ENERGY STAR[®] Performance Ratings Technical Methodology for Hotel/Motel

This document presents specific details on the EPA's analytical result and rating methodology for Hotel/Motel. For background on the technical approach to development of the Energy Performance Ratings, refer to *Energy Performance Ratings – Technical Methodology* (http://www.energystar.gov/ia/business/evaluate_performance/General_Overview_tech_methodo logy.pdf). Please note the general technical methodology listed above reflects changes made to the methodology in 2007. The Hotel/Motel model has not yet been revised in light of these changes; therefore some of the information in this description differs slightly.

Model Release Date

April 2002

Portfolio Manager Hotel/Motel Definition

Hotel/Motel applies to buildings that rent overnight accommodations on a room/suite basis, with a bath/shower and other facilities in most guest rooms. The total gross floor area should include all supporting functions such as food preparation and restaurant space, laundry facilities, exercise rooms, health club/spas, lobbies, atria, elevator shafts, stairways, storage areas, etc.

Amenities including meeting and conference facilities, recreational space, and retail establishments should be used to place a Hotel into the appropriate amenity category; these spaces should be included in the total floor area. Hotel/Motel categories currently eligible for benchmarking include: economy, midscale, upscale, and upper upscale. Resort and extended stay categories are not eligible for a rating at this time. These categories are described further in the following section.

Reference Data

The Hotel/Motel regression model is based on data from The Hospitality Research Group's (HRG) Trends in the Hotel Industry® database. This database contains 1999 energy expenditure, energy consumption, and building characteristics data for 2,915 Hotels located throughout the United States. Through careful examination, it was determined that, for the Hotel building type, the 1995 CBECS (Commercial Buildings Expenditures and Consumption Survey 1995, EIA) data was not sufficiently robust to account for the variation associated with the hospitality sector. The HRG data set was determined to be the most robust, representative data set for development of an energy rating model.

Data Filters

Four types of filters are applied to define the peer group for comparison and to overcome any technical limitations in the data: Building Type Filters, EPA Program Filters, Data Limitation Filters, and Analytical Filters. A complete description of each of these categories is provided in Section V of the general technical description document: *Energy Performance Ratings* –

Technical Methodology. **Table 1** presents a summary of each filter applied in the development of the Hotel/Motel model.

The filters applied to distinguish among hotel amenity categories are worthy of some additional discussion. The HRG data contained 2,915 records, each of which was identified as being in one of nine different amenities categories as compiled by Bear Stearns & Company, Incorporated (Bear Stearns). The nine categories were as follows:

- 1. Deluxe (A): Four Seasons, Ritz-Carlton, Select Independents
- 2. Luxury (B): Westin, Sheraton, Omni, Hyatt, Hilton
- 3. Upscale (C): Radisson, Doubletree, Crowne Plaza, Embassy Suites
- 4. Mid-scale with Food and Beverage (D): Holiday Inn, Ramada, Best Western, Sheraton Inn
- 5. Mid-scale without Food and Beverage (E): Comfort Inn, Hampton Inn, Holiday Inn Express, La Quinta
- 6. Economy (F): Days Inn, Fairfield Inn, Red Roof Inn, Travelodge
- 7. Budget (G): Microtel, Motel 6, Econo Lodge, Sleep Inn
- 8. Extended Stay Hi (H): Hawthorn Suites, Woodfin Suites
- 9. Extended Stay Lo (I): Extended Stay America, Crossland

Based on the number of records present for each category, and the types of amenities and operating characteristics affiliated with these categories, EPA redefined these nine categories into six amenity categories. The Deluxe and Luxury Categories were combined into one category, *Upper Upscale*; the Economy and Budget Categories were combined into one category, *Economy*; and the Extended Stay Hi and Extended Stay Lo categories were combined into one category, *Economy*; and the Extended Stay Hi and Extended Stay Lo categories were combined into one category, *Extended Stay*. The purpose of these combinations was to increase the number of observations in each category, while still having Hotels grouped according to the level of amenities offered. Based on a review of the data, the EPA categories provided appropriate groupings of Hotels based on their services. The final EPA categories are:

- 1. Upper Upscale (equivalent to Bear Stearns A and B)
- 2. Upscale (equivalent to Bear Stearns C)
- 3. Mid-scale with Food and Beverage (equivalent to Bear Stearns D)
- 4. Mid-scale without Food and Beverage (equivalent to Bear Stearns E)
- 5. Economy (equivalent to Bear Stearns F and G)
- 6. Extended Stay (equivalent to Bear Stearns H and I)

Ultimately, it was determined that there were not enough observations in the Extended Stay category to generate a statistically meaningful model. Hence, an analytical filter is applied to remove the Extended Stay Hotels from the analysis. Once all filters are applied, there are 705 observations remaining in the analysis.

