^{-i\mathbf{q}_{2}\cdot\mathbf{b}_{2}} \\ & \underset{\mathbf{es}}{M_{2,0,3}^{c}} \approx J(p)e^{ipx_{0}}(-i) \int \frac{d^{2}\mathbf{q}_{1}}{(2\pi)^{2}}v(0,\mathbf{q}_{2})e^{-i\mathbf{q}_{2}\cdot\mathbf{b}_{2}} \\ & \underset{\mathbf{es}}{M_{2,0,3}^{c}} \approx J(p)e^{ipx_{0}}(-i) \int \frac{d^{2}\mathbf{q}_{1}}{(2\pi)^{2}}v(0,\mathbf{q}_{2})e^{-i\mathbf{q}_{2}\cdot\mathbf{b}_{2}} \\ & \underset{\mathbf{es}}{M_{2,0,3}^{c}} \approx J(p)e^{ipx_{0}}(-i) \int \frac{d^{2}\mathbf{q}_{1}}{(2\pi)^{2}}v(0,\mathbf{q}_{2})e^{-i\mathbf{q}_{2}\cdot\mathbf{b}_{2}} \\ & \underset{\mathbf{es}}{M_{2,0,3}^{c}} \approx J(p)e^{i\mathbf{q}_{2}\cdot\mathbf{b}_{2}} \\ & \underset{\mathbf{es}}{M_$$

The diagram looks as if there is momentum exchange (all the strength in the forward direction $q_1 + q_2 = 0$). The color can also be simplified $[[c, a_1], a_1] = C_A c$

These are unitary corrections to the jet (= parent parton) and gluon elastic scattering

November 2 2005



Double Scattering - System Broadening

Looks like the gluon getting a transverse momentum kick



$$\begin{split} M_{2,0,1}^c &= J(p)e^{ipx_0}(-i)\int \frac{d^2\mathbf{q}_1}{(2\pi)^2}e^{-i\mathbf{q}_1\cdot\mathbf{b}_1}v(0,\mathbf{q}_1)(-i)\int \frac{d^2\mathbf{q}_2}{(2\pi)^2}e^{-i\mathbf{q}_2\cdot\mathbf{b}_2}v(0,\mathbf{q}_2) \ \times \\ &\times 2ig_s\frac{\epsilon\cdot(\mathbf{k}-\mathbf{q}_1)}{(\mathbf{k}-\mathbf{q}_1)^2}\;e^{i(\omega_0-\omega_1)z_1}(e^{i\omega_1z_1}-e^{i\omega_1z_0})\;a_2[c,a_1](T_{a_2}T_{a_1}) \ . \end{split}$$

- The color may or may not be simplified easily (locally)
- These look very similar in structure to the single Born diagrams (one momentum exchange with the gluon)
- There is a "-" sign and two possible attachments that cancel the factor of 1/2.

November 2 2005







$$\begin{split} \mathcal{M}_{2,1,1}^{c} &\approx J(p)e^{ipx_{0}}(-i)\int \frac{d^{2}\mathbf{q}_{1}}{(2\pi)^{2}}e^{-i\mathbf{q}_{1}\cdot\mathbf{b}_{1}}(-i)\int \frac{d^{2}\mathbf{q}_{2}}{(2\pi)^{2}}e^{-i\mathbf{q}_{2}\cdot\mathbf{b}_{1}} \times \\ &\times 2ig_{s}\,\frac{\boldsymbol{\epsilon}\cdot\mathbf{k}}{\mathbf{k}^{2}}\,e^{i\omega_{0}z_{0}}\,a_{2}ca_{1}(T_{a_{2}}T_{a_{1}})\,(E^{+})^{2}\,\int \frac{dq_{1z}}{(2\pi)}\frac{dq_{2z}}{(2\pi)}\,v(\vec{\mathbf{q}}_{1})v(\vec{\mathbf{q}}_{2}) \times \\ &\times \frac{e^{-i(q_{1z}+q_{2z})(z_{1}-z_{0})}}{(p+k-q_{1}-q_{2})^{2}+i\epsilon}\left(\frac{1}{(p-q_{2})^{2}+i\epsilon}\,-\,\frac{1}{(p+k-q_{2})^{2}+i\epsilon}\right)\,. \end{split}$$

• The integral over ${\sf q_{z1}}$ is taken first and brings $1/E^+$

$$I_3 = \int \frac{q_{2z}}{2\pi} v(-q_{2z}, \mathbf{q}_1) v(q_{2z}, \mathbf{q}_2) \left(\frac{1}{(p-q_2)^2 + i\epsilon} - \frac{1}{(p+k-q_2)^2 + i\epsilon} \right)$$

$$\begin{split} &\operatorname{Res}(i\mu_1)\approx \frac{(4\pi\alpha_s)^2}{E^+(2\mu_1^2)(\mu_2^2-\mu_1^2)} \, \left(-\frac{k^+}{E^+}\right) \ ,\\ &\operatorname{Res}(i\mu_2)\approx \frac{(4\pi\alpha_s)^2}{E^+(2\mu_2^2)(\mu_1^2-\mu_2^2)} \, \left(-\frac{k^+}{E^+}\right) \ . \end{split}$$

