OMB No. 0990-0115



# **Electronic Request for Proposal** SECTION A – SOLICITATION/CONTRACT FORM

OFFERORS ARE RESPONSIBLE FOR ROUTINELY CHECKING THE CMB WEBSITE http://www.niaid.nih.gov/contract/default.htm FOR ANY POSSIBLE SOLICITATION AMENDMENTS THAT MAY BE ISSUED. NO ADDITIONAL NOTIFICATION OF ANY AMENDMENTS WILL BE PROVIDED BY THIS OFFICE.

Purchase Authority: Public Law 92-218, as amended. NOTE: The issuance of this solicitation does not commit the government to an award.						
RFP Number: NIH-NIAID-DMID-02-14	Just In Time:Small Bus. Set-Aside8(a) Set-Aside] Yes[] YesNAICS Code:54172[X] NoSize Standard:500 en		s [X]No	Level of Effort: [ ] Yes [X] No Total Effort: [ ]		
TITLE: Leprosy Research Su	ipport	and Main	tenance of an Arr	madi	llo Colony (Post C	Genome Era)
Issue Date: July 2, 2001	Due Date: October 01, 2001 Time: 4:00 PM, EST			Technical Proposal Page Limits:  [ ] Yes (see "How to Prepare and Submit Electronic Proposals")  [X] No		
ISSUED BY: Paul D. McFarlane Senior Contracting Officer Contracts Management Branch, DE.	[X] We reserve the right to make awards without discussion.					
NIH, NIAID 6700-B Rockledge Drive Room 2230, MSC 7612 Bethesda, MD 20892-7612				ars beginning on or about 06/03/2002		
Offers will be valid for 120 days unless a different period is specified by the Offeror on the form entitled "Proposal Summary and Data Record, NIH-2043" (See SECTION J - Attachments)						
The Official Point of Receipt for the purpose of determining timely delivery is the Contract Management Branch as stated above. If your proposal is not received by the Contracting Officer or Designee at the place and time specified, then it will be considered late and handled in accordance with HHSAR 352.215-70 entitled "Late Proposals and Revisions" located in this Solicitation.						
POINT OF CONTACT Donald E. Collie COLLECT CALLS WILL NOT BE ACCEPTED						
Telephone: Direct 301-496-099  Main 301-496-061  Undated thru FAC 97-21 (01/19/01)		Fax 301-	402-0972		E-Mail DColl	lie@niaid.nih.gov

# TABLE OF CONTENTS

SECTION A -	- SOLICITA	ATION/CONTR	ACT FORM	COVER I	PACE

BACKGROUND

**STATEMENT OF WORK (with attachments)** 

**NOTES TO OFFERORS** 

REPORTING REQUIREMENTS and OTHER DELIVERABLES

SECTIONS B - H -- UNIFORM CONTRACT FORMAT - GENERAL

SECTION I -- GENERAL CLAUSES and ADDITIONAL CLAUSES / SUBSTITUTED CLAUSES

**SECTION J -- LIST OF ATTACHMENTS** 

SECTION K -- REPRESENTATIONS AND CERTIFICATIONS AND OTHER STATEMENTS OF OFFERORS OR QUOTERS (NEGOTIATED)

SECTION L -- INSTRUCTIONS, CONDITIONS AND NOTICES TO OFFERORS

- 1. General Information
- 2. Instructions to Offerors
  - a. General Instructions
  - b. Technical Proposal Instructions
  - c. Business Proposal Instructions

SECTION M -- EVALUATION FACTORS FOR AWARD

#### BACKGROUND / STATEMENT OF WORK / NOTES TO OFFERORS

#### **Background**

# Leprosy Research Support and Maintenance of an Armadillo Colony Post Genome Era DMID-02-14

#### **BACKGROUND**

This Request for Proposals represents an important element of the U.S. Government's efforts to support leprosy research leading to improved diagnostic, treatment and prevention strategies for this disease. In November 1999, the National Institute of Allergy and Infectious Diseases co-sponsored with The Heiser Program for Research in Leprosy and Tuberculosis, a workshop to review current understanding of leprosy and *Mycobacterium leprae (M. leprae)*, the causative agent, with respect to: epidemiology, pathogenesis, detection and diagnosis, host susceptibility and immune responses, pathology including nerve damage, genomics, genetics and physiology of *M. leprae*, treatment regimens and vaccine development.

In May 1991, the WHO declared the goal of eliminating leprosy as a public health problem by the year 2000 (i.e., prevalence less than 1/10,000 population). With effective multidrug therapy of fixed duration, significant progress is being made towards reducing the prevalence of this disease. Since the introduction of multi-drug therapy (MDT) for leprosy in 1982, there has been an 85% decrease in global prevalence, from 10-15 million cases in the mid-1980s to 795,000 registered cases in 1999, or a global prevalence of 1.4 per 10,000 individuals. However, this figure represents a plateauing of leprosy prevalence over the past 4-5 years. In fact, the number of new cases detected each year now appears to be increasing (550,000 in 1985 vs. 795,500 cases in 1999, approximately equal to the global prevalence). There are approximately 20 countries in which the prevalence of leprosy remains well above the WHO elimination goal of 1/10,000 individuals. The reasons behind the currently increasing incidence and plateauing leprosy prevalence despite massive global efforts to diagnose and treat with efficacious MDT appear complex. The difficulty in understanding this situation highlights our collective ignorance on fundamental questions of leprosy transmission and host susceptibility, mechanisms of immune response and nerve damage, and limitations in our ability to diagnose and prevent this disease. Thus, continued basic and applied research is needed in many areas to improve our ability to control and ultimately eliminate leprosy as a public health problem.

Significant new scientific opportunities have recently been created in leprosy research by the complete sequencing of the leprosy genome (Cole ST et al, Nature, 2001 Feb 22;409(6823):1007-11.), and by advances in a number of fields, including but not limited to immunology, cell biology, genetic manipulation of mycobacteria, and neurobiology. Leprosy is a particularly challenging disease to investigate for a variety of technical reasons, including that there is no established *in vitro* culture method for *M. leprae*, the organism doubles very slowly (numbers increase 100x over 7-9 months in the mouse footpad), and the only established animal model of leprosy is the nine-banded armadillo (*Dasypus novemcinctus*). NIAID's current contractors (Colorado State University, N01 AI55262 and Gillis W. Long Hansen's Disease Center, Y01 AI5015) which comprise the "Leprosy Research Support and Maintenance of an Armadillo Colony" contract, have been recognized by both the Heiser/NIAID 1999 workshop and a similar workshop sponsored by the International Federation of Anti-Leprosy Associations in Paris, France in June 2000, as a unique and critical resource for the international leprosy research community. This Request for Proposals is to renew and expand this resource; primarily by increasing the types of reagents it can provide to help meet the current and future needs of global leprosy research in the "post-genome" era.

# OBJECTIVE AND REQUIREMENTS OF THE SOLICITATION

The aim of this RFP is to solicit proposals for: provision of high quality research reagents derived from *M. leprae* or otherwise critical to the leprosy research community (PART I), and maintenance of an armadillo colony to provide *M. leprae*-infected tissues and a nude mouse hind footpad model for provision of high titer preparations of *M. leprae* strains (PART II). Offerors may submit proposals for PART I only, PART II only, or PARTS I and II. If an offeror submits proposals for both PARTS I and II, the two proposals will be reviewed separately.

# Statement of Work Leprosy Research Support and Maintenance of an Armadillo Colony Post Genome Era RFC DMID-02-14

## **INTRODUCTION**:

Independently and not as an agent of the Government, the Contractor(s) shall furnish all the necessary services, qualified personnel, volunteer populations, material, equipment, and facilities not otherwise provided by the Government as needed to perform this Statement of Work.

