



Brendan Casey All Experimenters Meeting 10/09/2006







- Beginning of Run II:
 - Used the Run I constant until calibration was complete.
- January 2004:
 - First attempt at Run II constant put online based on best information available.
- October 2005:
 - Began using new readout electronics that drastically increased info available offline.
- October 2006:
 - New determination of the Run II luminosity scale put on line
 - Luminosity at DØ increases by about 12%.





• Luminosity determined by counting inelastic interactions and normalizing with respect to the inelastic cross section

$$\mathcal{L} = \frac{1}{\sigma_{p\bar{p},\text{eff}}} \frac{dN}{dt} (p\bar{p})$$

• Avoid difficulties of counting multiple interactions by counting zeros:

$$P(n=0) = e^{-\mu}.$$





- Current number is a combination of E811 and CDF measurements at 1.8 TeV scaled to 1.96 TeV
 - S. Klimenko, H. Konigsberg, T. Liss, Fermilab-FN-0741 (2003)
 - $-\sigma = 60.7 + -2.4 \text{ mb}$
- Same number used by DØ and CDF



- Two arrays of forward scintillator. 24 wedges per side each read out with FM PMT
- Inelastic collision identified using coincidence of in-time hits in two arrays





Readout in January 04

1 discriminator per array

Impossible to have high single particle efficiency and not saturate electronics in high mult crossings



high threshold, fake gaps from deadtime

Readout Now

1 discriminator per channel

Sub MIP thresholds, high single particle efficiency



Each PMT discriminated with < 1 MIP thresh T/Q info to L3

low thresholds, almost no deadtime

10/09/2006

AEM, B. Casey





Readout Now

PMT gain now set so that counting rate of inelastic collisions is plateaued

10/09/2006

AEM, B. Casey

BR





Readout in January 2004

One bit for each array

One bit for timing cut

One bit for halo

North	0
South	1
Coincidence	0
Proton halo	1
Antiproton halo	0

Readout Now

All info for all channels for every event: MIP location, thresholds, timing cuts now all in MC



AEM, B. Casey

10/09/2006

8



Ingredients IV: Inelastic acceptance







Ingredients IV: Inelastic acceptance











11













Fit parameters	non-diffractive fraction
final	0.687 ± 0.044
12e30 fit, opposite side mult > 0, 2 bin fit	0.695
14e30 fit, opposite side mult $> 0, 2$ bin fit	0.678
12e30 fit, opposite side mult > 0, 25 bin fit	0.685
12e30 fit, opposite side mult > 1, 25 bin fit	0.690
14e30 fit, opposite side mult > 0 , 25 bin fit	0.658
14e30 fit, opposite side mult > 1, 25 bin fit	0.665
43e30 fit, opposite side mult > 0 , 25 bin fit	0.693
12e30 fit, opposite side mult > 0, 2 bin fit, $f_{DD} = 0$	0.695
12e30 fit, opposite side mult > 0, 2 bin fit, $f_{SD} = 0$	0.706
12e30 fit, opposite side mult > 0, 2 bin fit, 0.3 X_0 added	0.687
Pythia calculation	0.662
E710, E811, CDF measurements	0.723





	default	thresholds	$\operatorname{in-time}$	material	MIP location	light-yield modeling
non-diffractive	0.981 ± 0.001	± 0.003	± 0.001	$\pm 0.006(5)$	$\pm 0.004(4)$	± 0.004
single diffractive	0.330 ± 0.004	± 0.007	± 0.001	$\pm 0.022(25)$	$\pm 0.003(11)$	± 0.001
double diffractive	0.436 ± 0.005	± 0.008	± 0.003	$\pm 0.019(30)$	$\pm 0.014(14)$	± 0.005
inelastic	0.784 ± 0.001	± 0.004	± 0.007	$\pm 0.003(7)$	$\pm 0.005(4)$	± 0.003

non-diffractive efficiency	0.981 ± 0.009			
single diffractive efficiency	0.330 ± 0.024			
double diffractive efficiency	0.436 ± 0.026			
f_{ND}	0.687 ± 0.044			
$f_{SD}/(f_{SD}+f_{DD})$	0.57 ± 0.21			
inelastic efficiency	0.792 ± 0.029			
inelastic cross-section	$60.7\pm2.4~\mathrm{mb}$			
48 mb, ~6% uncertainty				
lum increases by ~12%				

AEM, B. Casey

BROWN UNIVERSITY





- In October 2005 we completed integration of new readout electronic for the DØ luminosity detector
 - Allowed us to increase PMT gains to plateau
 - Provided necessary info into the data to properly calibrate the detector and MC
- Using the new available information, we have updated the DØ luminosity scale
 - $-\sim 12\%$ increase in reported instantaneous luminosity