Table 1 Summary of Hotel/Motel Model Filters					
Condition for Including an Observation in the AnalysisRationale					
HRG Hotel Survey Respondent	Building Filter – In the HRG data set, all buildings are Hotels, therefore the typical Building Filter is not required.				
Must have valid, non-zero, values for energy consumption	Data Limitation Filter – Must have supplied complete energy data in the survey in order to model with regression analysis.				
Must have valid values for all operating characteristics reviewed	Data Limitation Filter – Must have supplied complete operational data in the survey in order to model with regression analysis.				
Cannot be an extended stay Hotel	Analytical Limitation Filter – Due to the limited number of records present, the analysis was not able to model behavior for these facilities.				

Dependent Variable

The dependent variable in the Hotel/Motel analysis is the natural log of annual source energy use (LN(Source Energy)). By setting LN(Source Energy) as the dependent variable, the regressions analyze the key drivers of LN(Source Energy) – those factors that explain the variation in the natural log of source energy consumption in Hotel/Motels.

Independent Variables

The HRG data contain numerous building operation variables that EPA identified as potentially important for Hotel/Motel facilities. These include characteristics such as the total number of hotel rooms, the presence of revenue generating food and beverage, the presence of a health club facility, the Bear Sterns category, and the number of heating and cooling degree days. Specifically excluded from the HRG database are exact figures on building size. Instead, an estimate of building size for each record is provided. These estimates were not used in the analysis.

EPA performed extensive review on all of these operational characteristics. In addition to reviewing each characteristic individually, characteristics were reviewed in combination with each other. As part of the analysis, some variables were reformatted to reflect the physical relationships of building components. Based on analytical results and residual plots, variables were also examined using different transformations (such as the natural logarithm). The analyses consisted of multiple regression formulations. These analyses were structured to find the combination of statistically significant operating characteristics that explained the greatest amount of variance in the dependent variable: LN(Source Energy).

In addition to reviewing various combinations of operating characteristics, EPA also explored the available hotel amenity categories. This included an evaluation of all 705 observations in the filtered data set together, with dummy variables to identify each amenity category, as well as separate regressions for each amenity category. Ultimately, it was determined that separate statistical models for each amenity category offered superior results. EPA was able to develop statistically significant models for each amenity category except for the Extended Stay category.

Across all of the models evaluated and developed, some or all of the following three characteristics were identified as the key explanatory variables that can be used to estimated the expected LN(Source Energy) in a Hotel or Motel:

- Natural log of number of hotel rooms
- Presence of a revenue-generating food and beverage and/or banquet facility
- Natural log of total heating and cooling degree-days

Regression Modeling Results

The final regressions are ordinary least squares regressions performed across each hotel amenity group. There are five final regression models, one for each of the EPA amenity categories, with the exception of Extended Stay. The dependent variable in each of these regression analyses is LN(Source Energy). Basic statistics for the final sets of independent variables in the models are provided in **Table 2**. The final models are presented in **Tables 3 through 7**.

In general the models have strong explanatory powers, with R-squared values ranging from 0.60 to 0.88. These values mean that the models explain 60% to 88% of the variation in the natural log of source energy use within each hotel amenity category. Moreover, in each of the five regressions the independent variables used in the analysis are significant with 90% confidence or better (a p-level of less than 0.10 indicates 90% confidence). Overall, these are excellent results for statistically based energy models.

Detailed information on the ordinary least squares regression approach and the methodology for performing weather adjustments is available in the technical document: *Energy Performance Ratings – Technical Methodology*.

