# Appendix C7

# ESEM/EDS Data for Test #5, Day-30 Birdcage Fiberglass

# List of Figures

Figure C7-1.	ESEM image magnified 100 times for a Test #5, Day-30 exterior
	fiberglass sample within the birdcage. (T5BCX01.jpeg)C7-5
Figure C7-2.	ESEM image magnified 100 times for a Test #5, Day-30 exterior
	fiberglass sample within the birdcage. (t5bcx02.jpeg) C7-5
Figure C7-3.	ESEM image magnified 500 times for a Test #5, Day-30 exterior
	fiberglass sample within the birdcage. (t5bcx03.jpeg) C7-6
Figure C7-4.	EDS counting spectrum for the deposits between fibers shown in
	Figure C7-3. (t5bcx04.jpeg)
Figure C7-5.	ESEM image magnified 500 times for a Test #5, Day-30 exterior
	fiberglass sample within the birdcage. (t5bcx05.jpeg) C7-7
Figure C7-6.	ESEM image magnified 100 times for a Test #5, Day-30 interior
	fiberglass sample within the birdcage. (t5bcI01.jpeg) C7-7
Figure C7-7.	ESEM image magnified 100 times for a Test #5, Day-30 interior
	fiberglass sample within the birdcage. (t5bci03.jpeg) C7-8
Figure C7-8.	ESEM image magnified 500 times for a Test #5, Day-30 interior
	fiberglass sample within the birdcage. (t5bci02.jpeg) C7-8

This appendix lists the ESEM/EDS results for the fiberglass samples within the birdcage submerged in the testing solution. The purpose of this analysis was to determine the degree and the extent to which particulate debris migrates and attaches to fiberglass. In this appendix, the fiberglass samples within the birdcage were extracted on the date Test #5 was shut down (August 25, 2005). Both exterior and interior fiberglass samples were examined. ESEM was used to analyze the hydrated fiberglass samples without any coating under a low-vacuum condition (i.e., 80 Pa). ESEM/EDS results of the Test #5, Day-30 birdcage fiberglass samples were obtained on August 26, 2005.

#### **Transcribed Laboratory Log**

Laboratory session from August 26, 2005. Test #5, Day-30 Birdcage Fiberglass



#### **ESEM**

T5BCX01 $100 \times$ ESEM image		ESEM image	Figure C7-1
t5bcx02	$100 \times$	ESEM image	Figure C7-2
t5bcx03	500 ×	ESEM image higher magnification	Figure C7-3
t5bcx04		EDS on particles on t5bcx03	Figure C7-4
t5bcx05	$500 \times$	ESEM image	Figure C7-5
	T5BCX01 t5bcx02 t5bcx03 t5bcx04 t5bcx05	T5BCX01       100 ×         t5bcx02       100 ×         t5bcx03       500 ×         t5bcx04       500 ×	T5BCX01 $100 \times$ ESEM imaget5bcx02 $100 \times$ ESEM imaget5bcx03 $500 \times$ ESEM image higher magnificationt5bcx04EDS on particles on t5bcx03t5bcx05 $500 \times$ ESEM image

#### **Birdcage Interior**

Image:	t5bcI01	$100 \times$	ESEM image of fiberglass	Figure C7-6
	t5bci03	$100 \times$	ESEM image	Figure C7-7
	t5bci02	$500 \times$	ESEM image higher	Figure C7-8
			magnification	



Figure C7-1. ESEM image magnified 100 times for a Test #5, Day-30 exterior fiberglass sample within the birdcage. (T5BCX01.jpeg)



Figure C7-2. ESEM image magnified 100 times for a Test #5, Day-30 exterior fiberglass sample within the birdcage. (t5bcx02.jpeg)



Figure C7-3. ESEM image magnified 500 times for a Test #5, Day-30 exterior fiberglass sample within the birdcage. (t5bcx03.jpeg)



Figure C7-4. EDS counting spectrum for the deposits between fibers shown in Figure C7-3. (t5bcx04.jpeg)



Figure C7-5. ESEM image magnified 500 times for a Test #5, Day-30 exterior fiberglass sample within the birdcage. (t5bcx05.jpeg)



Figure C7-6. ESEM image magnified 100 times for a Test #5, Day-30 interior fiberglass sample within the birdcage. (t5bcI01.jpeg)



Figure C7-7. ESEM image magnified 100 times for a Test #5, Day-30 interior fiberglass sample within the birdcage. (t5bci03.jpeg)



Figure C7-8. ESEM image magnified 500 times for a Test #5, Day-30 interior fiberglass sample within the birdcage. (t5bci02.jpeg)

