

Walnut

John M. Labavitch

Pomology Department, University of California, Davis, CA

Scientific Name and Introduction: Persian (English) walnut (*J. regia* and a few related species) is a member of the family Juglandaceae, and is by far the most important commercial walnut. The genus *Juglans* contains three other groups (the Butternut, East Asian species and Black Walnut species) that produce edible kernels. Persian walnuts evolved in central and eastern Asia and were introduced into California in the late 1700s. About 95% of U.S. production is in California. The most important cultivars are Hartley, followed by Chandler, Serr and Vina. Recent cultivars, eg., Tulare and Howard, will become more important.

Nuts are mechanically shaken from trees during an extended harvest period from late August to early November. The edible kernel is surrounded by a husk that dehisces as the kernel nears maturity. The husk, which should be well split and relatively dry at harvest, is generally lost when the nuts fall to the ground. Harvested nuts (the shell and kernel) may be 35% or more water. Nuts are quickly swept from the orchard floor to avoid damage to the fragile nut, and are then dehydrated in forced-air dryers to 8% water content. The temperature of the drying air is kept low at < 43 °C (110 °F) to avoid damage leading to kernel rancidity.

Quality Characteristics and Criteria: The primary quality criterion is a high oil content (55 to 65% dry weight) that is free of off-flavors caused by oxidation of polyunsaturated fatty acids. Thus, an important criterion is maintaining kernel water content below 4%. Not only does this retard the progression of events that lead to rancidity, it also prevents mold growth and maintains the kernel's crispness. If water content drops too low, however, damage to the kernel's covering can enhance O₂ penetration and rancidity.

The skin covering the kernel contains chemicals that protect fatty acids in the kernel from becoming rancid. Light-colored kernels earn a higher price because the light color indicates that the kernel still has a relatively long shelf-life. An important criterion for evaluating new varieties is yield of light-colored kernels (Hendricks et al., 1998). Retention of light-color is influenced by the integrity of the seal between the two halves of the shell, because the shell is an important barrier to O₂ entry. Shell strength and seal integrity are also important in protecting kernels from insect damage and infections from fungi that often follow insect damage. Two-thirds of the California production is sold as shelled products (see Siebert, 1998). Therefore, additional characteristics of "processing quality" are ease of shelling and yield of intact kernel halves.

Horticultural Maturity Indices: Kernels are considered mature when oil accumulation is complete. This is generally indicated by browning of the internal packing tissue. However, harvest should not begin until the husk is well split and separated from the shell. In the hottest growing regions of California, kernels may be mature 3 weeks prior to husk "maturation" (ie., dehiscence). Low temperatures and high RH, as in some growing regions or at night, advance dehiscence. Ethephon applications are used to advance the harvest and make nut maturation more uniform throughout the tree/orchard (Olson et al., 1998)

Grades, Sizes and Packaging: In-shell and shelled grades exist and are primarily determined by size, degree of kernel fill, color and freedom from defects and foreign material. Freedom from off-flavors (rancidity) is important. Shelled kernels held for a long period are particularly susceptible. A complete description of federal quality standards can be found at: <http://www.ams.usda.gov/standards.nutpdct>.

In-shell walnut kernels derive protection against oxidative changes from the intact shell and kernel skin. Packaging should be moisture-proof. Shelled products should be packaged in airtight, moisture-proof, opaque or foil packages to maximize shelf-life. Unroasted kernels are less likely to take up moisture than roasted kernels. FDA-approved antioxidants such as butylated hydroxyanisole (BHA) and

butylated hydroxytoluene (BHT) can be applied to the kernels in vegetable oil to enhance stability. Edible coatings may be used as O₂ barriers to retard kernel rancidity (Maté et al., 1996).

Optimum Storage Conditions: Low water content and high fat content of the kernel make it relatively metabolically stable and able to tolerate low temperatures. The primary objectives of storage are to maintain the low water content attained after preliminary drying (for enzyme activity suppression, retention of texture and reduction of microbial activity) and limit exposure to O₂ to minimize rancidity. The optimum temperature range for storage is 0 to 10 °C (32 to 50 °F), with the lower temperature being better. Within this temperature range, a 50 to 65% RH will maintain walnuts at 4% moisture (Beuchat, 1978). Lopez et al. (1998) modeled sorption isotherms for walnut kernels and concluded that optimum stability and texture were retained in a storage environment of 10 °C (50 °F) and 60% RH in air.

Controlled Atmosphere Considerations: Shelf-life can be extended by storage in < 1% O₂. O₂ < 0.5% (balance N₂) or CO₂ levels above 80% in air can be effective in insect control.

Chilling Sensitivity: Walnuts are not sensitive to chilling; storage may be at or below freezing.

Ethylene Production and Sensitivity: Walnuts produce very low levels of ethylene. There are no documented responses of walnut to ethylene that might affect quality.

Respiration Rates: The low water content of properly stored walnuts makes them relatively inert metabolically. Respiratory rates are very low.

Physiological Disorders: The most serious postharvest physiological disorder that affects walnut quality is oil rancidity. The problem appears to be caused by poor seed storage conditions; elevated temperature and RH, failure to use CA with reduced O₂ concentration.