Specifically, the contractor(s) shall perform the following, as directed by the Project Officer:

# **PART I: Leprosy Research Support**

- 1. Receive and process an average of 5-6 kg per year (total) of <u>M. leprae</u>-infected animal tissues, including but not necessarily limited to liver, spleen and lymph nodes from infected armadillos, in order to supply up to 20-30 qualified investigators world-wide, with a total of up to 10g of pure <u>M. leprae</u>, separating <u>M. leprae</u> bacilli from infected armadillo tissues by the Draper protocol [TDR/IMMLEP-SWG (5)80.3; WHO, Geneva] or other improved methods, and quantitating <u>M. leprae</u> in harvests through, for example, bacillary count and optical density, and estimating bacterial contamination by appropriate methods.
- 2. Optimize existing and/or develop improved procedures for the maximum recovery of <u>M. leprae</u> from infected armadillo tissues and nude mouse footpads.
- 3. Provide both live and irradiated (2 million rads) <u>M. leprae</u> to qualified investigators. Facilities for <sup>137</sup>Cs irradiation shall be available as well as containment facilities for work with and provision of non-irradiated *M. leprae*.
- 4. Isolate, prepare, and distribute to qualified investigators per request and at the direction of the Project Officer: non-degraded <u>M. leprae</u> DNA and RNA (microgram quantities), <u>M. leprae</u>-derived native and/or recombinant proteins (microgram quantities) and protein fractions (milligram quantities), carbohydrate antigens, lipid fractions and lipoglycans (milligram quantities; including but not necessarily limited to <u>M. leprae</u>-specific phenolic glycolipid I and its neoglycoconjugates, lipoarabinomannan, lipomannan, arabinogalactan and peptidoglycan).
- 5. Procure, and/or prepare, and distribute to qualified investigators polyclonal antisera and monoclonal antibodies needed for leprosy-related research.
- 6. Develop, prepare and/or distribute at the direction of the Project Officer, novel reagents to advance leprosy research and control, including for example, but not necessarily limited to: reagents for functional genomic and proteomic analysis of <u>M. leprae</u>, database development and maintenance, recombinant DNA constructs, <u>M. leprae</u> mutant strains and clones, reagents for detection and diagnosis of drug-resistant <u>M. leprae</u> and epidemiologic tracking of <u>M. leprae</u> strains, reagents relevant to predicting, detecting and preventing nerve damage, and reagents and research resources potentially useful in identifying new drug, diagnostic and vaccine targets, and in developing improved leprosy diagnostic, treatment and prevention strategies.
- 7. Perform pre-clinical and clinical research, including Phase 1 and 2 trials, of improved tests for the early detection of <u>M. leprae</u>-infection and/or early diagnosis of leprosy (including, but not necessarily limited to skin tests). Capability to conduct clinical trials in PPD(+) and PPD(-) subjects in the US and in endemic countries should be provided and such studies conducted at the direction of the Project Officer.
- 8. Develop methods for successful long-term as well as short-term *in vitro* culture of <u>M. leprae</u>, building as appropriate on genomic information and new methods for genetic manipulation of mycobacteria.
- 9. Plan, support and conduct workshops, with the Project Officer, to advance leprosy research and research collaborations (once per every 1-2 years on average), in addition to planning and supporting an annual contract reverse site visit in the Bethesda, MD area. The latter should minimally include contractors for both Parts I and II, external advisors selected by the Project Officer with the advice of the Parts I and II contractors, and appropriate NIAID staff.

# PART II: Maintenance of an Armadillo Colony

- 1. Maintain a colony of at least sixty (60) armadillos of the nine-banded species (Dasypus novemcinctus). They shall be young adult animals in healthy condition weighing approximately 3kg. The skin and carapace shall be free from wounds and lacerations. They shall be maintained in accordance with the standards of the Public Health Service Guide for the Care and Use of Laboratory Animals. The animals shall be obtained from areas free of enzootic leprosy infection and/or laboratory-reared, as directed by the Project Officer. Implement and conduct procedures on all newly trapped armadillos to identify the various types of pre-existing infection, including for example, but not necessarily limited to: (1) ear snips for histologic examination to exclude mycobacterial infection; (2) serology for M. leprae specific antibodies to exclude animals harboring the natural infection; (3) skin testing with 1 x 10<sup>7</sup> M. leprae prepared as lepromin A injected intradermally and read grossly at 21 days post injection to eliminate animals naturally resistant to infection with M. leprae; (4) two sets of blood cultures separated by at least one week to exclude naturally occurring bacteremia; and (5) all bacterial growth in these cultures shall be identified according to genus and isolates tested for antibiotic sensitivity.
- 2. Isolate five of the sixty uninfected animals to be used to provide tissues for uninfected control preparations.
- 3. Infect armadillos with human-derived <u>M. leprae</u> and define the source and size of <u>M. leprae</u> inocula. Inocula shall consist of <u>M. leprae</u> obtained from biopsies of human leprosy patients, if at all possible, and propagated as necessary in nude mouse footpads to optimize each inoculum for production of progressive, disseminated infections, as directed by the Project Officer. Otherwise <u>M. leprae</u> harvested from armadillos provided by the contractor shall be used. The patient from whom the inoculum originates shall have been untreated with anti-leprosy drugs at the time the tissue was taken. At least 10<sup>8</sup> <u>M. leprae</u> shall be inoculated intravenously per animal; larger inocula may be used to maximize development of progressive infections in the armadillos. The morphological index (solid ratio) of the bacilli in the inoculum shall be demonstrated to be at the level associated with highly infectious material by simultaneous inoculation of mice by standard techniques. Infected animals shall be kept under safe conditions in accordance with contractor's standard operating procedures and personnel must be protected against possible infection by aerosol particles or contact. Biosafety precautions must be taken in accordance with Federal rules and regulations at all times (currently BSL-2 and ABSL-2 precautions are required).
- 4. Perform the following animal observations: After inoculation, the armadillos shall be examined at appropriate intervals to make sure that they remain in good condition and that any abrasions, lacerations or other wounds are observed and promptly treated. They shall also be carefully examined for signs of leprosy infection. After the development of nodules or other signs of leprosy infection, they shall be examined more frequently. Evidence of leprous dissemination shall be sought, for example by examination of ear biopsies and buffy coat smears or demonstration of significant antibody responses to M. leprae specific antigens. Animals failing to evidence signs of establishment of leprosy infection and dissemination of M. leprae within 9 months of inoculation shall be culled from the colony.

Allow leprosy infections to progress as far as possible, but no animals should be allowed to succumb to their infections. Blood cultures shall be performed on all leprous armadillos prior to sacrifice to assess the likelihood of tissue contamination by other cultivable bacteria.

- 5. Sacrifice armadillos evidencing signs of leprosy dissemination, after demonstrating a persistent decline in their general well being. The timing of sacrifice may vary with individual animals, but shall be adjusted as best as possible to yield at least 10<sup>9</sup> *M. leprae* per gram of liver, lymph nodes and spleen.
- 6. Harvest and process infected tissue as follows: At the time of harvest, animals shall be exsanguinated under general anesthesia and the serum collected and stored at -20 C or colder. Prior to autopsy the animals shall be immersed in disinfectant. The surface of the skin to be incised shall then be scrubbed with sterile soap and water and then cleansed with sterile water. The site of incision shall then be swabbed with tincture of iodine and covered with swabs wet with tincture of iodine for 60 seconds. The excess iodine shall then be removed by swabbing with alcohol. Sterile techniques shall then be used to remove the infected lepromas (granulomas), liver, affected lymph nodes and spleen. Average yield per armadillo shall be appropriately 175 g of infected tissue (140 g. liver; 20 g. lymph nodes; 10 g, spleen; 5 g lepromas) with 10<sup>9</sup> -10<sup>10</sup> *M. leprae* per gram.

