

**MARINE AGGREGATE MINING
BENTHIC & SURFACE PLUME STUDY**

FINAL REPORT

To:

**UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF THE INTERIOR
MINERALS MANAGEMENT SERVICE**

&

**PLUME RESEARCH GROUP
(ARC Marine Ltd)
(South Coast Shipping Company Ltd)
(United Marine Dredging Ltd)
(HR Wallingford Ltd)**

MMS OCS STUDY NUMBER 99-0029

PREPARED BY:

**COASTLINE SURVEYS LIMITED
BRIDGEND FARMHOUSE, DOWNTON ROAD
BRIDGEND, GLOUCESTERSHIRE, GL10 2AX**

TEL: +44 (0) 1453 826772 FAX: +44 (0) 1453 826762

ABSTRACT

A comprehensive and authoritative study of sediment plumes generated by marine aggregate mining operations in the UK has been completed. A thorough literature review has identified, with some notable exceptions, a paucity of conclusive information applicable to the marine aggregate mining industry in general, and in particular to the UK situation. Information is more widespread for other forms of dredging activities but is shown to be largely inappropriate for general application to most marine aggregate mining scenarios.

Extensive sampling campaigns have determined the sediment source terms of benthic and surface plumes. These support earlier (unpublished) results and are corroborated by other contemporary studies world-wide. Marine aggregate mining vessels currently working in the UK are shown to return, as overspill and unwanted screened material, between 0.2 and 5 times the cargo load. Further, the importance of detailed prospecting and reserve evaluation data is reinforced for predicting the likely magnitude and variation of such overboard returns.

Baseline measurements of the range of increased turbidity that may be generated have been obtained. A total of 162 Continuous Backscatter Profiling (CBP) transects across plumes have been recorded and post-processed using in-house programs. CBP transects show the bulk of the plume settling out of the water column (or, more strictly, settling to within 1.5m of the seabed) within 300m (sands) to 500m (silts) downstream. This corresponds to a time period of 10-15 minutes since release. Coarse sands (> 2mm) and gravels settle out virtually instantaneously. We propose that the far field visible 'plume' extending beyond the boundaries of measured suspended sediment load discernible above background conditions is an organic admixture of fats, lipids and carbohydrates agitated by the dredging process and with little sediment content.

We recommend as Best Practice that assessments of plumes from dredging operations are founded on pertinent, well designed sampling and testing programmes. We have shown there are significant productivity gains through the competent use of Continuous Backscatter Profiling (CBP) techniques with precise navigational control to effectively track and delimit the plume boundaries. This significantly improves confidence in the interpretation of results as representative of the maxima and minima conditions.

The development of a benthic plume by the hydrodynamic and physical interactions of the draghead on the seabed has been firmly established by the present work. We have shown however, using underwater imaging, suspensate sampling from around the draghead and CBP techniques, that the magnitude of the draghead plume is minor in comparison with the surface plume. Contribution of the overboard returns to the suspended load is 4-5 orders of magnitude greater than from the draghead. It is considered that the impact of the draghead plume may be deemed negligible in comparison to any surface plume effects.

Hopper overflow and the screening process is thus of dominant importance in the establishment of dispersive plumes from marine aggregate mining. Plume excursion is dependent on (among other factors) the total quantity of sediment rejected, the particle size of the sediments and tidal current velocity. Further, the rate and manner of overboard return is important in defining the initial stages of plume descent as a density current (Dynamic Phase) which subsequently controls the location and quantity of material available for conventional advection and dispersion (Passive Phase). Our work confirms that, as a general principle, the rate of deposition of material from the dispersing plume is much faster than would be assumed from conventional Gaussian diffusion models and that sedimentation is largely confined to distances of a few hundred metres from the point of discharge.

Importantly this suggests that the impact of dredging on benthic biological resources may be confined to the immediate vicinity of the dredged area. Little is known of the impact within Licence areas worked commercially, in the surrounding deposits, nor of the rate of recovery following cessation of dredging. Of particular interest is the possible impact of organic material released into the water column which may play an important role in the (well-documented) enhancement of secondary production in deposits surrounding dredged areas. This requires further investigation both as part of our understanding of plumes associated with marine aggregate mining and with establishing the impact on biological food webs leading to commercially exploitable fish stocks.

STATEMENT

“This Study was funded jointly by the Minerals Management Service, U.S. Department of the Interior, Washington, D.C. under Contract Number 14-35-0001-30763 and the following U.K. Marine Aggregate Mining Companies: ARC Marine Ltd; South Coast Shipping Company Ltd; United Marine Dredging Ltd; and the Consultancy HR Wallingford. Herein collectively known as the **Plume Research Group**”.

