Catechol O-methyltransferase Val¹⁵⁸Met Genotype and Neural Mechanisms Related to Affective Arousal and Regulation

Emily M. Drabant, BA; Ahmad R. Hariri, PhD; Andreas Meyer-Lindenberg, MD, PhD; Karen E. Munoz, BA; Venkata S. Mattay, MD; Bhaskar S. Kolachana, PhD; Michael F. Egan, MD; Daniel R. Weinberger, MD

Context: Catechol *O*-methyltransferase (COMT), the major enzyme determining cortical dopamine flux, has a common functional polymorphism (val¹⁵⁸met) that affects prefrontal function and working memory capacity and has also been associated with anxiety and emotional dysregulation.

Objectives: To examine *COMT* val¹⁵⁸met effects on corticolimbic circuitry reactivity and functional connectivity during processing of biologically salient stimuli, as well as the relationship to the temperamental trait of novelty seeking.

Design: Within-subject functional magnetic resonance imaging study.

Setting: National Institute of Mental Health, Genes, Cognition, and Psychosis Program, Bethesda, Md.

Patients: One hundred one healthy subjects of both sexes.

Results: We found that the met allele was associated with a dose-dependent increase in hippocampal formation and ventrolateral prefrontal cortex activation during viewing of faces displaying negative emotion. In met/met homozygotes, limbic and prefrontal regions showed increased functional coupling. Moreover, in these same subjects, the magnitude of amygdala-orbitofrontal coupling was inversely correlated with novelty seeking, an index of temperamental inflexibility.

Conclusions: Our results indicate that heritable variation in dopamine neurotransmission associated with the met allele of the *COMT* polymorphism results in heightened reactivity and connectivity in corticolimbic circuits. This may reflect a genetic predisposition for inflexible processing of affective stimuli, a mechanism possibly accounting for aspects of arousal and behavioral control that contribute to emotional dysregulation previously reported in met/met individuals.

Arch Gen Psychiatry. 2006;63:1396-1406

Author Affiliations: Genes, Cognition, and Psychosis Program, National Institute of Mental Health Intramural Research Program, National Institutes of Health, US Department of Health and Human Services, Bethesda, Md. Dr Hariri is now with the Department of Psychiatry, University of Pittsburgh School of Medicine, Western Psychiatric Institute and Clinic, Pittsburgh, Pa. OPAMINE NEUROTRANSmission plays a critical role in the regulation of neural circuits supporting both cognitive and af-

fective behavioral processes. Animal models reveal that dopamine may bias affective responses by augmenting excitatory sensory input and attenuating inhibitory prefrontal input to the amygdala¹ and medial striatum,² thereby altering the functional dynamics of key nodes of a neural circuit implicated in affect generation and regulation.^{3,4} Furthermore, dopamine plays a critical role in hippocampal processing,⁵ which is also implicated in emotion regulation.^{6,7} Consistent with these observations, abnormalities in dopamine neurotransmission contribute to affective disturbances in a broad range of psychiatric disorders.8 Moreover, individual differences in dopamine neurotransmission can bias the functional responsivity of these brain systems and, in turn, both normal and pathological variability in associated behaviors,⁹ possibly by influencing the signal-to-noise ratio of neuronal ensembles, resulting in more efficient processing of task-relevant information.¹⁰ Thus, identifying genetic polymorphisms that impact dopamine signaling and related brain functions will contribute to elucidating biological mechanisms of individual differences in affective behavior and possibly the risk for affective disorders.

While there are candidate polymorphisms in several dopamine subsystem genes, the most comprehensively studied and well characterized is a relatively frequent single nucleotide polymorphism $(G \rightarrow A)$ in the human catechol *O*-methyltransferase (*COMT*) gene, which catabolizes catechol chemicals including epinephrine, norepinephrine, and dopamine. The polymorphism results in a

methionine (met) to valine (val) substitution at codon 158.11,12 The val allele is associated with high enzymatic activity and consequently low extracellular dopamine levels, while the met allele is associated with significantly reduced enzyme activity and high extracellular dopamine levels.¹¹⁻¹³ The effects of the COMT val¹⁵⁸met polymorphism and associated changes in synaptic dopamine availability have been explored in a series of behavioral and neuroimaging studies of prefrontal cortical cognition.14-18 These studies have revealed that the lowactivity COMT met allele is characterized by greater task-dependent prefrontal efficiency (ie, decreased magnitude and extent of activation for a given level of task performance) and associated cognitive advantages (eg, working memory enhancement). These collective results have been posited to reflect the dependence of prefrontal neuronal function on dopamine signaling, as well as the critical nature of COMT activity in regulating prefrontal dopamine availability.18,19

Although these data strongly suggest that the lowactivity COMT met allele is advantageous for prefrontal function and related cognitive behaviors, several recent behavioral association studies have reported negative mood and affective disorders to be associated with the met allele. Specifically, the met allele has been linked with increased levels of anxiety in women,20 obsessivecompulsive disorder in men,²¹ panic disorder,²² type 1 alcoholism,23 aggressiveness and anger-related traits,24,25 decreased novelty seeking (NS)^{26,27} and increased reward dependence,²⁷ higher sensitivity to pain,²⁸ bipolar affective disorder,²⁹ and major depression.³⁰ While there have been inconsistencies³¹ and failures to replicate these associations,³² they nevertheless raise the intriguing possibility of a functional trade-off between genetic variation that concurrently results in more efficient cognitive behaviors and abnormal affective behaviors. A recent imaging study in a small group of healthy subjects suggested that increased anxiety associated with the met allele may be the result of relatively increased amygdala and hippocampal activation³³; however, the relationship between patterns of brain activation and affective behaviors associated with the COMT genotype remains unexplored.

Given the challenges of linking the subtle and nuanced biological effects of genetic variation with differences in complex, dynamic emergent behavioral phenomena,³⁴ we used functional magnetic resonance imaging (fMRI) to directly examine the effects of the COMT val¹⁵⁸met polymorphism on the reactivity and connectivity of corticolimbic circuitry, which mediates aspects of affective behaviors, in a large cohort of healthy volunteers of both sexes. We have also explored the relationship between genotype effects on this circuitry and NS, a heritable personality measure³⁵ related to temperamental inflexibility (low scores indicate rigidity and regimentation)³⁶ that has been previously associated with dopamine gene variants^{37,38} including *COMT*.^{26,27} Our uniquely large sample also allowed us to control for 5-HTTLPR genotype status, which is known to bias the functional reactivity of this circuitry,³⁹ as well as additional potential confounds such as age and sex. We report evidence that the COMT val¹⁵⁸met genotype affects the functional dynamics of corticolimbic circuits implicated in affective arousal and regulation.

METHODS

SUBJECTS

From September 2000 to March 2004, 213 subjects recruited as "normal volunteers" were scanned with fMRI as part of a large ongoing study exploring the genetics of cognition and emotion. All subjects gave written informed consent and participated in the study according to the guidelines of the National Institute of Mental Health institutional review board. Subjects underwent extensive reviews of medical history, physical examination, and in-depth clinical interviews, including the Structured Clinical Interview for DSM-III-R for lifetime psychiatric diagnosis.40,41 Fifty-seven subjects were excluded for preexisting neurological, psychiatric, and/or substance abuse problems and history of other medical problems and/or treatment relevant to cerebral metabolism and blood flow.¹⁷ To control for confounding effects of population substructure and ethnic heterogeneity, we limited our data analyses to 101 white subjects with a known COMT genotype (met/met=24, val/met=57, val/val=20) from the larger sample of healthy volunteers.