Keep each tissue separate and test for sterility by currently accepted methods, for example on blood agar and thioglycollate liquid media or its equivalent. In addition, each tissue shall be tested for freedom from cultivable mycobacteria at incubation temperatures of 37 C and 33 C on Lowenstein-Jensen and 7H10 media; these cultures shall be kept for a minimum of 8 weeks and the culture shall remain free of contamination.

Store infected tissues at -60 C (or colder) and ship to the Part I contractor and other qualified investigators on dry ice, as directed by the Project Officer. Tissues harvested for viable organisms shall be shipped immediately on wet ice. There shall be 20-25 armadillo tissue shipments to requestors in gram quantities per year. It is required that the Project Officer be informed promptly of all anticipated shipments of tissues. The Project Officer shall determine the suitability and appropriateness of all requests for materials. The primary responsibility of the Part II contractor shall be to meet the needs of the Part I contractor's Work Statement, as directed by the Project Officer.

- 7. Maintain animal records as follows, at the direction of the Project Officer. Each animal's record shall be maintained separately so that the information can be made available to tissue recipients. In addition, the results of the sterility checks shall be provided to recipient scientists. The following information shall be provided in the annual reports:
  - a. Number of armadillos acquired each year. Each animal acquired shall be identified by an appropriate numbering system, and the fate of each recorded in (b), (c), (d), (e), and (f).
  - b. Number of armadillos discarded as unhealthy prior to inoculation with M, leprae ( $N_1$ /year).
  - c. Number of armadillos injected with M. leprae (N<sub>2</sub>/year).
  - d. Number of <u>M. leprae</u>-infected armadillos that died of natural causes or were killed because of intercurrent disease (N<sub>3</sub>/year).
  - e. Of the M. leprae-infected armadillos that went to "term", how many had extensive, disseminated leprosy (defined as  $>10^9$  AFB/g in each tissue harvested) (N<sub>4</sub>), or no disseminated leprosy (defined as  $<10^9$  AFB/g in each tissue harvested) (N<sub>5</sub>) or questionable leprosy (defined as  $>10^9$  AFB/g in some, but not all, tissues harvested) (N<sub>6</sub>).
  - f. Of the armadillos that were harvested ( $N_4$ ), how many were contaminated (defined as any individual tissue showing cultivable organisms in the sterility check) ( $N_7$ ) and how many were uncontaminated and could be placed in the tissue bank (defined as having no growth on sterility tests and having >10<sup>9</sup> AFB/g tissue) ( $N_8$ )?
  - g. A table showing the characteristics of the armadillo colony as of the date of the report including for each set of animals inoculated: the date of the inoculum and the letter code for that cohort; the inoculum source and number of AFB; the total number of armadillos inoculated in that cohort; the total number of armadillos lost to complications or intercurrent illnesses; the total number culled (having failed to show signs of disseminated leprosy infection within 9 months of inoculation); the total number sacrificed with signs suggestive of disseminated <u>M. leprae-infection</u> and the number successfully harvested; the number carried forward under the contract and the number showing signs of disseminated infection. This table is to be included in all semi-annual, annual and final technical reports.
- 8. The successful Part II offeror, if other than the incumbent, shall be prepared to receive the transfer of up to 100 armadillos in various stages of infection from the current Part II contractor and shall provide a description/plan for the receipt of these animals at their facility should they be awarded a contract for Part II. These animals shall be transported in fiberglass containers in which they are presently housed or an appropriate substitute, as directed by the Project Officer and in accordance with Federal regulations. The successful Part II offeror, if other than the incumbent, shall also be prepared to receive the transfer (under conditions in accordance with Federal regulations) of all infected tissues and sera collected from harvested animals currently in the repository.
- 9. Maintain a colony of nude mice and the nude mouse hind footpad model for propagation of <u>M. leprae</u>, adequate to meet the needs of both Part I and Part II of this Work Statement for viable, high-inoculum <u>M. leprae</u>, and in accordance with the Public Health Service Guide for the Care and Use of Laboratory Animals.
- 10. Develop data for a range of <u>M. leprae</u> isolates, sufficient for the leprosy research community to agree on and designate a 'reference' strain of <u>M. leprae</u>, including for example, but not necessarily limited to, infectivity and ability to cause progressive disease in armadillos and propagation potential in nude mouse foot pads.
- 11. Conduct research to develop successful methods for differentiating <u>M. leprae</u>-susceptible from <u>M. leprae</u>-resistant armadillos.

Work with the PART I contractor to optimize the recovery of <u>M. leprae</u> bacilli from infected animals including, but not necessarily limited to, efforts to optimize inoculum preparation, infection procedures and harvest of animals with progressive infection.

[END OF STATEMENT OF WORK]

#### **Notes To Offerors**

Leprosy Research Support and Maintenance of an Armadillo Colony Post-Genome Era **DMID-02-14** 

# **NOTES TO PART I OFFEROR:**

Note #1 to offeror: Shipments of M. leprae-infected armadillo tissue will be received from the successful awardee of

PART II of this Work Statement.

Note #2 to offeror: Assume up to 200 shipments of reagents in microgram and/or milligram quantities to approximately

80 investigators per year.

Note #3 to offeror: In order to adequately accomplish Work task #7, funds should be budgeted for travel by the PI

or his/her designee to leprosy-endemic countries to evaluate potential trial sites and establish necessary collaborations. Funds should also be budgeted for travel to one national or

international leprosy meeting for the PI and one (1) other investigator per year.

Note #4 to offeror: Provide a sample protocol for a Phase II study of a new leprosy screening diagnostic test to be

conducted in a leprosy- and tuberculosis-endemic country, for evaluation purposes only.

Note #5 to offeror: It is desired that the PART I Principal Investigator (PI) have an MD and/or PhD or equivalent training

and experience. The PI and key personnel should have combined demonstrated and internationally recognized expertise in the biochemistry, cell biology, immunology and molecular genetics of M.

*leprae*, and in functional genomics and proteomics of mycobacteria.

Note #6 to offeror: For budgetary purposes, the PART I offeror should assume the contract will support and conduct one

Phase 1 or Phase 2 clinical trial of a new diagnostic (e.g., skin test antigen(s)) per year. Phase 1 studies should be assumed to involve 10-20 PPD- and/or PPD+ subjects. Phase 2 trials may involve up to several hundred subjects. Offerors should demonstrate access to PPD- and PPD+ normal, healthy non-leprosy-exposed subjects in both non-endemic and leprosy-endemic countries, as well as healthy contacts of leprosy patients, tuberculosis patients, and leprosy patients pre-treatment and

undergoing treatment in sufficient numbers to meet these clinical trial needs.

# **NOTES TO PART II OFFEROR:**

Note #1 to offeror: It is desired that the PART II Principal Investigator (PI) have an MD and/or PhD and/or DVM or

equivalent training and experience. The PI and key personnel should have combined demonstrated expertise in development and maintenance of: an armadillo colony, a nude mouse colony, and the nine-banded armadillo and mouse hind footpad models of leprosy. The PI and key personnel should also have expertise in safe handling and shipping of infectious agents and infected tissues and in animal

pathology.

