Rapid Assessment Reference Condition Model

The Rapid Assessment is a component of the LANDFIRE project. Reference condition models for the Rapid Assessment were created through a series of expert workshops and a peer-review process in 2004-2005. For more information, please visit www.landfire.gov. Please direct questions to helpdesk@landfire.gov.

Potential Natural Vegetation Group (PNVG):

R8PIVIap	p Appalachian Virginia Pine							
General Information								
Contributors	<u>s</u> (additiona	l contributors may be listed under "N	Model Evolution and Reviewers	Comments")				
Roger D. Fryar		rfryar@fs.fed.us	r@fs.fed.us Ron Stephens		ns rstephens@fs.fed.us			
Vegetation Type		General Model Source	<u>s</u>	Rapid Assessment Model Zones				
Forested		Literature		California	Pacific Northwest			
Dominant Species*		□Local Data ✓Expert Estimate		Great Basin Great Lakes	South Central			
GAYLU VACCI CHUM	SCHIZ4	LANDFIRE Mapping Z 57 48 59 53	ones	Northeast Northern Plains N-Cent.Rockies	S. Appalachians Southwest			
		54 47						

Geographic Range

Throughout the Southern Appalachians into the Cumberlands and Piedmont. VA,WV,KY,NC, TN, GA (North), AL North),MS (North), SC and others.

Biophysical Site Description

Similar to NatureServe (2005) Ecological System CES202.332 Southern Appalachian Low Mountain Pine Forest. Usually found at lower elevations and on infertile, shallow soils. The natural habitat of Virginia pine is xeric fire refuges such as exposed rock outcrops with patchy and light fuels. It is thus somewhat comparable to Table Mountain pine, but at lower elevations. Under natural conditions, it would occupy minor land area as a type but would have scattered individuals surviving in mixture with the other yellow pines

Vegetation Description

This potential natural vegetation group is common to the Southern Appalachians, typically occupying xeric to dry sites at elevations generally below 3500 feet on ridge tops, western, south and southwestern aspects. Occasionally Virginia pine is also found dry-mesic sites as a pioneering vegetation. In the absence of fire to maintain the ecosystem, natural Virginia pine stands could succeed to varying vegetation cover: (a) xeric oaks such as scarlet oak, chestnut oak, blackjack oak, and post oak; (b) mountain laurel, sourwood, red maple, and huckleberry; and (c) eastern white pine overstory Virginia pine dominates with up to 70% species specific. Other overstory components vary with moisture regimes but could include several other pine species, red and white oaks, other hardwoods and/or eastern red cedar. Many stands are strongly evenaged and density-dependent based on age. Virginia pine is an aggressive invader following disturbance and might be considered uncharacteristic vegetation on some sites. The frequency of its occurrence in the Southern Appalachian forested landscapes today is undoubtedly greater than in pre-settlement times. Its niche appears best fitted to xeric sites on thin soils (e.g. "necklace" stands adjacent to bluff lines in the Cumberlands and Appalachians). Virginia pine is increasingly at risk of mortality to disturbance agents as

it matures. Older trees are particularly susceptible to pine beetle attacks due to slow radial growth and relatively high growing densities on often poor sites. Older trees are also more prone to windthrow. Few stands reach 100 years of age with most stands "breaking up" at 50 to 75 years of age.

Disturbance Description

Virginia pine is very shallow rooted and susceptible to windthrow. Heavy snow and ice can create significant stand openings. Initial openings give rise to further windthrow and even larger openings as trees fall into gaps. As a tree species. Virginia pine (VAP) is less adapted to fire with thinner bark and higher mortality rates (particularly in young stands) than other southern yellow pines, and VAP seedlings are easily killed by fire and will not resprout. It can survive repeated low intensity fires however. The natural occurrence of VAP on infertile, thin soils allows the community to persist in a specialized edaphic niche. It is a prolific seeder and is able to pioneer on these and other disturbed sites. VAP often develops 'red heart' rot, caused by Fomes pini, at ages beyond about 60 years

Adjacency or Identification Concerns

NatureServe description groups VAP with Shortleaf pine with an elevational range to 2300 feet. While the two communities do often occur together at lower elevations, there are distinct differences in how disturbances affect regeneration and sustain ecological patterns and processes.

Scale Description

Sources of Scale Data 🗹 Literature 🗌 Local Data 🖉 Expert Estimate

Spatial scale and pattern are generally characterized as large patch. In its most natural setting, topography generally limits the patch size of the ecological community.

Issues/Problems

This PNVG has invaded and supplanted other ecological communities where altered fire regimes and/or land use have provides it with an opportunity. It may persist on these sites but is more subject to stand-replacing perturbations that more stable fire-adapted communities.

Model Evolution and Comments

QA/QC changes: No model changes. Changed Upper Layer Lifeform max heights from herb to tree. Removed incomplete sentence in Disturbance Description. Added missing Mixed Fire probability. Peer review changes: wove additional descriptive comments in Biophysical Site Description, Vegetation Description and Disturbance Description. Did not reorganize into Fire and NonFire at this time as suggested, although this could be done in a LANDFIRE workshop. Peer Review Changes: Added comments from reviewer into various descriptions.

Succession Classes

Succession classes are the equivalent of "Vegetation Fuel Classes" as defined in the Interagency FRCC Guidebook (www.frcc.gov).

