62860

8/27/99 6:48 PM Date: Sender: "bevier_kim" <bevier_kim@email.msn.com> To: 9-NPRM-CMTS <bdavis@eaa.org> cc. Priority: Normal Subject: 9-NPRM-CMTS To Whom it May Concern at the FAA (and I hope that is everyone) Priority: Normal

I am an active flight instructor, charter pilot and president of the local EAA Chapter 1132, who owns a Part 135 operation engaged in scenic cross-country tours. These tours are flown in an Aeronca Champ and to my clients, they are spectacular. I feel certain that I cause no damage to the environment from the sound of my 65hp engine which, at a 1000', is virtuallv inaudible.

The following comments are filed in response to the Modification of the Dimensions of the Grand Canyon National Park (GCNP) Special Flight Rules Area (SFRA) and Free Flight Zones (FFZ). My objections to the proposed expanded SFRA and FFZ's in the GCNP center primarily on the following:

The NPS, with the assistance of the political arm of the FAA, seem to have lost their capacity for critical reasoning. You don't have be a rocket scientist to understand that the noise complaints in the Canyon are caused by the commercial tour operators and their multi-engine aircraft and helicopters.

The noise sources are what needs to be addressed. Directly, by requiring ALL overflying aircraft to meet certain dba noise standards at specific locations on the ground that are threatened.

What this country can do without is any more politically motivated, legalistically obfuscatory chicanery to create more airspace restrictions. Restrictions which can then be established anyplace in the country by anyone with enough 'Campaign Contributions' to prompt a few senators or congressmen to show up at the FAA's doors.

I urge you to completely scrap this NPRM and instead devise mechanisms to directly regulate the source of the noise. The remote sensor technology exists to detect and measure the noise. The radar data exists to correlate the noise to a specific source. The source aircraft can then be inexpensively tested and, if found to exceed the established parameters, fined.

As a teenager back in the early sixties, I was issued a traffic citation by the City of Ann Arbor, Michigan for 'excessive noise' . . . just after pulling out of the public library.

To me, that was a common-sense way to deal with a problem.

Why can't we find a common-sense way to deal with the Canyon's problem? $10 : \eta = d$ is bound

Sincerely,

LENGOO SETT / K. Edward Bevier, CFII & Chief 706 Greene Street Camden, SC '29020