CORTICOLIMBIC REACTIVITY TASK

During fMRI, subjects completed a simple perceptual task involving the matching of fearful and angry facial expressions known to robustly engage the amygdala and interconnected circuitry.⁴²⁻⁴⁵ In this paradigm, 2 blocks of a face-processing task were interleaved with 3 blocks of a sensorimotor control task. During the face-processing task, subjects viewed a trio of faces and were instructed to select 1 of the 2 faces (bottom) that was identical to a target face (top). Each face-processing block consisted of 6 images, 3 of each sex and target affect (angry or afraid), all derived from a standard set of pictures of facial affect,⁴⁶ presented sequentially for 5 seconds. During the sensorimotor control block, subjects viewed a trio of geometric shapes (circles and vertical and horizontal ellipses) and selected 1 of the 2 shapes (bottom) that matched a target shape (top). Each control block consisted of 6 different images presented sequentially for 5 seconds. Different variations of face tasks have been used to successfully activate the limbic system and are frequently cited in functional neuroimaging paradigms in the study of emotion processing.47,48 This task, as a putative index of biological salience, reliably and robustly activates corticolimbic circuitry.⁴²⁻⁴⁵ Thus, in contrast to tasks that compare positive and negative emotional expressions but generally show greater variability in engaging this circuitry,49 we preferred this task for our purposes of investigating genotype-specific differences in activation of affect-related brain circuitry.

MOOD AND PERSONALITY ASSESSMENT

The NS subset of the Tridimensional Personality Questionnaire was administered as an index of behaviors, such as impulsiveness and rigidity, putatively influenced by dopaminergic neurotransmission⁵⁰ and previously associated with various dopamine gene variants^{37,38} including *COMT*.^{26,27} The harm avoidance (HA) subset of the Tridimensional Personality Questionnaire was also administered as a putative index of behaviors such as fear and anxiety, given its previous association with genetically driven variation in corticolimbic network activation.⁵¹ Analysis of variance was used to identify genotype, sex, and genotype × sex effects on total NS and HA scores, respectively. Tridimensional Personality Questionnaire scores were available in 79 of the 101 subjects included in the final fMRI analysis.

fMRI ACQUISITION PARAMETERS

Each subject was scanned using a 3-T scanner (GE Signa, Milwaukee, Wis) with a real-time functional imaging upgrade. An automated shim procedure was applied to minimize possible magnetic field inhomogeneities. Blood oxygenation level– dependent (BOLD) fMRIs were acquired with a gradient-echo Echo Planar Imaging sequence and covered 24 axial slices (4 mm thick, 1-mm gap) that began at the cerebral vertex and encompassed the entire cerebrum and the majority of the cerebellum (repetition time=2000 milliseconds, echo time=28 milliseconds, field of view=24 cm, 64×64 matrix). Before the collection of fMRI data for each subject, we acquired a reference Echo Planar Imaging scan and visually inspected it for artifacts (eg, ghosting) as well as for good signal across the entire volume of acquisition, including the medial temporal lobes.

fMRI DATA ANALYSIS

Analysis of the BOLD fMRI data was performed using the general linear model within SPM99 (Wellcome Department of Imaging Neuroscience, London, England; http://www.fil.ion.ucl .ac.uk/spm) and with analysis of variance in SPSS (version 11.5.0; SPSS Inc, Chicago, Ill). Briefly, images for each subject were realigned to the middle volume in the time series to correct for head motion, spatially normalized into a standard stereotactic space (Montreal Neurological Institute template; McGill University, Montreal, Quebec) using a 12-parameter affine model followed by nonlinear matching to a customized template image, and smoothed to minimize noise and residual differences in gyral anatomy with a gaussian filter, set at 8 mm full width at half maximum. Voxelwise signal intensities were ratio normalized to the whole-brain global mean.

Predetermined condition effects for the entire functional brain volume were calculated using a *t* statistic, producing a statistical image for the contrast of the face-processing task vs the sensorimotor control for each subject (N=101). These individual contrast images were then used in a second-level randomeffects model, which accounts for both scan-to-scan and subjectto-subject variability, to identify regions significantly activated by the task. To identify genotype effects, the same first-level images were contrasted between genotype groups using a 2-groups analysis of covariance model, covarying for age and sex to further ensure that results indicated differences due to genotype and were independent of these factors. All statistical result maps are shown at an uncorrected threshold of t=2.7. All voxels reported as showing significant effects furthermore survived a multiple comparison correction at the P<.05, corrected, level. For the main effect of task and functional connectivity analyses, whole-brain cluster correction was used. For genotype effects on BOLD response, we used a small-volume correction in hippocampal and prefrontal regions where COMT effects were hypothesized a priori based on messenger RNA expression studies.13,52 The small-volume correction was conducted using anatomical masks created with the WFU PickAtlas (Wake Forest University, Winston-Salem, NC) for regions that overlapped with the main effect of task, resulting in a 35voxel ventrolateral prefrontal cortex (vlPFC) mask and a 59voxel hippocampal formation mask.

FUNCTIONAL CONNECTIVITY ANALYSIS

"Functional connectivity" is a measure of correlated activity, derived from BOLD fMRI data, between a reference and target region that has been used widely in the imaging community as a simple and robust characterization of aspects of functional integration. Converging lines of evidence suggest that this measure reflects anatomically and functionally relevant coupling within neuronal circuitries.53 Our methods to measure functional connectivity have been described previously.^{51,54} Briefly, target regions were chosen from functional clusters identified by the main effects of task. Region of interest masks were created using the WFU PickAtlas for anatomical regions that overlapped with the main effect of task. After mean correction, median activity within this region of interest was correlated on a voxel-by-voxel basis with the preprocessed fMRI time series of all voxels showing significant (t=2.7, uncorrected) task activation, and the resulting correlation maps were analyzed using the general linear model, as described earlier, using wholebrain cluster correction.

GENOTYPING

DNA isolation and analysis was conducted on blood samples using standard procedures. The *COMT* val¹⁵⁸met genotype was determined by 5' exonuclease allelic discrimination TaqMan assay,⁵⁵ which uses the 5' nuclease activity of *Taq* DNA polymerase to detect a fluorescent reporter signal generated after polymerase chain reactions.¹³ The individuals in the *COMT* genotype groups were also genotyped with a panel of 100 unlinked single nucleotide polymorphisms and showed no significant variation in frequency at any of these single nucleotide polymorphisms, including several that have been associated with variation in brain function and show considerable population variability (eg, *5-HTTLPR*, *BDNF*, *GRM3*) (available on request).

RESULTS

SAMPLE DEMOGRAPHICS

COMT genotype frequencies were in Hardy-Weinberg equilibrium in this sample of 101 healthy volunteers. Neither allele nor genotype frequencies differed between men and women (χ^2_2 =0.134). A 2-factor (*COMT* genotype and sex) analysis of variance indicated no significant differences in age, IQ, handedness score, or fMRI task performance, as measured by accuracy and reaction time, between the genotype groups (**Table 1**). Since recent reports have indicated that the 5-HTTLPR polymorphism impacts amygdala reactivity^{45,56-58} and limbic circuitry,⁵¹ the groups were carefully balanced and did not significantly differ in their distribution of the 5-HTTLPR genotype (χ^2_4 =0.130).

