

NOT MEASUREMENT SENSITIVE

DOE-STD-1153-2002 July 2002

DOE STANDARD

A GRADED APPROACH FOR EVALUATING RADIATION DOSES TO AQUATIC AND TERRESTRIAL BIOTA



U.S. Department of Energy Washington, D.C. 20585

AREA ENVR

DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT A. Approved for public release; distribution is unlimited.

This document has been reproduced from the best available copy.

Available to DOE and DOE contractors from ES&H Technical Information Services, U.S. Department of Energy, (800) 473-4375, fax: (301) 903-9823.

Available to the public from the U.S. Department of Commerce, Technology Administration, National Technical Information Service, Springfield, VA 22161; (703) 605-6000.

Foreword

- Department of Energy (DOE) activities may expose populations of plants and animals to radioactive materials in environmental media, or to radioactive materials released in waste streams. This DOE voluntary consensus technical standard provides methods, models, and guidance within a graded approach that DOE personnel and contractors may use to characterize radiation doses to aquatic and terrestrial biota that are exposed to radioactive materials.
- 2. The graded approach to biota dose evaluation can be used to address requirements for radiological protection of the environment contained in DOE Orders. It can also be used to support radiological protection of the environment program elements within Environmental Management Systems (EMS) at DOE sites.
- 3. These methods (and the Biota Concentration Guides contained in them) are not intended to be used as design criteria, indicators of the severity of accidental releases of radioactive materials, or guides for mitigating the consequences of accidental releases. Furthermore, this technical standard does not apply to the irradiation of biota for experimental purposes, nor to research or experimental studies.
- 4. This technical standard and the RAD-BCG Calculator (an electronic calculational tool provided with the technical standard) can be downloaded from the Department's Biota Dose Assessment Committee (BDAC) web site (http://homer.ornl.gov/oepa/public/bdac).
- 5. The graded approach to biota dose evaluation and associated guidance contained in this technical standard is also intended for use with the RESRAD-BIOTA code. The RESRAD-BIOTA dose evaluation code was designed to be consistent with the graded approach and the BCGs contained herein.
- 6. DOE technical standards, such as this standard, do not establish requirements. However, all or part of the provisions in a DOE standard can become requirements under the following circumstances:
 - (a) they are explicitly stated to be requirements in a DOE requirements document; or
 - (b) the organization makes a commitment to meet a standard in a contract or in an implementation plan or program plan required by a DOE requirements document.

Throughout this standard, the word "shall" is used to denote actions which must be performed if the objectives of this standard are to be met. If the provisions in this standard are made requirements through one of the two ways discussed above, then the "shall" statements would become requirements. However, "should" statements would not automatically be converted to "shall" statements if provisions in this standard become requirements, as this action would violate the consensus process used to approve this standard.

- 7. This technical standard has undergone extensive review throughout its development: (1) it was prepared and reviewed by the Department's Biota Dose Assessment Committee (BDAC), an approved DOE Technical Standards Program topical committee; (2) it has undergone a formal DOE review and comment resolution process as required by the Department's Technical Standards Program; (3) it was made available to other federal agencies for their review and comment through the Interagency Steering Committee on Radiation Standards (ISCORS); (4) it was reviewed by an independent external technical expert; and (5) five papers on the graded approach methodology and associated guidance contained in this technical standard have undergone external peer review for publication in scientific journals.
- 8. Comments in the form of recommendations, pertinent data, and lessons learned from implementation of DOE's graded approach to biota dose evaluation that may improve future versions of this technical standard, the RAD-BCG Calculator, or the RESRAD-BIOTA code, are welcome and should be sent to:

Mr. Stephen Domotor U.S. Department of Energy Office of Environment, Safety and Health Air, Water, and Radiation Division (EH-412) 1000 Independence Avenue, S.W. Washington, DC 20585-0119 Stephen.Domotor@eh.doe.gov

Table of Contents

SECTION	<u>PAGE</u>
Foreword	iii
Acknowledgments	xvii
Scope, Purpose and Organization	xxi
References	xxiii
Definitions	xxxix
Acronyms and Abbreviations	xlvii

MODULE 1

1	INTR	ODUCTION
	1.1	Purpose
	1.2	Background
		1.2.1 Increasing Interest and Need for Biota Dose Evaluation Methods M1-2
		1.2.2 Basis for Biota Dose Limits Applied in this Technical Standard M1-3
		1.2.2.1 Aquatic Organisms M1-4
		1.2.2.2 Terrestrial Organisms M1-5
		1.2.2.3 Additional Summaries and Reviews of Radiation Effects
		Data on Biota Confirming NCRP and IAEA Findings M1-6
		1.2.2.4 Application of Biota Dose Limits as "Dose Rate Guidelines"
		for Evaluating Doses to Biota
		1.2.3 Protection of Populations M1-7
2	OVER	
2	21	Key Features of the Graded Approach M1-10
	2.2	Key Points Regarding Methods Derivation M1-11
	2.3	Relationship of the Graded Approach to Ecological Risk Assessment M1-16
3	APPL	ICATION CONSIDERATIONS M1-17
	3.1	Evaluating Doses to Individual Organisms
		3.1.1 Threatened and Endangered Species
		3.1.2 Commercially and Culturally Valued Species
	3.2	Evaluating Doses to Aquatic Plants
	3.3	Experimental Facilities
	3.4	Hazardous Chemicals and Industrial Hazards
	3.5	Frequency of Conducting Evaluations M1-22

4	STEF	P-BY-STE	EP IMPLEMENTATION OF THE GRADED APPROACH M1-2	23
	4.1	Parame	eter Values that can be Modified in the Graded Approach	26
	4.2	Use of t	the RAD-BCG Calculator M1-2	27
	4.3	The Bio	ota Dose Assessment Committee	28
5	DATA	ASSEM	1BLY PHASE	31
	5.1	Step 1:	Consider the Sources, Receptors, and Routes of Exposure M1-3	31
	5.2	Step 2:	Define Your Area of Evaluation	31
	5.3	Step 3:	Assemble and Organize Data on Radionuclide Concentrations	
			in Environmental Media M1-3	32
		5.3.1	Aquatic System Considerations M1-3	32
		5.3.2	Terrestrial System Considerations	32
6	GEN	ERAL SC	CREENING PHASE	33
	6.1	Step 1:	Compare Data on Radionuclide Concentrations in	
		•	Environmental Media with Generic BCGs Contained in Look-up	
			Tables	33
		6.1.1	Aquatic System Considerations M1-3	35
		6.1.2	Dealing with High Background Levels of Naturally Occurring	
			Radionuclides	36
7	ΑΝΑΙ	YSIS PH	HASE M1-4	43
•	7.1	Analvsi	s Phase - Site-Specific Screening	43
		7.1.1	Step 1: Assess the Representativeness of Your Input Data on	
			Radionuclide Concentrations in Environmental Media	
			and the Delineation of Your Evaluation Area	44
			7.1.1.1 Consider Using Mean Radionuclide Concentrations M1-4	44
			7.1.1.2 Consider Refining the Evaluation Area	44
			7.1.1.3 Consider Obtaining Additional Radionuclide	
			Concentration Data M1-4	44
		7.1.2	Step 2: Re-Run the Screening Evaluation Using Revised	
			Radionuclide Concentration Data and/or Evaluation	
			Area	44
		7.1.3	Step 3: Assess the Representativeness of Default Parameters	
			and Assumptions Used in Deriving the Generic BCGs;	
			Select Site-Specific Parameters and Generate Site-	
			Specific BCGs M1-4	45
			7.1.3.1 Identify Radionuclide-Specific Limiting Medium and	
			Organism Type M1-4	45
			7.1.3.2 Review and Select Site-Specific Lumped Parameters M1-4	45
			7.1.3.3 Review and Select Site-Representative K _d s	46
		7.1.4	Step 4: Re-Run the Screening Evaluation and Compare Data	
			on Radionuclide Concentrations in Environmental Media	
			with Newly-Generated Site-Specific BCGs	47
	7.2	Analysi	s Phase - Site-Specific Analysis M1-4	47

	7.2.1	Step 5: Assess the Representativeness of Default Parameters
		and Assumptions Employed in Kinetic/Allometric
		Models; Select Site-Specific Parameters and Generate
		Site-Specific BCGs M1-48
		7.2.1.1 Identify Radionuclide-Specific Limiting Medium and
		Organism Type M1-48
		7.2.1.2 Consider Correction Factor for Exposure Area or
		Receptor Residence Time
		7.2.1.3 Riparian and Terrestrial Animals: Review and Select
		Parameters Representative of Site-Specific Conditions
		and Receptors M1-50
		7.2.1.4 Riparian and Terrestrial Animals: Review and Select
		Food Source Parameter Values Representative of Site-
		Specific Receptors
	7.2.2	Step 6: Re-Run the RAD-BCG Calculator and Compare Data
		on Radionuclide Concentrations in Environmental Media
		with Newly Generated Site-Specific BCGs
7.3	Analys	is Phase - Conducting a Site-Specific Biota Dose Assessment M1-51
	7.3.1	Determine if Additional Analysis is Warranted
	7.3.2	An Important Note Concerning the Use of Available Biota Tissue
		Data
	7.3.3	Step 1: Assemble a Biota Dose Assessment Team M1-52
	7.3.4	Step 2: Review Requirements M1-53
	7.3.5	Step 3: Review Assumptions
	7.3.6	Recommended Approaches to Designing and Conducting the
		Dose Assessment
	7.3.7	Designing and Conducting the Dose Assessment
DOC	UMENT	ING YOUR BIOTA DOSE EVALUATION RESULTS
EXAI		PPLICATIONS OF THE GRADED APPROACH
9.1	Generi	c Example of an Aquatic System Evaluation

MODULE 2

8

9

1	THE	GRADED APPROACH, ECOLOGICAL RISK ASSESSMENT, AND
	GUID	ANCE ON THEIR IMPLEMENTATION IN EVALUATING RADIATION
	DOSI	ES TO BIOTA
	1.1	Purpose of this Section
	1.2	Relationship of the Graded Approach and the Ecological Risk
		Assessment Framework M2-1
	1.3	Principal and Alternative Uses of the Graded Approach
	1.4	Technical Issues to be Considered when Evaluating Radiation as a
		Stressor to the Environment M2-3
		1.4.1 Problem Formulation
		1.4.1.1 Scope of the Assessment