Table 2 Descriptive Statistics for Variables Used in Regression Models					
Variable	Full Variable Name	Mean	Minimum	Maximum	
Upper Upse	ale regression variables		L	L	
LnSource	Natural Log of Source Energy Use	17.97	15.03	19.89	
LnRooms	Natural Log of the Number of Rooms	5.83	3.14	7.79	
FoodFac	Presence of an on-site cooking facility	0.94	0	1.00	
Upscale reg	ression variables				
LnSource	Natural Log of Source Energy Use	17.59	15.35	20.10	
LnRooms	Natural Log of the Number of Rooms	5.59	3.93	7.55	
FoodFac	Presence of an on-site cooking facility	0.86	0	1.00	
LnDD Natural Log of the Sum of Heating Degree			7.69	9.55	
Mid-scale w	with Food and Beverage regression variables				
LnSource	Natural Log of Source Energy Use	17.12	15.41	18.46	
LnRooms	Natural Log of the Number of Rooms	5.36	4.17	6.48	
LnDD	Natural Log of the Sum of Heating Degree Days and Cooling Degree Days	8.61	7.69	9.27	
Mid-scale w	vithout Food and Beverage regression variable.	5			
LnSource	Natural Log of Source Energy Use	16.23	15.49	17.85	
LnRooms	Natural Log of the Number of Rooms	4.81	3.83	5.74	
LnDD	Natural Log of the Sum of Heating Degree Days and Cooling Degree Days	8.61	7.69	9.07	
Economy re	gression variables				
LnSource	Natural Log of Source Energy Use	15.66	13.77	18.25	
FoodFac	Presence of an on-site cooking facility	0.08	0	1.00	
LnRooms	Natural Log of the Number of Rooms	4.44	3.22	6.52	
LnDD	Natural Log of the Sum of Heating Degree Days and Cooling Degree Days	8.46	7.69	9.28	

Table 3						
Uppe	Upper Upscale Regression Modeling Results					
Dependent Variable LN(Source Energy)				ergy)		
Number of Observations in	Analysis		102			
Model R^2 value	0.8422					
Model F Statistic		264.100				
Model Significance (p-leve	l)	0.000				
	Unstandardized	Standard	T voluo	Significance		
	Coefficients	Error	1 value	(p-level)		
(Constant)	11.87840	0.271379	43.77046	0.0000		
LNROOMS	0.942549	0.054610	17.25965	0.0000		
FOODFAC	0.633806	0.206259	3.072871	0.0027		

Table 4 Upscale Regression Modeling Results					
Dependent Variable LN(Source Energy)				ergy)	
Number of Observations in	Analysis		275		
Model R^2 value			0.8692		
Model F Statistic			600.3553		
Model Significance (p-leve	l)	0.000			
	Unstandardized Coefficients	Standard Error	Significance (p-level)		
(Constant)	8.034322	0.524355	15.32229	0.0000	
LNROOMS	1.217668	0.032832 37.08838 0.0000			
FOODFAC	0.156245	0.052644 2.967961 0.0033			
LNDD	0.307686	0.056955	5.402245	0.0000	

Table 5					
Mid-scale with	Food and Beverage	e Regression I	Modeling Re	esults	
Dependent Variable LN(Source Energy)				ergy)	
Number of Observations in	Analysis		83		
Model R^2 value		0.6889			
Model F Statistic			88.6056		
Model Significance (p-leve	l)	0.000			
	Unstandardized Coefficients	d Standard T value Signific Error (p-lev			
(Constant)	8.598854	1.214071	7.082660	0.0000	
LNROOMS	1.024112	0.063556	16.11366	0.0000	
LNDD	0.357193	0.125492	2.846332	0.0056	

Table 6					
Mid-scale without	it Food and Bevera	ge Regression	n Modeling l	Results	
Dependent Variable LN(Source Energy)				ergy)	
Number of Observations in	Analysis		159		
Model R^2 value	0.6017				
Model F Statistic		117.8291			
Model Significance (p-leve	l)	0.000			
	Unstandardized Coefficients	d Standard T value Signifi Error (p-le			
(Constant)	9.497230	0.893935	10.62408	0.0000	
LNROOMS	1.121501	0.091615	12.24144	0.0000	
LNDD	0.155445	0.093088	1.669869	0.0970	