# Reporting Requirements Leprosy Research Support and Maintenance of an Armadillo Colony Post Genome Era RFP DMID-02-14

#### Deliverables and Reporting Requirements

The Contractor shall submit to the Contracting Officer and to the Project Officer technical progress reports covering the work accomplished during each reporting period. If the Contractor becomes unable to deliver the reports or other deliverables specified here within the period of performance because of unforeseen difficulties, notwithstanding the exercise of good faith and diligent efforts in performance of the work, the Contractor shall give the Contracting Officer immediate written notice of anticipated delays with reasons.

#### A. <u>Technical Reports</u>

In addition to those reports required by the Statement of Work and other terms of this contract, the Contractor shall prepare and submit the following reports in the manner stated below:

- (1) <u>Semi-Annual Technical Progress Reports</u> by the fifteenth working day of the month following the end of each six month period, the Contractor shall submit three (3) copies of a semi-annual Technical Progress Report, comprising two (2) copies to the Project Officer and one (1) copy to the Contracting Officer. Such reports shall include the following specific information:
- a. A cover page that lists the contract number and title, the period of performance being reported, the contractor's names and address, the author(s), and the date of submission;
- b. SECTION I An introduction covering the purpose and scope of the contract effort;
- c. SECTION II A description of overall progress plus a separate description for each task or other logical segment of work on which effort was expended during the report period. The description shall include pertinent data and/or graphs in sufficient detail to explain any significant results achieved and preliminary conclusions resulting from analysis and scientific evaluation of data accumulated to date under the project; in addition, Section II should contain all reporting requirements described in the Statement of Work and a listing of all reagents requested, prepared and distributed including name and affiliation of requestor, date of request, and amount, description of and date materials sent, a description of current technical or substantive performance and any problems encountered and/or which may exist along with proposed corrective action. An explanation of any difference between planned progress and actual progress, why the differences have occurred, and corrective steps should be provided.
- d. An anticipated work plan for the following six months.
- e. All preprints, reprints, and abstracts referred to in the report shall be submitted along with the report.

Semi-annual Technical Progress Reports are not due for periods in which an annual or final report is due.

Annual/Final Reports – On the fifteenth working day of the month following the contract's annual anniversary date, the Contractor shall submit three (3) copies of each annual report two (2) copies of each to the Project Officer and one (1) copy to the Contracting Officer. Upon contract completion, a comprehensive Final Report shall be provided - two (2) copies of each to the Project Officer and one (1) copy to the Contracting Officer. Each report will provide a summary of the results of the entire contract work for the complete performance period, including all reporting requirements described in the Statement of Work. This report will be in sufficient detail to explain comprehensively the results achieved. A summary of work proposed for the next reporting period should also be included in each annual report. The final report will be submitted no later than the completion date of the contract.

## Each annual/final report shall contain:

- 1. A cover page as described above.
- 2. An introduction covering the purpose and scope of the contract effort.
- 3. A description of the overall progress during the performance period. Descriptions will include detailed information on significant results achieved, any technology developed or improved, and a listing of all reagents requested, prepared and distributed including name and affiliation of requestor, date of request, and amount, description of and date materials sent. In addition, the final report will contain a description of the final status of each work statement task addressed under this contract and all subcontracts. An annual report will not be required for the period when the final report is due.
- 4. Copies of any abstracts, manuscripts, publications.
- 5. Copies of any patents filed or granted to the Contractor for work performed during the course of this award.

# **PART I - THE SCHEDULE**

# SECTIONS B - H -- UNIFORM CONTRACT FORMAT - GENERAL

A Sample Uniform Contract Format may be found at the following website:

http://www4.od.nih.gov/ocm/contracts/rfps/sampkt.htm

[Disregard SECTION I and J of this sample. Those SECTIONS have been incorporated as part of this RFP.]

# PART II – CONTRACT CLAUSES

# **SECTION I - CONTRACT CLAUSES**

- THE FOLLOWING PAGES CONTAIN A LISTING(S) OF GENERAL CLAUSES WHICH WILL BE APPLICABLE TO MOST CONTRACTS RESULTING FROM THIS RFP. HOWEVER, THE ORGANIZATIONAL STRUCTURE OF THE SUCCESSFUL OFFEROR(S) WILL DETERMINE THE SPECIFIC GENERAL CLAUSES LISTING TO BE CONTAINED IN THE CONTRACT(S) AWARDED FROM THIS RFP.
- BECAUSE THIS IS A STREAMLINED RFP, ARTICLES I.2. AND I.3., WHICH IDENTIFY ANY AUTHORIZED ADDITIONS, SUBSTITUTIONS AND/OR MODIFICATIONS TO THE GENERAL CLAUSES, WILL BE BASED ON THE TYPE OF CONTRACT/CONTRACTOR AND WILL BE DETERMINED DURING NEGOTIATIONS.

# ARTICLE I.1. GENERAL CLAUSES FOR A COST-REIMBURSEMENT RESEARCH AND DEVELOPMENT CONTRACT – FAR 52.252-2, CLAUSES INCORPORATED BY REFERENCE (FEBRUARY 1998)

This contract incorporates the following clauses by reference, with the same force and effect as if they were given in full text. Upon request, the Contracting Officer will make their full text available. Also, the full text of a clause may be accessed electronically at this URL: <a href="http://www.arnet.gov/far/">http://www.arnet.gov/far/</a>.

# a. FEDERAL ACQUISITION REGULATION (FAR) (48 CHAPTER 1) CLAUSES

FAR Clause No.	<u>Date</u>	<u>Title</u>
52.202-1	Oct 1995	Definitions
52.203-3	Apr 1984	Gratuities (Over \$100,000)
52.203-5	Apr 1984	Covenant Against Contingent Fees (Over \$100,000)
52.203-6	Jul 1995	Covenant Against Contingent Fees (Over \$100,000)
52.203-7	Jul 1995	Anti-Kickback Procedures (Over \$100,000)
52.203-8	Jan 1997	Cancellation, Rescission, and Recovery of Funds for Illegal or Improper Activity (Over \$100,000)
52.203-10	Jan 1997	Price or Fee Adjustment for Illegal or Improper Activity (Over \$100,000)
52.203-12	Jun 1997	Limitation on Payments to Influence Certain Federal Transactions (Over \$100,000)
52.204-4	Aug 2000	Printing/Copying Double-Sided on Recycled Paper (Over \$100,000)
52.209-6	Jul 1995	Protecting the Governments Interests When Subcontracting With Contractors Debarred, Suspended, or Proposed for Debarment (Over \$25,000)
52.215-2	Jun 1999	Audit and Records - Negotiation (Over \$100,000)
52.215-8	Oct 1997	Order of Precedence – Uniform Contract Format
52.215-10	Oct 1997	Price Reduction for Defective Cost or Pricing Data
52.215-12	Oct 1997	Subcontractor Cost or Pricing Data (Over \$500,000)
52.215-14	Oct 1997	Integrity of Unit Prices (Over \$100,000)
52.215-15	Dec 1998	Pension Adjustments and Asset Reversions
52.215-18	Oct 1997	Reversion or Adjustment of Plans for Post-Retirement Benefits (PRB) Other Than Pensions
52.215-19	Oct 1997	Notification of Ownership Changes
52.215-21	Oct 1997	Requirements for Cost or Pricing Data or Information Other Than Cost or Pricing Data - Modifications
52.216-7	Mar 2000	Allowable Cost and Payment
52.216-8	Mar 1997	Fixed Fee