Class A 30 %	Indicator Species* and	Structure D	Structure Data (for upper layer lifeform)				
Farly1 All Structures	Canopy Position	Min		Max			
Description	PIVI2 Upper	Cover	1%	10 %			
	GAYLU Upper	Height	Tree Regen <5m	Tree Short 5-9m			
Dense seedling and sapling stands with very little herbaceous or	VACCI Upper CHUM Upper	Tree Size C	Class Sapling >4.5ft; <	<5"DBH			
woody understory vegetation	Upper Layer Lifeform ☐Herbaceous ☐Shrub ☑Tree Fuel Model 8	Upper layer lifeform differs from dominant lifeform. Height and cover of dominant lifeform are:					

Class B	60 %	Indicator Species* and Canopy Position	<u>Structur</u>	Structure Data (for upper layer lifeform)				
Mid1 Closed		PIVI2 Upper		Mir	Max			
Description		VACCI Upper	Cover	35	%	100 %		
Description	. 1 11	GAYLU Upper	Height	Tree Short	5-9m	Tree Medium 10-24m		
Poletimber al	nd small sawtimber	CHUM Upper	Tree Size	e Class Pole	5-9" DBH			
other woody vegetation. S	and herbaceous Stands are often dense.	Upper Layer Lifeform ☐ Herbaceous ☐ Shrub ☑ Tree Fuel Model 8	Upper layer lifeform differs from dominant lifeform. Height and cover of dominant lifeform are:					
Class C	10%	Indicator Species* and	Structure Data (for upper layer lifeform)					
Late1 Closed		PIVI2 Unner		Min	Max			
		VACCI Upper	Cover	35 %	6	100 %		
<u>Description</u>	h	GAVLU Low-Mid	Height	Tree Medium	10-24m	Tree Medium 10-24m		
Small sawtim	ber stands with gaps	CHUM Low-Mid	Tree Size	Class Media	um 9-21"DE	BH		
and snow.		Herbaceous Shrub Tree Fuel Model 8	Dupper layer lifeform differs from dominant lifeform. Height and cover of dominant lifeform are:					
Class D	0%	Indicator Species* and Canopy Position	Structure	e Data (for up)	per layer li	feform)		
Latel Open		<u>Unner</u>		Min		Max		
		Low-Mid	Cover	9	6	%		
Description		Low-Mid	Height					
		Upper	Tree Size	Class				
		Upper Layer Lifeform ☐Herbaceous ☐Shrub ☑Tree	Upper layer lifeform differs from dominant lifeform. Height and cover of dominant lifeform are:					
		Fuel Model no data						
Class E	0%	Indicator Species* and Canopy Position	Structure	Structure Data (for upper layer lifeform)				
Late1 Closed		Upper	Cover	0	6	الانعة م/		
Description		Mid-Upper	Height	,	0	/0		
		Mid-Upper	Tree Size	Class				
		Middle						

	Upper Layer Life ☐ Herbaceous ☐ Shrub ☑ Tree Fuel Model no	e <mark>form</mark> [· s data	Upper la Height a	yer lifeform nd cover of	differs from do dominant lifefo	ominant lifeform. orm are:	
Disturbances							
Non-Fire Disturbances Modeled ✓ Insects/Disease ✓ Wind/Weather/Stress □ Native Grazing □ Competition □ Other: □ Other:	Fire Regime Group:1I: 0-35 year frequency, low and mixed severityII: 0-35 year frequency, replacement severityIII: 35-200 year frequency, low and mixed severityIV: 35-200 year frequency, replacement severityV: 200+ year frequency, replacement severity						
Historical Fire Size (acres) Avg: 100 Min: 10 Max: 1000	<i>Fire Intervals (FI):</i> Fire interval is expressed in years for each fire severity class and for all types of fire combined (All Fires). Average FI is the central tendency modeled. Minimum and maximum show the relative range of fire intervals, if known. Probability is the inverse of fire interval in years and is used in reference condition modeling. Percent of all fires is the percent of all fires in that severity class. All values are estimates and not precise.						
		Avg Fl	Min FI	Max FI	Probability	Percent of All Fires	
Sources of Fire Regime Data	Replacement	110	25	125	0.00909	20	
✓ Literature	Mixed	145			0.0069	15	
Local Data	Surface	35	10	40	0.02857	64	
✓Expert Estimate	All Fires	22			0.04456		
References							

Brown, James K.; Smith, Jane Kapler, eds. 2000. Wildland fire in ecosystems: effects of fire on flora. Gen. Tech. Rep. RMRS-GTR-42-vol. 2. Ogden, UT: U.S. Department of Agriculture, Forest Service, Rocky Mountain Research Station. 257 p.

Little, E.L., Jr., 1971, Atlas of United States trees, volume 1, conifers and important hardwoods: U.S. Department of Agriculture Miscellaneous Publication 1146, 9 p., 200 maps. [Online]. Available: http://esp.cr.usgs.gov/data/atlas/little.

NatureServe. 2005. International Ecological Classification Standard: Terrestrial Ecological Classifications. NatureServe Central Databases. Arlington, VA. U.S.A. Data current as of March 14, 2005.

Schmidt, Kirsten M, Menakis, James P., Hardy, Colin C., Hann, Wendel J., Bunnell, David L. 2002. Development of coarse-scale spatial data for wildland fire and fuel management. Gen. Tech. Rep. RMRS-GTR-87. Fort Collins, CO: U.S. Department of Agriculture, Forest Service, Rocky Mountain Research Station. 41 p. + CD.

U.S. Department of Agriculture, Forest Service, Rocky Mountain Research Station, Fire Sciences Laboratory (2002, December). Fire Effects Information System, [Online]. Available: http://www.fs.fed.us/database/feis/

U.S. Department of Agriculture, Forest Service, Southern Forest Research Station, Southern Forest Resource Assessment, [Online]. Available: http://www.srs.fs.fed.us/sustain