Table 7 Economy Regression Modeling Results					
Dependent Variable LN(Source Energy)				ergy)	
Number of Observations in	Analysis		86		
Model R ² value			0.8793		
Model F Statistic			199.1520		
Model Significance (p-level	l)	0.000			
	Unstandardized Coefficients	Standard Error	Significance (p-level)		
(Constant)	7.728508	1.178948	6.555430	0.0000	
LNROOMS	0.933250	0.057038 16.36197 0.0000			
FOODFAC	0.466603	0.119286	3.911619	0.0002	
LNDD	0.448884	0.133234	3.369141	0.0012	

Hotel/Motel Lookup Table

The final regression models (presented in **Tables 3 through 7**) yield predictions of LN(Source Energy) based on a building's operating constraints. Some buildings in the HRG data sample use more energy than predicted by the regression equation, while others use less. The *actual* value of LN(Source Energy) for each HRG observation is divided by its *predicted value* for LN(Source Energy) to calculate an energy efficiency ratio:

Energy Efficiency Ratio = Actual LN(Source Energy) / Predicted LN(Source Energy)

A lower efficiency ratio indicates that a building uses less energy than predicted, and consequently is more efficient. A higher efficiency ratio indicates the opposite. For each building, the ratio is expressed in terms of a normalized LN(Source Energy) to represent the value for LN(Source Energy) that the building would have if it were average. This *normalized energy use* is obtained by multiplying the efficiency ratio by the mean value of LN(Source Energy)¹:

Normalized LN(Source Energy) = Energy Efficiency Ratio * mean LN(Source Energy)

For each hotel amenity category (i.e. for each regression data sample), the normalized LN(Source Energy) values are sorted from smallest to largest and the cumulative percent of the population at each energy value is computed. A smooth curve is fitted to the data using a two parameter gamma distribution. The fit is performed in order to minimize the sum of squared differences between each building's actual percent rank in the population and each building's percent rank with the gamma solution. The fit is performed with the constraint that the gamma value of LN(Source Energy) at a rating of 75 must equal the actual value of LN(Source Energy) at 75. These fits yield five gamma curves: one to describe the distribution of energy efficiency for each of the five hotel amenity categories.

¹ The mean value of LN(Source) is evaluated separately for each regression, across the corresponding hotel amenity category. These values are presented in Table 2.

For each hotel amenity category, the final gamma shape and scale parameters are used to calculate the normalized LN(Source Energy) value at each percentile (1 to 100) along the curve. For example, the normalized LN(Source Energy) value on the gamma curve at 1% corresponds to a rating of 99; only 1% of the population has a value this small or smaller. The normalized LN(Source Energy) value on the gamma curve at the value of 25% will correspond to the normalized LN(Source Energy) value for a rating of 75; only 25% of the population has normalized LN(Source Energy) values this small or smaller. Complete lookup tables for each hotel amenity category are presented at the end of the document. In order to read these tables, note that for an Upper Upscale Hotel (see **Table 9**) if the normalized LN(Source Energy) value is less than 17.044 the rating for that building should be 100. If the normalized LN(Source Energy) value is greater than or equal to 17.184 and less than 17.044, the rating for the building should be 99, etc.

Example Calculation

Below are the five steps to compute a rating for a hypothetical Hotel/Motel, using the Upscale Hotel Model. Note that these steps are slightly different than those outlined in the document *Energy Performance Ratings – Technical Methodology*, which reflects changes made to the methodology in 2007. The Hotel/Motel models have not yet been revised in light of these changes (departures from the current methodology are described in footnotes).

Step 1 – User enters building data into Portfolio Manager

For the purpose of this example, sample data is provided.

- Energy data
 - \circ Total annual electricity = 3,010,000 kWh
 - Total annual natural gas = 65,000 therms
 - Note that this data is actually entered in monthly meter entries
- Operational data
 - Hotel amenity category = Upscale Hotel
 - Gross floor area $(ft^2) = 400,000$
 - Number of rooms = 360
 - Presence of on-site cooking and food preparation = yes (1)
 - HDD (provided by Portfolio Manager, based on zip code) = 1000
 - CDD (provided by Portfolio Manager, based on zip code) = 2070

<u>Step 2 – Portfolio Manager computes the actual value for the natural log of Source Energy Use</u>² In order to compute actual Source Energy Use, Portfolio Manager must convert each fuel from the specified units (e.g. kWh) into Site kBtu, and must convert from Site kBtu to Source kBtu.

