Rapid Assessment Reference Condition Model

The Rapid Assessment is a component of the LANDFIRE project. Reference condition models for the Rapid Assessment were created through a series of expert workshops and a peer-review process in 2004-2005. For more information, please visit www.landfire.gov. Please direct questions to helpdesk@landfire.gov.

Potential Natural Vegetation Group (PNVG): Montane and Subalpine Grasslands R3MGRA General Information Contributors (additional contributors may be listed under "Model Evolution and Comments") Modelers Reviewers Wayne A. Robbie wrobbie@fs.fed.us William L. Baker bakerwl@uwyo.edu **Vegetation Type General Model Sources Rapid Assessment Model Zones** ✓ Literature Grassland California Pacific Northwest **✓** Local Data Great Basin South Central **Dominant Species* ✓** Expert Estimate Great Lakes Southeast **FETH** Northeast S. Appalachians FEAR2 **LANDFIRE Mapping Zones** Northern Plains **✓** Southwest MUMO 14 24 N-Cent.Rockies DAPA 15 25 23 27

Geographic Range

Northern Arizona, Southern and Northern New Mexico, Colorado.

Biophysical Site Description

Elevated plains, valleys, hills and mountain side slopes ranging from nearly level to very steep topography. Aspect varies, however the larger patches are on southern exposures and on summit plains. Elevation ranges from 7500 to 11,800 feet. Moderately deep to deep Typic to Pachic Cryoborolls (FETH) and Argiborolls/Haploborolls (FEAR2).

Vegetation Description

Grassland types include Thurber fescue (FETH), Arizona fescue (FEAR2), sheep fescue (FEOV), mountain muhly (MUMO), timber/Parry's oatgrass (DAIN/DAPA, Kentucky bluegrass (POPR), nodding brome (BRAN); tufted hairgrass (DECE), Parry's oatgrass (DAPA2), mountain muhly (MUMO), Idaho fescue (FEID), Agropyron spicatum (AGSP; currently Pseudoroegneria spicata), and Deschampsia cespitosa (DECE). Various sedges (CAREX spp.) will be present in moist (concave) sites.

See TES map units 560, 561, 563, 566, 198, 131, 132, 133 of the Carson NF; map units 640, 595, 594 of the Coconino NF; and 513 and 518 of the Kaibab NF and map units 3164, 3174, and 3094 of the Smokey Bear TES report.

Disturbance Description

Historical fire frequencies for grassland types are difficult to estimate and some disagreement about the frequency of fire in mountain grasslands exists. Experts that contributed to this model suggested MFIs ranging from 10-300 years, but agree that there is little scientific basis to estimate fire frequencies.

For this model, stand replacement fires were modeled with approximately 20 yr MFI based upon historic

photographic analysis, personal communication (Barry Johnston-R2) and inference from fire regimes of adjacent forest types (PIPO 3-12yr, ABCO/PSMEG 14-46yr, PIEN/ABLAA 60-180+yr). Mixed fires (causing 25-75% top-kill) were modeled with similar frequency to account for spotty grassland fires. Anthropogenic (pre-European, Spanish colonial) fire use ignitions may have been 5-15 years. However, contributors note that estimating return intervals from rephotography or adjacent forests are both incomplete and imperfect methods.

Adjacency or Identification Concerns

Current fire regimes are greater than 60yr in montane and 100yr in subalpine systems.

Scale Description

Sources of Scale Data 🗸 Li	terature 🔽 Local Data	Expert Estimate
----------------------------	-----------------------	-----------------

Issues/Problems

Model Evolution and Comments

Peer review disagreed strongly with the current model construct and suggested combining all mountain grassland models (R3MGRA and R3MGRAws) and changing the overall MFI to 100-300 years (for montane and subalpine, respectively) with only replacement fire. The model values were unchanged, but descriptions were modified to incorporate these views.

Succession Classes

Succession classes are the equivalent of "Vegetation Fuel Classes" as defined in the Interagency FRCC Guidebook (www.frcc.gov).

Class A 20%	Indicator Species* and	Structur	e Data (for upper layer	lifeform)	
Early1 PostRep Description	Canopy Position FETH FEAR2 ANPA ERFO Upper Layer Lifeform Herbaceous Shrub Tree Fuel Model no data	Cover Height	Min 0 % no data	Max 34 % no data	
Low cover and frequency of Thurber fescue (FETH), Arizona fescue (FEAR2), sheep fescue (FEOV), mountain muhly (MUMO), timber/Parry's oatgrass (DAIN/DAPA, Kentucky bluegrass (POPR), nodding brome (BRAN); tufted hairgrass (DECE) and various sedges (CAREX spp.) in moist (concave) sites. BLTR is common.		Tree Size Class no data Upper layer lifeform differs from dominant lifeform. Height and cover of dominant lifeform are:			
Class B 30%	Indicator Species* and Canopy Position	Structur	e Data (for upper layer	lifeform)	
Mid1 Closed	FETH		Min	Max	
Description	DAPA	Cover	35 %	65 %	
Thurber fescue (FETH), Arizona fescue (FEAR2), sheep fescue	MUMO FEAR2	Height Tree Size	no data e Class no data	no data	
(FEOV), mountain muhly (MUMO), timber/Parry's oatgrass (DAIN/DAPA, Kentucky bluegrass (POPR), nodding brome (BRAN); tufted hairgrass (DECE) and various sedges (CAREX spp.) in	Upper Layer Lifeform Herbaceous Shrub Tree Fuel Model no data	Upper layer lifeform differs from dominant lifeform Height and cover of dominant lifeform are:			