# Appendix D

# **SEM/EDS** Data for Test #5 Day-30 Deposition Products

## List of Figures

Figure D-1.	SEM image magnified 100 times for the Test #5, Day-30 fine yellow	
	powder on the submerged rack. (T5D30YellowDeposits001.bmp)	D-4
Figure D-2.	SEM image magnified 200 times for the Test #5, Day-30 fine yellow	
	powder on the submerged rack. (T5D30YellowDeposits002.bmp)	D-4
Figure D-3.	Annotated SEM image magnified 1000 times for the Test #5, Day-30	
	fine yellow powder on the submerged rack.	
	(T5D30YellowDeposits003.bmp)	D-5
Figure D-4.	EDS counting spectrum for the particulate deposit shown in Figure	
	D-3. (T5D30yllw~partcl02.jpg)	D-5

### List of Tables

Table D-1. Chemical Compositions for T5D30yllw~partcl02.jpg, Figure D-4 ......D-6

Deposition products were collected on the date Test #5 was shut down (August 25, 2005). The products examined were fine yellow powders that had deposited on a horizontal piece of the submerged CPVC rack.

These products were collected by directly adhering onto a double-sided carbon tape for probe SEM/EDS examination. After the samples were dried in air, an Au/Pd coating was applied to enhance the surface conductivity of the samples and to prevent possible charging problems during SEM examination. Based on EDS results, a semi-quantitative elemental analysis was performed after calibration. This appendix presents the SEM/EDS data that were obtained on September 6, 2005.

#### **Transcribed Laboratory Log**

Laboratory session from September 6, 2005. Test #5, Day-30 Deposition Products



Conditions: e=15.0kV, WD=11mm

- 1--Yellow Deposits on Submerged Rack 2--Sediment (T5D30) 4--Al-Submerged 7--Cu Suspended 10--Steel-Submerged
  - 5--Gal-Steel Suspended 8--Cu-Submerged
- 3--Al-Suspended 6--Gal-Steel Submerged 9--Steel-Suspended 11--Drain Collar Interior 12--Drain Collar Outside Ext.

#### **Yellow Deposits on Submerged Rack**

Image:	T5D30YellowDeposits001	$100 \times$	SEM image	Figure D-1
	T5D30YellowDeposits002	$500 \times$	SEM image	Figure D-2
	T5D30YellowDeposits003	$1000 \times$	Annotated SEM image	Figure D-3
EDS:	T5D30yllw~partcl02		EDS on particulate deposits	Figure D-4



Figure D-1. SEM image magnified 100 times for the Test #5, Day-30 fine yellow powder on the submerged rack. (T5D30YellowDeposits001.bmp)



Figure D-2. SEM image magnified 200 times for the Test #5, Day-30 fine yellow powder on the submerged rack. (T5D30YellowDeposits002.bmp)



Figure D-3. Annotated SEM image magnified 1000 times for the Test #5, Day-30 fine yellow powder on the submerged rack. (T5D30YellowDeposits003.bmp)



Figure D-4. EDS counting spectrum for the particulate deposit shown in Figure D-3. (T5D30yllw~partcl02.jpg)

The results from the chemical composition analysis for T5D30yllw~partcl02.jpg are given in Table D-1.

Group : NRC Sample : T5D30 ID# : 30 Comment : Yellow deposits on submerged rack Condition : Full Scale : 20KeV(10eV/ch,2Kch) Live Time : 76.130 sec Aperture # : 5 Acc. Volt : 15.0 KV Probe Current : 1.996E-08 A Stage Point : X=45.414 Y=58.152 Z=11.027 Acq. Date : Tue Sep 6 10:38:29 2005						
Flowont	Mode	DOT (KOV)	K-ratio(%)	+/- M	at /Backgrou	ad
Ma K	Normal	0.83 - 1.28	133 9949	0 0097	1973 /	65
Mor K	Normal	1 00- 1 53	28 4267	0 0005	356 /	338
SiK	Normal	1.50-2.07	366.8570	0.0009	8937 /	400
Ca K	Normal	3.40-4.30	130.0604	0.0070	1526 /	18
Al K	Normal	1.26- 1.78	325.7976	0.0016	7232 /	315
ОК	Normal	0.31- 0.74	2565.1008	0.0170	48124 /	66
СК	Normal	0.11- 0.47	11427.6719	0.0238	2293 /	2 5 8
Chi_square = 18.5321						
Element	Mass%	Atomic% ZAF	z	A F		
Na	1.894	1.2481 1.071	5 0.9872 1.0	843 1.0010		
Mg	0.525	0.3269 1.399	$0 \ 0.9770 \ 1.4$	341 0.9985		
Si	5.653	3.0482 1.167	8 0.9883 1.1	817 0.9999		
Ca	1.657	0.6263 0.965	B 1.0002 0.9	655 1.0001		
Al	4.586	2.5745 1.066	9 0.9959 1.0	732 0.9982		
0	50.493	47.7996 1.491	9 0.9942 1.5	005 1.0000		
С	35.192	44.3764 0.233	4 0.9972 0.2	341 1.0000		
Total 100.000 100.0000 Normalization factor = 0.0132						

 Table D-1.
 Chemical Compositions for T5D30yllw~partcl02.jpg, Figure D-4