- Convert the meter data entries into site kBtu
 - Electricity: (3,010,000 kWh)*(3.412 kBtu/kWh) = 10,270,120 kBtu Site
 - Natural gas: (65,000 therms)*(100 kBtu/therm) = 6,500,000 kBtu Site
- Apply the site-to-source conversion factors to compute the source energy

 Electricity:

² Note that for models revised in 2007 or later, this step computes the actual source energy use intensity.

10,270,120 Site kBtu*(3.34 Source kBtu/ Site kBtu) = 34,302,201 kBtu Source

- Natural gas:
 - 6,500,000 Site kBtu*(1.047 Source kBtu/Site kBtu) = 6,805,500 kBtu Source
- Combine source kBtu across all fuels
 - o 34,302,201 kBtu + 6,805,500 kBtu = 41,107,701 kBtu
- Take the natural log of total source energy consumption
 - LN (41,107,701 kBtu) = 17.532

<u>Step 3 – Portfolio Manager computes the predicted natural log of Source Energy Use³</u>

Portfolio Manager uses the building data entered in Step 1 to compute the predicted energy consumption of the building with the given operational constraints.

- Compute each variable in the model
 - Use the operating characteristic values to compute each variable in the model. e.g. LN(Rooms) = LN(360) = 5.886104
- Multiply each variable by the corresponding coefficient in the model
 o e.g. Coefficient * LN(Rooms) = 1.217668*5.886104 = 7.167
- Sum each product (i.e. coefficient*variable) from the preceding step and add to the constant
 - This yields a predicted LN(Source Energy) of 17.828
- This calculation is summarized in **Table 8**

<u>Step 4 – Portfolio Manager computes the normalized LN(Source Energy) value⁴</u>

The actual and predicted values for LN(Source Energy) are used to compute the energy efficiency ratio, which is converted into a normalized LN(Source Energy).

- Compute the energy efficiency ratio
 - Energy efficiency ratio =
 - Actual LN(Source Energy) / Predicted LN(Source Energy)
 - o 17.532 / 17.828 = 0.9834
- Compute the normalized LN(Source Energy)
 - Normalized LN(Source Energy) =
 - Energy Efficiency Ratio * Mean LN(Source Energy)
 - Mean LN(Source Energy) for Upscale Hotels is provided in **Table 2** = 17.59
 - o 0.9834* 17.59 = 17.298

³ Note that for models revised in 2007 or later, this step computes the predicted source energy use intensity.

⁴ Note that for models revised in 2007 or later, this step compute the energy efficiency ratio.

<u>Step 5 – Portfolio Manager looks up the Normalized LN(Source Energy) in the Lookup Table⁵</u> Starting at 100 and working down, Portfolio Manager searches the lookup table for Upscale Hotels (**Table 10**) for the first normalized LN(Source Energy) value that is larger than the computed normalized LN(Source Energy) for the building.

- An adjusted value of 17.298 is less than 17.314 (requirement for 90) and greater than 17.294 (requirement for 91).
- The rating is a 90

Table 8							
Example Calculation – Computing predicted LN(Source Energy)							
Operating Characteristic	Variable Value	Coefficient	Coefficient * Variable				
(Constant)	N/A	8.034322	8.034				
LnRooms	5.886104	1.217668	7.167				
LnDD	8.029433	0.307686	2.471				
FoodFac	1	0.156245	0.156				
Predicted LN	17.828						

⁵ Note that for models revised in 2007 or later, this step looks up the energy efficiency ratio in the lookup table.

Attachment

Tables 9 through 13 list the normalized LN(Source Energy) cut-off point for each rating for each category, from 1 to 100.

