

## Current Status of the HANARO CNS Moderator Cell Design



#### IGORR at Gaithersburg Sept. 12~16, 2005

Yeong Jin Yu, Kye Hong Lee, Hark Rho Kim



### 1. HANARO CNRF Project

2. Moderator & Material selection

3. Moderator cell design

4. Mock-up & Fabrication test

### 5. Discussion





![](_page_2_Picture_0.jpeg)

### Project Period : July 2003 – April 2008

### **Project Scope**

- Cold Neutron Source and related system (CNS)
- Neutron Guides (NG)

5 neutron guides

- Neutron Scattering Instruments (NS)
  - 1. 8 m SANS, REF-V, REF-H: improvement & relocation
  - 2. 40 m SANS, Cold-TAS, DC-TOF: new installation

#### - Cold neutron laboratory

![](_page_2_Picture_10.jpeg)

![](_page_2_Picture_11.jpeg)

![](_page_3_Picture_0.jpeg)

HANARO

High-flux Advanced Neutron Application Reactor

## **Project Milestone**

![](_page_3_Figure_2.jpeg)

IGOBB10

![](_page_4_Picture_0.jpeg)

## HANARO CNRF Project

![](_page_4_Picture_2.jpeg)

![](_page_4_Picture_3.jpeg)

![](_page_5_Picture_0.jpeg)

## HANARO reactor

![](_page_5_Picture_2.jpeg)

### **Reactor Specifications**

- Type
- **Open-tank-in-Pool** Max. Th. Power 30MWth
- Coolant
- Reflector
- Fuel Materials

Absorber

**Heavy water** U<sub>3</sub>Si, 19.75% enriched manufactured at KAERI Hafnium

**Light Water** 

- 7 beam tube
- NAA, HTS, 2 NTD, CN, FTL, 17 IP
- CNS hole : positioned in 51.93cm apart from the core center

![](_page_5_Picture_13.jpeg)

![](_page_5_Picture_14.jpeg)

![](_page_6_Picture_0.jpeg)

### **Thermal Neutron Distribution**

![](_page_6_Figure_2.jpeg)

- When the CN hole is in vacuum, the flux in the CN hole is 44% of the outer core thermal peak
- The CN hole was placed very close to chimney wall
- During the full power operation, the elevations of control absorbers are middle
- The peak of neutron flux appears around 10cm below the middle, CN beam tube is installed at that point

![](_page_6_Picture_7.jpeg)

![](_page_6_Picture_8.jpeg)

![](_page_7_Picture_0.jpeg)

![](_page_7_Figure_1.jpeg)

Light water gap is between the vacuum chamber and the wall of the CN hole
Diameter : Designed 16 cm, measured as 15.67cm
CN beam tube is made by Zircaloy 4 , 5mm
CNS hole is made by Zircaloy 4, 5mm

![](_page_7_Picture_3.jpeg)

![](_page_7_Picture_4.jpeg)

![](_page_8_Figure_0.jpeg)

#### LD<sub>2</sub> for longer wavelength neutron HFR at ILL, FRM-2, OPAL

#### $LH_2$ for small volume JRR-3M, Orphee

Element	Molecular weight	Scattering $\sigma$	Σ <sub>total</sub>	Mean free path
LH <sub>2</sub>	2.0159	20.47 barn	0.434 cm <sup>-1</sup>	2.3 cm
LD <sub>2</sub>	4.0282	3.389 barn	0.086 cm <sup>-1</sup>	11.614 cm

![](_page_8_Figure_4.jpeg)

In case of HANARO, CNS hole diameter is just 16 cm Considering the mean free path, Liquid hydrogen is more suitable for HANARO

![](_page_8_Picture_6.jpeg)

![](_page_9_Figure_0.jpeg)

# Material for M/C & V/C

Material	Density [g/ cm³]	Thermal Conductivity at 300K (20K) [W/m-K]	CTE 273- 373K [10 <sup>-6</sup> K <sup>-1</sup> ]	Cross Section [barns]		Activity
				$\sigma_{_{abs}}$	$\sigma_{s}$	[µCi/g]*
Mg	1.74	155-170	26	0.063	3.7	No
Al Al-Mg(5000) Al-Mg- Si(6000)	2.7	200-238(225) 130(22) 160(21)	23	0.23	1.5	6.4e-09
Zr Zircaloy	6.5	23-24 17	5.9	0.18	6.5	No
Stainless Steel 304	7.9	16(1.9)	16	2.8	9.5	0.005

- The low density materials are more advantageous in the point of the manufacturing
- Small absorption XS and low activity are better in the neutron economic
- High thermal conductivity is more advantageous against the nuclear heating
- Hydrogen embrittlement

![](_page_9_Picture_7.jpeg)

Al alloy is the best material for the CNS

![](_page_9_Picture_9.jpeg)

![](_page_10_Picture_0.jpeg)

# Material for M/C & V/C

#### Comparison of Al alloy

Property	Comparison
Mechanical properties	5xxx ≒ 6061
Tear resistance	5xxx < 6061
Weld ability	5xxx > 6061
Irradiation tolerance	5xxx < 6061

- AI 5xxx alloy and AI 6061-T6 alloy are the most widely used alloys in the CNS
- AI 6061-T6 alloy is superior to tear resistance, tolerance
- Welding is not a difficult matter regarding to the recent welding technology
- adopt the AI 6061-T6 alloy for M/C & V/C

