FIPS 201 Validation and Test Support Activities at NIST

Dr. R. Chandramouli (Mouli)

National Inst. Of Standards & Technology mouli@nist.gov

FIPS 201 Evaluation Program Information Day GSA, June 19, 2006

NIST Validation and Test Support Activities – FIPS 201 Components Covered

1. Validation

- PIV Card Application Interface
- PIV Middleware Interface

2. Test Support

- Personalized Data on the PIV Card covers Card Management System, Cryptographic Modules, PKI System outputs
- Biometric Software Template Generators and Matchers

NIST Validation and Test Support Activities

Documents, Toolkits & Operations

1. Validation

- Document
 - SP 800-85A Test Guidelines for PIV Card and Middleware Interfaces
- Toolkit
 - Card & Middleware Interface Test Runner
- Operations
 - Accreditation of NPIVP Labs
 - Validation of Test Reports from Labs
 - Issuance of Certificates

NIST Validation and Test Support Activities

Documents, Toolkits & Operations (Cont.)

2. Test Support

- Document
 - SP 800-85B PIV Data Model Test Guidelines
 - MINEX Test Report
- Toolkit
 - PIV Data Model & Content Test Runner
- Operations
 - Process for including Template Generators and Matchers from new vendors into the Interoperability Matrix

FIPS 201 Validated Components – Testing Organizations and Basis Specs

Test Type	By whom	Basis Specs
PIV Middleware Interface	Accredited NPIVP Lab	SP 800-73-1 (Chapter 6)
PIV Card Application Interface	Accredited NPIVP Lab	SP 800-73-1 (Chapter 7)

FIPS 201 Validated Components – Lifecycle Context & Validated Entity

Test Type	When	Validated Entity
PIV Middleware Interface	Prior to Agency Procurement	Product (Software)
PIV Card Application Interface	Prior to Agency Procurement (Card Pre- Personalization)	Product (Card with a loaded program)

PIV Data Model & Content Tests

Test Type	Basis Specs
BER-TLV Conformance	SP 800-73-1 – Appendix A
Biometric Objects – Format & Profile	SP 800-76
Signed Objects Conformance	FIPS 201-1, RFC 3852, SP 800-78
PKI Certificate Profiles Conformance	FICC – SSP PKI Certificate Profiles, SP 800-78

PIV Data Model and Content Tests (BER-TLV Conformance)

- Conformance to Data Model specified in Appendix A of SP 800-73-1
 - Correct tag identifiers
 - Correct representation of Tag Lengths
 - Length of Data field consistent with Length indicated in Length field

PIV Data Model and Content Tests (Biometric Objects – Format & Profile- SP 800-76)

- CBEFF Patron Format Conformance
 - Allowed Values
 - Content Integrity (e.g.,) BDB length matches the value in the CBEFF Header

• ANSI INCITS 378 Profile Conformance

- Required Fields, Allowed Values & Encodings
- Content Integrity (e.g.,)
 - Number of Minutiae Records matches the value in View Header

PIV Data Model and Content Tests (Cryptographically Signed Objects – FIPS 201-1, RFC 3852 & SP 800-78)

- Signed Objects Conformance
 - Signature Blocks Conform to FIPS 201-1 Specs
 - Signature Encoding as per RFC 3852
- Algorithm Usage & Signature Integrity
 - SP 800-78 Specified Algorithms Used
 - Signature Content Verifies for included data

PIV Data Model and Content Tests (PKI Certificate Profiles – FICC SSP PKI Profiles)

- Certificate Format and Encoding should conform to Profiles in "X.509 Certificate and CRL Extensions Profile for the SSP Program"
- Certificates are:
 - PIV Authentication Certificate
 - Digital Signature Certificate
 - Key Management Certificate
 - Card Authentication Certificate

Biometric Data Interoperability Tests - MINEX

- Fingerprint Template (Minutiae) Performance
 Testing (for Interoperability)
 - Tests Vendors' Minutiae Extractor and Matcher Algorithms for matching performance
 - Matrix based MINEX Tests developed by NIST

MINEX Test -Types of Templates

- Proprietary templates
 - Individual vendor's representation of images
- ◆ Standard templates: INCITS 378 format
 - MIN:A templates
 - codes minutiae coordinates (x, y), angle (θ) , type, & quality
 - MIN:B templates
 - MIN:A data plus ridge count, core, and delta information

MINEX Test - Largest Biometric Test to Date...

- 4 datasets:
 - POEBVA, DHS2, POE, and DOS
- Number of Samples
 - 60,000 matched fingerprint pairs
 - 120,000 non-match fingerprints
- ◆ 14 vendors
 - Six participants in MIN:B testing
- 4.4 billion comparisons resulting in >45 GB of data

MINEX Test – Vendors in Initial Round

- A. Cogent SystemsIncorporated
- B. Dermalog IdentificationSystems GMBH
- c. Bioscrypt Incorporated
- D. Sagem Morpho Incorporated
- E. Neurotechnologija
- F. Innovatrics
- G. NEC Corporation

- H. TechnoimagiaCorporation
- I. Identix Incorporated
- J. Biologica Sistemas
- **K.** SPEX Forensics
- L. Secugen Corporation
- M. NITGen Corporation
- N. Cross MatchTechnologies

MINEX Test - Questions

Does the template give accuracy comparable with proprietary (image-based) implementations?

Can template data be generated and matched by different vendors without attendant increase in error rates?

MINEX Test - Types of Tests

- Single- v. Two- Finger
- Proprietary v. Native
- Native v. Interoperable
- ◆ Scenarios 1,2,3,&4
- Four dataset of different quality

 Proprietary templates are superior to MIN:A templates in accuracy.

◆ The enhanced MIN:B template performed only marginally better than the basic MIN:A template.

◆ As with most recent tests (by NIST and others), the error rates between matching algorithms vary by an order of magnitude.

◆ Two-finger authentication with standard templates can achieve the accuracy of single-finger authentication with proprietary templates.

- ◆ The leading vendors in template generation are not always the leaders in matching and vice-versa.
 - Some template generators produce standard templates that are matched more accurately than others. Some combination of templates fail completely.
 - Some matchers compare templates more accurately than others.

- Certification of an interoperable group of products requires some prior specification of the required accuracy.
 - More products will interoperate when the accuracy requirement is low and vice versa.
 - More products can be certified if the group's mean error rate is below a threshold than if their worst interoperable pair is used for certification.

- Performance is sensitive to the quality of the dataset.
 - Applies to both proprietary and interoperable templates.
 - Two higher quality datasets (POEBVA and POE) provide reasonable interoperability.
 Two lower quality datasets (DOS and DHS2) do not.