COMT EFFECT ON BOLD RESPONSE

Consistent with our earlier reports,^{42,45,57} direct comparison of the face-processing and sensorimotor control tasks revealed robust bilateral hippocampal, amygdala, fusiform, and prefrontal cortex (PFC) engagement across all 101 subjects (**Figure 1**). Subsequent analyses of genotype main effects were restricted to these brain regions. Statistical parametric maps for direct group comparisons of the BOLD imaging data revealed that met/met homozygotes showed greater right hippocampal formation activity than val/val homozygotes, with val/met heterozygotes exhibiting an intermediate BOLD re-

	met/met	val/met	val/val	Total	P Value
Sample size	24	57	20	101	
Sex (male/female)	10/14	27/30	14/6	51/50	.13†
Age, y	27.6 ± 6.55	32.4 ± 10.19	30.8 ± 7.45	30.3 ± 9.07	.10
IQ	106.0 ± 8.56	107.3 ± 9.30	105.8 ± 11.38	106.7 ± 9.52	.77
Education, y	16.9 ± 2.82	16.1 ± 2.4	16.5 ± 2.6	16.4 ± 2.6	.50
Handedness score	0.75 ± 0.42	0.71 ± 0.46	0.62 ± 0.64	0.70 ± 0.50	.68
Task accuracy, %	98.49 ± 3.29	99.35 ± 2.81	99.56 ± 1.91	99.19 ± 2.78	.39
Reaction time, ms	1585.2 ± 449.2	1621.3 ± 318.4	1653.1 ± 241.0	1619.2 ± 338.1	.82
Harm avoidance total score	9.5 ± 5.00	9.3 ± 4.93	9.2 ± 5.20	9.3 ± 4.94	.98
Novelty seeking total score	15.4 ± 3.9	15.4 ± 4.31	16 ± 5.59	15.6 ± 4.47	.91

*Data are expressed as mean ± SD unless otherwise indicated.

†Results from χ^2 analysis; all other *P* values obtained from 1-way analysis of variance.

sponse (**Figure 2**) (**Table 2**). Additionally, met/met subjects exhibited increased right vlPFC activation relative to val/val subjects, with val/met heterozygotes again showing an intermediate effect. The *COMT* genotype accounted for 16% (r=0.403; P=.007) of the interindividual variance in activation in the hippocampal formation and 13% (r=0.359; P=.02) of the variance in activation in the vlPFC. Importantly, these effects were independent of sex and age. There were no significant differences in amygdala activation by genotype even when thresholds were dropped below statistical significance. The reverse contrast (val/val > met/met) at the same threshold did not show significant differences in activation anywhere in the brain.

COMT EFFECT ON FUNCTIONAL CONNECTIVITY

To further investigate genotype effects on corticolimbic circuitry during emotion processing, functional clusters identified from the main effects of task analysis were used to create reference regions in the hippocampus and vlPFC, 2 regions in which COMT is highly expressed.⁵² The amygdala, which expresses relatively little COMT but is densely interconnected with the PFC and hippocampus and thus may be affected indirectly by COMT effects on these regions, was also used as a reference region. Analyses of functional connectivity between these 3 reference regions were conducted with all other voxels significantly activated by the task. Since the 2 homozygote genotype groups (met/met and val/val) were identified as phenotypic extremes in the earlier-mentioned main effects of genotype analyses, functional connectivity analyses were restricted to analysis of variance comparisons between these 2 genotype groups, thus increasing the power to detect genotype effects on functional connectivity and limiting the number of statistical tests.

Analyses of variance using the right vlPFC (Brodmann area [BA] 45) as a reference region revealed greater coupling with the parahippocampal gyrus in met/met subjects relative to val/val homozygotes, as well as bilateral fusiform gyrus. Separate analyses for functional connectivity using each hippocampus as a separate seed region yielded the same results, and so the results were col-



Figure 1. Main effects of task. Statistical parametric map of brain activation during the perceptual processing of fearful and threatening faces. Activations are shown overlaid onto an averaged structural magnetic resonance image. Color bar represents *z* scores for activations. Maps were thresholded at t=2.7; see Table 2 for coordinates and statistical information.

lapsed across hemispheres by using a bilateral hippocampal mask. The bilateral hippocampus reference region revealed greater connectivity with the vlPFC (BA 45) and orbitofrontal cortex (OFC) (BA 11) in met/met subjects relative to val/val subjects. The right amygdala reference region also indicated greater coupling with bilateral OFC (right, BA 11; left, BA 47) and vlPFC (BA 45) in met/met relative to val/val subjects (**Figure 3**) (Table 2). The reverse contrasts (val/val > met/met) at the same thresholds for each of these comparisons did not show significant differences in functional connectivity anywhere in the brain. When we used a left amygdala and left vlPFC mask for connectivity we found no significant results.

CORRELATION OF FUNCTIONAL CONNECTIVITY AND NS

Our results indicate a strong effect of the *COMT* genotype on the coupling of the amygdala and OFC, hippocampus and OFC, and vlPFC and parahippocampal gyrus. Previous research has shown that functional connectivity values from key nodes in emotion process-



Figure 2. Main effects of genotype: met/met>val/val. A, Thresholded (*P*<.05, corrected for multiple comparisons within the hippocampus and prefrontal cortex) statistical maps showing the main effects of genotype (met/met>val/val) during the perceptual processing of fearful and threatening faces. Color bars represent *z* scores for activations. See Table 2 for coordinates and additional statistical information. B, Line graphs represent mean±SEM blood oxygen level–dependent (BOLD) signal change extracted from the right hippocampal formation and ventrolateral prefrontal cortex (vIPFC) functional cluster, respectively, identified in panel A. *COMT* indicates catechol *O*-methyltransferase.

ing circuits, rather than BOLD signal from single brain structures, is a better predictor of temperament traits.⁵¹ Given the putative relationship of NS with dopaminergic neurotransmission,⁵⁰ and the prominent role of the OFC in emotion processing,^{59,60} we performed a correlation analysis between total NS score and both amygdala-OFC and hippocampus-OFC functional connectivity measures.

While NS is not an index of mood,⁶¹ low NS scores represent temperamental rigidity, reflection, reserve, and regimentation.³⁶ There was no main effect of *COMT* genotype or sex on NS scores in our sample. However, there was a significant negative correlation between total NS score and amygdala-OFC connectivity across the whole sample of met/met and val/val subjects (r=-0.373; P=.03),

such that increased coupling predicted lower NS scores. To parse out potential underlying *COMT* genotype effects, we conducted genotype group–specific correlation analyses. These analyses revealed a significant negative correlation in met/met subjects (r=-0.594; P=.009) but not in val/val subjects (r=-0.246, P=.34) between NS score and amygdala-OFC connectivity (**Figure 4**). This effect was more pronounced in met/met subjects than val/val subjects, although differences in the magnitude of this effect by genotype did not reach significance in post hoc comparisons using the Williams-Pearson test, suggesting that differences between *COMT* genotype groups reflect quantitative rather than qualitative differences.