	1.5	1.4.1.2Stressor CharacteristicsM2-41.4.1.3Assessment EndpointsM2-51.4.1.4Conceptual ModelM2-61.4.1.5Analysis PlanM2-61.4.1.6MeasuresM2-7AnalysisM2-71.5.1Exposure AnalysisM2-71.5.2Effects AnalysisM2-9Risk CharacterizationM2-10
2	GUID	DANCE ON SOURCES, RECEPTORS, AND ROUTES OF EXPOSURE M2-13
	2.1	General Considerations for Identifying Sources, Receptors, and
		Exposure Pathways M2-13
		2.1.1 Sources
		2.1.2 Receptors and Routes of Exposure Considered in the
		Graded Approach M2-15
		2.1.3 Examples of Receptors That Could Serve as Good Indicators of Padiological Impact
	22	Rationale for the Active Air Pathway as a Minor Source of Exposure M2-20
	2.2	2.2.1 Behavior of Radionuclides Discharged to the Atmosphere
		2.2.2 Exposure Pathways Resulting from Atmospheric Releases M2-21
		2.2.3 Compliance with Human Radiation Dose Limits at DOE Sites
		Relative to Biota Dose Limits: A Perspective
		2.2.4 Derivation of Biota Concentration Guides for Active Air
		Releases
	<u></u>	2.2.5 Summary and Conclusions
	2.3 2.4	Aqualic Plants
	2.4	2.4.1 Considerations for Evaluating Doses to Biota around
		Accelerators or other Sources of Direct Radiation
3	GUID	DANCE ON SPATIAL AND TEMPORAL AVERAGING REGARDING
	APPL	LICATION OF BIOTA DOSE LIMITS AND MEAN RADIONUCLIDE
	CON	CENTRATIONS
	3.1	Use of Time Averaging in Applying Dose Limits for Aquatic and Terrestrial
		Biota
		3.1.2 Rationale for Guidance on Time Averaging M2-28
	3.2	Guidance on Spatial Variability in Applying Dose Limits
	3.3	Guidance on Estimating Mean Values
		3.3.1 Adjustments to Account for Spatial and Temporal Distributions
		of Radionuclides in the Environment When Estimating Mean
		Concentrations M2-31

4	GUID 4.1 4.2	ANCE F Genera Step-by	OR DEFINING THE EVALUATION AREA	. M2-35 . M2-35 . M2-35
5	GUID. 5.1 5.2 5.3 5.4	ANCE C Overvie Plant R Conside Survey	ON SOIL SAMPLING RELATIVE TO PLANT ROOTING DEPTHS ew of the Problem	. M2-39 . M2-39 . M2-40 . M2-41 . M2-41
6	GUID THE (6.1 6.2	ANCE C GRADEI An Imp Genera 6.2.1 6.2.2 6.2.3 6.2.4 6.2.5	ON BIOTA SAMPLING TO SUPPORT IMPLEMENTATION OF O APPROACH ortant Note about Biota Sampling and Temporal Variation Il Planning Considerations Use of Data Quality Objectives Selection of Receptor Species Sampled Variability of Exposure Representative Population Exposures Dosimetry Models 6.2.5.1 Aquatic and Terrestrial Vertebrates 6.2.5.3 Analytical Requirements	. M2-45 . M2-45 . M2-48 . M2-48 . M2-48 . M2-49 . M2-50 . M2-50 . M2-50 . M2-52 . M2-52 . M2-52
	6.3	Samplir 6.3.1	6.2.5.4 Other Data Needs ng Design and Statistical Methods Sampling Considerations 6.3.1.1 Definition of Population	. M2-52 . M2-53 . M2-53 . M2-53
			 6.3.1.2 Sampling Units 6.3.1.3 Types of Sampling Designs 6.3.1.4 Sampling Bias 6.3.1.5 Background/Reference Areas 	. M2-54 . M2-54 . M2-55 . M2-55
		6.3.2	 Statistical Considerations 6.3.2.1 Determination of Underlying Data Distribution 6.3.2.2 Calculation of Summary Statistics and Confidence Limits 	. M2-56 . M2-56 . M2-57
	6.4	6.3.3 Biota S 6.4.1	6.3.2.3 Determination of Minimum Sampling Size Uncontrollable Events ampling Methods Aquatic Biota 6.4.1.1 Fish 6.4.1.2 Benthic Invertebrates 6.4.1.3 Amphibians and Reptiles	. M2-59 . M2-60 . M2-60 . M2-61 . M2-61 . M2-62 M2-63
		6.4.2	Terrestrial Biota 6.4.2.1 Plants 6.4.2.2 Mammals 6.4.2.3 Birds 6.4.2.4 Earthworms	. M2-63 . M2-64 . M2-64 . M2-65 . M2-67

		6.4.2.5 Terrestrial ArthropodsM2-64.3 Additional Sampling ConsiderationsM2-66.4.3.1 Quality Assurance/Quality ControlM2-66.4.3.2 Sample HandlingM2-66.4.3.3 PermitsM2-66.4.3.4 EuthanasiaM2-76.4.3.5 Health and SafetyM2-7	58 68 68 69 70 70
7	GUID FOR 7.1 7.2 7.3 7.4 7.5 7.6	ICE ON RADIATION WEIGHTING FACTOR PHA PARTICLES	75 75 76 76 77 78
8	GUID EVAL 8.1 8.2 8.3 8.4 8.5	ACE ON THE APPLICABILITY OF THE GRADED APPROACH FOR ATING DOSE TO INDIVIDUAL ORGANISMS	79 79 79 80 80 81
		MODULE 3	
1	INTR(1.1 1.2 1.3 1.4	DUCTION AND BASIS FOR APPROACH M3 athways, Media Types, and Organism Types Addressed M3 election of Target Radionuclides M3 verview of the Technical Approach for Deriving the BCGs M3 election of the Most Limiting BCGs for Use in General Screening M3	-1 -1 -2 -2
2	DOSE 2.1	COEFFICIENTS M3 xternal Dose Coefficients M3 1.1 Introduction M3 1.2 Approach to Calculating External Dose Coefficients M3 2.1.2.1 Screening-Level External Dose Coefficients for Aquatic M3 2.1.2.2 Screening-Level External Dose Coefficients for M3 2.1.2.2 Screening-Level External Dose Coefficients for M3 1.3 Discussion of Results M3	-5 -5 -5 -6 -8

3	EQU	ATIONS AN	D MODELS FOR CALCULATING DOSE TO BIOTA AND		
	DER	DERIVING BCGS			
	3.1	An Importa	ant Note on Estimating Internal Tissue Concentrations for		
		Use in Dos	se Equations: The Lumped Parameter	M3-19	
	3.2	Equations	and Models for Aquatic Systems	M3-22	
		3.2.1 Aq	quatic Animals	M3-22	
		3.2.2 Rij	parian Animals	M3-23	
		3.2.3 Im	portant Considerations When Implementing Equations and		
		Mc	odels in an Aquatic System Evaluation	M3-25	
	3.3	Equations	and Models for Terrestrial Systems	M3-26	
		3.3.1 Te	errestrial Plants	M3-26	
		3.3.2 Te	errestrial Animals	M3-27	
	3.4	Alternative	s to Lumped Parameters for Riparian and Terrestrial		
		Animals: T	he Kinetic/Allometric Method	M3-29	
		3.4.1 A S	Scaling Approach to Predicting Tissue Concentrations	M3-30	
		3.4	4.1.1 Estimating Intake (Soil Pathway)	M3-31	
		3.4	4.1.2 Estimating the Total Loss Rate from the Organism	M3-33	
		3.4	4.1.3 Calculating the Fractional Buildup to Equilibrium Tissue		
			Concentrations	M3-34	
		3.4	4.1.4 Calculating Species-Independent Tissue Concentrations		
			from Soil Exposure	M3-34	
		3.4	4.1.5 Calculating Limiting Soil Concentrations (BCGs) Using		
			the Kinetic/Allometric Method: An Example	M3-35	
		3.4.2 Ap	pplication of the Kinetic/Allometric Method in the Derivation of		
		BC	CGs for Riparian Animals	M3-36	
		3.4.3 Ap	pplication of the Kinetic/Allometric Method in the Derivation of		
		BC	CGs for Terrestrial Animals	M3-38	
	3.5	Selection c	of Lumped Parameters for Riparian and Terrestrial Animals	M3-39	
4	DEF	AULT PARA	METERS AND THEIR SOURCES	M3-47	
	4.1	Bioaccumu	ulation Factors (B _{iv} s)	M3-47	
	4.2	Distribution Coefficients			
	4.3	Coefficients Used in the Kinetic/Allometric Method			

Figures

FIGUE	FIGURE PAGE			
1	Organization and Contents of the DOE Technical Standard xxii			
	MODULE 1			
1.1	Approximate Acute Lethal Dose Ranges for Various Taxonomic Groups M1-8			
2.1	Overview of the DOE Graded Approach for Evaluating Radiation Doses to Aquatic and Terrestrial BiotaM1-9			
2.2	Exposure Pathways for Aquatic Animals			
2.3	Exposure Pathways for Riparian Animals			
2.4	Exposure Pathways for Terrestrial Plants			
2.5	Exposure Pathways for Terrestrial Animals			
4.1	Flowchart Illustrating Step-by-Step Guidance for Progressing Through the DOE Graded Approach			
7.1	Problem Formulation, Phase 1 of Dose Assessment			
7.2	Analysis, Phase 2 of Dose Assessment			
7.3	Risk Characterization, Phase 3 of Dose Assessment			
	MODULE 2			
1.1	Problem Formulation, Phase 1 of Dose Assessment			
1.2	Analysis, Phase 2 of Dose Assessment			
1.3	Risk Characterization, Phase 3 of Dose Assessment			
2.1	Exposure Pathways for Aquatic Animals			
2.2	Exposure Pathways for Riparian Animals M2-18			
2.3	Exposure Pathways for Terrestrial Plants M2-19			
2.4	Exposure Pathways for Terrestrial Animals M2-20			
4.1	Hypothetical Maps of Contaminated Areas M2-37			

	MODULE 3	
6.1	Flow Diagram for Collecting Biological Samples to Provide Data for Dosimetric Assessments of Non-Human Receptors	-47
5.1	Average Rooting Depth by Plant Type M2	-40
4.2	Hypothetical Maps of Intersecting Contaminated Areas	-38

3.1	Process for Selecting De	fault B _{iv} /Lumped Paramete	er Values for Use in the	
	General Screening Phas	e of the Graded Approach		M3-21

Tables

TABLE

PAGE

MODULE 1

2.1	Summary of the DOE's Three-Step Process for Evaluating Radiation Doses to Aquatic and Terrestrial Biota
2.2	Assumptions Regarding Sources, Receptors, and Routes of Exposure Applied in the General Screening Phase of the Graded Approach
2.3	General Dose Equation and Approach Used to Derive BCGs
3.1	Applications Matrix Summarizing Intended and Potential Uses of the DOE Graded Approach
4.1	Summary of Parameter Values that Can be Modified Corresponding to Each Phase of the Graded Approach
4.2	Contents of the RAD-BCG Calculator M1-29
6.1	Biota Concentration Guides (BCGs) for Water and Sediment (in SI Units) for Use in Aquatic System Evaluations
6.2	Biota Concentration Guides (BCGs) for Water and Sediment (in Special Units) for Use in Aquatic System Evaluations
6.3	Biota Concentration Guides (BCGs) for Water and Soil (in SI Units) for Use in Terrestrial System Evaluations
6.4	Biota Concentration Guides (BCGs) for Water and Soil (in Special Units) for Use in Terrestrial System Evaluations
6.5	Part 1 of Dose Factors and Common Parameters Spreadsheet
7.1	Aquatic Animal Biota Concentration Guide Spreadsheet
7.2	Part 1 of the Riparian Animal Biota Concentration Guide Spreadsheet
7.3	Terrestrial Plant Biota Concentration Guide Spreadsheet
7.4	Part 1 of the Terrestrial Animal Biota Concentration Guide Spreadsheet M1-62
7.5	Part 2 of the Riparian Animal Biota Concentration Guide Spreadsheet M1-63
7.6	Part 3 of the Riparian Animal Biota Concentration Guide Spreadsheet