Compiled By:

D R Hitchcock B.Sc., Ph.D. A.R.I.C.S.
(Coastline Surveys Ltd)

with specialist contributions by:

R C Newell B.Sc., Ph.D., D.Sc.(Lond)
L J Seiderer B.Sc., Ph.D.
(Marine Ecological Surveys Ltd)

“This Final Report has been reviewed by the **Minerals Management Service** and the **Plume Research Group** Members and has been approved for publication. Approval does not signify that the contents necessarily reflect the views and policies of the Service or the Group, nor does mention of trade names or commercial products constitute endorsement or recommendation for use.”

The correct citation for this report is;

Hitchcock, D.R., Newell, R.C. & Seiderer, L.J. “Investigation of Benthic and Surface Plumes associated with Marine Aggregate Mining in the United Kingdom – Final Report”.
Contract Report for the U.S. Department of the Interior, Minerals Management Service.
Contract Number 14-35-0001-30763. **Coastline Surveys Ltd Ref. 98-555-03 (Final)**

Not to be cited without the permission of the Minerals Management Service or Coastline Surveys Ltd

Full Acknowledgement to be Accredited

ABSTRACT

STATEMENT

CONTENTS

LIST OF FIGURES

LIST OF TABLES

LIST OF PLATES

CONTENTS

SECTION 1 - INTRODUCTION

- 1.1 Project Background
- 1.2 Project Purpose
- 1.3 Project Approach & Objectives
- 1.4 Project Methodology
- 1.5 Report Structure

SECTION 2 - PLUMES: SOURCES, TRANSPORT & FATE

- 2.1 The Importance Of Plumes
- 2.2 Aggregate Dredging Methods
 - 2.2.1 Trailing Suction Hopper Dredges
 - 2.2.2 Anchor Dredging
- 2.3 Key Terminology
- 2.4 Plume Sources
 - 2.4.1 Benthic Plumes
 - 2.4.2 Surface Plumes
- 2.5 Plume Transport
 - 2.5.1 Advection Of Cohesive Sediments
 - 2.5.2 Diffusion Of Cohesive Sediments
 - 2.5.3 Transport Of Sand
- 2.6 Plume Settlement
 - 2.6.1 Settling Of Cohesive Sediments
 - 2.6.2 Settling Of Sand

SECTION 3 - INVESTIGATING PLUMES

- 3.1 Variability Of Natural Systems
- 3.2 Measurements Of Turbidity
 - 3.2.1 Optical Transmissometer
 - 3.2.2 Optical Backscatter
 - 3.2.3 Acoustic Backscatter
- 3.3 Measurements Of Suspended Solids Concentrations
 - 3.3.1 Water Sampling
 - 3.3.2 Gravimetric Analysis
 - 3.3.3 Particle Size Distribution

- 3.3.4 Acoustic Backscatter
- 3.3.5 Aerial Photography
- 3.3.6 Satellite Imagery
- 3.3.7 Seabed Landers
- 3.4 Monitoring Strategies
 - 3.4.1 Continuous Backscatter Profiling

SECTION 4 - RESULTS OF OUR INVESTIGATIONS

- 4.1 Phase One - Surface Plume Source Terms
 - 4.1.1 Overspill Volume
 - 4.1.2 Volume Returned Overboard Due To Screening
 - 4.1.3 Granulometric Distributions Of The Overspill Sediments
 - 4.1.4 Granulometric Distributions Of The Reject Chute Sediments
 - 4.1.5 Dredging Scenario: Screening For Stone Cargo Using Large Trailing Suction Hopper Dredger Of 'A' Class Type
 - 4.1.6 Variance Of Data
 - 4.1.7 Summary Of Source Term Observations
- 4.2 Phase Two - Monitoring Plume Generation & Decay
 - 4.2.1 Monitoring Methodology
 - 4.2.2 Owers Bank, August 1995
 - 4.2.2.1 *Tidal Regime*
 - 4.2.2.2 *General Appearance Of Plume*
 - 4.2.2.3 *Plume Sampling*
 - 4.2.2.4 *Background Conditions*
 - 4.2.2.5 *Plume Generated By The ARCO Severn, 19th August 1995*
 - 4.2.2.6 *Plume Generated By The ARCO Severn, 20th August 1995*
 - 4.2.2.7 *Draghead Plume Generated By The ARCO Severn, 20th August 1995*
 - 4.2.2.8 *Plume Generated By The Geopotes XIV, 20th August 1995*
 - 4.2.2.9 *Plume Generated By The City Of Rochester, 21st August 1995*
 - 4.2.2.10 *Multiple Plumes Generated By The ARCO Severn & Geopotes XIV, 21st August 1995*
 - 4.2.2.11 *Plume Generated By The Geopotes XIV, 21st August 1995*
 - 4.2.2.12 *Overspill Samples Obtained From The ARCO Severn, 19/20th August 1995*
 - 4.2.2.13 *Particle Size Distribution Of Plume Samples Obtained During Monitoring Campaign, 19/20/21st August 1995*
 - 4.2.3 Discussions Of The August 1995 Owers Bank Observations
- 4.3 Phase Three - Benthic Plume Source Terms
 - 4.3.1 Benthic Plume Sediments
 - 4.3.2 Plume Generated By The ARCO Dee, 15th January 1997
 - 4.3.3 Discussion Of The Benthic Plume Observations
- 4.4 Consideration Of The Continuous Backscatter Profiling Component Apparent Beyond The Limits Of Suspended Solids Above Background Conditions