Class C	50%	Indicator Species* and Canopy Position	nd Structure Data (for upper layer lifeform)			
		FETH FEAR2	Min			Max
Late 1 Closed			Cover		66%	100 %
Description	(EETH) Ari	DAPA	Height		no data	no data
	ue (FETH), Arizona	MUMO	Tree Size Class no data			
fescue (FEAR2), sheep fescue (FEOV), mountain muhly (MUMO), timber/Parry's oatgrass (DAIN/DAPA, Kentucky bluegrass (POPR), nodding brome (BRAN); tufted hairgrass (DECE) and various sedges (CAREX spp.) in moist (concave) sites.		Upper Layer Lifeform Herbaceous Shrub Tree Fuel Model no data				
Class D	0%	Indicator Species* and Canopy Position	Structure Data (for upper layer lifeform)			
Late1 Open		<u>Suriegy i estion</u>			Min	Max
Description			Cover		0%	%
Description			Height		no data	no data
			Tree Size	e Class	no data	
	Upper Layer Lifeform Herbaceous Shrub Tree Fuel Model no data	Upper layer lifeform differs from dominant lifeform. Height and cover of dominant lifeform are:				
Class E	0%	Indicator Species* and	- Structure Data (for upper layer lifeform)			
		Canopy Position	<u> </u>	w (I	Min	Max
Late1 Closed			Cover		0%	%
<u>Description</u>			Height		no data	no data
		Tree Size	e Class	no data		
	Upper Layer Lifeform Herbaceous Shrub Tree	Upper layer lifeform differs from dominant lifeform. Height and cover of dominant lifeform are:				
		Fuel Model no data				
		Disturba	nces			

Non-Fire Disturbances Modeled	Fire Regime (Group:	2			
☐ Insects/Disease ☐ Wind/Weather/Stress ☐ Native Grazing ☑ Competition ☐ Other: ☐ Other:	I: 0-35 year frequency, low and mixed severity II: 0-35 year frequency, replacement severity III: 35-200 year frequency, low and mixed severity IV: 35-200 year frequency, replacement severity V: 200+ year frequency, replacement severity					
Historical Fire Size (acres) Avg: Min: Max:	Fire Intervals (FI): Fire interval is expressed in years for each fire severity class and for all types of fire combined (All Fires). Average FI is the central tendency modeled. Minimum and maximum show the relative range of fire intervals, if known. Probability is the inverse of fire interval in years and is used in reference condition modeling. Percent of all fires is the percent of all fires in that severity class. All values are estimates and not precise.					
		Avg FI	Min FI	Max FI	Probability	Percent of All Fires
Sources of Fire Regime Data	Replacement	18	10	100	0.05556	55
✓ Literature	Mixed					
Local Data	Surface	22			0.04545	45
Expert Estimate	All Fires	10			0.10102	·
Potovonoco						

References

Allen, Craig D., 1984. Montane grasssland in the landscape of the Jemez Mountains, New Mexico, Master's Thesis, Univ. Wisconsin, Madison, WI.

Brewer David, G., Rodney K. Jorgensen, Lewis P. Munk, Wayne A. Robbie and Janet L. Travis. 1991. Terrestrial Ecosystem Survey of the Kaibab National Forest. USDA Forest Service, Southwestern Region. 319 pps.

Danker, Robert c., Wayne Robbie and Cliff Landers. 1979. Terrestrial Ecosystem Report for Smokey Bear Ranger District. USDA Forest Service, Southwestern Region. 245pps. w/maps.

DickPeddie, William A. 1993. New Mexico Vegetation; Past, Present and Future. University of New Mexico Press. Albuquerque, NM.

Edwards, Malcom, Greg Miller, Jeff Redders, Ron Stein and Kent Dunstan. 1987. Terrestrial Ecosystem Survey of the Carson National Forest. USDA Forest Service Southwestern Region. 552 pps.

Miller, Geg, Norm Ambos, Pat Boness, Deanna Ryher, George Robertson, Ken Scalzone, Rory Steinke and Tom Subirge. 1995. Terrestrial Ecosystem Survey of the Coconino National Forest. USDA Forest Service, Southwestern Region. 405pp.

Miller, Gregory, Jeff Redders, Ron Stein, Malcolm Edwards, John Phillips, Valerie Andrews, Steve Sebring and Corrine Vaandrager. 1993. Terrestrial Ecosystem Survey of the Santa Fe National Forest. USDA Forest Service Southwestern Region. 563 pps. Maps.

Moir, William H., 1967. The subalpine tall grass, Festuca thurberi, community of Sierra Blanca, New Mexico. The Southwest Naturalist. 12:321-328.

Muldavin, Esteban and Phil Tonne. 2003. A Vegetation Survey and Preliminary Ecological Assessment of the Valles Caldera National Preserve, New Mexico. Final Report. New Mexico Natural Heritage Program. Albuquerque, NM.

Swetnam, Thomas W. 1990. Fire History and Climate in the Southwestern United States. USDA Forest Service. Rocky Mtn. Forest and Range Exp. Sta. GTR-RM-191.

Touchan, Ramzi, Craig D. Allen and Thomas W. Swetnam. 1996. Fire History and Climatic Patterns in the Ponderosa Pine and Mixed-Conifer Forests of the Jemez Mountains, Northern New Mexico. IN: Proceedings of the Second La Mesa Fire Symposium, Fire Effects in Southwestern Forests. USDA Forest Service, Rocky Mountain Forest and Range Experiment Station. RM-GTR-2