	Table 9 Lookup Table for Hotel/Motel Upper Upscale Rating						
Rating	Cumulative Percent	Normalized LN(Source Energy)	Rating	Cumulative Percent	Normalized LN(Source Energy)		
100	0%	17.044	50	50%	18.064		
99	1%	17.184	49	51%	18.074		
98	2%	17.264	48	52%	18.084		
97	3%	17.324	47	53%	18.094		
96	4%	17.374	46	54%	18.104		
95	5%	17.414	45	55%	18.114		
94	6%	17.454	44	56%	18.124		
93	7%	17.484	43	57%	18.134		
92	8%	17.514	42	58%	18.144		
91	9%	17.544	41	59%	18.154		
90	10%	17.564	40	60%	18.164		
89	11%	17.584	39	61%	18.174		
88	12%	17.604	38	62%	18.184		
87	13%	17.624	37	63%	18.189		
86	14%	17.644	36	64%	18.198		
85	15%	17.664	35	65%	18.204		
84	16%	17.684	34	66%	18.214		
83	17%	17.694	33	67%	18.224		
82	18%	17.714	32	68%	18.234		
81	19%	17.724	31	69%	18.244		
80	20%	17.744	30	70%	18.254		
79	21%	17.754	29	71%	18.264		
78	22%	17.774	28	72%	18.274		
77	23%	17.784	27	73%	18.294		
76	24%	17.794	26	74%	18.304		
75	25%	17.814	25	75%	18.314		
74	26%	17.824	24	76%	18.324		
73	27%	17.834	23	77%	18.334		
72	28%	17.844	22	78%	18.344		
71	29%	17.854	21	79%	18.354		
70	30%	17.864	20	80%	18.374		
69	31%	17.884	19	81%	18.384		
68	32%	17.894	18	82%	18.394		
67	33%	17.904	17	83%	18.404		
66	34%	17.914	16	84%	18.424		
65	35%	17.924	15	85%	18.434		
64	36%	17.934	14	86%	18.454		
63	37%	17.944	13	87%	18.464		
62	38%	17.954	12	88%	18.484		
61	39%	17.964	11	89%	18.504		
60	40%	17.974	10	90%	18.524		
59	41%	17.984	9	91%	18.544		
58	42%	17.994	8	92%	18.564		
57	43%	18.004	7	93%	18.584		
56	44%	18.014	6	94%	18.614		
55	45%	18.024	5	95%	18.644		
54	46%	18.034	4	96%	18.684		
53	47%	18.044	3	97%	18.734		
52	48%	18.054	2	98%	18.814		
51	49%	18.054	1	99%	19.024		

	Table 10 Lookup Table for Hotel/Motel Upscale Rating							
Rating	Cumulative Percent	Normalized LN(Source Energy)	Rating	Cumulative	Normalized			
100	0%	16 944	50	50%	17 688			
99	1%	17.034	49	51%	17.694			
98	2%	17.094	48	52%	17.699			
97	3%	17.144	47	53%	17 708			
96	4%	17.174	46	54%	17.700			
95	5%	17.204	45	55%	17 719			
94	6%	17.201	44	56%	17.728			
93	7%	17.254	43	57%	17.720			
92	8%	17.234	42	58%	17 744			
91	9%	17.274	41	59%	17 749			
90	10%	17.294	40	60%	17.758			
89	11%	17.314	39	61%	17.750			
88	12%	17.324	38	62%	17.769			
87	1270	17.344	37	63%	17.709			
86	13%	17.334	36	64%	17.7784			
85	14 /0	17.374	35	65%	17.704			
83	15%	17.304	33	66%	17.794			
83	17%	17.394	34	67%	17.799			
83	1770	17.414	33	68%	17.808			
02 81	10%	17.424	32	60%	17.014			
80	2004	17.434	20	70%	17.824			
80 70	20%	17.444	30	70%	17.034			
79	21%	17.434	29	71%	17.839			
78	22%	17.404	20	72%	17.040			
76	23%	17.474	27	73%	17.034			
70	2470	17.404	20	74%	17.804			
73	25%	17.494	23	75%	17.074			
74	20%	17.504	24	70%	17.880			
73	2770	17.509	23	77%	17.009			
72	20%	17.518	22	78%	17.090			
70	30%	17.524	20	80%	17.004			
60	31%	17.534	10	80% 81%	17.914			
68	31%	17.544	19	82%	17.924			
67	3270	17.550	17	82%	17.934			
66	340%	17.559	17	83%	17.944			
65	35%	17.508	10	85%	17.074			
64	36%	17.574	13	85%	17.974			
63	30%	17.504	14	80%	17.984			
62	3770	17.594	13	8770	17.394			
61	30%	17.599	12	80%	18.004			
60	40%	17.614	10	00%	18.024			
50	40%	17.014	0	90% Q10/	18.054			
59	4170	17.019	9 Q	9170 Q204	18.054			
57	+270 A20/	17.020	0	9270 030/	10.004			
56	4370	17.034	6	93% Q/04	18 11/			
55	450/	17.044	5	Q504	18 12/			
51	46%	17.047		96%	18 16/			
52	40%	17.030	4	90% Q70/	18 204			
52	4/20/	17.004	2	080%	18 274			
51	49%	17.679	1	99%	18.444			