• In terms of time ordered perturbation theory you see that there is no support for the integral (integration range) • Note that when summed the potential divergence $\mu_1^2 = \mu_2^2$ goes away. These diagrams are suppressed relative To the others by a large factor k^+ / E^+

$$M \sim \int_{z_1}^{z_1} f(z) dz = 0$$

November 2 2005



"Probability" Result



Propagators: Hard
$$\mathbf{H} = \frac{\mathbf{k}}{\mathbf{k}^2}$$
, $\mathbf{C}_{(i_1 i_2 \cdots i_m)} = \frac{(\mathbf{k} - q_{i_1} - q_{i_2} - \cdots - q_{i_m})}{(\mathbf{k} - q_{i_1} - q_{i_2} - \cdots - q_{i_m})^2}$, Cascade
Bertsch-Gunion $\mathbf{B}_i = \mathbf{H} - \mathbf{C}_i$, $\mathbf{B}_{(i_1 i_2 \cdots i_m)(j_1 j_2 \cdots i_n)} = \mathbf{C}_{(i_1 i_2 \cdots j_m)} - \mathbf{C}_{(j_1 j_2 \cdots j_n)}$.
• Up to color factors 2-s, pi-s, average over the momentum transfers the probability
of medium induced gluon emission or the medium induced gluon number is
 $P_1 = C_A C_R \left(\mathbf{C}_1^2 - \mathbf{H}^2 + \mathbf{B}_1^2 + 2\mathbf{B}_1 \cdot \mathbf{C}_1 \cos(\omega_1 \Delta z_1) \right) = -2C_A C_R \mathbf{B}_1 \cdot \mathbf{C}_1 (1 - \cos(\omega_1 \Delta z_1))$
Explicitly in terms of the
momentum transfer: $P_1 = C_R \left(C_A \frac{2k_{\perp} \cdot q_{\perp}}{k_{\perp}^2 (k_{\perp} - q_{\perp})^2} \left(1 - \cos \frac{(k_{\perp} - q_{\perp})^2}{2\omega} \Delta z_1 \right) \right)$
Let us look at a few limits
• In the collinear limit $k_{\perp} \rightarrow q_{\perp}$ or for very soft gluons $\omega \rightarrow 0$
the phases cancel the singularity

• In the collinear limit $k_{\perp} \rightarrow 0$ the angular average over for fixed momentum transfer kills the contribution 1

The answer is well behaved

 $\frac{1}{k_{\perp}^{2}}\int d\varphi \ k_{\perp} \cdot q_{\perp}$

November 2 2005



Radiation Intensity and Formation Time



Model the medium as gluon • dominated and us the approximate elastic gluon -gluon scattering cross section

Approximately $\sigma_{el}^{gg} = \frac{9\pi\alpha_s^2}{2\mu^2}$

$$\frac{dI^{(1)}}{dx} = \frac{9C_R E}{\pi^2} \int_{z_0}^{\infty} dz \,\rho(z) \int d^2 \mathbf{k} \,\alpha_s \int \frac{|\mathbf{q}|_{\max}}{(\mathbf{q}^2 + \mu(z)^2)^2} \\ \frac{\mathbf{k} \cdot \mathbf{q}}{\mathbf{k}^2 (\mathbf{k} - \mathbf{q})^2} \left[1 - \cos\left(\frac{(\mathbf{k} - \mathbf{q})^2}{2xE}(z - z_0)\right) \right] \,.$$

$$\Delta \mathbf{E}^{(1)} = \int_{0}^{1} d\mathbf{x} \frac{d\mathbf{I}^{(1)}}{d\mathbf{x}} = \mathbf{E}_{0} \frac{2\mathbf{C}_{R}\alpha_{s}}{\pi} \int_{0}^{1} d\mathbf{x} \int_{z_{0}}^{\infty} d\mathbf{z} \ \sigma(\mathbf{z})\rho(\mathbf{z},\mathbf{z}) \ \mathbf{f}(\mathbf{Z}(\mathbf{x},\mathbf{z}))$$

$$Formation parameter$$

$$Z(\mathbf{x},\mathbf{z}) = \frac{\mu^{2}(\mathbf{z})}{2\mathbf{x}\mathbf{E}}(\mathbf{z}-\mathbf{z}_{0}) = \left(\frac{\Delta \mathbf{z}}{\tau_{form}}\right)$$

$$f(\mathbf{z}) \int_{z_{0}}^{z_{0}} \frac{du}{u(1+u)} \left[1 - \cos\left(uZ(x,z)\right)\right]$$

$$\frac{f(x,z)}{z} = \int_{0}^{\infty} \frac{du}{u(1+u)} \left[1 - \cos\left(uZ(x,z)\right)\right]$$

$$Rovember 2 2005$$

$$Formation \int_{z_{0}}^{\infty} \frac{du}{2} + \frac{Z^{2}}{2} \log(Z) + \mathcal{O}(Z^{2}).$$