7.7	Part 2 of the Terrestrial Animal Biota Concentration Guide Spreadsheet M1-65
7.8	Part 3 of the Terrestrial Animal Biota Concentration Guide Spreadsheet M1-66
7.9	Part 2 of Dose Factors and Common Parameters Spreadsheet
	MODULE 2
2.1	General Considerations for Defining Sources, Receptors, and Rates of Exposure
2.2	Examples of Representative Organisms That Could Serve as Indicators of Radiological Impact
5.1	Average and Ranges of Rooting Depths by Plant Type
6.1	Comparison of Common Arthropod Sampling Techniques
	MODULE 3
2.1	Screening-Level External Dose Coefficients for Exposure of Aquatic Animals to Contaminated Sediments
2.2	Screening-Level External Dose Coefficients for Exposure of Aquatic Animals to Contaminated Water
2.3	Screening-Level External Dose Coefficients for Exposure of Terrestrial Biota to Contaminated Soil
2.4	Screening-Level Internal Dose Factors
3.1	Parameters Used in Kinetic/Allometric Method Uncertainty Analysis for Riparian and Terrestrial Animals
3.2A	A Comparison of Lumped Parameter Values Determined by Uncertainty Analysis on the Kinetic/Allometric Method, Product Approach, and Empirical Data (Literature Values): Riparian Animal to Sediment
3.2B	A Comparison of Lumped Parameter Values Determined by Uncertainty Analysis on the Kinetic/Allometric Method, Product Approach, and Empirical Data (Literature Values): Riparian Animal to Water
3.2C	A Comparison of Lumped Parameter Values Determined by Uncertainty Analysis on the Kinetic/Allometric Method, Product Approach, and Empirical Data (Literature Values): Terrestrial Animal to Soil

3.2D	A Comparison of Lumped Parameter Values Determined by Uncertainty Analysis on the Kinetic/Allometric Method, Product Approach, and Empirical Data (Literature Values): Terrestrial Animal to Water
4.1	Default Bioaccumulation Factors (B _{iv} s) for Aquatic Species
4.2	Default Bioaccumulation Factors (B _{iv} s) for Terrestrial Plants
4.3	Most Probable K _d Values for Use in Calculating BCGs for Sediment or Water for an Aquatic System Evaluation in the Absence of Co-Located Water and Sediment Data
4.4	Source of Default f_1 Values Used for Riparian and Terrestrial Animals M3-53
4.5	Source of Data Used in Estimating Biological Half-Times for Riparian and Terrestrial Animals
4.6	Factors Used in Assessing the Relative Contribution to Internal Dose from Animal Inhalation versus Ingestion
4.7	Allometric Equations and Parameter Values Used in Estimating Intake of Riparian Animal Organisms
4.8	Allometric Equations and Parameter Values Used in Estimating Intake of Terrestrial Animal Organisms

Acknowledgments

This voluntary consensus technical standard was prepared by the Department's Air, Water and Radiation Division (EH-412) and the Core Team of the Biota Dose Assessment Committee (BDAC). The BDAC is a technical standards topical committee organized under the Department of Energy Technical Standards Program. The purpose of the BDAC is (a) to assist, consistent with DOE needs, in developing and promoting technical standards and associated guidance for DOE-wide applications in assessing radiation dose to biota, (b) to serve as a major forum within DOE for obtaining technical assistance, discussing technical issues, and sharing lessons learned regarding biota dose standards and assessment methods, and (c) to serve as a technical resource and advisory group for DOE program and field elements in the design and review of site-specific biota dose assessments. The committee has broad representation from DOE Offices, national laboratories, universities, and the private sector. The BDAC charter can be obtained from the BDAC web site at: http://homer.ornl.gov/oepa/public/bdac.

A guiding principle for the BDAC is that both "developers" and "users" be part of the methods development process. Consistent with the BDAC's values and guiding principles documented in the BDAC charter, this technical standard was prepared using an interdisciplinary team approach. Each member of the Core Team brought with them specific expertise in health physics, ecology, radioecology, environmental monitoring, or risk assessment. The collective knowledge gained through this teaming orientation proved to be essential for developing the methods and implementation guidance presented in this technical standard.

The Core Team consists of the following members: Mr. Ernest Antonio, Pacific Northwest National Laboratory (PNNL); Dr. Gordon Bilyard, PNNL; Mr. Stephen Domotor, DOE-EH-412; Dr. Gary Friday, Westinghouse Savannah River Company (WSRC); Dr. Kathryn Higley, Oregon State University; Mr. Daniel Jones, Oak Ridge National Laboratory (ORNL); Dr. David Kocher, SENES-Oak Ridge; Dr. Randall Morris, Environmental Science and Research Foundation, and TREC, Inc.; Dr. Bradley Sample, CH₂MHill; and Ms. Patricia Scofield, ORNL.

Members of the Core Team, and other members of the BDAC, served as lead developers or contributors for several key areas of the technical standard. These individuals, and their specific contributions, are highlighted below. We are grateful to them for their contributions.

Technical Standard Development

BDAC Chairperson: Mr. Stephen Domotor (EH-412); technical standard preparation, integration, and coordination: Mr. Stephen Domotor (EH-412), with support from Ms. Audrey Lamanna, Ms. Melissa Hatcher, Mr. Jamie McDonald, and Mr. Clyde Lichtenwalner (Energetics, Inc.); screening methodology concepts and development: Dr. Kathryn Higley (Oregon State University) and Dr. David Kocher (SENES-Oak Ridge); kinetic/allometric modeling concepts and development, and RAD-BCG Calculator design: Dr. Kathryn Higley (Oregon State University).

Specific Contributions

Conceptual framework and application of the graded approach: Mr. Stephen Domotor (EH-412), with support from the BDAC Core Team; primer on ecological risk assessment concepts and issues concerning the evaluation of radiation as a stressor: Mr. Daniel Jones (ORNL): interpretation and application of biota dose limits: Dr. David Kocher (SENES-Oak Ridge); sources, receptors, and routes of exposure: Dr. Gordon Bilvard (PNNL); defining the area of evaluation: Dr. Randall Morris (Environmental Science and Research Foundation, and TREC, Inc.); dealing with high background levels of naturally-occurring radionuclides: Dr. Randall Morris (Environmental Science and Research Foundation, and TREC, Inc.) and Mr. Daniel Jones (ORNL); soil sampling guidance: Dr. Gordon Bilyard (PNNL) and Mr. Daniel Jones (ORNL); biota sampling guidance: Mr. Daniel Jones (ORNL) and Dr. Bradley Sample (CH₂MHill); guidance on radiation weighting factor for alpha particles: Dr. David Kocher (SENES-Oak Ridge); evaluating dose to individual organisms: Dr. David Kocher (SENES-Oak Ridge); derivation of dose coefficients, dose equations and models, and BCGs: Dr. Kathryn Higley (Oregon State University), Dr. David Kocher (SENES-Oak Ridge), Mr. Ernest Antonio (PNNL), and Mr. Stephen Domotor (EH-412); preparation of example applications of the graded approach: Mr. Ernest Antonio (PNNL) and Ms. Patricia Scofield (ORNL).

We are grateful to Dr. Gary Friday of the Westinghouse Savannah River Corporation (WSRC) for his valuable comments and recommendations concerning ecological risk issues and technical considerations.

We are grateful to Dr. Ward Whicker (Colorado State University) for his independent technical peer review of this technical standard.

We thank Dr. Alvin Young, DOE Office of Environmental Management Center for Risk Excellence, for sponsoring and coordinating the independent technical peer review of this technical standard.

We thank all of the BDAC members who provided comments and recommendations on previous drafts of this technical standard, and who provided recommendations on the screening and analysis methods which were piloted at the 1999 BDAC Meeting. We also thank the representatives from federal and state agencies, and international organizations, who provided review comments on previous drafts of this technical standard.

We thank Mr. Fred Baes (ORNL) for BDAC web site design, database design, and maintenance support.

We thank Mr. Richard Serbu of the Department's Technical Standards Program (DOE-TSP) for guidance and support provided in establishing the BDAC.

We thank the DOE-TSP Managers and points of contact for their helpful comments and suggestions on this technical standard during the DOE-TSP formal review process.

We thank Mr. Andrew Lawrence, Director of the Office of Environmental Policy and Guidance (EH-41); Mr. Andrew Wallo III, Director of the Air, Water, and Radiation Division (EH-412); and Mr. Harold Peterson, EH-412 Environmental and Radiological Evaluation Unit Leader, for sponsoring the BDAC and supporting the development of this technical standard.

We thank Mr. Raymond Berube, Deputy Assistant Secretary for Environment (EH-4), for his support and recognition of the BDAC's pioneering spirit and efforts in developing the biota dose evaluation methods in this technical standard.

INTENTIONALLY BLANK

Scope, Purpose and Organization

This technical standard provides methods, models, and guidance within a graded approach that the U.S. Department of Energy (DOE) and its contractors may use to evaluate doses of ionizing radiation to populations of aquatic animals, terrestrial plants, and terrestrial animals from DOE activities for the purpose of demonstrating protection relative to Dose Rate Guidelines. It provides dose evaluation methods that can be used to meet the requirements of DOE Order 5400.5, "Radiation Protection of the Public and the Environment" (1990a) and DOE Order 5400.1, "General Environmental Protection Program" (1990b). The technical standard assumes a threshold of protection for plants and animals at the following doses: for aquatic animals, 1 rad/d (10 mGy/d); for terrestrial plants, 1 rad/d (10 mGy/d); and for terrestrial animals, 0.1 rad/d (1 mGy/d). Available data indicate that dose rates below these limits cause no measurable adverse effects to populations of plants and animals.

The DOE graded approach includes a screening method and three more detailed levels of analysis for demonstrating compliance with applicable dose limits for protection of biota. The general screening method provides appropriately conservative limiting concentrations of radionuclides in environmental media (termed "Biota Concentration Guides" or BCGs). Radionuclide concentrations in samples of environmental media are easily compared with the BCGs to evaluate compliance with biota dose limits. The three more detailed analysis methods require more effort, but yield more accurate and realistic biota dose evaluations.

This technical standard is designed to be user-friendly, and is organized into three principal Modules for ease of implementation. Material in each Module is cross-referenced to pertinent sections in other Modules. There is some duplication of material across Modules by design, in order to allow each to be used separately, if desired. Module 1 serves as the principal users guide for step-by-step implementation of the graded approach to biota dose evaluation. Module 2 serves as a resource guide, providing detailed guidance for implementing key elements of the graded approach identified in Module 1, and providing a "primer" on technical issues to be considered when evaluating radiation as a stressor to the environment. Module 3 serves as a technical reference source, providing the technical basis for the derivation of dose models, screening values, and selection of default assumptions and parameters applied in the graded approach. The organization and content of the technical standard are provided in Figure 1.

