



Estimating and Modeling S-Band Lunar Radar Backscatter

Chandrayaan Mini-RF Team Meeting

Tommy Thompson / Eugene Ustinov

July 17, 2006





- Basics Diffuse/Quasi-Specular Scattering
- 1960's Observations -> Estimated 13-cm Scattering
- Scattering differences:
 - Between linear polarizations (Hagfors et al., 1965)
 - From slopes
 - From roughness
- Summary
- Back-up References



AVERAGE LUNAR RADAR BEHAVIOR



OC = Opposite-Sense Circular (Mirror Reflection) SC = Same-Sense Circular Quasi-Specular – from flat facets Diffuse – from wavelength-sized roughness



AVERAGE LUNAR RADAR CROSS-SECTION AT 3.8-cm WAVELENGTH (Hagfors, 1970)







Mini-RF Estimating Lunar Radar Backscatter at 13-cm Wavelength

4



AVERAGE LUNAR RADAR CROSS-SECTION AT 23-cm WAVELENTGH (Hagfors, 1970)









AVERAGE LUNAR RADAR CROSS-SECTION AT 68-cm WAVELENTGH (Hagfors, 1970)





OC = Opposite-Sense Circular (Mirror Reflection) SC = Same-Sense Circular





(A) Estimating lunar backscatter at S-Band (13 cm) wavelength from 3.8 and 23 cm

$$\sigma_{dB}^{(13)} = 0.32\sigma_{dB}^{(3.8)} + 0.68\sigma_{dB}^{(23)}$$

where $0.32 = \ln(23/13)/\ln(23/3.8)$, $0.68 = \ln(13/3.8)/\ln(23/3.8)$.

(B) Mathematical models for lunar backscatter at S-Band (13 cm) wavelength

$$\sigma(\Theta) = \sigma_{OCQ}(\Theta) + \sigma_{OCD}(\Theta) + \sigma_{SCD}(\Theta)$$

where:

 $\sigma_{OCQ}(\Theta)$ is the quasi-specular component in the OC polarization, $\sigma_{OCD}(\Theta)$ is the diffuse component in the OC polarization, proportional to $\cos^{1.5} \Theta$ $\sigma_{SCD}(\Theta)$ is the diffuse component in the SC polarization, proportional to $\cos \Theta$

We have found that the $\sigma_{OCQ}(\Theta)$ in dB is modeled by the function $0.25 - 0.07\Theta$. The levels for $\sigma_{OCD}(\Theta)$ and $\sigma_{SCD}(\Theta)$ come from 3.8 and 23 cm observations Thus

$$\sigma_{avg}(\Theta) = (0.25 - 0.07\Theta) - 14 \log_{10} (\cos^{1.5} \Theta) + 24.5 \log_{10} (\cos \Theta)$$



Inferred 13-cm Average Scattering -- Interpolation from 1960's Observations (MIT)



OC (Pol) Radar Cross-sections vs. Angle of incidence





Modeled 13-cm Average Scattering



13 cm Radar Cross-sections vs. Angle of Incidence



- OC = Opposite-Sense Circular (Mirror Reflection)
- SC = Same-Sense Circular





Transmit Circular, Receive cross-fed linear polarizations at 23 cm wavelength



Schematic view of the lunar disk with range-doppler coordinates and with the two received linearly polarized components



Differences in Horizontal and Vertical Linears --(Hagfors, et al., 1965)





Normalized frequency spectra of the Moon (C = cross-over orientation / L = limb) Curves: -- x--, *E*-field aligned with libration axis; --o--, E-field normal to libration axis



Differences in Horizontal and Vertical Linears --(Hagfors, et al., 1965)





Ratio of transmission coefficients derived from data as compared with theoretical predictions – Therefore we expect differences of less than 10% for Chandrayaan Mini-RF (S-band, 35 to 55 degrees)



Lunar Radar Image at 70 cm Examples of Slopes and Roughness Effects







Estimating differences in scattering from slopes and roughness



(A) Deviations from average lunar backscatter at S-Band (13 cm) wavelength for slopes

$$\Delta \sigma = \sigma (\Theta + \Delta \Theta) / \sigma (\Theta)$$

(B) Predicting deviations from average lunar backscatter at S-Band (13 cm) wavelength for roughness (increases in the diffuse components; Thompson, unpublished)

$$\sigma_{OC,c} = \alpha \sigma_{OC,avg,d} + (1 \pm 1) \sigma_{OC,avg,q}$$
$$\sigma_{SC,c} = \alpha \sigma_{SC,avg}$$

where

 $\sigma_{OC,c}$ = crater opposite-sense (OC) cross-section (enhanced with respect to average) $\sigma_{SC,c}$ = crater same-sense (SC) cross-section (enhanced with respect to average) α = crater diffuse scattering enhancement = $\sigma_{SC,c} / \sigma_{SC,avg}$

The polarized (OC) enhancement, γ , for a depolarized (SC) enhancement of α is given by

$$\gamma = \frac{\sigma_{OC,c}}{\sigma_{OC,avg}} = \frac{\alpha + (1 \pm 1)\beta}{1 + \beta} , \text{ where } \beta = \frac{\sigma_{OC,avg,q}}{\sigma_{OC,avg,d}}$$

and factor (1 ± 1) = values of 0, 1, 2

Mini-RF Estimating Lunar Radar Backscatter at 13-cm Wavelength

14



Differences induced by Slopes



Delta sigma for slopes





Differences from Differences in Roughness (x2 diffuse)



Polarized (OC) Enhancemenent for alpha = 2





Differences from Differences in Roughness (x4 diffuse)



Polarized (OC) Enhancemenent for alpha = 4





Differences from Differences in Roughness (x8 diffuse)



Polarized (OC) Enhancemenent for alpha = 8







- Average lunar radar backscatter cross-sections at 3.8, 23, and 68-cm wavelength provides a basis for estimating and modeling the average lunar radar backscatter at 13-cm wavelength
- Hagfors, et al., 1965 (23-cm wavelength) observations suggest that we'll see linear polarization differences of only a few percent
- Scattering differences associated with slopes can be estimated simply from our 13-cm scattering model
- Scattering differences from increased roughness can be estimated assuming a 2-component (diffuse and quasi-specular) model for the average scattering of the Moon





- J.V. Evans and G.H. Pettengill (1963), The scattering behavior of the Moon at wavelengths of 3.6, 68, and 784 centimeters, JGR, v.68, No.2, pp. 423 – 447.
- J.V. Evans and T. Hagfors (1964), On the interpretation of radar reflections from the Moon, Icarus, v.3, pp. 151 – 160.
- T. Hagfors, Brockelman R.A., Danforth H.H., Hanson I., and Hyde G.M. (1965), Tenuous surface layer on the Moon: Evidence derived from radar observations, Science, v.150, pp. 1153 – 1156.
- T. Hagfors (1970), Remote probing of the Moon by infrared and microwave emissions and by radar, Radio Science, v.5, No.2, pp. 189 – 227.
- T.W. Thompson (unpublished manuscript), Radar Scattering Models for Lunar Craters.