		Side	BA	x	у	z	Cluster Size	z Score	T Score	P Value
		Mai	n Effect	of Task						
Fusiform gyrus		R		34	-74	-13	1302	Inf	13.18	<.001
Parahippocampal gyrus		R		15	-33	-3	1302	Inf	12.50	<.001
		L		-26	-29	-7	1302	Inf	10.69	<.001
Hippocampus		L		-26	-11	-16	1302	Inf	7.85	<.001
		R		26	-11	-16	1302	Inf	6.23	<.001
Amygdala		L		-22	-5	-21	1302	Inf	11.01	<.001
		R		23	-5	-17	1302	Inf	9.24	<.001
VIPFC		R	46	51	34	12	224	Inf	10.33	<.001
		L	47	-41	28	-18	187	5.19	5.58	<.001
		L	45	-49	22	17	187	6.98	7.95	<.001
	Main Ef	fect of Ge	enotype	: met/m	et > va	l/val				
Hippocampal formation (parahippocampal gyrus)		R		15	-33	-7	30	2.97	3.16	.001
vIPFC		R	45	55	22	13	15	2.87	3.05	.002
	Function	nal Conn	ectivity	: met/m	et > va	l/val				
Reference ROI	Activation Location									
R vIPFC	Parahippocampal gyrus	R		26	-26	-7	50	4.59	5.27	<.001
	Fusiform gyrus	R	19	30	-73	-5	32	3.54	3.84	<.001
	Fusiform gyrus	L	19	-34	-73	-5	32	4.00	4.44	<.001
B hippocampus	vIPFC	R	45	52	22	13	13	3.65	3.99	<.001
	Inferior frontal gyrus (OFC)	R	11	38	33	-10	17	3.64	3.97	<.001
R amygdala	Inferior frontal gyrus (OFC)	R	11	38	33	-10	13	3.64	3.97	<.001
	Inferior frontal gyrus (OFC)	L	47	-45	37	-6	13	3.88	4.29	<.001
	vIPFC	R	45	51	19	13	10	3.24	3.47	.001

Abbreviations: B, bilateral; BA, Brodmann area; fMRI, functional magnetic resonance imaging; inf, infinite; L, left; OFC, orbitofrontal cortex; R, right; ROI, region of interest; vIPFC, ventral prefrontal cortex.

*Voxels reported were significant at *P*<.05 corrected for multiple comparisons, as described in the experimental procedures. Coordinates have been transformed from Montreal Neurological Institute (McGill University, Montreal, Quebec) space to that of Talairach and Tournoux.

Given the association of another temperamental characteristic, HA, with mood disorders and with connectivity in an amygdala-cingulate circuit related to the *5-HTTLPR* genotype,⁵¹ we also investigated the relation of HA and the functional connectivity of these limbic-OFC circuits. There was no correlation between HA score and any of the functional connectivity measures across the whole sample. Furthermore, there was no correlation between NS and HA in this sample, confirming that they are distinctly separate indexes of temperament.⁶²

COMMENT

Our results, using a well-characterized fMRI paradigm known to robustly engage corticolimbic circuitry,42,45,57 indicate that heritable variation in dopamine neurotransmission associated with the COMT val158 met polymorphism impacts the functional reactivity of limbic and prefrontal circuitry implicated in the regulation of emotional arousal. Group analyses revealed that met/met homozygotes exhibited greater hippocampal formation and vIPFC reactivity than val/val homozygotes and val/met heterozygotes. The intermediate response of val/met heterozygotes in the hippocampal formation and vIPFC, demonstrating an allele load effect, is consistent with both in vitro thermostability studies indicating that the alleles act codominantly⁶³ and in vivo imaging studies reporting similar allele load effects on PFC activation.^{17,18,33} To examine COMT genotype effects on brain circuitry involved in arousal and behavioral control, we conducted functional connectivity analyses using 3 target regions that were robustly engaged by our fMRI task: the amygdala, hippocampus, and vlPFC. These analyses revealed that subjects with the met/met genotype had greater functional connectivity between the amygdala and OFC/ vlPFC, the hippocampus and OFC/vlPFC, and vlPFC and parahippocampal gyrus relative to val/val homozygotes. Furthermore, the degree of coupling between the amygdala and OFC negatively correlated with NS scores, but only in *COMT* met/met individuals.

Importantly, these genotype effects were observed in a large cohort of healthy subjects carefully screened for lifetime history of psychiatric illness or treatment and under stringent controls for the potential confounding effects of age, sex, IQ, and ethnicity between genotype groups. Moreover, our uniquely large sample allowed us to ensure that COMT genotype groups did not differ in 5-HTTLPR allele frequencies, a polymorphism known to impact amygdala activation^{45,56-58} and amygdala-PFC connectivity³⁹ during this particular task. The fact that the groups also did not differ in allele frequencies at 100 unlinked single nucleotide polymorphisms across the genome suggests that the groups did not differ in terms of genetic admixture.⁶⁴ Thus, the current results suggest that the effect of the COMT val¹⁵⁸met polymorphism on corticolimbic circuitry represents a heritable trait related to the biology of this gene and independent of such non-COMT factors.



Figure 3. Functional connectivity: met/met > val/val. Thresholded (t>2.7) statistical maps showing the functional connectivity associated with the right amygdala (A), right ventrolateral prefrontal cortex (vIPFC) (B), and bilateral hippocampus (C) reference regions for met/met > val/val. Corresponding line graphs represent mean ± SEM functional connectivity values extracted from the peak voxel. For the amygdala reference region, values were extracted from x=-45, y=37, and z=-6; for the vIPFC region, values were extracted from x=26, y=-26, and z=-7; and for the hippocampus reference region, values were extracted from x=38, y=33, and z=-10. See Table 2 for coordinates of all voxels significant at P<.05, corrected for multiple comparisons across the whole brain, and additional statistical information. *COMT* indicates catechol *O*-methyltransferase; OFC, orbitofrontal cortex.

Interestingly, the 2 regions identified in this study as having the largest main effect of COMT, the PFC and hippocampal formation, also show the most abundant expression of COMT, both in terms of messenger RNA density^{13,52} and enzyme activity,^{13,65} thus reinforcing that this functional finding represents changes in COMTmediated dopamine neurotransmission. It might be considered somewhat surprising that we found no evidence of COMT effects on amygdala reactivity. However, our results are consistent with human postmortem⁶⁶ studies illustrating that COMT is minimally expressed in the amygdala where termination of dopamine effects are largely mediated by the dopamine transporter,⁶⁷ in contrast to the PFC and hippocampus. Notably, in COMT knockout mice and dialysis studies of rats treated with the COMT inhibitor drug, tolcapone, cortical dopamine levels were altered while norepinephrine levels were not, implicating dopamine neurotransmission as the basis of our findings.^{68,69} Thus, we have limited our discussion of COMT effects to dopamine-related changes.

Our findings are consistent with a recent study from Smolka and colleagues,33 which found increased met allele dose-dependent activation in the right vIPFC and hippocampus in response to unpleasant vs neutral affective pictures. However, Smolka and colleagues also found increased right amygdala activation during their task, which may reflect differences in task design (discriminating valence of complex visual scenes vs general biologically salient arousal) as well as differences in data processing specifications, which might impact anatomical resolution (12-mm smoothing kernel vs our 8-mm kernel). Additionally, the sample in the present study is almost 3 times larger than the sample of Smolka and colleagues and thus has increased power to detect COMT genotype effects on brain function and allowed us to more completely control for important confounding effects such as sex and genetic admixture.

