Investigations of Graphite Current Collector (part 1) and Metallic Lithium Anode (part 2)

Nancy Dudney (PI) Oak Ridge National Laboratory Material Science and Technology Division February 28, 2008

Andrew Kercher, Jim Kiggans, Sea Hoon Park*, and Terry Tiegs* *former team members

This presentation does not contain any proprietary or confidential information.

OAK RIDGE NATIONAL LABORATORY U. S. DEPARTMENT OF ENERGY



Purpose of work (graphite)

- Evaluate graphite foam and fiber structures for battery (cathode) current collectors
 - Develop baseline data to project energy, power, and thermal performance for different structures
 - Evaluate bonds formed of active cathode particles to graphite and to each other





FreedomCAI

Fuel Partner

Technical barriers (graphite)

- Safety and long cycle life are major challenges for HEV and PHEV batteries.
 - Overcoming these barriers will reduce petroleum use.
- Potential advantages of foam (or fiber) graphite current collectors:
 - Thermal management (particularly foams)
 - Uniform conductivity and charge distribution
 - Robust thermal particle bonds within electrode, rather than bonds formed by compaction
 - No organic binders, no conductive additive
 - Less stress because not pressed
 - Corrosion resistance





FreedomCAI

Fuel Partnershi

1200-1700 W m⁻¹ °K⁻¹ 3800-6400 S/cm (5X to 10X values for Al)

2008 DOE BATT program review



Approach FY08 (graphite)



• Electrode fabrication - a few examples, not trying to optimize

<u>Graphite structures</u> (ORNL materialscommercial - collaborate with inventors)

Graphite foams –two types AR and LQI (aromatic resin & low quinoline insoluble) *Can tailor: •pore & window sizes, •surface areas, • densities, • conductivities*

Carbon bonded carbon fibers (CBCF) lower density, 3D-connected 10µm fibers

Commercial graphite fiber paper (Toray) thinner flexible sheets

Cathode coatings

LiFePO₄+ 2% C-coating (as example) Slurry infiltrated graphite. *Bonded by heating at 700°C*, no pressure & no added organic binder

Adjust: •loading (by slurry conc.), •carbon source, •particle size,



- Questions
 - When is structure too thick? Too dense? Too clogged?
 - When is loading too high? Coating too thick? Too resistive?
 - Does LiFePO₄ become inactive? Poorly connected?



Approach FY08 (graphite)



Evaluation





- Good cycling, so thermal bonds of LiFePO₄ on graphite are robust.
 - Little loss of active material with >100 cycles.
 - Small increase of cell resistance. Lithium degradation also contributes.
 - Early results published, Proc. Electrochem. Soc.





- Energy and power assessed
- Power is best for
 - thin samples (1mm)
 - fibers structures
- Max. energy varies as:
 - Wt% of LiFePO₄ (15-58%)
 - LiFePO₄ utilization (85-140 mAh/g)
 - Source of 2 wt% carbon
- More concentrated
 More sources will <u>further</u>
 More wt %

Selected samples – illustrate trends for different supports and slurries





Compare cathodes with commercial technology

For cathode + the current collector only	K2 Energy Solutions18650	Projected AR foam	Projected LQI foam
LiFePO ₄ wt. (g)	12	12	12
LiFePO ₄ / C wt.ratio		3X current	4X current
Current collector wt. (g)	1.5–2.6** Al foil	4.8 foam	9.4 foam
Binder+additive (g)	(1-3, est.)	0	0
Energy (mWh/g)	250 – 310	270	210
LiFePO ₄ coating (µm-thick)		50*	140*
Heat transport (W/°K)	0.035 – 0.062	0.19	0.75
Foam pore diameter (µm)		500	1500
Foam surface area (cm ²)		1250	470
Thermal cond. (W/m/°K)	235	50	180



**For energy & power cells.



- Improved slurry and coating processes
 - Slurry concentration increased 7-fold, still very fluid
 - Future samples will have higher energy density with single coat
 - LiFePO₄ particle size reduced to 0.3-0.8µm by Spex milling with 0.3mm media.
 - Anticipate further reduction with 2-stage milling
 - Carbon precursors yielding graphitic carbon perform better than those giving glassy carbon
 - Anticipate improvement with > 2 wt.% carbon





Future work and Tech Transfer (graphite)



- Characterize bonds with active material ★
 - Use electron microscopy and dual beam FIB
 - Extend electrical cycling beyond 100 cycles (need to add fresh Li and electrolyte)
- Project energy and power densities ★
 - Compare to existing technology
 - Demonstrate performance with higher LiFePO₄ loading
- Advanced modeling
 - Identify directions where large improvement possible
- Technology transfer opportunities
 - ORNL has IP position in graphite structures, motivation to develop battery application

Milestones for FY08



Investigations of Graphite Current Collectors (part 1) and Metallic Lithium Anodes (part 2)

This presentation does not contain any proprietary or confidential information.