20









More Explicit



Just the relevant part of the integrand

Massless
$$P_{1} = C_{R} C_{A} \frac{2k_{\perp} \cdot q_{\perp}}{k_{\perp}^{2} \left(k_{\perp} - q_{\perp}\right)^{2}} \left(1 - \cos \frac{\left(k_{\perp} - q_{\perp}\right)^{2}}{2\omega} \Delta z_{1}\right)$$

Massive
$$P_{1} = C_{R} C_{A} \frac{2k_{\perp} \cdot q_{\perp}}{\left(k_{\perp}^{2} + x^{2}M^{2}\right)\left(\left(k_{\perp} - q_{\perp}\right)^{2} + x^{2}M^{2}\right)} \left(1 - \cos\frac{\left(k_{\perp} - q_{\perp}\right)^{2} + x^{2}M^{2}}{2\omega}\Delta z_{1}\right)$$

Don't keep massive terms here







Note that the characteristic features of E-loss are related to the interference phases



I.V., hep-ph/0501255





Reduction of E-loss

M.Djordjevic, M.Gyulassy, Nucl.Phys.A (2004)

November 2 2005



Recent Calculation





Heavy Quarks R_{AA}





One should be careful about the physical meaning of the parameters!

 $dN^{g} / dy = 3500 \qquad \hat{q} = 15 \ GeV^{2} / fm$

Where does one get such parameters from?

Are these leptons from heavy mesons? (Coctail methods...) FVTX What are the different attenuation mechanisms for heavy mesons?

November 2 2005



Experimental Issues R_{AA}





I would be worried about those points







Statement: consistent with very large densities



The statement is to be checked

Bottom quark contributions

November 2 2005



We all know that gluon fusion dominates heavy flavor production, right?







Formation Time

 $p_q = \left| p^+, \frac{M_q^2}{2p^+}, 0 \right|$ • From the uncertainty principle: $\tau_f \simeq 1/\Delta Q$ Hadron Parton zp^+ $k_+ \sim \Lambda_{OCD}$ $p_{h} = \left| zp^{+}, \frac{k_{\perp}^{2} + m_{h}^{2}}{2zp^{+}}, k_{\perp} \right|$ p^+ $(1-z)n^+$ $\Delta y^{+} = \frac{1}{\Delta p^{-}} = \frac{(0.2 \text{ GeV. fm}) 2z(1-z)p^{+}}{k_{\perp}^{2} + (1-z)m_{\perp}^{2} - z(1-z)M^{-2}}$ $p_g = \left| (1-z)p^+, \frac{k_{\perp}^2}{2(1-z)p^+}, -k_{\perp} \right|$ B π 1) Formation time ($p_T = 5 \text{ GeV}$): 12 fm 1.5 fm 0.25 fm The simpler argument: "the gamma boosted size of the hadron" gives qualitatively the same results $\tau_f = \gamma_h R_h \approx \gamma_h \times 1 fm$ The incorrect argument: $k_T \rightarrow p_T$ $\Delta y \sim \frac{2z(1-z)}{r}$ NOT correct 29 November 2 2005 Ivan Vitev, LANL



Additional Effects





New probe of the strength of the interactions in the medium







Relation to viscosity

$$\eta_D(0)^{-1}=\frac{M}{T}D=\frac{2TM}{\kappa_L(0)}$$

Perturbative $D \times (2\pi T) \approx 6 (0.5/\alpha_{\rm s})^2$.

Does not connect to the energy loss of light quarks

Recovers the known correlation between $v_{\rm 2}$ and $R_{\rm AA}$



Charm Resonances



17, 18], cf. also Refs. [19, 20, 21]. Here, we simply assume the existence of the lowestlying, pseudoscalar D (B) meson as a resonance 0.5 GeV above the heavy-light quark threshold [13]. The pertinent effective Lagrangian with chiral and heavy-quark (HQ) symmetry then dictates the degeneracy of the $J^{\vec{P}}=0^-$ state with vector, scalar and axialvector partners. The 2 free model parameters are the resonance masses ($m_{D(B)}=2(5)$ GeV, with $m_{c(b)}=1.5(4.5)$ GeV) and widths (varied as $\Gamma=0.4$ -0.75 GeV). For strange quarks we only include pseudoscalar and vector states. The resonant Q- \bar{q} cross sections are supplemented with leading-order pQCD scattering off partons [22] dominated by t-channel gluon exchange and regularized by a Debye mass $m_g=gT$ with $\alpha_s=g^2/(4\pi)=0.4$. When evaluating drag and diffusion coefficients in a Fokker-Planck approach [10], the resonances reduce HQ thermalization times by a factor of ~3 below pQCD scattering [13].



Summary



• Single inclusive particle quenching at high p_T :

Derivation of pQCD factorized formulas Derivation of E-loss formulas Derivation of Heavy Quark E-loss formulas

- My perspective of the current data status: Really needs direct measurements
- Other heavy quark calculations:

Elastic energy loss, transport coefficients In medium resonances Both much closer relation to hydro and transport

• Possible directions:

Dissociation of mesons in the medium via the broadening

November 2 2005