Our results indicate that the COMT val158 met polymorphism impacts a neural circuitry that has been implicated in anxiety and negative mood. Evidence from human structural⁷⁰ and functional neuroimaging studies,⁷¹ as well as animal lesion models,^{6,72} implicates a specific role for the hippocampus in mediating anxious states and behaviors, as well as regulating responses to arousal.^{7,73} Recent neuroimaging data have demonstrated a role for dopamine in modulating hippocampal-dependent processing of affectively relevant stimuli.⁷⁴ Moreover, a positive correlation between aggressive behavior and hippocampal COMT gene expression has been reported in mice⁷⁵ and the COMT knockout mouse exhibits increased aggressiveness in males and increased anxiety-like behaviors in females.⁶⁸ Consequently, COMT-mediated dopamine increases in the hippocampus could underlie the amplified responsivity to affectively salient stimuli seen in met/met individuals in an effort to contextualize or regulate an affective response. This may render these individuals more sensitive to negative environmental cues, which, though apparently compensated at the level of clinical phenotypes in these healthy subjects, may lead to exaggerated arousal responses in real-life situations. Likewise, the right vIPFC has been implicated in the processing of emotional stimuli and context and has been



Figure 4. Correlation between novelty seeking (NS) and amygdala– orbitofrontal cortex (OFC) connectivity. The graph shows significant negative correlation between amygdala-OFC connectivity and total NS scores across the whole sample (r=-0.373; P=.03). Within genotypes, the correlation is only significant in met/met subjects (r=-0.594; P=.009) and not in val/val subjects (r=-0.246; P=.34). *COMT* genotype met/met, R^2 linear=0.353. *COMT* genotype val/val, R^2 linear=0.06. *COMT* indicates catechol *O*-methyltransferase.

specifically linked to alterations in amygdala reactivity to affective stimuli.^{43,76-82} Thus, our findings potentially reflect a dopamine-mediated bias in met/met individuals toward dedicating PFC resources to the cognitive processing of affective stimuli. Earlier studies of *COMT* genotype effects on prefrontal processing of working memory revealed greater efficiency associated with the met allele.^{17,18} These contrasting findings underscore the potential biological tradeoffs of *COMT* differences in cortical dopamine function depending on the specificity of information being processed.

Recent speculation by Bilder and colleagues⁸³ provides an intriguing model elucidating a potential mechanism by which the COMT val¹⁵⁸met polymorphism may drive corticolimbic reactivity. According to their tonicphasic dopamine hypothesis model, the met allele is associated with increased tonic (and decreased phasic) dopamine neurotransmission subcortically and increased phasic dopamine neurotransmission cortically. While such shifts in dopamine tone are hypothesized to result in increased stability of neural networks, they may also render these same networks inflexible to information processing routines.⁸³ Supporting this notion, Nolan and colleagues⁸⁴ have reported significant deficits in task switching in COMT met allele carriers. Such basic physiologic and behavioral findings have led Bilder and colleagues⁸³ to argue that much of the negative emotionality associated with the met allele is related to this fundamental inflexibility. This argument may also elucidate recent findings that met/met individuals have (1) an adverse physiologic response in the PFC to amphetamine,¹⁸ (2) qualitatively different relationships between cortical function and brainstem dopamine metabolism,¹⁴ and (3) decreased frontal P300 amplitude, putatively indicating decreased "noise" in the PFC and, hence, increased stability (and potentially decreased flexibility) of frontal neuronal processing.85

Previous literature indicates a key role for the OFC in processing emotional salience and changes in reinforcement contingencies and updating and integrating affective information to guide behavior. 59,60,86 Animals with OFC lesions cannot inhibit a prepotent affective response,87 and humans with OFC lesions are inflexible and emotionally disinhibited.⁸⁸ Additionally, there is evidence indicating robust bidirectional anatomical⁸⁹ and functional⁹⁰ connections between the OFC and amygdala, and a recent neuroimaging study has found increased OFC and decreased amygdala activation when down-regulating emotion.⁸² Although amygdala reactivity and associated arousal are unaffected by COMT genotype in our paradigm, the increased OFC-amygdala connectivity seen in met/met subjects during this task may reflect increased sensitivity of OFC circuits to excitatory inputs from the amygdala as a result of a higher signal-to-noise ratio associated with increased cortical dopamine availability in met/met individuals. The hippocampus is also densely functionally interconnected with the OFC, and this circuitry has been deemed "the working memory of viscero-emotional processing."91 Increased hippocampal-OFC connectivity in met/met subjects may reflect increased monitoring of stimulus salience and integrating context with affective response. Thus, in contrast to the demonstrated direct effects of the 5-HTTLPR-associated changes in serotonin function on amygdala reactivity and coupling with the ventral cingulate,⁵¹ our results suggest that the COMT val¹⁵⁸met genotype exerts effects on emotional behavior by altering a complementary circuitry: the functional coupling of the amygdala and hippocampus with the OFC. We suggest that this effect biases the cortical integration and regulation of limbic structures associated with arousal and stress.

A litmus test of the relevance of our connectivity analyses is whether the results can be related to aspects of temperament. In our data set, met/met subjects show greater amygdala-OFC connectivity that is correlated with low NS, which reflects rigidity and regimentation.³⁶ This pattern of connectivity, as well as increased hippocampus-OFC connectivity in these subjects, may reflect relative inflexibility in processing and integrating affectively relevant information (ie, an affective perseveration). We propose that met/met individuals are more easily locked into an affective processing set, reverberating emotional information through this reentrant loop. Such affective processing biases do not necessarily result in dysfunctional behavior, as all our subjects were psychiatrically healthy. However, this implicit processing bias in the context of provocative environments (eg, acute or chronic stressors) may lead to increased susceptibility for negative mood states and affective disorders in COMT met/ met individuals.

There are several limitations of the present study. First, while our task reflects the effect of biologically salient stimuli on the engagement of corticolimbic circuitry, it does not allow for a dissection of neural circuitry reflecting affect-specific processes (eg, anger, disgust, fear). Furthermore, while sample homogeneity facilitated the investigation of subtle contributions of genetic effects to corticolimbic information processing, it limits the generalizability of the findings to diverse populations. Additionally, the blocked design paradigm offers limited temporal resolution, and further examination using more temporally sensitive measures (event-related fMRI or magnetoencephalography) are necessary to disambiguate the time course of activation of specific brain structures during affect processing. Moreover, investigation of interactions between additional functional gene variants in biasing the response dynamics of affective brain circuits, as well as the modulation of these genotype effects by stressful life events, is necessary.

In summary, the genetic predisposition of COMT met/ met individuals to focus attention on a relevant set of stimuli and inhibit interference from other stimuli, while advantageous in the context of working memory challenges, may have deleterious effects in the context of environments representing multiple biologically provocative stimuli that require rapid and flexible processing. This is evident in the COMT met/met phenotype of increased reactivity and connectivity of brain circuitry implicated in generating and regulating affective responses. Additional studies in more diverse samples, including patient populations, are required to further determine the role of such COMT-driven rigidity in corticolimbic information processing contributing to risk for affective disorders.

Submitted for Publication: May 9, 2005; final revision received December 19, 2005; accepted January 30, 2006. Correspondence: Daniel R. Weinberger, MD, Genes, Cognition, and Psychosis Program, Intramural Research Program, National Institute of Mental Health, National Institutes of Health, Department of Health and Human Services, 10 Center Dr, Room 4S-235, Bethesda, MD 20892 (weinberd@intra.nimh.nih.gov).