DOE-STD-1153-2002

MODULE

Module 1 -Principles and Application

Provides user-friendly guidance and instructions for application

Module 2 -Detailed Guidance

Provides specific guidance, details, and discussions by topic to support the application of the graded approach

Module 3 -Methods Derivation

Provides a detailed description of dose models, equations, and default parameters

CORRESPONDING SECTION NUMBER & CONTENTS

- 1. Purpose and background. Provides basis for the technical standard, biota dose limits, and protection of populations.
- 2. Overview of the DOE graded approach. Describes the process for using BCGs in screening and analysis methods to evaluate doses to biota.
- 3. Application considerations. Provides principal and potential uses of the graded approach.
- 4-8. Step-by-step guidance for implementation. Provides stepwise guidance for progressing through the data assembly, general screening, and analysis phases. Provides BCG look-up tables, use of RAD-BCG Calculator, and reporting guidelines.
- 9. Example applications. Provides examples of implementing the graded approach using actual site data.
- 1. Primer on evaluating radiation as a stressor to the environment.
- Sources, receptors, and routes of exposure. Includes direct air pathway and direct radiation considerations.
- Spatial and temporal averaging regarding application of dose limits and mean radionuclide concentrations.
- 4. Defining the area of evaluation.
- 5. Soil sampling.
- 6. Biota sampling.

1.

- 7. Radiation weighting factor for alpha particles.
- 8. Evaluating doses to individual organisms.
 - Introduction and basis for the graded approach. Presents pathways, radionuclides, media types, and organism-types addressed.
- 2. Dose coefficients. Provides internal and external dose conversion factors.
- 3. Equations and models for calculating doses to biota and deriving BCGs.
- 4. Default parameters and their sources.

Figure 1 Organization and Contents of the DOE Technical Standard

References

Addy, C. E. 1956. *Guide to Waterfowl Banding*. U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service, Laurel, Maryland.

American Society for Quality Control (ASQC). 1994. *American National Standard:* Specifications and Guidelines for Quality Systems for Environmental Data Collection and Environmental Technology Programs. ANSI/ASQC E4-1994, ASQC, Milwaukee, Wisconsin.

American Society for Testing and Materials (ASTM). 1995. *Standard Guide for Developing Conceptual Site Models for Contaminated Sites.* ASTM E 1689-95, Philadelphia, Pennsylvania.

American Society for Testing and Materials (ASTM). 1997. *Annual Book of ASTM Standards. Vol. 11.05. Biological Effects and Environmental Fate; Biotechnology; Pesticides.* ASTM, West Conshohoken, Pennsylvania.

Amiro, B. D. 1997. "Radiological Dose Conversion Factors for Generic Non-Human Biota Used for Screening Potential Radiological Impacts." *J. Environ. Radioactivity* 35(1):37-51.

Anderson, R. O., and R. M. Neumann. 1996. "Length, Weight, and Associated Structural Indices." In *Fisheries Techniques, 2nd Edition*, eds. B. R. Nurphy and D. Willis, pp. 447-482. American Fisheries Society, Bethesda, Maryland.

Baes, C. F., R. D. Sharp, A. L. Sjoreen, and R. W. Shor. 1984. *A Review and Analysis of Parameters for Assessing Transport of Environmentally Released Radionuclides through Agriculture*. ORNL-5786, Oak Ridge National Laboratory, Oak Ridge, Tennessee.

Baker, D. A., and J. K. Soldat. 1992. *Methods for Estimating Doses to Organisms from Radioactive Materials Released into the Aquatic Environment*. PNL-8150, Pacific Northwest Laboratory, Richland, Washington.

Barnthouse, L. W. 1995. *Effects on Ionizing Radiation on Terrestrial Plants and Animals: A Workshop Report.* Environmental Sciences Division, ORNL/TM-13141, Oak Ridge National Laboratory, Oak Ridge, Tennessee.

Bastian, R.K., and W.B. Jackson. 1975. "137 Cs and 60 Co in a Terrestrial Community at Enewetak Atoll." In *Radioecology and Energy Resources*, Publication No. 1, May, ed. C.E. Cushing, pp. 12-14. Oregon State University, Oregon.

Bilyard, C. R., H. Beckert, J. J. Bascietto, C. W. Abrams, S. A. Dyer, and L. A. Haselow. 1997. *Using the Data Quality Objectives Process During the Design and Conduct of Ecological Risk Assessments*. DOE/EH-0544, U.S. Department of Energy, Office of Environmental Policy and Assistance, Washington, D.C.

Blaylock, B.G., M.L. Frank, and B.R. O'Neal. 1993. *Methodology for Estimating Radiation Dose Rates to Freshwater Biota Exposed to Radionuclides in the Environment*, ES/ER/TM-78. Oak Ridge National Laboratory, Oak Ridge, Tennessee.

Breckenridge, R. P., and A. Crocket. 1998. "Determination of Background Concentrations of Inorganics in Soils and Sediments at Hazardous Waste Sites." *Environ. Monit. Assess.* 51:621-656.

Breda, N., A. Granier, F. Barataud, and C. Moyne. 1995. "Soil Water Dynamics in an Oak Stand." *Plant and Soil* 172: 17-27.

Bub, H. 1990. *Bird Trapping and Bird Banding.* Cornell University Press, Ithaca, New York.

Burmaster, D. E., and D. A. Hull. 1997. "Using Lognormal Distributions and Lognormal Probability Plots in Probabilistic Risk Assessments." *Human Ecol. Risk Assess*. 3:235-255.

Burt, W. H., and R. P. Grossenheider. 1980. *A Field Guide to the Mammals of North America North of Mexico*. Houghton Mifflin Co., Boston, Massachusetts.

Bysshe, S. E. 1988. "Uptake by Biota." In *Environmental Inorganic Chemistry: Properties, Processes, and Estimation Methods*. eds. I. Bodek, W. J. Luman, W. F. Reehl and D. H. Rosenblatt, pp. 4-1 to 4.7-1. Pergamon Press, New York.

Calder, W. A., III. 1984. *Size, Function, and Life History*. Harvard University Press, Cambridge, Massachusetts.

California Environmental Protection Agency (California EPA). 1997. Selecting Inorganic Constituents as Chemicals of Potential Concern at Risk Assessments at Hazardous Waste Sites and Permitted Facilities. Final Policy Report, Human and Ecological Risk Division, Department of Toxic Substances Control, California EPA, Sacramento, California.

Call, M. Y. 1986. "Rodents and Insectivores." In *Inventory and Monitoring of Wildlife Habitat*, eds. A. Y. Cooperrider, R. J. Boyd, and H. R. Stuart, pp. 429-452. U.S. Dept. Inter., Bur. Land Manage. Service Center, Denver, Colorado.

Canadell, J., R.B. Jackson, J.R. Ehleringer, H.A. Mooney, O.E. Sala, and E.D. Schulze. 1996. "Maximum Rooting Depth of Vegetation Types at a Global Scale." *Oecologia* 108: 583-595.

Canadian Nuclear Safety Commission, Advisory Committee on Radiological Protection (CNSC-ACRP). 2002. *Protection of Non-Human Biota From Ionizing Radiation*. Document No. INFO-0730. June 2002.

Cooper, R. J., and R. C. Whitmore. 1990. "Arthropod Sampling Methods in Ornithology." *Studies in Avian Biology* 13:29–37.

Conant, R. 1975. *A Field Guide to Reptiles and Amphibians of Eastern and Central North America*. Houghton Miflin Co., Boston.

Copplestone, D., S. Bielby, S. Jones. D. Patton, P. Daniel, and I. Gize. 2001. *Impact Assessment of Ionizing Radiation on Wildlife*. Environment Agency, Rio House, Bristol (BS32 4UD), UK.

Craig, J. F. 1980. "Sampling with Traps." In *Guidelines for Sampling Fish in Inland Waters*, eds. T. Backiel and R. L. Welcomme, pp. 55–70. Food and Agricultural Organization of the United Nations, Rome, Italy.

Cummins, C.L. 1994. *Radiological Bioconcentration Factors for Aquatic, Terrestrial, and Wetland Ecosystems at the Savannah River Site.* WSRC-TR-94-0391. Westinghouse Savannah River Company, Aiken, South Carolina.

Dietrick, E. J., E. I. Schlinger, and R. Van den Bosch. 1959. "A New Method for Sampling Arthropods Using a Suction Collection Machine and a Berlese Funnel Separator." *Journal of Economic Entomology* 52:1085-1091.

Dowdy, S., and S. Wearden. 1983. Statistics for Research. John Wiley & Sons, New York.

Dunning, J. B., Jr. 1984. Body Weights of 686 Species of North American Birds. Western Bird Banding Association, Monograph No. 1, Eldon Publishing, Cave Creek, Arizona.

Dunning, J. B., Jr. 1993. *CRC Handbook of Avian Body Masses*. CRC Press, Inc., Boca Raton, Florida.

Eisler, R. 1994. *Radiation Hazards to Fish, Wildlife, and Invertebrates: a Synoptic Review.* Biological Report 26, National Biological Service, U.S. Department of Interior, Washington, D.C.

Endangered Species Act. 1973. Public Laws 93-205 through 100-707, as amended, 87 stat. 884, 16 USC 1531 et seq.

Eyman, L.D., and J.R. Trabalka. "Patterns of Transuranic Uptake by Aquatic Organisms: Consequences and Implications." In *Transuranic Elements in the Environment*, ed. Wayne Hanson, pp. 612-624.

Foxx, T.S., G.D. Tierney, and J.M. Williams. 1984. *Rooting Depths of Plants on Low-Level Waste Disposal Sites.* LA-10253-MS. Los Alamos National Laboratory, Los Alamos, New Mexico.

Friday, G.P., C.L. Cummins, and A.L. Schwartzman. 1996. *Radiological Bioconcentration Factors for Aquatic, Terrestrial, and Wetlands Ecosystems at the Savannah River Site.* WSRC-TR-96-0231. Westinghouse Savannah River Company, Aiken, South Carolina.

Gamble, J.F. 1971. *A Proposed Mechanism for Recycling Radiocesium in Florida Soil Systems.* Third National Symposium on Radioecology, conf-71501-p1. Oak Ridge, Tennessee.

Gentner, N. 2002. "What Harm Do We Wish to Protect Against and How Should it be Assessed." Nuclear Energy Agency, Radiological Protection of the Environment: "The Path Forward to a New Policy?" Taormina, Sicily, Italy, February 12-14, 2002.

Garten, C. T., Jr. 1981. "Comparative Uptake of Actinides by Plants and Rats from the Shoreline of a Radioactive Pond." *J. Environ. Qual.* 10:487-491.

Garten, C. T., Jr., E. A. Bondietti, J. R. Trabalka, R. L. Walker, and T. G. Scott. 1987. "Field Studies on the Terrestrial Behavior of Actinide Elements in East Tennessee." In *Environmental Research on Actinide Elements*, eds. J. E. Pinder III, J. J. Alberts, K. W. McLeod, and R. G. Schreckhise, pp. 109-119 in CONF-841142, U.S. Department of Energy, Washington, D.C.

