Fax 202-452-3819 Fax 202-452-3102

Comments for The Federal Reserve's Board Proposed Rule amending Regulation (73 Fed. Reg. 1,672 January 9, 2008)

My comments about the Federal Reserve's Proposed rule identified above are as follows:

I HAVE BEEN MADE AWARE THAT THE NEW PROPOSED RULE IS BEING CONSIDERED AS A WAY TO HELP THE BORROWING PUBLIC. PLEASE ALLOW ME TO STATE THE REASONS IT WILL NOT ACHIEVE THIS GOAL.

- \*THIS RULE ONLY AFFECTS MORTHAGEBRUKERS. IF A RULE SHOULD BE PLACED IN EFFECT, IT SHOULD HOLD ALL DRIGINATORS (BANKS)
  TO THE SAME STANDARD.
- EPOKERS CURRENTLY DRIGHNATE OVER 50% OF THE MORTGAGE LOWNS IN THE U.S. BANKS TYPICALLY BRUKER THEIR MORTGAGE CORNS BUT THEY WOULD NOT BE REDUITED TO USE THE SAME DISCLOSURE AS BIRDICERS. THIS PUTS BROKERS AT A DISTINCT DISADVANTAGE.
- \* 2004 { 2007 FTC Studies HAVE DROVEN THREE HAVING DIFFERENT DISCLOSUIZES REQUIREMENTS CONFUSES CUSTOMERS } IMPERES COMPETITIA!

I HAVE BEEN IN THE MORTORGE BUSINESS FOIR MORE THAN SEVEN TEARS & HAVE STRIVED TO OFFER SUPERIOR SERVICE & COMPETITIVE PRICING. THE PREDOMINANT NUMBER OF MORTORGE BROKERS ARE GOOD DEOPLE PROVIDING EXCEPTIONAL CERUICE WHO HAVE LED THE WAY TO THE U.S. HAVING THE HIGHEST PERCENTAGE OF HOMEOWNERS IN ITS HISTORY, THESE PEOPLE FOLLOW THERDURY & DO GOOD BORDOWING DUBLIC DOR THE MORTORGE BOOKERAGE INDUSTRY BY GOING FORWARD WITH THIS PROPOSED RULING.

THANK TOU FOR YOUR CONSIDERATION.

SINCERELY

Corey P. Fader