Table 11 Lookup Table for Hotel/Motel Midscale w/ Food and Beverage Rating						
Rating	Cumulative	Normalized I N(Source Energy)		Rating	Cumulative	Normalized
100	0%	16 574		50	50%	17 248
99	1%	16.654		49	51%	17.240
98	2%	16 714		48	52%	17.254
97	3%	16.714		40	53%	17.250
96	<u> </u>	16.734		46	54%	17.204
95	4 /0 5%	16.804		40	55%	17.20)
93	5%	16.834		43	56%	17.278
94	70/	16.054		44	57%	17.204
93	7 70	16.834		43	5804	17.209
92	8% 0%	10.874		42	50%	17.298
91	9% 10%	10.884		41	<u> </u>	17.304
90	10%	16.904		40	60%	17.309
89	11%	16.914		29	61%	17.318
88	12%	16.934		38	62%	17.324
8/	13%	16.944		3/	63%	17.329
86	14%	16.954		36	64%	17.338
85	15%	16.974		35	65%	17.344
84	16%	16.984		34	66%	17.349
83	17%	16.994		33	67%	17.358
82	18%	17.004		32	68%	17.364
81	19%	17.014		31	69%	17.374
80	20%	17.024		30	70%	17.379
79	21%	17.034		29	71%	17.388
78	22%	17.044		28	72%	17.394
77	23%	17.054		27	73%	17.404
76	24%	17.059		26	74%	17.409
75	25%	17.068		25	75%	17.418
74	26%	17.074		24	76%	17.424
73	27%	17.084		23	77%	17.434
72	28%	17.094		22	78%	17.444
71	29%	17.099		21	79%	17.449
70	30%	17.108		20	80%	17.458
69	31%	17.114		19	81%	17.464
68	32%	17.124		18	82%	17.474
67	33%	17.129		17	83%	17.484
66	34%	17.138		16	84%	17.494
65	35%	17.144		15	85%	17.504
64	36%	17.154		14	86%	17.514
63	37%	17.159		13	87%	17.534
62	38%	17.168		12	88%	17.544
61	39%	17.174		11	89%	17.554
60	40%	17.179		10	90%	17.564
59	41%	17.188		9	91%	17.584
58	42%	17.194		8	92%	17.594
57	43%	17.199		7	93%	17.614
56	44%	17.208		6	94%	17.634
55	45%	17.214		5	95%	17.664
54	46%	17.219		4	96%	17.694
53	47%	17.228		3	97%	17.724
52	48%	17.234		2	98%	17.784
51	49%	17.239		1	99%	17.944

