## Lake of the Woods Soil and Water Conservation District FY08 EQIP

## List the local resource concerns that EQIP can address: Water Quality – sediment (cropland erosion) and nutrients Soil Erosion – streambank, shoreline, ditch banks Invasive/Noxious weeds

Openland habitat Feedlot runoff Groundwater quality – abandoned wells

2. If applicable, list any geographic regions (i.e. watersheds, townships, etc.) and their respective resource concerns within the District to receive priority: Williams Creek and Baudette Bay watersheds – water quality (TMDL – low dissolved

oxygen) Bostic Bay and Zippel Bay watersheds – water quality All other watersheds Openland habitat – adjacent to DNR WMAs and priority townships from DNR list Invasive/Noxious weeds – areas that are not easily accessible Goundwater – countywide Feedlot runoff - countywide

3. From items 1 & 2 above prioritize the local resource concerns to be addressed with EQIP funding for the district. Describe a minimum of 3 categories of the highest priority applications which you would want to receive funding.

Water quality - Williams and Baudette, then Bostic and Zippel, then all other watersheds Soil erosion – Bostic and Zippel, then Williams and Baudette, then all other watersheds Groundwater - countywide

Feedlot runoff - countywide

Invasive/noxious weeds – priority in areas that are not easily accessible

Openland habitat – priority adjacent to DNR WMAs and priority townships from DNR list

4. Develop a minimum of 3 and maximum of 12 yes/no questions to determine if an application is addressing the high priority concerns described in item 3.

1. SURFACE WATER QUALITY- Does the application reduce cropland erosion or nutrient loading to Williams Creek or Baudette Bay? – 10 points

2. SURFACE WATER QUALITY – Does the application reduce cropland erosion or nutrient loading to Bostic Bay or Zippel Bay? – 9 points

3. SURFACE WATER QUALITY – Does the application reduce cropland erosion or nutrient loading in any other watershed? – 8 points

4. SOIL EROSION – Does the application reduce streambank/shoreline or ditchbank erosion within the Bostic or Zippel Bay watersheds? – 7 points

5. SOIL EROSION – Does the application reduce streambank/shoreline or ditchbank erosion within the Williams Creek or Baudette Bay watersheds? – 6 points

6. SOIL EROSION - Does the application reduce streambank/shoreline or ditchbank erosion within other watersheds? – 5 points

- 7. GROUND WATER QUALITY Does the application seal an abandoned well? 4 points
- 8. SURFACE WATER QUALTY Does the application treat feedlot runoff? 3 points
- 9. PLANT SUITABILITY Does the application treat invasive/noxious weeds? 2 points

10. WILDLIFE HABITAT – Does the application establish or manage openland habitat adjacent to DNR Wildlife Management areas or within townships from the DNR Openlands Priority list? – 1 point

5. Assign points to the questions in Item #4 as desired to reflect local priorities. The total points assigned to the questions should be between 35 to 60 points.

See above – 55 total points

- 6. Submit this worksheet to your respective ASTC(FO). After approval from the state office, the questions will be entered into the Local Issues section of the ranking tool.
- 7. List any recommended practices to be deleted from the state Conservation Practice Payment Document

None

The local EQIP program description, cost-share docket changes, and ranking worksheet must be reviewed and approved by the State Conservationist before any EQIP contract is approved and signed.

This document serves as the Local Work Group recommendation for FY 08 EQIP. Attached is a roster of participation in the Local Work Group.

Kelly Voigt

10/1/07

Chair, Local Work Group

Date

Local Work Group Attendance – EQIP 2008

Kelly Voigt - NRCS Jim Corneya – MPCA Mike Larson – DNR Fisheries Molly McGilp – DNR Forestry Ted Dick – DNR Wildlife Josh Stromlund – County Land and Water Planning office Mike Hirst – SWCD Corryn Trask – SWCD Marshall Nelson – SWCD supervisor Roger Krause – SWCD supervisor Patty Beckel – County commissioner Ken Moorman – County commissioner Kim Bredeson – County commissioner