SECTION 5 - RESULTS OF OTHER INVESTIGATIONS

- 5.1 Impact Within The Dredged Area
- 5.2 Impact Adjacent To The Dredged Area
- 5.3 Ongoing Research

SECTION 6 - IMPACTS ON BENTHOS

- 6.1 Introduction
 - 6.2 General Features Of Community Structure
 - 6.3 Ecological Succession & The Recolonisation Process
 - 6.4 The Impact Of Dredging On Biological Resources
 - 6.4.1 Sensitivity To Disturbance
 - 6.4.2 Impacts To Diversity & Abundance
- 6.5 The Process Of Recolonisation & Recovery
- 6.6 The Rate Of Recovery Of Biological Resources
- 6.7 Community Composition and Seabed Stability
- 6.8 Conclusions

SECTION 7 - RECOMMENDATIONS FOR FURTHER RESEARCH

- 7.1 Rate Of Recovery Within Dredged Area & Surrounding Deposits
 - 7.1.1 Field Study Proposal One
- 7.2 The Extent Of Dredging Activity "Footprint"
 - 7.2.1 Field Study Proposal Two
- 7.3 Aesthetic Plume Impacts, Far Field Effects And Perception
 - 7.1.1 Field Study Proposal Three

SECTION 8 - CONCLUSIONS AND RECOMMENDATIONS**ACKNOWLEDGEMENT****LITERATURE PUBLISHED****U.K. MAFF (CEFAS) GUIDELINES****LITERATURE REVIEWED**

FIGURES

Section 2:

- 2.2.1 Navigation plot from the ARCO Severn's Electronic Monitoring System (EMS)
- 2.4a Interaction between dredging plant, the seabed and the water column affecting turbidity

Section 3:

- 3.2.3 ADCP screen dump showing plume of suspended sediment either side of dredge vessel

Section 4:

- 4.1.1 Primary seabed furrow characteristics formed by dragheads
- 4.2.2.5a Composite plot of CBP and plume monitoring, 19th August 1995 (ARCO Severn)
- 4.2.2.5b Total suspended solids concentrations within plume, 19th August 1995 (ARCO Severn)
- 4.2.2.6 Composite plot of CBP and plume monitoring, 20th August 1995 (ARCO Severn)
- 4.2.2.7 CBP 30m astern of the ARCO Severn, obtained on the 20th August 1995 (City of Rochester)
- 4.2.2.9 Composite plot of CBP and plume monitoring, 21st August 1995
- 4.2.2.10 Multiple plumes observed by CBP formed by the ARCO Severn, 19th August 1995
- 4.2.2.11 Composite plot of CBP and plume monitoring, 21st August 1995 (Geopotes XIV)
- 4.2.2.13 Size spectra of sediments obtained from samples within the plume downstream of 3 dredgers
- 4.3.2a CBP transect showing aeration caused by the twin screws of the ARCO Dee
- 4.3.2b CBP transect showing what is interpreted to be the plume formed by the draghead
- 4.3.2c Composite plot of plume monitoring campaign carried out on the 15th January 1997

Section 5:

- 5.2a Diagram showing settlement of overflow sediments during dredging operations in the Baltic
- 5.2b Contours of suspended sediment concentrations astern of a dredger operating in Hong Kong
- 5.2c Reduction in suspended sediment concentration away from dredge vessel

Section 6:

- 6.1 Simplified empirical carbon flow diagram
- 6.2a Pictorial diagram showing the ecological succession which characterises benthic communities
- 6.2b A set of typical **K**- dominance curves for fine mobile muds
- 6.3 Schematic time series diagram showing a colonisation succession in a marine sediment
- 6.4.1 Schematic diagram showing distribution of the comb worm (*Lagis koreni*) in fine deposits
- 6.4.2a Diagram showing the number of individuals and species on a dredged area in Australia
- 6.4.2b Changes in population density and species composition of large bivalves on the Klaverbank
- 6.5a Graphs showing the mean values for the abundance of individuals from five grab samples
- 6.5b Two dimensional MDS ordination for the benthic communities prior to dredging off Norfolk
- 6.5c Two dimensional MDS ordination for the benthic communities after dredging off Norfolk
- 6.6 Likely recolonisation rates for the benthic communities of estuarine muds, sands and reefs