OAK RIDGE NATIONAL LABORATORY U. S. DEPARTMENT OF ENERGY



Purpose of work (lithium anode)



- Understand interface instabilities at the lithium metal anode when cycled with a liquid or polymer electrolyte.
 - Current models for lithium dendrite initiation are inadequate.
 - Investigate roughening with pristine lithium surface formed by vacuum evaporation.





Technical barriers (lithium anode)



- PHEV and HEV applications requires batteries with higher energy densities
 - Lithium metal 3.8Ah/g, carbons 0.37Ah/g
 - Lithium metal anodes give maximum cell voltage
- Technical Barrier lithium roughening leads to rapid degradation, impacting safety and cycle life performance
 - Loss of active material, decrease capacity, increase of cell resistance
 - Possible shorting if lithium dendrites form and propagate through polymer or liquid electrolyte
 - Finely divided lithium (mossy lithium) is chemically reactive, but electrochemically inactive
- Solution will enable next generation battery and petroleum savings for transportation



Approach FY08 (lithium anode)

- Approached has changed.
- Initial approach
 - Study dendrite initiation on very smooth and clean Li
 - Emphasize current density, time, surface features
- New approach recognize effect of SEI
 - Study growth and 'breakdown' of SEI layer at Li electrolyte interface
 - Use very smooth surfaces. replace when roughened
 - Incorporate surface coatings and barrier layers
 - Use electrochemical & quartz crystal microbalance tests
 - SEM observation of surface and fracture edge after aging
- Complements Alan West's program
- Collaboration with High Temperature Materials Laboratory for developing in-situ SEM and STEM techniques



Cell details: WE=Li film on Ni, CE=Li ribbon or film; RE=Li on Ni wire LiPF₆(EC+EMC) or (EC+DMC); no separator - 0.5mm gap; Teflon

FreedomCAR

100 µm

Fuel Partnershi

tilted



- Abandoned investigation focused on initiation and growth of dendrites
 - Inconclusive results of current density, time to initiation, surface feature investigation
 - Form and location of dendrites varied
 - Deposition and also Li removal initiated at spots on surface



Li deposition at grain boundaries

tional Laboratory





- Progress characterizing SEI: its formation, breakdown, recovery
 - Resistance continues to increase, becomes non-ohmic.
 - 'Breakdown' associated with dendrites. Samples retired.





- Quartz crystal microbalance and direct observation to evaluate SEI layer formation kinetics SEI formation, measured at 0.1Hz
 - Resistance may increase due to density, composition, or thickness



Lipon & Ni

fused silica









- 'Breakdown' of resistive SEI barrier occurs instantly when higher current applied
- After 'breakdown' resistive SEI recovers rapidly. •





- Passivating layers and protective barrier films added. So far, these do not impede SEI formation. Why?
 - Carbonate film formed by CO₂ treatment following deposition
 - Lipon film formed by sputter deposition onto fresh Li film
 - 'Lipon' is: a glassy solid electrolyte, electronic insulator, stable with lithium. (Invented at ORNL; commercial)



Future work and Tech Transfer (lithium)



- Model for lithium roughening based on SEI breakdown
- Resolve effect of Lipon barrier on SEI
- New techniques for lithium and SEI study
 - Load lock to XPS, FTIR
 - Dual beam FIB
 - In-situ or 'wet' study by electron microscopy (collaboration with HTML staff)
- Initiate collaboration with teams designing new electrolytes





FreedomCAR

Fuel Partnershi

Summary (graphite and lithium anode projects)

- Potential enable the next generation Li-based batteries
- Graphite current collectors for thermal management and improved safety
 - Initial results of LiFePO₄ on graphite cathodes promising
 - Projections → competitive energy density & good thermal transport
 - Graphite foams/fiber materials from ORNL are commercial
- Lithium metal anodes for much higher energy density.
 - Insight into roughening of interface, by shifting focus to SEI formation and breakdown and effect of Lipon barrier, rather than dendritic growth
- Apply resources of ORNL's High Temperature Materials Laboratory, in particular electron imaging, to both projects
- FY08
 - Investigate thermal bonds of cathode particles coating graphite
 - Use models and experiments to project performance of optimized cathode coating and graphite structure
 - Determine impact of SEI formation on roughening of lithium