![](_page_10_Picture_8.jpeg)

![](_page_10_Picture_9.jpeg)

![](_page_11_Picture_0.jpeg)

### **Moderator Shape Selection**

![](_page_11_Picture_2.jpeg)

#### **Double cylinder**

![](_page_11_Picture_4.jpeg)

![](_page_11_Picture_5.jpeg)

![](_page_11_Picture_6.jpeg)

#### Double cylinder with open cavity

![](_page_11_Picture_8.jpeg)

Sphere

![](_page_11_Picture_10.jpeg)

**Elliptical** 

![](_page_11_Picture_12.jpeg)

![](_page_12_Picture_0.jpeg)

![](_page_12_Figure_1.jpeg)

#### **Double cylinder**

![](_page_12_Picture_3.jpeg)

![](_page_12_Figure_4.jpeg)

- The inner cylinder shape is changed into an open cavity shape towards the CN beam tube, brightness increase up to 22 %
- It seems that the cavity reduces the possibility of the up-scattering and the absorption of the cold neutron

![](_page_12_Picture_7.jpeg)

![](_page_12_Picture_8.jpeg)

# **M/C Shape Optimization**

- Moderator Cell shape : Double cylinder with open cavity
- Maximum radial thickness : 3 cm
- Liquid H<sub>2</sub> ortho/para ratio : 50% versus 50%
- Moderator cell height : 232 mm
- Moderator cell wall thickness : 1 mm
- Inner cylinder height : 15 cm, aligned with CN beam tube
- Calculated gain factor of the cold neutron (less than 5meV) : 31.7
- Maximum gain factor : 42 at about 11.8 Å

![](_page_13_Figure_9.jpeg)

![](_page_14_Picture_0.jpeg)

# **Nuclear Heating**

![](_page_14_Picture_2.jpeg)

	Specific heating rate (W/g)				Maga	Heating
	Neutron	Gamma	Beta	Sum	Mass	rate (W)
Outer cyl. Al	0.0034	0.4238	0.1503	0.58	360.6	208.2
Cavity Al	0.0039	0.4786	0.2389	0.72	127.1	91.7
Tube Al	0.0019	0.2264	0.0857	0.31	422.2	132.6
Outer cyl. $H_2$	0.9828	0.9482		1.93	87.5	168.9
Cavity H <sub>2</sub>	0.8607	0.7782		1.64	2.4	4.0
Tube H <sub>2</sub>	0.5243	0.4580		0.98	9.8	9.6
others					661.4	13.9
Total (W)	95.7	398.6	120.7	629	1670.9	629

Others are hydrogen and tube above 60 cm, which is the height of the reflector from the core center.

- Heat load is calculated by MCNP code
- Total nuclear heating to be removed is 629 W
- Heat load generated at AI 6061 is 68.8%
- Gamma ray is dominant in the nuclear heating : 63%

![](_page_14_Picture_9.jpeg)

![](_page_14_Picture_10.jpeg)

![](_page_15_Figure_0.jpeg)

High-flux Advanced Neutron Application Reactor

![](_page_15_Picture_2.jpeg)

![](_page_16_Picture_0.jpeg)

Small-scale mock-up test performed at KAIST

Using liquid argon as a working fluid in a mock-up made of Pyrex glass
Argon exists in a liquid phase within a narrow temperature range like LH<sub>2</sub>

	density (kg/m)		Boiling point	Melting point	
	liquid	vapor	(K)	(K)	
Hydrogen	69	1.9	21.6	14	
Argon	1369	8.4	91.3	83.8	

- Visualization of the thermo-siphon in a small-scale mock-up
- No flooding under the maximum considered heat load
- Measurement of the void fraction by fluid capacitance

![](_page_16_Picture_7.jpeg)

![](_page_16_Picture_8.jpeg)

![](_page_17_Picture_0.jpeg)

## Full scale Mock-up Test

- One of major activities of this fiscal year
- Liquid hydrogen as a working fluid
- Real scale fabrication of the IPA (in-pool assembly)
- Confirmation of IPA design and stable operation in the full-scale thermo-siphon
- Vacuum test and cryogenic test
- Measurement of void fraction using the gamma ray densitometer which is on the developing stage

![](_page_17_Picture_8.jpeg)

![](_page_17_Picture_9.jpeg)

![](_page_18_Picture_0.jpeg)

# Manufacturing Test of M/C

![](_page_18_Picture_2.jpeg)

![](_page_18_Picture_3.jpeg)

- Manufacturing of moderator cell, double cylinder at 1.2 mm thick
- Evaluation of the manufacturing capability
- Welding test of the moderator cell
- Good results at the visual and dimension inspection,

non-destructive test, leak test, tensile test 2<sup>nd</sup> test

- Double cylinder with open cavity at 1mm thick
- The visual and dimension inspection is passed
- leak, tensile, fatigue and cryogenic test are in progress

![](_page_18_Picture_12.jpeg)

![](_page_18_Picture_13.jpeg)

High-flux Advanced Neutro Application Reactor

![](_page_19_Picture_0.jpeg)

- Full-scale mock-up test will start in the beginning of Nov.
- Current target is to submit the SAR by the end of April 2006
- Purchase the He refrigerator
- Complete the detail design until the end of May 2006
- Manufacture the system equipments and neutron guide
- Start the construction of the CNL in this year

![](_page_19_Picture_7.jpeg)

![](_page_19_Picture_8.jpeg)