Gerzabek, M.H., F. Strebl, and B. Temmel. 1998. *Plant uptake of radionuclides in lysimeter experiments.* Environmental Pollution 99: 93-103.

Gilbert, R.O. 1987. *Statistical Methods for Environmental Pollution Monitoring*. John Wiley and Sons, New York.

Gilman, E.F. 1989. "Tree Root Depth Relative to Landfill Tolerance." HortScience 245:857.

Green, R. H. 1979. *Sampling Design and Statistical Methods for Environmental Biologists*. John Wiley and Sons, New York.

Greenleaf-Jenkins, J., and R. J. Zasoski. 1986. "Distribution, Availability, and Foliar Accumulation of Heavy Metals from Dewatered Sludge Applied to Two Acid Forest Soils." In *Nutritional and Toxic Effects of Sewage Sludge in Forest Ecosystems*, eds. S. D. West and R. J. Zasoski, College of Forest Resources, University of Washington, Seattle.

Gullet, P. A. 1987. "Euthanasia." In *Field Guide to Wildlife Diseases: General Field Procedures for Migratory Birds*, ed. M. Friend, pp. 59-63, Res. Publ.167, U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service, Washington, D.C.

Gunn, A. 1992. "Use of Mustard to Estimate Earthworm Populations." *Pedobiologia*. 36:65–67.

Hamley, J. M. 1980. "Sampling with Gillnets." In *Guidelines for Sampling Fish in Inland Waters*, eds. T. Backiel and R. L. Welcomme, pp. 37-53. Food and Agricultural Organization of the United Nations, Rome, Italy.

Hartley, W. G. 1980. "The Use of Electrical Fishing for Estimating Stocks of Freshwater Fish." In *Guidelines for Sampling Fish in Inland Waters*, eds. T. Backiel and R. L. Welcomme, pp. 91-95. Food and Agricultural Organization of the United Nations, Rome, Italy.

Hays, R. L., C. Summers, and W. Seitz. 1981. *Estimating Wildlife Habitat Variables*. FWS/OBS-81/47. U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service, Washington, D.C.

Heyer, W. R., M. A. Donnelly, R. W. McDiarmid, L. C. Hayek, and M. S. Foster. 1994. *Measuring and Monitoring of Biological Diversity: Standard Methods for Amphibians*. Smithsonian Institution Press, Washington, D.C.

Howe, J.R., and M.k. Lloyd. 1986. "Radio-Iodine in Thyroid Glands of Swans, Farm Animals and Humans, also in Algae and River Water from the Thames Valley, England." In *Science and the Total Environment* 48:13-31.

Hubbell, J. H. 1969. *Photon Cross Sections - Attenuation Coefficients and Energy Absorption Coefficients from 10 KeV to 100 GeV*. NSRDS-NBS-29, National Bureau of Standards, U.S. Government Printing Office, Washington, D.C.

Hubert, W. A. 1983. "Passive Capture Methods." In *Fisheries Techniques*, eds. L. A. Nielsen and D. L. Johnson, pp. 95-122. American Fisheries Society, Bethesda, Maryland.

International Atomic Energy Agency (IAEA). 1976. *Effects of Ionizing Radiation Aquatic Organisms and Ecosystems*. Technical Report No. 172, IAEA, Vienna, Austria.

International Atomic Energy Agency (IAEA). 1990. *Recommendations of the International Commission on Radiological Protection*. Publication 60, Pergamon Press, Oxford and New York.

International Atomic Energy Agency (IAEA). 1992. *Effects of Ionizing Radiation on Plants and Animals at Levels Implied by Current Radiation Protection Standards*. Technical Report Series No. 332, IAEA, Vienna, Austria.

International Atomic Energy Agency (IAEA). 1994. *Manual of Parameter Values for the Prediction of Radionuclide Transfer in Temperate Environments*. Technical Report Series No. 364, IAEA, Vienna, Austria.

International Atomic Energy Agency (IAEA). 1999. *Protection of the Environment from the Effects of Ionizing Radiation: A Report for Discussion*. IAEA-TECDOC-1091, IAEA, Vienna, Austria.

International Commission on Radiological Protection (ICRP). 1977. *Recommendations of the International Commission on Radiological Protection*. ICRP Publication 26, Pergamon Press, New York.

International Commission on Radiological Protection (ICRP). 1979a. *Limits for the Intake of Radionuclides by Workers*. ICRP Publication 30 Part 1, Pergamon Press, New York.

International Commission on Radiological Protection (ICRP). 1979b. *Limits for the Intake of Radionuclides by Workers*. ICRP Publication 30 Supplement to Part 1, Pergamon Press, New York.

International Commission on Radiological Protection (ICRP). 1980. *Limits for the Intake of Radionuclides by Workers*. ICRP Publication 30 Part 2, Pergamon Press, New York.

International Commission on Radiological Protection (ICRP). 1981a. *Limits for the Intake of Radionuclides by Workers*. ICRP Publication 30 Supplement to Part 2, Pergamon Press, New York.

International Commission on Radiological Protection (ICRP). 1981b. *Limits for the Intake of Radionuclides by Workers*. ICRP Publication 30 Part 3, Pergamon Press, New York.

International Commission on Radiological Protection (ICRP). 1982a. *Limits for the Intake of Radionuclides by Workers*. ICRP Publication 30 Supplement A to Part 3, Pergamon Press, New York.

International Commission on Radiological Protection (ICRP). 1982b. *Limits for the Intake of Radionuclides by Workers*. ICRP Publication 30 Supplement B to Part 3, Pergamon Press, New York.

International Commission on Radiological Protection (ICRP). 1983. *Annals of the ICRP*, "Radionuclide Transformations: Energy and Intensity of Emissions." ICRP Publication 38, Vols. 11-13, Pergamon Press, New York.

International Commission on Radiological Protection (ICRP). 1988. *Limits for the Intake of Radionuclides by Workers*. ICRP Publication 30 Part 4, Pergamon Press, New York.

International Commission on Radiological Protection (ICRP). 1991. *Recommendations of the International Commission on Radiological Protection*. ICRP Publication 60, Pergamon Press, New York.

Isom, B. G. 1978. "Benthic Macroinvertebrates." In *Methods for the Assessment and Prediction of Mineral Mining Impacts on Aquatic Communities: a Review and Analysis Workshop Proceedings*, ed. W. T. Mason, Jr., pp. 67-74. U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service, Harpers Ferry, West Virginia.

Jackson, R.B., J. Canadell, J.R. Ehleringer, H.A. Mooney, O.E. Sala, and E.D. Schulze. 1996. "A Global Analysis of Root Distributions in Terrestrial Biomes." *Oecologia* 108: 389-411.

Jacobs, K. E., and W. D. Swink. 1982. "Estimations of Fish Population Size and Sampling Efficiency of Electrofishing and Rotenone in Two Kentucky Tailwaters." *North American Journal of Fisheries Management* 2:239–248.

Jenkins, J.H., and T.T. Fendley. 1971. *Radionuclide Biomagnification in Coastal Plain Deer*. Third National Symposium on Radioecology, conf-710501-p1, pp. 116-122. Oak Ridge, Tennessee.

Jiang, Q. Q., and B. R. Singh. 1994. "Effect of Different Forms and Sources of Arsenic on Crop Yield and Arsenic Concentration." *Water, Air, Soil Pollut*. 74:321-343.

Johnson, C. G., and L. R. Taylor. 1955. "The Development of Large Suction Traps for Airborne Insects." *Annals of Applied Biology* 43:51–62.

Jones, C., W. J. McShea, M. J. Conroy, and T. H. Kunz. 1996. "Capturing Mammals." In *Measuring and Monitoring Biological Diversity: Standard Methods for Mammals*, eds. D. E. Wilson, F. R. Cole, J. D. Nichols, R. Rudran, and M.S. Foster, pp. 115-155. Smithsonian Inst. Press, Washington, D.C.

Jones, D.S. 2000. "Radiological Benchmarks for Effects on Aquatic Biota at the Oak Ridge Reservation." *Human and Ecological Risk Assessment*, 6, 789-807.

Jones, K. B. 1986. "Amphibians and Reptiles." In *Inventory and Monitoring of Wildlife Habitat*, eds. A. Y. Cooperrider, R. J. Boyd, and H. R. Stuart, pp. 267-290. Bur. Land Manage. Service Center, U.S. Dept. Inter., Denver, Colorado.

Julliet, J. A. 1963. "A Comparison of Four Types of Traps Used for Capturing Flying Insects." *Canadian Journal of Zoology* 41:219–223.

Kaye, S. V., and P. B. Dunaway. 1962. "Bioaccumulation of Radioactive Isotopes by Herbivorous Small Mammals." *Health Phys.* 7:205-217.

Kennedy, W. E., Jr., and D. L. Strenge. 1992. *Residual Radioactive Contamination from Decommissioning*. NUREG/CR-5512, PNL-7994, Vol. 1, Pacific Northwest Laboratory, Richland, Washington.

Keyes, B. E., and C. E. Grue. 1982. "Capturing Birds with Mist Nets: a Review." *North American Bird Bander* 7:2–14.

Klepper, E.L., K.A. Gano and L.L. Cadwell. 1985. *Rooting depth and distributions of deeprooted plants in the 200 area control zone of the Hanford Site.* PNL-5247/UC-11. Pacific Northwest National Laboratory, Richland, Washington.

Kocher, D. C. 1980. *A Radionuclide Decay Data Base—Index and Summary Table*. NUREG/CR-1413, ORNL/NUREG-70, Oak Ridge National Laboratory, Oak Ridge, Tennessee.

Kocher, D. C. 1981. *Radioactive Decay Data Tables—A Handbook of Decay Data for Application to Radiation Dosimetry and Radiological Assessments*. DOE/TIC-11026, U.S. Department of Energy, Oak Ridge, Tennessee.

Kocher, D. C. 1983. "Dose Conversion Factors for External Exposure to Photons and Electrons." *Health Physics* 45(3):665-686.

Kocher, D. C., and K. F. Eckerman. 1981. "Electron Dose-Rate Conversion Factors for External Exposure of the Skin." *Health Phys.* 40(4):467-475.

Krebs, C. J. 1989. Ecological Methodology. Harper & Row, New York.

Kunz, T. H. 1988a. *Ecological and Behavioral Methods for the Study of Bats*. Smithsonian Inst. Press, Washington, D.C.

Kunz, T. H. 1988b. "Methods of Assessing the Availability of Prey to Insectivorous Bats." In *Ecological and Behavioral Methods for the Study of Bats*, ed. T. H. Kunz, pp. 191-210. Smithsonian Inst. Press, Washington, D.C.

Kunz, T. H., R. Rudran, and G. Gurry-Glass. 1996. "Human Health Concerns." In *Measuring and Monitoring Biological Diversity: Standard Methods for Mammals*, eds. D. E. Wilson, F. R. Cole, J. D. Nichols, R. Rudran, and M. S. Foster, pp. 255-264. Smithsonian Inst. Press, Washington, D.C.