52.219-8	Oct 2000	Utilization of Small Business Concerns (Over \$100,000)
52.219-9	Oct 2000	Small Business Subcontracting Plan (Over \$500,000)
52.219-16	Jan 1999	Liquidated Damages - Subcontracting Plan (Over \$500,000)
52.222-2	Jul 1990	Payment for Overtime Premium (Over \$100,000) (NOTE: The dollar amount in paragraph (a) of this clause is \$0 unless otherwise specified in the contract.)
52.222-3	Aug 1996	Convict Labor
52.222-26	Feb 1999	Equal Opportunity
52.222-35	Apr 1998	Affirmative Action for Disabled Veterans and Veterans of the Vietnam Era
52.222-36	Jun 1998	Affirmative Action for Workers with Disabilities
52.222-37	Jan 1999	Employment Reports on Disabled Veterans and Veterans of the Vietnam Era
52.223-6	Jan 1997	Drug-Free Workplace
52.223-14	Oct 2000	Toxic Chemical Release Reporting
52.225-1	Feb 2000	Buy American Act - Balance of Payments Program - Supplies
52.225-13	Jul 2000	Restrictions on Certain Foreign Purchases
52.227-1	Jul 1995	Authorization and Consent, Alternate I (Apr 1984)
52.227-2	Aug 1996	Notice and Assistance Regarding Patent and Copyright Infringement (Over \$100,000)
52.227-11	Jun 1997	Patent Rights - Retention by the Contractor (Short Form) (NOTE: In accordance with FAR 27.303 (a) (2), paragraph (f) is modified to include the requirements in FAR 27.303 (a) (2) (i) through (iv). The frequency of reporting in (i) is annual.
52.227-14	Jun 1987	Rights in Data – General
52-232-9	Apr 1984	Limitation on Withholding of Payments
52.232-17	Jun 1996	Interest (Over \$100,000)
52.232-20	Apr 1984	Limitation of Cost
52.232-23	Jan 1986	Assignment of Claims
52.232-25	Jun 1997	Prompt Payment
52.232-34	May 1999	Payment by Electronic Funds TransferOther Than Central Contractor Registration
52.233-1	Dec 1998	Disputes
52.233-3	Aug 1996	Protest After Award
52.242-1	Apr 1984	Notice of Intent to Disallow Costs
52.242-3	Oct 1995	Penalties for Unallowable Costs (Over \$500,000)
52.242-4	Jan 1997	Certification of Final Indirect Costs

52.242-13	Jul 1995	Bankruptcy (Over \$100,000)
52.243-2	Aug 1987	Changes - Cost Reimbursement, Alternate V (Apr 1984)
52.244-2	Aug 1998	Subcontracts, Alternate II (Aug 1998) *If written consent to subcontract is required, the identified subcontracts are listed in ARTICLE B., Advance Understandings.
52.244-5	Dec 1996	Competition in Subcontracting (Over \$100,000)
52.245-5	Jan 1986	Government Property (Cost-Reimbursement, Time and Material, or Labor Hour Contract)
52.246-23	Feb 1997	Limitation of Liability (Over \$100,000)
52.249-6	Sep 1996	Termination (Cost-Reimbursement)
52.249-14	Apr 1984	Excusable Delays

# b. DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH AND HUMAN SERVICES ACQUISITION REGULATION (HHSAR) (48 CFR CHAPTER 3) CLAUSES

HHSAR <u>Clause No.</u>	<u>Date</u>	<u>Title</u>
352.202-1	Jan 2001	Definitions - with Alternate paragraph (h) (Jan 2001)
352.228-7	Dec 1991	Insurance - Liability to Third Persons
352.232-9	Apr 1984	Withholding of Contract Payments
352.233-70	Apr 1984	Litigation and Claims
352.242-71	Apr 1984	Final Decisions on Audit Findings
352.270-5	Apr 1984	Key Personnel
352.270-6	Jul 1991	Publication and Publicity

[END OF GENERAL CLAUSES FOR A COST-REIMBURSEMENT RESEARCH AND DEVELOPMENT CONTRACT – Rev. 02/2001]

# PART III - LIST OF DOCUMENTS, EXHIBITS AND OTHER ATTACHMENTS

## **SECTION J - LIST OF ATTACHMENTS**

The following Attachments are provided in full text with this Solicitation:

## PACKAGING AND DELIVERY OF PROPOSALS (Attached to this listing)

# PROPOSAL INTENT RESPONSE SHEET SUBMIT ON/BEFORE: August 31, 2001 (Attached to this listing)

[NOTE: Your attention is directed to the "proposal intent response sheet". If you intend to submit a proposal, you must complete this form and return it to this office via fax or e-mail on or before the date identified above. The receipt of this form is critical as it contains information essential for CMB's coordination of the electronic submission and review of proposals.]

**ELECTRONIC PROPOSAL SUBMISSION:** Detailed information regarding the electronic process for submission of proposals may be accessed through the CMB Homepage at the following website by clicking on "E-Proposals".

http://www.niaid.nih.gov/contract/default.htm

#### **PAGE LIMITATIONS:**

TYPE DENSITY AND SIZE MUST BE 10 TO 12 POINTS. IF CONSTANT SPACING IS USED, THERE SHOULD BE NO MORE THAN 15 CPI, WHEREAS PROPORTIONAL SPACING SHOULD PROVIDE AN AVERAGE OF NO MORE THAN 15 CPI. THERE MUST BE NO MORE THAN SIX LINES OF TEXT WITHIN A VERTICAL INCH. MARGINS MUST BE SET TO 1 INCH AROUND.

THE RFP FORMS/ATTACHMENTS LISTED BELOW ARE AVAILABLE IN A VARIETY OF FORMATS AND MAY BE VIEWED OR DOWNLOADED DIRECTLY FROM THIS SITE:

http://www.niaid.nih.gov/contract/ref.htm

# APPLICABLE TO TECHNICAL PROPOSAL (INCLUDE THESE DOCUMENTS/FORMS WITH YOUR TECHNICAL PROPOSAL):

- Technical Proposal Cover Sheet
- Summary of Related Activities
- Government Notice for Handling Proposals

# APPLICABLE TO BUSINESS PROPOSAL (INCLUDE WITH YOUR BUSINESS PROPOSAL):

- NIH-2043, Proposal Summary and Data Record
- Small Business Subcontracting Plan Format [if applicable]
- Breakdown of Proposed Estimated Cost (plus fee) and Labor Hours
- Offeror's Points of Contact

# TO BECOME CONTRACT ATTACHMENTS (INFORMATION ONLY):

- NIH(RC)-1: Invoice/Financing Request Instructions for NIH Cost-Reimbursement Type Contracts
- Instructions for Completing Form NIH-2706
- NIH(RC)-7: Procurement of Certain Equipment, (OMB Bulletin 81-16)
- Safety and Health, HHSAR Clause 352.223-70
- Report of Government Owned, Contractor Held Property
- Disclosure of Lobbying Activities, OMB Form LLL

# PACKAGING AND DELIVERY OF THE PROPOSAL

[NOTE TO OFFEROR: Listed below are delivery instructions for the submission of the PAPER copies of your proposal. Instructions for your electronic submission are described above in Electronic Submission Instructions.]

Shipment and marking shall be as indicated below:

#### A. EXTERNAL PACKAGE MARKING:

In addition to the address cited below, mark each package as follows:

# "RFP NO. NIH-NIAID-DMID-02-14 TO BE OPENED BY AUTHORIZED GOVERNMENT PERSONNEL ONLY"

#### B. NUMBER OF COPIES:

The number of copies required of each part of your proposal are as specified below.

<u>Technical Proposal</u>: One (1) unbound signed original and 5 unbound copies, with 10 copies of items excluded from electronic submission requirement that you choose to provide in paper format (SOPs, PERTINENT MANUALS, NONSCANNABLE FIGURES OR DATA, AND LETTERS OF COLLABORATION/INTENT.)

<u>Business Proposal</u>: One (1) unbound signed original and 5 unbound copies.