Table 12 Lookup Table for Hotel/Motel Midscale w/o Food and Beverage Rating							
Rating	Cumulative	Normalized		Rating	Cumulative	Normalized	
100	0%	15.674		50	50%	16 324	
90	1%	15 754		10	51%	16.324	
99	1 70	15.754		49	52%	16.326	
98	2.70	15.014		40	52%	16 220	
97	<u> </u>	15.874		47	54%	16.348	
90	470	15.004		40	5504	16 254	
93	5%	15.024		43	56%	16 250	
94	0%	15.924		44	570/	16.339	
93	7 %	15.944		43	590/	16.308	
92	8% 0%	15.904		42	50%	16.374	
91	9% 10%	15.904		41	59%	16.376	
90	10%	15.994		40	60%	10.384	
89	11%	16.014		29	61%	16.389	
88	12%	16.024		38	62%	16.398	
8/	13%	16.034		31	63%	16.404	
86	14%	16.044		36	64%	16.409	
85	15%	16.054		35	65%	16.418	
84	16%	16.074		34	66%	16.424	
83	17%	16.084		33	67%	16.429	
82	18%	16.094		32	68%	16.438	
81	19%	16.099		31	69%	16.444	
80	20%	16.108		30	70%	16.449	
79	21%	16.114		29	71%	16.458	
78	22%	16.124		28	72%	16.464	
77	23%	16.134		27	73%	16.474	
76	24%	16.144		26	74%	16.479	
75	25%	16.154		25	75%	16.488	
74	26%	16.159		24	76%	16.494	
73	27%	16.168		23	77%	16.504	
72	28%	16.174		22	78%	16.509	
71	29%	16.184		21	79%	16.518	
70	30%	16.189		20	80%	16.524	
69	31%	16.198		19	81%	16.534	
68	32%	16.204		18	82%	16.544	
67	33%	16.214		17	83%	16.554	
66	34%	16.219		16	84%	16.564	
65	35%	16.228		15	85%	16.574	
64	36%	16.234		14	86%	16.584	
63	37%	16.239		13	87%	16.594	
62	38%	16.248		12	88%	16.604	
61	39%	16.254		11	89%	16.624	
60	40%	16.259		10	90%	16.634	
59	41%	16.268		9	91%	16.644	
58	42%	16.272		8	92%	16.664	
57	43%	16.278		7	93%	16.684	
56	44%	16.284		6	94%	16.704	
55	45%	16.289		5	95%	16.724	
54	46%	16.298		4	96%	16.754	
53	47%	16.304		3	97%	16.784	
52	48%	16.309		2	98%	16.844	
51	49%	16.318		1	99%	16.994	

Table 13 Lookup Table for Hotel/Motel Economy and Budget Rating					
Rating	Cumulative	Normalized	Rating	Cumulative	Normalized
100	0%	15 074	50	50%	15 749
99	1%	15.154	49	51%	15 758
98	2%	15 214	49	52%	15.756
97	3%	15.214	40	53%	15.768
96	4%	15 284	46	54%	15.700
95	5%	15 314	45	55%	15.779
94	5% 6%	15 334	43	56%	15.779
03	7%	15 354	44	57%	15.788
92	8%	15.334	42	58%	15.704
91	9%	15 30/	42	50%	15.808
90	10%	15.404	40	60%	15.814
90 80	110%	15.404	30	61%	15.810
89	1170	15.424	39	62%	15.828
87	1270	15.434	38	63%	15.826
86	13%	15.444	37	64%	15.830
85	14%	15.404	30	65%	15.039
83	15%	15.4/4	33	66%	15.040
04 92	10%	15.464	22	670/	15.654
83	1/%	15.494	33	07%	15.839
82	18%	15.504	32	68%	15.868
81	19%	15.514	31	69% 70%	15.8/4
80	20%	15.524	30	70%	15.884
79	21%	15.534	29	/1%	15.889
/8	22%	15.544	28	72%	15.898
11	23%	15.554	27	73%	15.904
/6	24%	15.564	26	74%	15.914
/5	25%	15.574	25	/5%	15.919
74	26%	15.579	24	/6%	15.928
73	27%	15.588	23	//%	15.934
72	28%	15.594	22	/8%	15.944
/1	29%	15.004	21	/9%	15.954
/0	30%	15.009	20	80%	15.964
69	31%	15.618	19	81%	15.9/4
08	32%	15.024	18	82%	15.984
6/	33%	15.634	1/	83%	15.994
<u> </u>	34%	15.039	10	84%	16.004
65	35%	15.048	15	85%	16.014
64	36%	15.654	14	86%	16.024
63	37%	15.664	13	8/%	16.034
62	38%	15.669	12	88%	16.044
61	39%	15.0/8	10	89%	16.054
60	40%	15.684	10	90%	16.0/4
59	41%	15.689	9	91%	16.084
58	42%	15.698	8	92%	16.104
5/	43%	15.704	1	93%	16.124
56	44%	15.709	6	94%	16.144
55	45%	15./18	5	95%	16.164
54	46%	15./24	4	96%	16.194
53	4/%	15.729	3	9/%	16.234
52	48%	15.738	2	98%	16.294
51	49%	15.744	1	99%	16.454