TABLES

Section 1:

- 1.1 Summary statistics of the United Kingdom marine aggregate dredging industry

Section 2:

- 2.1 Summary of the impact of plumes generated by aggregate dredging operations in particular
- 2.2.1 United Kingdom marine aggregate dredgers
- 2.6.1a Settling velocities of unhindered discrete particles
- 2.6.1b Settling velocities of unhindered flocs commonly used as a basis for modelling purposes
- 2.6.1c Pros and cons of the development of plumes and transport away from immediate dredge site

Section 3:

- 3.1 Selected values of seston
- 3.3.4 Table of minimum measurements that must be collected during ADCP surveys

Section 4:

- 4.0.1 Summary of field work campaigns undertaken during this project
- 4.1.1a Summary of principle draghead furrow dimensions
- 4.1.1b Analysis of loading times for 'A' and 'S' class TSHD
- 4.1.1c Time series analysis of average loading performance for selected vessel types
- 4.1.1d Loading efficiencies for 'A' class vessels
- 4.1.1e Summary of the flow observations made in the starboard side aft spillway
- 4.1.2 Proportions of material returned overboard by an 'A' class vessel when loading a stone cargo
- 4.1.3a Source terms of overspill from aggregate dredgers during normal commercial operations
- 4.1.3b Proportions of materials in overspill discharge measured from two different dredge vessels
- 4.1.4 Proportions of materials in reject discharge measured from the 'A' class dredge vessels
- 4.1.6 Proportions of materials in overspill discharge measured from one dredge vessel
- 4.1.7 Proposed dimensionless values for the quantity of material returned overboard
- 4.2.2.5 Summary of SSC sampled from plume developed by the ARCO Severn, 19th August 1995
- 4.2.2.6 Summary of SSC sampled from plume developed by the ARCO Severn, 20th August 1995
- 4.2.2.8 Summary of SSC sampled from plume developed by the Geopotes XIV, 20th August 1995
- 4.2.2.9 Summary of SSC sampled from plume developed by the City of Rochester, 21st August 1995
- 4.2.2.12 Summary of overspill sample sediment characteristics obtained from the ARCO Severn 19/20th August 1995
- 4.2.2.13 Particle size distribution of SSC sampled from plume developed by the ARCO Severn, 19/20/21st August 1995
- 4.3.1a Summary of plume samples obtained during the benthic plume monitoring campaign
- 4.4 Biomass of benthic macrofauna recorded for coastal sediments in potential aggregate sites

Section 5:

- 5.1 Summary table of results of similar dredging plume study investigations
- 5.2 Estimated total deposition to south of Middle Banks, Australia, following 2 years of dredging

Section 6:

- 6.2 Summary of the significant population characteristics of **r**- and **K**- selected species
- 6.4.2 Table showing impact of dredging on benthic community composition from various habitats
- 6.6a Summary of rates of recovery of the benthic fauna following dredging in various habitats
- 6.6b Percentage recovery recorded in a variety of habitats in the Wadden Sea following dredging

PLATES

Section 2:

- 2.2.1a TSHD Sand Heron
- 2.2.1b 'California' Type draghead
- 2.2.1c Overflow from the ARCO Severn
- 2.2.2 Anchor dredger Sand Swan

Section 3:

- 3.3.5 TSHD Sand Harrier

Section 4:

- 4.1.1a Underwater image taken two weeks after trial dredging showing effects of draghead
- 4.1.1b TSHD ARCO Thames almost fully loaded, English Channel, August 1995
- 4.1.1c Electromagnetic current meter and measuring gauges set within the ARCO Severn's spillway
- 4.1.3 Sampling from the overspill
- 4.1.4 The practicalities of sampling the screened-off material from the reject chute
- 4.2.1 Coastal survey vessel Wessex Explorer used for the plume monitoring surveys
- 4.2.2.2 Surface expression of plume as frothy foam with sheen visible in calm conditions
- 4.2.2.8 TSHD Geopotes XIV
- 4.2.2.9a TSHD City of Rochester nearly completing loading a screened cargo at anchor
- 4.2.2.9b Multiple sediment plumes formed by successive passes of the TSHD Sand Harrier
- 4.3.1a TSHD ARCO Dee used for the benthic plume monitoring work
- 4.3.1b The ARCO Dee 'California' Type draghead with 2 cameras & 3 water sample positions
- 4.3.2 View astern of the ARCO Dee showing the smaller plume formed by this size of vessel

Section 5:

- 5.3 Measuring settling velocity aboard the ARCO Severn

Section 6:

- 6.4.1 Recovery of Hamon Grab during quantitative investigations of benthic communities