## C. PAPER COPIES TO:

If hand delivery or express service	If using U.S. Postal Service		
Donald E. Collie	Donald E. Collie		
Contract Specialist	Contract Specialist		
Contract Management Branch, DEA	Contract Management Branch, DEA		
NIAID, NIH	NIAID, NIH		
6700-B Rockledge Drive, Room 2230	6700-B Rockledge Drive, Room 2230, MSC 7612		
Bethesda, Maryland 20817	Bethesda, Maryland 20892-7612		

NOTE: All material sent to this office by Federal Express should be sent to the Hand Carried Address.

NOTE: The U.S. Postal Service's "Express Mail" does not deliver to the hand delivered (20817 zip code) address. Any package sent to this address via this service will be held at a local post office for pick-up. THE GOVERNMENT IS NOT RESPONSIBLE FOR PICKING UP ANY MAIL AT A LOCAL POST OFFICE. If a proposal is not received at the place, date, and time specified herein, it will be considered a "late proposal," in accordance with PHSAR 352.215-10, Late Proposals, Modifications of Proposals and Withdrawals of Proposals (NOV 1986).

# PROPOSAL INTENT RESPONSE SHEET

RFP No.: NIH-NIAID-DMID-02-14

RFP Title: Leprosy Research Support and Maintenance of an Armadillo Colony (Post Genome Era)

Please review the attached Request for Proposal. Furnish the information requested below and return this page by <u>August 31, 2001.</u> Your expression of intent is not binding but will greatly assist us in planning for proposal evaluation.

Since your proposal will be submitted electronically, please include the name and e-mail of the individual to whom the electronic proposal instructions, login code, and password should be provided.

[ ] DO INTEND TO SUBMIT A PROPOSAL [ ] DO NOT INTEND TO SUBMIT A PROPOSAL FOR THE FOLLOWING REASONS	S:
Company/Institution Name (print):Address (print):	
Audress (print).	
Project Director's Name (print):  Title (print):  Signature Date:	
Signature/Date: Telephone Number and E-mail Address (print clearly):	
*Name of individual to whom electronic proposal instructions should be sent:	
Name:	
Title:	
E-Mail Address:  Telephone Numbers	
Telephone Number:	
Names of Collaborating Institutions and Investigators (include Subcontractors and Collaboration) (i	Consultants) (print):
(Continue list on a separate page if necessary)	

RETURN VIA FAX OR E-MAIL TO: CMB, NIAID, NIH Room 2230 6700-B Rockledge Drive, MSC 7612 Bethesda, MD 20892-7612 Attn: Donald E. Collie RFP-NIH-NIAID- DMID-02-14

FAX# (301) 480-5253 Email: <u>Donald E. Collie</u>

# PART IV – REPRESENTATIONS AND INSTRUCTIONS

# SECTION K - REPRESENTATIONS, CERTIFICATIONS AND OTHER STATEMENTS OF OFFERORS

Representations, Certifications, and Other Statements of Offerors or Quoters (Negotiated).

1. REPRESENTATIONS AND CERTIFICATIONS

The Representations and Certifications required by this particular acquisition can be accessed electronically from the INTERNET at the following address:

http://rcb.nci.nih.gov/forms/rcneg.pdf

If you are unable to access this document electronically, you may request a copy from the Contracting Officer identified on the cover page of this solicitation.

IF YOU INTEND TO SUBMIT A PROPOSAL, YOU MUST COMPLETE THE REPRESENTATIONS AND CERTIFICATIONS AND SUBMIT THEM AS PART OF YOUR BUSINESS PROPOSAL.

# SECTION L - INSTRUCTIONS, CONDITIONS, AND NOTICES TO OFFERORS

#### 1. GENERAL INFORMATION

## a. INSTRUCTIONS TO OFFERORS--COMPETITIVE ACQUISITION [FAR Clause 52.215-1 (May 2001)]

(a) Definitions. As used in this provision--

*Discussions* are negotiations that occur after establishment of the competitive range that may, at the Contracting Officer's discretion, result in the offeror being allowed to revise its proposal.

"In writing", "writing", or "written" any worded or numbered expression that can be read, reproduced, and later communicated, and includes electronically transmitted and stored information.

"Proposal modification" is a change made to a proposal before the solicitation's closing date and time, or made in response to an amendment, or made to correct a mistake at any time before award.

"Proposal revision" is a change to a proposal made after the solicitation closing date, at the request of or as allowed by a Contracting Officer as the result of negotiations.

"*Time*," if stated as a number of days, is calculated using calendar days, unless otherwise specified, and will include Saturdays, Sundays, and legal holidays. However, if the last day falls on a Saturday, Sunday, or legal holiday, then the period shall include the next working day.

- (b) Amendments to solicitations. If this solicitation is amended, all terms and conditions that are not amended remain unchanged. Offerors shall acknowledge receipt of any amendment to this solicitation by the date and time specified in the amendment(s).
- (c) Submission, modification, revision, and withdrawal of proposals. (1) Unless other methods (e.g., electronic commerce or facsimile) are permitted in the solicitation, proposals and modifications to proposals shall be submitted in paper media in sealed envelopes or packages (i) addressed to the office specified in the solicitation, and (ii) showing the time and date specified for receipt, the solicitation number, and the name and address of the offeror. Offerors using commercial carriers should ensure that the proposal is marked on the outermost wrapper with the information in paragraphs (c)(1)(i) and (c)(1)(ii) of this provision.
  - (1) The first page of the proposal must show--
    - (i) The solicitation number;
    - (ii) The name, address, and telephone and facsimile numbers of the offeror (and electronic address if available);
    - (iii) A statement specifying the extent of agreement with all terms, conditions, and provisions included in the solicitation and agreement to furnish any or all items upon which prices are offered at the price set opposite each item;
    - (iv) Names, titles, and telephone and facsimile numbers (and electronic addresses if available) of persons authorized to negotiate on the offeror's behalf with the Government in connection with this solicitation; and
    - (v) Name, title, and signature of person authorized to sign the proposal. Proposals signed by an agent shall be accompanied by evidence of that agent's authority, unless that evidence has been previously furnished to the issuing office.
  - (2) Submission, modification, revision, and withdrawal of proposals. (i) Offerors are responsible for submitting proposals, and any modifications or revisions, so as to reach the Government office designated in the solicitation by the time specified in the solicitation. If no time is specified in the solicitation, the time for receipt is 4:30 p.m., local time, for the designated Government office on the date that proposal or revision is due.
    - (ii) (A) Any proposal, modification, or revision received at the Government office designated in the solicitation after the exact time specified for receipt of offers is "late" and will not be considered unless it

is received before award is made, the Contracting Officer determines that accepting the late offer would not unduly delay the acquisition; and--