Financial Disclosure: None reported.

Funding/Support: This work was supported by the National Institute of Mental Health Intramural Research Program.

Acknowledgment: We thank J. W. Buckholtz, BS, for comments on the manuscript, T. E. Goldberg, PhD, for providing psychological data, and J. H. Callicott, MD, for data acquisition support.

REFERENCES

- 1. Grace AA, Rosenkranz JA. Regulation of conditioned responses of basolateral amygdala neurons. Physiol Behav. 2002;77:489-493.
- 2. Karreman M, Moghaddam B. The prefrontal cortex regulates the basal release of dopamine in the limbic striatum; an effect mediated by ventral tegmental area. J Neurochem. 1996;66:589-598.
- 3. LeDoux J. The emotional brain, fear, and the amygdala. Cell Mol Neurobiol. 2003; 23.727-738
- 4. Phillips ML. Understanding the neurobiology of emotion perception: implications for psychiatry. Br J Psychiatry. 2003;182:190-192.

- Otmakhova NA, Lisman JE. Dopamine selectively inhibits the direct cortical pathway to the CA1 hippocampal region. J Neurosci. 1999;19:1437-1445.
- Bannerman DM, Rawlins JN, McHugh SB, Deacon RM, Yee BK, Bast T, Zhang WN, Pothuizen HH, Feldon J. Regional dissociations within the hippocampus memory and anxiety. *Neurosci Biobehav Rev.* 2004;28:273-283.
- McNaughton N. Cognitive dysfunction resulting from hippocampal hyperactivity—a possible cause of anxiety disorder? *Pharmacol Biochem Behav.* 1997; 56:603-611.
- 8. Gorman JM. Discerning disorders and diseases. CNS Spectr. 2004;9:632.
- Gordon JA, Hen R. Genetic approaches to the study of anxiety. *Annu Rev Neurosci.* 2004;27:193-222.
- Seamans JK, Durstewitz D, Christie BR, Stevens CF, Sejnowski TJ. Dopamine D1/D5 receptor modulation of excitatory synaptic inputs to layer V prefrontal cortex neurons. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A*. 2001;98:301-306.
- Lachman HM, Papolos DF, Saito T, Yu YM, Szumlanski CL, Weinshilboum RM. Human catechol-O-methyltransferase pharmacogenetics: description of a functional polymorphism and its potential application to neuropsychiatric disorders. *Pharmacogenetics*. 1996;6:243-250.
- Mannisto PT, Kaakkola S. Catechol-O-methyltransferase (COMT): biochemistry, molecular biology, pharmacology, and clinical efficacy of the new selective COMT inhibitors. *Pharmacol Rev.* 1999;51:593-628.
- Chen J, Lipska BK, Halim N, Ma QD, Matsumoto M, Melhem S, Kolachana BS, Hyde TM, Herman MM, Apud J, Egan MF, Kleinman JE, Weinberger DR. Functional analysis of genetic variation in catechol-O-methyltransferase (COMT): effects on mRNA, protein, and enzyme activity in postmortem human brain. *Am J Hum Genet.* 2004;75:807-821.
- Meyer-Lindenberg A, Kohn PD, Kolachana B, Kippenhan S, McInerney-Leo A, Nussbaum R, Weinberger DR, Berman KF. Midbrain dopamine and prefrontal function in humans: interaction and modulation by COMT genotype. *Nat Neurosci.* 2005;8:594-596.
- Diamond A, Briand L, Fossella J, Gehlbach L. Genetic and neurochemical modulation of prefrontal cognitive functions in children. *Am J Psychiatry.* 2004;161: 125-132.
- Bearden CE, Jawad AF, Lynch DR, Sokol S, Kanes SJ, McDonald-McGinn DM, Saitta SC, Harris SE, Moss E, Wang PP, Zackai E, Emanuel BS, Simon TJ. Effects of a functional COMT polymorphism on prefrontal cognitive function in patients with 22q11.2 deletion syndrome. *Am J Psychiatry*. 2004;161:1700-1702.
- Egan MF, Goldberg TE, Kolachana BS, Callicott JH, Mazzanti CM, Straub RE, Goldman D, Weinberger DR. Effect of COMT Val108/158 Met genotype on frontal lobe function and risk for schizophrenia. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A*. 2001;98:6917-6922.
- Mattay VS, Goldberg TE, Fera F, Hariri AR, Tessitore A, Egan MF, Kolachana B, Callicott JH, Weinberger DR. Catechol O-methyltransferase val158-met genotype and individual variation in the brain response to amphetamine. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A*. 2003;100:6186-6191.
- Weinberger DR, Egan MF, Bertolino A, Callicott JH, Mattay VS, Lipska BK, Berman KF, Goldberg TE. Prefrontal neurons and the genetics of schizophrenia. *Biol Psychiatry*. 2001;50:825-844.
- Enoch MA, Xu K, Ferro E, Harris CR, Goldman D. Genetic origins of anxiety in women: a role for a functional catechol-O-methyltransferase polymorphism. *Psychiatr Genet.* 2003;13:33-41.
- Karayiorgou M, Altemus M, Galke BL, Goldman D, Murphy DL, Ott J, Gogos JA. Genotype determining low catechol-O-methyltransferase activity as a risk factor for obsessive-compulsive disorder. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A*. 1997;94:4572-4575.
- Woo JM, Yoon KS, Choi YH, Oh KS, Lee YS, Yu BH. The association between panic disorder and the L/L genotype of catechol-O-methyltransferase. *J Psychiatr Res.* 2004;38:365-370.
- Tiihonen J, Hallikainen T, Lachman H, Saito T, Volavka J, Kauhanen J, Salonen JT, Ryynanen OP, Koulu M, Karvonen MK, Pohjalainen T, Syvalahti E, Hietala J. Association between the functional variant of the catechol-O-methyltransferase (COMT) gene and type 1 alcoholism. *Mol Psychiatry*. 1999;4:286-289.
- Rujescu D, Giegling I, Gietl A, Hartmann AM, Moller HJ. A functional single nucleotide polymorphism (V158M) in the COMT gene is associated with aggressive personality traits. *Biol Psychiatry*. 2003;54:34-39.
- Volavka J, Kennedy JL, Ni X, Czobor P, Nolan K, Sheitman B, Lindenmayer JP, Citrome L, McEvoy J, Lieberman JA. COMT158 polymorphism and hostility. *Am J Med Genet B Neuropsychiatr Genet*. 2004;127:28-29.
- Reuter M, Hennig J. Association of the functional catechol-O-methyltransferase VAL158MET polymorphism with the personality trait of extraversion. *Neuroreport*. 2005;16:1135-1138.
- Tsai SJ, Hong CJ, Yu YW, Chen TJ. Association study of catechol-0methyltransferase gene and dopamine D4 receptor gene polymorphisms and personality traits in healthy young Chinese females. *Neuropsychobiology*. 2004; 50:153-156.
- 28. Zubieta JK, Heitzeg MM, Smith YR, Bueller JA, Xu K, Xu Y, Koeppe RA, Stohler

CS, Goldman D. COMT val158met genotype affects mu-opioid neurotransmitter responses to a pain stressor. *Science*. 2003;299:1240-1243.