Insect Problems: Insect damage can contribute to walnut handling and quality problems. The major insect pest is the codling moth (*Cydia pomonella*). While nuts attacked in mid-season are likely to be rejected, minor early codling moth damage can lead to more serious infestation with navel orangeworm (*Amyelosis transitella* [Walker]), and this is more difficult to detect if the nut is not shelled. The walnut husk fly (*Rhagoletis completa*) is a serious pest in mid to late season. Larval feeding damages the husk tissues, leading to staining of the shell and failure of the husk to split. This reduces the harvest yield and nuts that remain in the orchard serve as a reservoir of insects to threaten the next crop cycle (van Steenwyk and Barnett, 1998). In all cases, insect damage will tend to increase problems with pathogen infection.

Postharvest Pathology: Most infections with pathogens are initiated in the orchard and transferred to the postharvest environment. In-shell product is protected unless the shell has been broken or penetrated by insects. The most serious pathogens are fungi such as *Aspergillus flavus* and *A. parasiticus* which can produce aflatoxins that are both toxic and carcinogenic. It is important that damaged kernels be discarded prior to storage and that the low temperature and RH conditions discussed earlier be maintained in order to reduce the chance for mold growth. Toxin-producing *Penicillium* sp. have also been found on walnuts.

Quarantine Issues: Insect infestation is a potentially important problem as are the fungal infections that often accompany insect damage. Fumigation with methyl bromide or phosphine has been used for disinfestation but the use of the former is being restricted and insect resistance to phosphine has been reported (Zettler et al., 1990). Johnson et al. (1998) have recommended an initial disinfestation treatment of 0.4% O₂ for 6 days followed by a combination of "protective" treatments that includes 10 °C (50 °F) storage in 5% O₂ and application of the Indianmeal moth granulosis virus for control of the navel orangeworm and the Indianmeal moth (*Plodia interpunctella* Hübner). Vail et al. (1991) have reported that

these same walnut storage pests are susceptible to the *Bacillus thuringiensis* insecticidal crystal protein and researchers have successfully introduced the gene for the protein into walnut embryos and demonstrated its efficacy in suppressing larval development (Dandekar et al., 1998).

Special Considerations: This presentation of quality maintenance guidelines for walnuts has introduced some discussion of changes of the fundamental character of the nut that may be brought about by the use of genetic engineering. The anticipated changes in nut quality and stability could have important impacts on the potential for use of walnuts in a variety of food products. However, it is not certain that the work will be successful or that engineered products will be acceptable, particularly since an important part of the crop is destined for export. Whether or not new walnuts are introduced, it is certain that the most important aspects of postharvest quality will be based on rapid harvest with minimal exposure to field heat, forced-air drying at relatively low temperature, and cold storage at a RH designed to maintain low nut moisture in a reduced O₂ atmosphere.

References:

- Beuchat, L.R. 1978. Relationship of water activity to moisture content in tree nuts. *J. Food Sci.* 43:754-755, 758.
- Dandekar, A.M., G.H. McGranahan, P.V. Vail, S.L. Uratsu, C.A. Leslie and J.S. Tebbets. 1998. High levels of expression of full-length *cryIA(c)* gene from *Bacillus thuringiensis* in transgenic somatic embryos. *Plant Sci.* 131:181-193.
- Hendricks, L.C., W.C. Coates, R.B. Elkins, G.H. McGranahan, H.A. Phillips, D.E. Ramos, W.O. Reil and R.G. Snyder. 1998. Selection of varieties. In: *Walnut Production Manual*, D.E. Ramos (ed) Univ. of Calif., Div. Agric. Nat. Res., Pub. No. 3373, pp. 84-89.
- Johnson, J.A., P.V. Vail, E.L. Soderstrom, C.E. Curtis, D.G. Brandl, J.S. Tebbets and K.A. Valero. 1998. Integration of nonchemical, postharvest treatments for control of Navel orangeworm (Lepidoptera: Pyralidae) and Indianmeal moth (Lepidoptera: Pyralidae) in walnuts. *J. Econ. Ent.* 91:1437-1444.
- Lopez, A., M.T. Pique, A. Romero and N. Aleta. 1998. Modelling of walnut sorption isotherms. *Ital. J. Food Sci.* 10:67-74.
- Maté, J.I., M.E. Saltveit and J.M. Krochta. 1996. Peanut and walnut rancidity: Effects of oxygen concentration and RH. *J. Food Sci.* 61:465-468, 472.
- Olson, W.H., J.M. Labavitch, G.C. Martin and R.H. Beede. 1998. Maturation, harvesting and nut quality. In: *Walnut Production Manual*, D.E. Ramos (ed) Univ. Calif., Div. Agric. Nat. Res., Pub. No. 3373, pp. 273-276.
- Seibert, J.B. 1998. Marketing California walnuts. In: *Walnut Production Manual*, D.E. Ramos (ed) Univ. Calif., Div. Agric. Nat. Res., Pub. No. 3373, pp. 23-30.
- Vail, P.V., J.S. Tebbets, D.F. Hoffmann and A.M. Dandekar. 1991. Responses of production and storage walnut pests to *Bacillus thuringiensis* insecticidal crystal protein fragments. *Biol. Control* 1:329-333.
- van Steenwyk, R.A. and W.W. Barnett. 1998. Insect and mite pests. In: *Walnut Production Manual*, D.E. Ramos (ed) Univ. Calif., Div. Agric. Nat. Res., Pub. No. 3373, pp. 247-253.
- Zettler, J.L., W.R. Halliday and F.H. Arthur. 1990. Phosphine resistance in insects infesting stored peanuts in the southeastern United States. *J. Econ. Ent.* 82:1508-1511.