- (1) If it was transmitted through an electronic commerce method authorized by the solicitation, it was received at the initial point of entry to the Government infrastructure not later than 5:00 p.m. one working day prior to the date specified for receipt of proposals; or
- (2) There is acceptable evidence to establish that it was received at the Government installation designated for receipt of offers and was under the Government's control prior to the time set for receipt of offers; or
- (3) It is the only proposal received.
- (B) However, a late modification of an otherwise successful proposal that makes its terms more favorable to the Government will be considered at any time it is received and may be accepted.
- (iii) Acceptable evidence to establish the time of receipt at the Government installation includes the time/date stamp of that installation on the proposal wrapper, other documentary evidence of receipt maintained by the installation, or oral testimony or statements of Government personnel.
- (iv) If an emergency or unanticipated event interrupts normal Government processes so that proposals cannot be received at the office designated for receipt of proposals by the exact time specified in the solicitation, and urgent Government requirements preclude amendment of the solicitation, the time specified for receipt of proposals will be deemed to be extended to the same time of day specified in the solicitation on the first work day on which normal Government processes resume.
- (v) Proposals may be withdrawn by written notice received at any time before award. Oral proposals in response to oral solicitations may be withdrawn orally. If the solicitation authorizes facsimile proposals, proposals may be withdrawn via facsimile received at any time before award, subject to the conditions specified in the provision at 52.215-5, Facsimile Proposals. Proposals may be withdrawn in person by an offeror or an authorized representative, if the identity of the person requesting withdrawal is established and the person signs a receipt for the proposal before award.
- (3) Unless otherwise specified in the solicitation, the offeror may propose to provide any item or combination of items.
- (4) Offerors shall submit proposals in response to this solicitation in English, unless otherwise permitted by the solicitation, and in U.S. dollars, unless the provision at FAR 52.225-17, Evaluation of Foreign Currency Offers, is included in the solicitation.
- (5) Offerors may submit modifications to their proposals at any time before the solicitation closing date and time, and may submit modifications in response to an amendment, or to correct a mistake at any time before award.
- (6) Offerors may submit revised proposals only if requested or allowed by the Contracting Officer.
- (7) Proposals may be withdrawn at any time before award. Withdrawals are effective upon receipt of notice by the Contracting Officer.
- (d) Offer expiration date. Proposals in response to this solicitation will be valid for the number of days specified on the solicitation cover sheet (unless a different period is proposed by the offeror).

# [Note: In accordance with HHSAR 352.215-1, the following paragraph (e) is substituted for the subparagraph (e) of the provision at FAR 52.215-1.]

(e) Restriction on disclosure and use of data. (1) The proposal submitted in response to this request may contain data (trade secrets; business data, e.g., commercial information, financial information, and cost and pricing data; and technical data) which the offeror, including its prospective subcontractor(s), does not want used or disclosed for any purpose other than for evaluation of the proposal. The use and disclosure of any data may be so restricted; provided, that the Government determines that the data is not required to be disclosed under the Freedom of Information Act, 5 U.S.C. 552, as amended, and the offeror marks the cover sheet of the proposal with the following legend, specifying the particular portions of the proposal which are to be restricted in accordance with the conditions of the legend. The Government's determination to withhold or disclose a record will be based upon the particular circumstances involving the record in question and whether the record may be exempted from disclosure under the Freedom of Information Act. The legend reads:

Unless disclosure is required by the Freedom of Information Act, 5 U.S.C. 552, as amended, (the Act) as determined by Freedom of Information (FOI) officials of the Department of Health and Human Services, data contained in the portions of this proposal which have been specifically identified by page number, paragraph, etc. by the offeror as containing restricted information shall not be used or disclosed except for evaluation purposes.

The offeror acknowledges that the Department may not be able to withhold a record (data, document, etc.) nor deny access to a record requested pursuant to the Act and that the Department's FOI officials must make that determination. The offeror hereby agrees that the Government is not liable for disclosure if the Department has determined that disclosure is required by the Act.

If a contract is awarded to the offeror as a result of, or in connection with, the submission of this proposal, the Government shall have right to use or disclose the data to the extent provided in the contract. Proposals not resulting in a contract remain subject to the Act.

The offeror also agrees that the Government is not liable for disclosure or use of unmarked data and may use or disclose the data for any purpose, including the release of the information pursuant to requests under the Act. The data subject to this restriction are contained in pages (insert page numbers, paragraph designations, etc. or other identification).

(2) In addition, the offeror should mark each page of data it wishes to restrict with the following statement:

"Use or disclosure of data contained on this page is subject to the restriction on the cover sheet of this proposal or quotation."

(3) Offerors are cautioned that proposals submitted with restrictive legends or statements differing in substance from the above legend may not be considered for award. The Government reserves the right to reject any proposal submitted with a nonconforming legend.

#### (f) Contract award.

- (1) The Government intends to award a contract or contracts resulting from this solicitation to the responsible offeror(s) whose proposal(s) represent the best value after evaluation in accordance with the factors and subfactors in the solicitation.
- (2) The Government may reject any or all proposals if such action is in the Government's interest.
- (3) The Government may waive informalities and minor irregularities in proposals received.
- (4) The Government intends to evaluate proposals and award a contract without discussions with offerors (except clarifications as described in FAR 15.306(a)). Therefore, the offeror's initial proposal should contain the offeror's best terms from a cost or price and technical standpoint. The Government reserves the right to conduct discussions if the Contracting Officer later determines them to be necessary. If the Contracting Officer determines that the number of proposals that would otherwise be in the competitive range exceeds the number at which an efficient competition can be conducted, the Contracting Officer may limit the number of proposals in the competitive range to the greatest number that will permit an efficient competition among the most highly rated proposals.
- (5) The Government reserves the right to make an award on any item for a quantity less than the quantity offered, at the unit cost or prices offered, unless the offeror specifies otherwise in the proposal.
- (6) The Government reserves the right to make multiple awards if, after considering the additional administrative costs, it is in the Government's best interest to do so.

- (7) Exchanges with offerors after receipt of a proposal do not constitute a rejection or counteroffer by the Government.
- (8) The Government may determine that a proposal is unacceptable if the prices proposed are materially unbalanced between line items or sub-line items. Unbalanced pricing exists when, despite an acceptable total evaluated price, the price of one or more contract line items is significantly overstated or understated as indicated by the application of cost or price analysis techniques. A proposal may be rejected if the Contracting Officer determines that the lack of balance poses an unacceptable risk to the Government.
- (9) If a cost realism analysis is performed, cost realism may be considered by the source selection authority in evaluating performance or schedule risk.
- (10) A written award or acceptance of proposal mailed or otherwise furnished to the successful offeror within the time specified in the proposal shall result in a binding contract without further action by either party.
- (11) The Government may disclose the following information in post-award debriefings to other offerors:
  - (i) The overall evaluated cost or price and technical rating of the successful offeror;
  - (ii) The overall ranking of all offerors, when any ranking was developed by the agency during source selection;
  - (iii) A summary of the rationale for award; and
  - (iv) For acquisitions of commercial items, the make and model of the item to be delivered by the successful offeror.

(End of Provision)

**Alternate I** (October 1997). As prescribed in 15.209(a)(1), substitute the following paragraph (f)(4) for paragraph (f)(4) of the basic provision:

(f) (4) The Government intends to evaluate proposals and award a contract after conducting discussions with offerors whose proposals have been determined to be within the competitive range. If the Contracting Officer determines that the number of proposals that would otherwise be in the competitive range exceeds the number at which an efficient competition can be conducted, the Contracting Officer may limit the number of proposals in the competitive range to the greatest number that will permit an efficient competition among the most highly rated proposals. Therefore, the offeror's initial proposal should contain the offeror's best terms from a price and technical standpoint.

#### b. NAICS CODE AND SIZE STANDARD

- (1) The North American Industry Classification System (NAICS) code for this acquisition is 54172.
- (2) The small business size standard is 500 employees.

THIS REQUIREMENT IS NOT SET-ASIDE FOR SMALL BUSINESS. However, the Federal Acquisition Regulation (FAR) requires in every solicitation, (except for foreign acquisitions) the inclusion of the North American Industry Classification System (NAICS) Code and corresponding size standard which best describes the nature of the requirement in the solicitation.