- Mynett-Johnson LA, Murphy VE, Claffey E, Shields DC, McKeon P. Preliminary evidence of an association between bipolar disorder in females and the catechol-0-methyltransferase gene. *Psychiatr Genet.* 1998;8:221-225.
- Ohara K, Nagai M, Suzuki Y. Low activity allele of catechol-o-methyltransferase gene and Japanese unipolar depression. *Neuroreport*. 1998;9:1305-1308.
- Domschke K, Freitag CM, Kuhlenbumer G, Schirmacher A, Sand P, Nyhuis P, Jacob C, Fritze J, Franke P, Rietschel M, Garritsen HS, Fimmers R, Nthen MM, Lesch KP, Stgbauer F, Deckert J. Association of the functional V158M catechol-O-methyl-transferase polymorphism with panic disorder in women. *Int J Neuropsychopharmacol.* 2004;7:183-188.
- Ohara K, Nagai M, Suzuki Y, Ochiai M. No association between anxiety disorders and catechol-O-methyltransferase polymorphism. *Psychiatry Res.* 1998; 80:145-148.
- Smolka MN, Schumann G, Wrase J, Grusser SM, Flor H, Mann K, Braus DF, Goldman D, Buchel C, Heinz A. Catechol-O-methyltransferase val158met genotype affects processing of emotional stimuli in the amygdala and prefrontal cortex. *J Neurosci.* 2005;25:836-842.
- 34. Hariri AR, Weinberger DR. Imaging genomics. Br Med Bull. 2003;65:259-270.
- Heiman N, Stallings MC, Hofer SM, Hewitt JK. Investigating age differences in the genetic and environmental structure of the tridimensional personality questionnaire in later adulthood. *Behav Genet.* 2003;33:171-180.
- Cloninger CR, Svrakic DM, Przybeck TR. A psychobiological model of temperament and character. Arch Gen Psychiatry. 1993;50:975-990.
- Ebstein RP, Novick O, Umansky R, Priel B, Osher Y, Blaine D, Bennett ER, Nemanov L, Katz M, Belmaker RH. Dopamine D4 receptor (D4DR) exon III polymorphism associated with the human personality trait of novelty seeking. *Nat Genet.* 1996;12:78-80.
- Keltikangas-Jarvinen L, Elovainio M, Kivimaki M, Lichtermann D, Ekelund J, Peltonen L. Association between the type 4 dopamine receptor gene polymorphism and novelty seeking. *Psychosom Med.* 2003;65:471-476.
- Pezawas L, Meyer-Lindenberg A, Drabant EM, Verchinski BA, Munoz KE, Kolachana BS, Egan MF, Mattay VS, Hariri AR, Weinberger DR. 5-HTTLPR polymorphism impacts human cingulate-amygdala interactions: a genetic susceptibility mechanism for depression. *Nat Neurosci.* 2005;8:828-834.
- Williams JB, Gibbon M, First MB, Spitzer RL, Davies M, Borus J, Howes MJ, Kane J, Pope HG Jr, Rounsaville B, Wittchen H. The Structured Clinical Interview for *DSM-III-R* (SCID), II: multisite test-retest reliability. *Arch Gen Psychiatry*. 1992;49:630-636.
- Spitzer RL, Williams JB, Gibbon M, First MB. The Structured Clinical Interview for *DSM-III-R* (SCID), I: history, rationale, and description. *Arch Gen Psychiatry*. 1992;49:624-629.
- Hariri AR, Mattay VS, Tessitore A, Fera F, Smith WG, Weinberger DR. Dextroamphetamine modulates the response of the human amygdala. *Neuropsychopharmacology*. 2002;27:1036-1040.
- Hariri AR, Bookheimer SY, Mazziotta JC. Modulating emotional responses: effects of a neocortical network on the limbic system. *Neuroreport*. 2000;11: 43-48.
- Tessitore A, Hariri AR, Fera F, Smith WG, Chase TN, Hyde TM, Weinberger DR, Mattay VS. Dopamine modulates the response of the human amygdala: a study in Parkinson's disease. *J Neurosci.* 2002;22:9099-9103.
- Hariri AR, Drabant EM, Munoz KE, Kolachana BS, Mattay VS, Egan MF, Weinberger DR. A susceptibility gene for affective disorders and the response of the human amygdala. Arch Gen Psychiatry. 2005;62:146-152.
- Ekman P, Friesen W. Pictures of Facial Affect. Palo Alto, Calif: Consulting Psychologists Press; 1976.
- Whalen PJ, Kagan J, Cook RG, Davis FC, Kim H, Polis S, McLaren DG, Somerville LH, McLean AA, Maxwell JS, Johnstone T. Human amygdala responsivity to masked fearful eye whites. *Science*. 2004;306:2061.
- Vuilleumier P, Armony JL, Driver J, Dolan RJ. Distinct spatial frequency sensitivities for processing faces and emotional expressions. *Nat Neurosci.* 2003; 6:624-631.
- Zald DH. The human amygdala and the emotional evaluation of sensory stimuli. Brain Res Brain Res Rev. 2003;41:88-123.
- Cloninger CR. A unified biosocial theory of personality and its role in the development of anxiety states. *Psychiatr Dev.* 1986;4:167-226.
- Pezawas L, Meyer-Lindenberg A, Drabant EM, Verchinski BA, Munoz KE, Kolachana BS, Egan MF, Mattay VS, Hariri AR, Weinberger DR. 5-HTTLPR polymorphism impacts human cingulate-amygdala regulatory interactions: a genetic susceptibility mechanism for depression. *Nat Neurosci.* 2005;8:828-834.
- Matsumoto M, Weickert CS, Akil M, Lipska BK, Hyde TM, Herman MM, Kleinman JE, Weinberger DR. Catechol O-methyltransferase mRNA expression in human and rat brain: evidence for a role in cortical neuronal function. *Neuroscience*. 2003;116:127-137.