Land, C. E. 1975. "Tables of Confidence Limits for Linear Functions of the Normal Mean and Variance." In *Selected Tables in Mathematical Statistics*. Vol. III, pp. 385-419. Am. Math. Soc., Providence, Rhode Island.

Layher, W. G., and O. E. Maughan. 1984. "Comparison Efficiencies of Three Sampling Techniques for Estimating Fish Populations in Small Streams." *Progress in Fisheries Culture* 46:180–184.

Ludwig, J. A, and J. F. Reynolds. 1988. *Statistical Ecology: a Primer and Methods and Computing*. John Wiley & Sons, New York.

Murkin, H. R., D. A. Wrubleski, and F. A. Ried. 1994. "Sampling Invertebrates in Aquatic and Terrestrial Habitats." In *Research and Management Techniques for Wildlife and Habitats*, 5th Edition, ed. T. A. Bookhout, pp. 349–369. The Wildlife Society, Bethesda, Maryland.

Nagorsen, D. W., and R. L. Peterson. 1980. *Mammal Collectors' Manual: a Guide for Collecting, Documenting, and Preparing Mammal Specimens for Scientific Research*. Royal Ontario Museum, Life Sciences Miscellaneous Publications, Toronto, Canada.

Napier, B. A., R. A. Peloquin, D. L. Strenge, and J. V. Ramsdell. 1988a. *Conceptual Representation. Volume 1 of GENII - The Hanford Environmental Radiation Dosimetry Software System.* PNL-6584, Vol. 1, Pacific Northwest Laboratory, Richland, Washington.

Napier, B. A., R. A. Peloquin, D. L. Strenge, and J. V. Ramsdell. 1988b. User's Manual. Volume 2 of GENII - The Hanford Environmental Radiation Dosimetry Software System. PNL-6584, Vol. 2, Pacific Northwest Laboratory, Richland, Washington.

Napier, B. A., R. A. Peloquin, D. L. Strenge, and J. V. Ramsdell. 1988c. *Code Maintenance Manual. Volume 3 of GENII - The Hanford Environmental Radiation Dosimetry Software System.* PNL-6584, Vol. 3, Pacific Northwest Laboratory, Richland, Washington.

National Council on Radiation Protection and Measurements (NCRP). 1991. *Effects of Ionizing Radiation on Aquatic Organisms*. NCRP Report No. 109, NCRP, Bethesda, Maryland.

Newman, M. C. 1995. *Quantitative Methods in Aquatic Ecotoxicology*. Lewis Publishers, Boca Raton, Florida.

Ng, Y. C. 1982. "A Review of Transfer Factors for Assessing the Dose from Radionuclides in Agricultural Products." *Nuclear Safety* 23(1):57-71.

Oak Ridge National Laboratory (ORNL). 1997. *Oak Ridge Reservation Annual Site Environmental Report for 1996*. ES/ESH-73, Oak Ridge National Laboratory, Oak Ridge, Tennessee.

Onishi, Y., R. J. Serne, E. M. Arnold, C. E. Cowan, and F. L. Thompson. 1981. *Critical Review: Radionuclide Transport, Sediment Transport, and Water Quality Mathematical Modeling; and Radionuclide Adsorption/Desorption Mechanisms*. NUREG/CR-1322, PNL-2901, Pacific Northwest Laboratory, Richland, Washington.

Ophel, I.L., and C.D. Fraser. 1971. *The Fate of Cobalt-60 in a Natural Freshwater Ecosystem*. Third National Symposium on Radioecology, conf-710501-p1.

Parker, M.M. and D.H. Van Lear. 1996. "Soil Heterogeneity and Root Distribution of Mature Loblolly Pine Stands in Piedmont Soils." *Soil Sci. Soc. Am. J.* 60: 1920-1925.

Polikarpov, G. G. 1994. "CIS Workshop in Radioecology." IUR Newsletter 16:6-7.

Price, K. R. 1971. A Critical Review of Biological Accumulation, Discrimination and Uptake of Radionuclides Important to Waste Management Practices. BNWL-B-148, Pacific Northwest Laboratories, Richland, Washington.

Provost, L. P. 1984. "Statistical Methods in Environmental Sampling." In *Environmental Sampling for Hazardous Wastes*, eds., G. F. Schweitzer and J. A. Santolucito. American Chemical Society Symposium Series, Washington, D.C.

Raw, F. 1959. "Estimating Earthworm Populations Using Formalin." Nature 148:1661–1662.

Reiss, M.J. 1989. *The Allometry of Growth and Reproduction*. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, England.

Reynolds, J. B. 1983. "Electrofishing." In *Fisheries Techniques*, eds. L. A. Nielsen and D. L. Johnson, pp. 147–164. American Fisheries Society, Bethesda, Maryland.

Rice, T.R. 1961. *Review of Zinc in Ecology*. Proceedings of the First National Symposium of Radioecology, pp. 619-631, eds. V. Schultz and A.W. Klement.

Rickard, W.H. and L.J. Kirby. 1987. "Trees as Indicators of Subterranean Waster Flow from a Retired Radioactive Waste Disposal Site." *Health Physics* 52(2): 201-206.

Romney, E.M., W.A. Rhoads, A. Wallace, and R.A. Wood. 1971. *Persistence of radionuclides in soil, plants, and small mammals in areas contaminated with radioactive fallout.* Third National Symposium on Radioecology, conf-71501-p1.

Ryti, R., EE. Kelley, M. Hooten, G. Gonzales, G. McDermott, and L. Soholt. 1999. *Screening Level Ecological Risk Assessment Methods*. LA-UR-99-1405, Los Alamos National Laboratory.

Sadana, U. S., and B. Singh. 1987. "Yield and Uptake of Cadmium, Lead and Zinc by Wheat Grown in a Soil Polluted with Heavy Metals." *J. Plant Sci. Res.* 3:11-17.

Sample, B. E., M. S. Aplin, R. A. Efroymson, G. W. Suter II, and C. J. E. Welsh. 1997. *Methods and Tools for Estimation of the Exposure of Terrestrial Wildlife to Contaminants.* ORNL/TM-13391, Oak Ridge National Laboratory, Oak Ridge, Tennessee.

Satchell, J. E. 1970. "Measuring Population and Energy Flow in Earthworms." In *Methods of Study in Soil Ecology*, ed. J. Phillipson, pp. 261–267. UNESCO, New York.

Schemnitz, S. D. 1994. "Capturing and Handling Wild Animals." In *Research and Management Techniques for Wildlife and Habitats*, 5th Edition, ed. T. A. Bookhout, pp. 106–124. The Wildlife Society, Bethesda, Maryland.

Schmidt-Neilsen, K. 1977. "Problems of Scaling: Locomotion and Physiological Constraints." In *Scale Effects in Animal Locomotion*, ed. T.J. Pedley, pp. 1-22. Academic Press, London, England.

Shine, R. 1986. *Diets and Abundances of Aquatic and Semi-aquatic Reptiles in the Alligator Rivers Region*. Technical Memorandum No. 16, Australian Government Publishing Service, Canberra, Australia.

Shleien, B., L. A. Slayback, Jr., and B. K. Kirby, eds. 1998. *Handbook of Health Physics and Radiological Health*. 3rd ed. Williams & Wilkins, Baltimore, Maryland.

Silva, M., and J. A. Dowling. 1995. *CRC Handbook of Mammalian Body Masses*, pp. 359. CRC Press, Inc., Boca Raton, Florida.

Smock, L. A., J. E. Gladden, J. L. Riekenberg, L. C. Smith, and C. R. Black. 1992. "Lotic Macroinvertebrate Production in Three Dimensions: Channel Surface, Hyporheic, and Floodplain Environments." *Ecology* 73:876–886.

Snedecor, G. W., and W. G. Cochran. 1980. *Statistical Methods*. 7th Edition. Iowa State Univ. Press, Ames, Iowa.

Sokal, R. R., and F. J. Rohlf. 1981. *Biometry: the Principles and Practice of Statistics in Biological Research*, 2nd Edition. W. H. Freeman and Co., New York.

Southwood, T.R.E. 1978. *Ecological Methods*. Chapman and Hall, New York.

Springett, J. A. 1981. "A New Method for Extracting Earthworms from Soil Cores, with a Comparison of Four Commonly Used Methods for Estimating Populations." *Pedobiologia* 21:217–222.

Stebbins, R. C. 1966. *A Field Guide to Western Reptiles and Amphibians*. Houghton Mifflin Co., Boston, Massachusetts.

Suter, G. W., II. 1993. Ecological Risk Assessment. Lewis Publishers, Boca Raton, Florida.

Suter, G. W. 1995. *Guide for Performing Screening Ecological Risk Assessments at Doe Facilities*. ES/ER/TM-153, Oak Ridge National Laboratory, Oak Ridge, Tennessee.

Suter, G. W. 1996. *Guide for Developing Conceptual Models for Ecological Risk Assessment.* ES/ER/TM-186, Oak Ridge National Laboratory, Oak Ridge, Tennessee.

Suter, G. W., II., B. E. Sample, D. S. Jones, and T. L. Ashwood. 1995. *Approach and Strategy for Performing Ecological Risk Assessments on the Oak Ridge Reservation*. 1995 rev. ES/ER/TM-33/R2, Oak Ridge National Laboratory, Oak Ridge, Tennessee.

Suter, G.W., II, R.A. Efroymson, B.E. Sample, and D.S. Jones. 2000. *Ecological Risk Assessment for Contaminated Sites*. Lewis Publishers, Boca Raton, Florida.

Talmage, S. S., and B. T. Walton. 1993. "Food Chain Transfer and Potential Renal Toxicity to Small Mammals at a Contaminated Terrestrial Field Site." *Ecotoxicology* 2:243-256.

Temple, P. J., and R. Wills. 1979. "Sampling and Analysis of Plants and Soil." In *Manual of Methodology for the Assessment of Air Pollution Effects on Vegetation*, eds. W. W. Heck, S. V. Krupa, and S. N. Linzon, pp. 13-1–13-23.

Tierney, G.D. and T.S. Foxx. 1987. *Rooting lengths of plants on Los Alamos National Laboratory Lands. LA-10865-MS/UC-48.* Los Alamos National Laboratory, Los Alamos, New Mexico.

Till, J.E. and H.R. Meyer. (1983) *Radiological Assessment: A Textbook on Environmental Dose Analysis*. NUREG/CR-3332, ORNL-5968. Prepared for Division of Systems Integration, Office of Nuclear Regulation, U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission. Washington, DC.

United Nations Scientific Committee on the Effects of Atomic Radiation (UNSCEAR). 1996. *Effects of Radiation on the Environment*. A/AC.82/R.549, United Nations, Vienna.

U.S. Department of Defense (DOD), U.S. Department of Energy (DOE), U.S. Environmental Protection Agency (EPA), and U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission (NRC). 2000. *Multi-Agency Radiation Survey and Site Investigation Manual (MARSSIM)*. Revision 1, NRC Report NUREG-1575, Washington, D.C.

U.S. Department of Energy (DOE). 1984. *Atmospheric Science and Power Production*. DOE/TIC-27601, D. Randerson, ed., DOE, Washington, D.C.

U.S. Department of Energy (DOE). 1984. "Iodine in Terrestrial Wildlife on the U.S. Department of Energy's Hanford Site in South central Washington." *Environmental Monitoring and Assessment* 4:379-388.

U.S. Department of Energy (DOE). 1987. *The Environmental Survey Manual*. DOE/EH-0053, U.S. Department of Energy, Office of Environmental Audit, Washington, D.C.

U.S. Department of Energy (DOE). 1990a. *Radiation Protection of the Public and the Environment*. DOE Order 5400.5.

U.S. Department of Energy (DOE). 1990b. *General Environmental Protection Program*. DOE Order 5400.1.

U.S. Department of Energy (DOE). 1991. *Environmental Regulatory Guide for Radiological Effluent Monitoring and Environmental Surveillance*. DOE/EH-0173T, DOE, Washington, D.C.

U.S. Department of Energy (DOE). 1993. "10 CFR 834, Radiation Protection of the Public and the Environment; Proposed Rule." *Federal Register*, March 25, 1993. Volume 58, No. 56, pp. 16268-16322.

U.S. Department of Energy (DOE). 1995. *Estimating the Cold War Mortgage. The 1995 Baseline Environmental Management Report.* DOE/EM-0232. Office of Environmental Management, U.S. Department of Energy.

U.S. Department of Energy (DOE). 1997. *Site Conceptual Exposure Model Builder User Manual*. Office of Environmental Policy and Guidance, RCRA/CERCLA Division (EH-413).

U.S. Department of Energy (DOE). 1996. *Baseline Environmental Management Report.* DOE/EM-0290. Office of Environmental Management, U.S. Department of Energy.

U.S. Department of Energy (DOE). 1998. *Compendium of EPA-Approved Analytical Methods for Measuring Radionuclides in Drinking Water.* Office of Environmental Policy and Assistance, U.S. Department of Energy.

U.S. Department of Energy (DOE). 1999a. *U.S. Department of Energy Biota Dose Assessment Committee Summary Report*. 1999 Annual Topical Committee Meeting. Office of Environmental Policy and Guidance, Air, Water and Radiation Division. September 27, 1999.

U.S. Department of Energy (DOE). 1999b. Quality Assurance. DOE Order 414.1A.

U.S. Department of Energy (DOE). 2000a. Availability of DOE Technical Standard, "A Graded Approach for Evaluating Radiation Doses to Aquatic and Terrestrial Biota" (Project ENVR-0011), for use in DOE Compliance and Risk Assessment Activities. Washington, DC: DOE memorandum from Dr. David Michaels (EH-1); 2000 (July 19).

U.S. Department of Energy (DOE). 2000b. *Guidance Memorandum: Guidance for the Preparation of Department of Energy (DOE) Annual Site Environmental Reports for Calendar Year 1999.* Dr. David Michaels (EH-1) to Distribution. April 21, 2000.

U.S. Environmental Protection Agency (EPA). 1993. *Wildlife Exposure Factors Handbook*. EPA/600/R-93/187 a and b, Vols. I and II, EPA, Washington, D.C.

U.S. Environmental Protection Agency (EPA). 1994a. *Vegetation Assessment Field Protocol.* ERT SOP #2037. Rev. 0.0, EPA, Washington, D.C.

U.S. Environmental Protection Agency (EPA). 1994b. *Field Studies for Ecological Risk Assessment*. Eco Update 2(3). EPA 540-F-94-014, EPA, Washington, D.C.

U.S. Environmental Protection Agency (EPA). 1994c. *Guidance for the data quality objectives process.* EPA QA/G-4. Quality Assurance Management Staff, Washington, D.C.

U.S. Environmental Protection Agency (EPA). 1996. *Vegetation Assessment Field Protocol.* ERT SOP #2038. Rev. 0.0, EPA, Washington, D.C.

U.S. Environmental Protection Agency (EPA). 1997a. *Ecological Risk Assessment Guidance for Superfund: Process for Designing and Conducting Ecological Risk Assessment, Interim Final.* U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, Environmental Response Team, Edison, New Jersey.

U.S. Environmental Protection Agency (EPA). 1997b. *Guidance on Cumulative Risk Assessment*. Part 1. Planning and Scoping. Science Policy Council, EPA, Washington, D.C.

U.S. Environmental Protection Agency (EPA). 1998. *Guidelines for Ecological Risk Assessment.* EPA/630/R-95/002F, U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, Risk Assessment Forum, EPA, Washington, D.C.

U.S. Environmental Protection Agency (EPA). 1999. *Ecological Risk Assessment and Risk Management Principles for Superfund Sites*. OSWER Directive 9285. 7-28 P. Office of Solid Waste and Emergency Response, Washington, D.C.

U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service and Canadian Wildlife Service. 1977. *North American Bird Banding Manual*. Vol. II. U.S. Dept. of Interior, Washington, D.C.

Verkhovskaja, I.N., P.P. Vavilov, and V.I. Maslov. 1967. *The Migration of Natural Radioactive Elements*. International Symposium in Stockholm, April 1966. Eds. B. Aberg and F.P. Hungate, Pergamon Press, Oxford, England.

Washington State Department of Ecology (WADOE). 1992. *Statistical Guidance for Ecology Site Managers*. Publ. No. 92-54, Washington State Dept. of Ecology, Toxics Cleanup Program, Olympia, Washington.

Washington State Department of Ecology (WADOE). 1994. *Natural Background Soil Metals Concentrations in Washington State*. Publ. No. 94-115, Washington State Dept. of Ecology, Toxics Cleanup Program, Olympia, Washington.

West, G.B., Brown, J.H., and B.J. Enquist. 1977. "A General Model for the Origin of Allometric Scaling Laws in Biology." *Science* 276:122-126.

Whicker, F.W. 1997. "Impacts on Plant and Animal Populators." *Health impacts for large releases of radionuclides*, 74-93. Ciba Foundation, London, England.

Whicker, F.W., Pinder, J.E., III, Bowling, J.W., Alberts, J.J., and I.L. Brisban. 1990. "Distribution of Long-Lived Radionuclides in an Abandoned Reactor Cooling Reservoir." *Ecological Monographs* 60: 471-496.

Whicker, F.W. and V. Schultz. 1982. *Radioecology: Nuclear Energy and the Environment*. Vol. I and II. CRC Press, Inc., Boca Raton, Florida.

Whicker, F.W., Shaw, G., Voigt, G, and E. Holm. 1999. "Radioactive Contamination: State of the Science and its Application to Predictive Models." *Environmental Pollution* 100: 133-149.

Wiley, M. L., and C-F. Tsai. 1983. "The Relative Efficiencies of Electrofishing Vs. Seines in Piedmont Streams of Maryland." *North American Journal of Fisheries Management* 3:243–253.

Wilkie, D.R. 1977. "Metabolism and Body Size." In *Scale Effects in Animal Locomotion*. Ed. T.J. Pedley. Academic Press, London, England.

Williams, D. D., and H.B.N. Hynes. 1973. "The Occurrence of Benthos Deep in the Substratum of a Stream." *Freshwater Biology* 4:233–256.

Wilson, D. E., F. R. Cole, J. D. Nichols, R. Rudran, and M. S. Foster. 1996. *Measuring and Monitoring Biological Diversity: Standard Methods for Mammals*. Smithsonian Inst. Press, Washington, D.C.

Woodhead, D. S. 1998. "The Impact of Radioactive Discharges on Native British Wildlife and the Implications on Environmental Protection." *R & D Technical Report P135*, Environmental Agency, Bristol, UK, 80 pp.

Yu, C., J.J. Cheng, L.G. Jones, Y.Y. Wang, Y.P. Chia, and E. Fallaice. 1993. *Data Collection Handbook to Support Modeling Impacts of Radioactive Material in Soil*. Argonne National Laboratory, Argonne, Illinois.

Zach, R. 1985. "Contribution of Inhalation by Food Animals to Man's Ingestion Dose." *Health Physics* 49: 737-745.

Zar, J. H. 1984. *Biostatistical Analysis*, 2nd Edition. Prentice Hall, Inc., Englewood Cliffs, New Jersey.

INTENTIONALLY BLANK

Definitions

As defined and used in this technical standard:

Absorbed Dose (D) is the energy imparted to matter by ionizing radiation per unit mass of irradiated material at the place of interest in that material. More specifically, for any radiation type and any medium, absorbed dose (D) is the total energy (e) absorbed per unit mass (m) of material: D = e/m. The absorbed dose is expressed in units of rad (gray), where 1 rad = 0.01 joule/kg material (1 gray = 100 rad). For the purposes of this technical standard, the absorbed dose in an organism is assumed to be the average value over the whole organism.

Allometric refers to the relative growth of a part in relation to the entire organism.

Alpha Particle is a helium-4 nucleus consisting of two protons and two neutrons, given off by the decay of many heavy elements, including uranium and plutonium. Because the particles are slow moving as well as heavy, alpha radiation can be blocked by a sheet of paper. However, once an alpha emitter is in living tissue, it can cause substantial damage because of the high ionization density along its path.

Aquatic Biota is plant or animal life living in or on water.

Arithmetic Mean is the most commonly used measure of central tendency, commonly called the "average." Mathematically, it is the sum of all the values of a set divided by the number of values in the set:

$$\bar{X}' = \frac{\int_{i'=1}^{n} X_i}{n}$$

Assessment Endpoint is an explicit expression of the environmental value that is to be protected, operationally defined by an ecological entity and its attributes. For example, salmon are valued ecological entities; reproduction and age class structure are some of their important attributes. Together "salmon reproduction and age class structure" form an assessment endpoint.

Average - See "Arithmetic Mean."

Beta Particle is an electron. It has a short range in air. Beta particles are moderately penetrating and can cause skin burns from external exposure, but can be blocked by a sheet of plywood.

Bias is a consistent underestimation or overestimation of the true values representing a population.

Bioaccumulation is the ratio of the contaminant concentration in the organism relative to the contaminant concentration in an environmental medium resulting from the uptake of the

contaminant from one or more routes of exposure. This ratio is typically described through a bioaccumulation factor (B_{iv}) .

Biomagnification is the tendency of some contaminants to accumulate to higher concentrations at higher levels in the food web through dietary accumulation.

Biota is plant and animal life of a particular region.

Biota Concentration Guide (BCG) is the limiting concentration of a radionuclide in soil, sediment, or water that would not cause dose limits for protection of populations of aquatic and terrestrial biota (as used in this technical standard) to be exceeded.

Carnivore is a flesh-eating animal.

Chronic refers to an extended continuous exposure to a stressor or the effects resulting from such an exposure.

Community is an assemblage of populations of different species within a specified location in space and time.

Conceptual Model is a written description and visual representation of predicted relationships between ecological entities and the stressors to which they may be exposed.

Data Quality Objectives (DQOs) are qualitative and quantitative statements that clarify technical and quality objectives for a study, define the appropriate type of data, and specify tolerable levels of uncertainty that a data user is willing to accept in the decision. DQOs specify the problem to be solved, the decision, the inputs to the decision, the boundaries of the study, the decision rule, and the limits of uncertainty.