- Ramnani N, Behrens TE, Penny W, Matthews PM. New approaches for exploring anatomical and functional connectivity in the human brain. *Biol Psychiatry*. 2004;56:613-619.
- Meyer-Lindenberg A, Kohn P, Mervis CB, Kippenhan JS, Olsen RK, Morris CA, Berman KF. Neural basis of genetically determined visuospatial construction deficit in Williams syndrome. *Neuron*. 2004;43:623-631.
- Livak KJ. Allelic discrimination using fluorogenic probes and the 5' nuclease assay. Genet Anal. 1999;14:143-149.
- Heinz A, Braus DF, Smolka MN, Wrase J, Puls I, Hermann D, Klein S, Grusser SM, Flor H, Schumann G, Mann K, Buchel C. Amygdala-prefrontal coupling depends on a genetic variation of the serotonin transporter. *Nat Neurosci.* 2005; 8:20-21.
- Hariri AR, Tessitore A, Mattay VS, Fera F, Weinberger DR. The amygdala response to emotional stimuli: a comparison of faces and scenes. *Neuroimage*. 2002;17:317-323.
- Furmark T, Tillfors M, Garpenstrand H, Marteinsdottir I, Langstrom B, Oreland L, Fredrikson M. Serotonin transporter polymorphism related to amygdala excitability and symptom severity in patients with social phobia. *Neurosci Lett.* 2004; 362:189-192.
- Blair RJ. The roles of orbital frontal cortex in the modulation of antisocial behavior. Brain Cogn. 2004;55:198-208.
- Zald DH, Kim SW. Anatomy and function of the orbital frontal cortex, II: function and relevance to obsessive-compulsive disorder. *J Neuropsychiatry Clin Neurosci.* 1996;8:249-261.
- Svrakic DM, Przybeck TR, Cloninger CR. Mood states and personality traits. J Affect Disord. 1992;24:217-226.
- Kuo PH, Chih YC, Soong WT, Yang HJ, Chen WJ. Assessing personality features and their relations with behavioral problems in adolescents: Tridimensional Personality Questionnaire and Junior Eysenck Personality Questionnaire. *Compr Psychiatry*. 2004;45:20-28.
- Weinshilboum RM, Otterness DM, Szumlanski CL. Methylation pharmacogenetics: catechol O-methyltransferase, thiopurine methyltransferase, and histamine N-methyltransferase. *Annu Rev Pharmacol Toxicol.* 1999;39:19-52.
- Pritchard JK, Donnelly P. Case-control studies of association in structured or admixed populations. *Theor Popul Biol.* 2001;60:227-237.
- Masuda M, Tsunoda M, Imai K. High-performance liquid chromatographyfluorescent assay of catechol-O-methyltransferase activity in rat brain. *Anal Bioanal Chem.* 2003;376:1069-1073.
- Hong J, Shu-Leong H, Tao X, Lap-Ping Y. Distribution of catechol-Omethyltransferase expression in human central nervous system. *Neuroreport*. 1998;9:2861-2864.
- Revay R, Vaughan R, Grant S, Kuhar MJ. Dopamine transporter immunohistochemistry in median eminence, amygdala, and other areas of the rat brain. *Synapse*. 1996;22:93-99.
- Gogos JA, Morgan M, Luine V, Santha M, Ogawa S, Pfaff D, Karayiorgou M. Catechol-O-methyltransferase-deficient mice exhibit sexually dimorphic changes in catecholamine levels and behavior. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A*. 1998;95:9991-9996.
- Tunbridge EM, Bannerman DM, Sharp T, Harrison PJ. Catechol-o-methyltransferase inhibition improves set-shifting performance and elevates stimulated dopamine release in the rat prefrontal cortex. *J Neurosci.* 2004;24:5331-5335.
- Rusch BD, Abercrombie HC, Oakes TR, Schaefer SM, Davidson RJ. Hippocampal morphometry in depressed patients and control subjects: relations to anxiety symptoms. *Biol Psychiatry*. 2001;50:960-964.
- Ploghaus A, Narain C, Beckmann CF, Clare S, Bantick S, Wise R, Matthews PM, Rawlins JN, Tracey I. Exacerbation of pain by anxiety is associated with activity in a hippocampal network. *J Neurosci.* 2001;21:9896-9903.
- Deacon RM, Bannerman DM, Rawlins JN. Anxiolytic effects of cytotoxic hippocampal lesions in rats. *Behav Neurosci.* 2002;116:494-497.

- McNaughton N, Gray JA. Anxiolytic action on the behavioural inhibition system implies multiple types of arousal contribute to anxiety. J Affect Disord. 2000; 61:161-176.
- Wittmann BC, Schott BH, Guderian S, Frey JU, Heinze HJ, Duzel E. Rewardrelated FMRI activation of dopaminergic midbrain is associated with enhanced hippocampus-dependent long-term memory formation. *Neuron.* 2005;45:459-467.
- Fernandes C, Paya-Cano JL, Sluyter F, D'Souza U, Plomin R, Schalkwyk LC. Hippocampal gene expression profiling across eight mouse inbred strains: towards understanding the molecular basis for behaviour. *Eur J Neurosci.* 2004; 19:2576-2582.
- Kim H, Somerville LH, Johnstone T, Polis S, Alexander AL, Shin LM, Whalen PJ. Contextual modulation of amygdala responsivity to surprised faces. *J Cogn Neurosci.* 2004;16:1730-1745.
- Ochsner KN, Bunge SA, Gross JJ, Gabrieli JD. Rethinking feelings: an FMRI study of the cognitive regulation of emotion. J Cogn Neurosci. 2002;14:1215-1229.
- Keightley ML, Winocur G, Graham SJ, Mayberg HS, Hevenor SJ, Grady CL. An fMRI study investigating cognitive modulation of brain regions associated with emotional processing of visual stimuli. *Neuropsychologia*. 2003;41:585-596.
- Lange K, Williams LM, Young AW, Bullmore ET, Brammer MJ, Williams SC, Gray JA, Phillips ML. Task instructions modulate neural responses to fearful facial expressions. *Biol Psychiatry*. 2003;53:226-232.
- Beauregard M, Leroux JM, Bergman S, Arzoumanian Y, Beaudoin G, Bourgouin P, Stip E. The functional neuroanatomy of major depression: an fMRI study using an emotional activation paradigm. *Neuroreport*. 1998;9:3253-3258.
- Hariri AR, Mattay VS, Tessitore A, Fera F, Weinberger DR. Neocortical modulation of the amygdala response to fearful stimuli. *Biol Psychiatry*. 2003;53:494-501.
- Ochsner KN, Ray RD, Cooper JC, Robertson ER, Chopra S, Gabrieli JD, Gross JJ. For better or for worse: neural systems supporting the cognitive down- and up-regulation of negative emotion. *Neuroimage*. 2004;23:483-499.
- Bilder RM, Volavka J, Lachman HM, Grace AA. The catechol-O-methyltransferase polymorphism: relations to the tonic-phasic dopamine hypothesis and neuropsychiatric phenotypes. *Neuropsychopharmacology*. 2004;29:1943-1961.
- Nolan KA, Bilder RM, Lachman HM, Volavka J. Catechol O-methyltransferase Val158Met polymorphism in schizophrenia: differential effects of Val and Met alleles on cognitive stability and flexibility. *Am J Psychiatry*. 2004;161:359-361.
- Gallinat J, Bajbouj M, Sander T, Schlattmann P, Xu K, Ferro EF, Goldman D, Winterer G. Association of the G1947A COMT (Val(108/158)Met) gene polymorphism with prefrontal P300 during information processing. *Biol Psychiatry*. 2003; 54:40-48.
- 86. Rolls ET. The orbitofrontal cortex and reward. Cereb Cortex. 2000;10:284-294.
- Dias R, Robbins TW, Roberts AC. Dissociation in prefrontal cortex of affective and attentional shifts. *Nature*. 1996;380:69-72.
- Rolls ET, Hornak J, Wade D, McGrath J. Emotion-related learning in patients with social and emotional changes associated with frontal lobe damage. *J Neurol Neurosurg Psychiatry*. 1994;57:1518-1524.
- Cavada C, Co T, Tejedor J, Cruz-Rizzolo RJ, Reinoso-Suarez F. The anatomical connections of the macaque monkey orbitofrontal cortex: a review. *Cereb Cortex*. 2000;10:220-242.
- Schoenbaum G, Chiba AA, Gallagher M. Changes in functional connectivity in orbitofrontal cortex and basolateral amygdala during learning and reversal training. *J Neurosci.* 2000;20:5179-5189.
- Wall PM, Messier C. The hippocampal formation–orbitomedial prefrontal cortex circuit in the attentional control of active memory. *Behav Brain Res.* 2001;127: 99-117.