Deterministic Effects are those for which the severity is a function of dose, and for which a threshold usually exists.

Discharge Point is a conduit through which any radioactively contaminated gas, water, or solid is discharged to the atmosphere, waters, or soils.

Distribution Coefficient is the ratio of the mass of solute species absorbed or precipitated on the soil or sediment to the solute concentration in the water. This ratio is typically described through a K_d factor.

Ecological Relevance is one of three criteria for assessment endpoint selection. Ecologically relevant endpoints reflect important characteristics of the system and are functionally related to other endpoints.

Ecological Risk Assessment is the process that evaluates the likelihood that adverse ecological effects may occur or are occurring as a result of exposure to one or more stressors.

Effluent is any treated or untreated air emission or liquid discharge, including storm water runoff.

Effluent Monitoring is the collection and analysis of samples or measurements of liquid, gaseous, or airborne effluents for the purpose of characterizing and quantifying contaminant levels and process stream characteristics, assessing radiation exposures to members of the public and the environment, and demonstrating compliance with applicable standards.

Environmental Medium is a discrete portion of the total environment, animate or inanimate, that may be sampled or measured directly.

Environmental Surveillance is the collection and analysis of samples of air, water, soil, foodstuffs, biota, and other media and the measurement of external radiation and radioactive materials for purposes of demonstrating compliance with applicable standards, assessing radiation exposures to members of the public, and assessing effects, if any, on the local environment.

Error is the difference between an observed or measured value and its true value.

Exposure is the co-occurrence or contact between the endpoint organism and the stressor (e.g., radiation or radionuclides).

Facility means a building, structure, or installation subject to the regulations/standards pertinent to this technical standard.

Forb is an herb other than grass.

Gamma Rays are high-energy electromagnetic photons similar to X-rays. They are highly penetrating and several inches of lead or several feet of concrete are necessary to shield against them.

Geometric Mean is mathematically expressed as the nth root of the product of all values in a set of n values:

$$\bar{\mathbf{X}}_{g} \stackrel{\prime}{} \begin{bmatrix} {}^{n} \\ {}^{j} \\ {}^{i} \\ {}^{i} \end{bmatrix}^{1/n}$$

or as the antilogarithm of the arithmetic mean of the logarithms of all the values of a set of n values:

$$\bar{X}_{g}$$
' antilog $\begin{bmatrix} n & log X_{i} \\ j & log X_{i} \\ \hline n \end{bmatrix}$

The geometric mean is generally used when the logarithms of a set of values are normally distributed, as is the case for much of the monitoring and surveillance data.

Geometric Standard Deviation is mathematically expressed as the antilog of the standard deviation of the logarithms of the measurements:

$$S_{g}' \text{ antilog} \left[\prod_{\substack{j=1\\j'=1}}^{n} \left[\frac{\log X_{i} & \frac{j}{i'-1} & \log X_{i}}{\frac{j'-1}{n}} \right]^{2} \right]^{1/2} X_{j'} \cdot 0$$

Grab Sample is a single sample acquired over a short interval of time.

Herbivore is a plant-eating animal.

Lentic refers to living in or relating to still waters (as lakes, ponds, or swamps).

Lotic refers to living in or relating to actively moving water (as streams or rivers).

Median is the middle value of a set of data when the data are ranked in increasing or decreasing order. If there is an even number of values in the set, the median is the arithmetic average of the two middle values; if the number of values is odd, it is the middle value.

Mode refers to the value occurring most frequently in a data set.

Monitoring is the use of instruments, systems, or special techniques to measure liquid, gaseous, solid, and/or airborne effluents and contaminants.

Nuclide refers to an isotope, either stable or unstable, of any chemical element.

Phylogenetic refers to the evolution of a genetically related group of organisms as distinguished from the development of the individual organism.

Poikilothermic refers to a cold-blooded organism.

Population is an aggregate of individuals of a species within a specified location in space and time.

Proportional Sample is a sample consisting of a known fraction of the original stream.

Quality refers to the totality of features and characteristics of a material, process, product, service, or activity that bears on its ability to satisfy a given purpose.

Quality Assurance (QA) refers to those planned and systematic actions necessary to provide adequate confidence that a measurement represents the sampled population. Quality assurance includes quality control (QC), which comprises all those actions necessary to control and verify the features and characteristics of a material, process, product, or service to specified requirements.

Quality Control (QC) refers to those actions necessary to control and verify the features and characteristics of a material, process, product, service, or activity to specified requirements. The aim of quality control is to provide quality that is satisfactory, adequate, dependable, and economical.

Rad is a unit of absorbed dose of ionizing radiation equal to an energy of 100 ergs per gram of irradiated material.

Radiation (lonizing) refers to alpha particles, beta particles, photons (gamma rays or x-rays), high-energy electrons, and any other particles capable of producing ions.

Radioactive Material refers to any material or combination of materials that contain radionuclides that spontaneously emits ionizing radiation.

Radionuclide is an unstable nuclide that undergoes spontaneous transformation, emitting radiation. There are approximately 2,200 known radionuclides, both man-made and naturally occurring. A radionuclide is identified by the number of neutrons and protons in the atomic nucleus and its half-life.

Random Error refers to variations of repeated measurements made within a sample set that are random in nature and individually not predictable. The causes of random error are assumed to be indeterminate or non-assignable. Random errors are generally assumed to be normally distributed.

Random Samples are samples obtained in such a manner that all items or members of the lot, or population, have an equal chance of being selected in the sample.

Range is the difference between the maximum and minimum values of a set of values.

Relative Biological Effectiveness (RBE) is defined as the ratio of the absorbed dose of a reference radiation (normally gamma rays or X rays) required to produce a level of biological response to the absorbed dose of the radiation of concern required to produce the same level of biological response, all other conditions being kept constant.

Representative Individual is an individual organism within a population that receives a radiation dose which is equivalent to the value of the appropriate measure of central tendency (i.e., mean, median, mode) of the distribution of doses received by that population. The individual is assumed to be representative of the population as a whole.

Representative Sample is a sample taken to depict the characteristics of a lot or population as accurately and precisely as possible. A representative sample may be a "random sample" or a "stratified sample" depending upon the objective of the sampling and the characteristics of the conceptual population.

Riparian Organisms are those organisms related to, living, or located on the bank of a natural watercourse (as a river) or sometimes of a lake or a tidewater.

Safety Factor is a factor applied to an observed or estimated toxic concentration or dose to arrive at a criterion or standard that is considered safe.

Sample has two definitions: 1) A subset or group of objects selected from a larger set, called the "lot" or "population;" and 2) an extracted portion or subset of an effluent stream or environmental media.

Sampling is the extraction of a prescribed portion of an effluent stream or of an environmental medium for purposes of inspection and/or analysis.

Sequential Sampling refers to timed samples collected from an effluent stream.

Site refers to the land or property upon which DOE facilities or activities are located and access to which is subject to Departmental or DOE contractor control.

Source (Radioactive) is either (1) a known amount of radioactive material emanating a characteristic amount of energy in the form of alpha, beta, gamma, neutron, or x-ray emissions (or a combination of such emissions), or (2) a single process or release point that contributes to or causes a release to the environment and that can be separated from other processes by a break in the flow of material.

Standard Deviation is an indication of the dispersion of a set of results around the average of samples collected or the mean of a population; it is the positive square root of the sample variance. For samples taken from a population, the standard deviation, s, is calculated as:

s'
$$\left[\frac{\int_{i=1}^{n} (X_i \& \bar{X})^2}{n \& 1} \right]^{1/2}$$

where \bar{X} = average value of the samples measured;

n = number of samples measured; and

 X_i = individual measurement value for sample I.

For a finite population, the standard deviation (σ) is

$$\sigma \ ' \ \left[\frac{ \substack{j \\ i \ 1 }}{N} (X_i \ \& \ \mu)^2 \right]^{1/2}$$

where F is the mean value of the population and N is the number of values within the population.

Stochastic Effects are those for which the probability of occurrence is a function of dose, but the severity of the effects is independent of dose.

Stratified Sample (Stratified Random Sample) refers to a sample consisting of various portions that have been obtained from identified subparts or subcategories (strata) of the total lot or population. Within each category or stratum, the samples are taken randomly. The objective of taking stratified samples is to obtain a more representative sample than might be obtained by a completely random sampling.

Systematic Error is the condition in which there is a consistent deviation of the results from the actual or true values by a measurement process. The cause for the deviation, or bias, may be known or unknown; however, it is considered "assignable" (i.e., the cause can be reasonably determined).

Terrestrial Biota is plant and animal life living on or in land.

Variability is a general term for the dispersion of values in a data set.

Variance is a measure of the variability of samples within a subset or the entire population. Mathematically, the sample variance (s^2) is the sum of squares of the differences between the individual values of a set and the arithmetic average of the set, divided by one less than the number of values:

$$s^{2} = \frac{\int_{i=1}^{n} (X_{i} \& \bar{X})^{2}}{n \& 1}$$

where X_i = value of sample i;

 \bar{X} = average of samples measured; and

n = number of samples measured.

For a finite population, the variance (σ^2) is the sum of squares of deviations from the arithmetic mean, divided by the number of values in the population:

$$\sigma^{2} - \frac{\int_{i=1}^{N} (X_{i} \& \mu)^{2}}{N}$$

where F is the mean value of the population and N is the number of values within the population.

Acronyms and Abbreviations

λ_{bio}	biological decay constant
$\lambda_{\rm eff}$	the combination of biological and radiological decay constants
λ_{rad}	radiological decay constant
ACRP	Advisory Committee on Radiation Protection
ASTM	American Society for Testing and Materials
B _{iv}	bioaccumulation factor
BCG	Biota Concentration Guide
BDAC	Biota Dose Assessment Committee
CERCLA	Comprehensive Environmental Response, Compensation, and Liability Act
CFR	Code of Federal Regulations
CV	coefficient of variation
D	absorbed dose
н	dose equivalent
DOE	U.S. Department of Energy
DQO	data quality objectives
EE/CA	engineering evaluation/cost analysis
EH	DOE's Office of Environment, Safety, and Health
EMS	Environmental Management System
EPA	U.S. Environmental Protection Agency
IAEA	International Atomic Energy Agency
ICRP	International Commission on Radiological Protection
K _d	solid/solution distribution coefficient
M&O	management and operating (contractor)

- NCRP National Council on Radiation Protection and Measurements
- NEA Nuclear Energy Agency
- NEPA National Environmental Policy Act
- NIST National Institute of Standards and Technology
- NOAEL No Observed Adverse Effects Levels
- NRC U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission
- NRDA Natural Resource Damage Assessment
- PRA population-relevant attribute
- QA quality assurance
- QC quality control
- QF quality factor
- RBE relative biological effectiveness
- RCRA Resource Conservation and Recovery Act
- RI/FS remedial investigation/feasibility study
- UNSCEAR United Nations Scientific Committee on the Effects of Atomic Radiation
- USFWS U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service
- w_{T} tissue or organ weighting factor