# c. NOTICE OF PRICE EVALUATION ADJUSTMENT FOR SMALL DISADVANTAGED BUSINESS CONCERNS

In accordance with FAR Clause 52.219-23, Notice of Price Evaluation Adjustment for Small Disadvantaged Business Concerns, incorporated in Section I.3., offerors will be evaluated by adding a factor of <u>0</u> percent to the price of all offers, except offers from small disadvantaged business concerns that have not waived the adjustment. (Note: A listing of other offerors who are excepted and will not have this evaluation factor added to their offer may be found in subparagraph (b) of FAR Clause 52.219-23.

A small disadvantaged business concern may elect to waive the adjustment, in which case the factor will be added to its offer for evaluation purposes. The agreements in paragraph (d) of FAR Clause 52.219-23 do not apply to offerors that waive the adjustment.

# AN OFFEROR WHO ELECTS TO WAIVE THIS EVALUATION ADJUSTMENT MUST SPECIFICALLY INDICATE WITH A STATEMENT TO THIS EFFECT ON THE COVER PAGE OF ITS BUSINESS PROPOSAL.

#### d. TYPE OF CONTRACT AND NUMBER OF AWARD(S)

It is anticipated that MULTIPLE AWARDS will be made from this solicitation and that the awards will be made on/about June 3, 2002.

It is anticipated that the award(s) from this solicitation will be a multiple-year COST REIMBURSEMENT type COMPLETION contract with a TERM OF <u>SEVEN</u> YEARS, and that incremental funding will be used [see Section L.2.c. Business Proposal Instructions].

#### e. ESTIMATE OF EFFORT

It is expected that a completion type contract will be awarded as a result of this RFP. To assist you in the preparation of your proposal, the Government considers the combined total 7-year effort to be approximately 65,000-70,000 labor hours (Part I 30,000-31,000 and Part II 36,000-37,000). This information is furnished for the offeror's information only and is not to be considered restrictive for proposal purposes.

#### f. COMMITMENT OF PUBLIC FUNDS

The Contracting Officer is the only individual who can legally commit the Government to the expenditure of public funds in connection with the proposed procurement. Any other commitment, either explicit or implied, is invalid.

# g. COMMUNICATIONS PRIOR TO CONTRACT AWARD

Offerors shall direct all communications to the attention of the Contract Specialist or Contracting Officer cited on the face page of this RFP. Communications with other officials may compromise the competitiveness of this acquisition and result in cancellation of the requirement.

#### h. RELEASE OF INFORMATION

Contract selection and award information will be disclosed to offerors in accordance with regulations applicable to negotiated acquisition. Prompt written notice will be given to unsuccessful offerors as they are eliminated from the competition, and to all offerors following award.

#### i. COMPARATIVE IMPORTANCE OF PROPOSALS

You are advised that paramount consideration shall be given to the evaluation of technical proposals. All evaluation factors other than cost or price, when combined, are [significantly more important than cost or price/approximately equal to cost or price/significantly less important than cost or price]. The relative importance of the evaluation factors is specified in SECTION M of this solicitation. However, the Government reserves the right to make an award to the best advantage of the Government, cost and other factors considered.

# j. PREPARATION COSTS

This RFP does not commit the Government to pay for the preparation and submission of a proposal.

#### k. **SERVICE OF PROTEST** (AUGUST 1996) - FAR 52.233-2

(a) Protests, as defined in section 33.101 of the Federal Acquisition Regulation, that are filed directly with an agency, and copies of any protests that are filed with the General Accounting Office (GAO), shall be served on the Contracting Officer (addressed as follows) by obtaining written and dated acknowledgment of receipt from:

Brenda J. Velez Contracting Officer Contract Management Branch, DEA National Institute of Allergy and Infectious Diseases 6700-B Rockledge Drive, Room 2230, MSC 7612 BETHESDA MD 20892-7612

(b) The copy of any protest shall be received in the office designated above within one day of filing a protest with the GAO.

(End of Provision)

#### 1. LATE PROPOSALS AND REVISIONS, HHSAR 352.215-70

Notwithstanding the procedures contained in FAR 52.215-1(c)(3) of the provision of this solicitation entitled Instructions to Offerors—Competitive Acquisition, a proposal received after the date specified for receipt may be considered if it offers significant cost or technical advantages to the Government; and it was received before proposals were distributed for evaluation, or within five calendar days after the exact time specified for receipt, whichever is earlier.

(End of provision)

# m. COMPLIANCE WITH SECTION 508 OF THE REHABILITATION ACT OF 1973 (29 U.S.C. 794d) AS AMENDED BY THE WORKFORCE INVESTMENT ACT OF 1998, PUBLIC LAW 105-202 OF THE AMERICANS WITH DISABILITIES ACT 36 CFR Part 1194.

All Electronic and Information Technology (EIT) that is specified as deliverable in the Statement of Work (or a component of a deliverable) under this solicitation must be in compliance with Section 508. Guidelines and standards for compliance can be found in the Access Board's Final Rules at: <a href="http://www.access-board.gov/news/508-final.htm">http://www.access-board.gov/news/508-final.htm</a>.

Any EIT products and services represented in the Electronic & Information Technology Accessibility Standards that are less than fully compliant are acceptable only if the Government has determined that there are no fully compliant products available on the open market.

For any EIT products and services, offeror's proposals must address the applicable EIT Accessibility Standards (see above for internet address).

(End of provision)

#### 2. INSTRUCTIONS TO OFFERORS

#### GENERAL INSTRUCTIONS

#### INTRODUCTION

The following instructions will establish the acceptable minimum requirements for the format and contents of proposals. Special attention is directed to the requirements for technical and business proposals to be submitted in accordance with these instructions.

# (1) Contract Type and General Clauses

It is contemplated that a [cost-reimbursement (completion/level of effort)/fixed price] type contract will be awarded. (See General Information) Any resultant contract shall include the clauses applicable to the selected offeror's organization and type of contract awarded as required by Public Law, Executive Order, or acquisition regulations in effect at the time of execution of the proposed contract.

# (2) Authorized Official and Submission of Proposal

The proposal must be signed by an official authorized to bind your organization and must stipulate that it is predicated upon all the terms and conditions of this RFP. Your proposal shall be submitted in the number of copies, to the addressees, and marked as indicated in the Attachment entitled, PACKAGING AND DELIVERY OF PROPOSAL, Part III, Section J hereof. Proposals will be typewritten, paginated, reproduced on letter size paper and will be legible in all required copies. To expedite the proposal evaluation, all documents required for responding to the RFP should be placed in the following order:

#### I. COVER PAGE

Include RFP title, number, name of organization, identification of the proposal part, and indicate whether the proposal is an original or a copy.

#### II. TECHNICAL PROPOSAL

It is recommended that the technical proposal consist of a cover page, a table of contents, and the information requested in the Technical Proposal Instructions and as specified in SECTION J, List of Attachments.

### III. BUSINESS PROPOSAL

It is recommended that the business proposal consist of a cover page, a table of contents, and the information requested in the Business Proposal Instructions and as specified in SECTION J, List of Attachments.

#### (3) Proposal Summary and Data Record (NIH-2043)

The Offeror must complete the Form NIH-2043, attached, with particular attention to the length of time the proposal is firm and the designation of those personnel authorized to conduct negotiations. (See Section J, Attachment entitled, PROPOSAL SUMMARY AND DATA RECORD).

#### (4) Separation of Technical and Business Proposals

The proposal must be prepared in two parts: a "Technical Proposal" and a "Business Proposal." Each of the parts shall be separate and complete in itself so that evaluation of one may be accomplished independently of, and concurrently with, evaluation of the other. The technical proposal must include direct cost and resources information, such as labor-hours and categories and applicable rates, materials, subcontracts, travel, etc., and associated costs so that the offeror's understanding of the project may be evaluated (See Attachment entitled, TECHNICAL PROPOSAL COST INFORMATION/SUMMARY OF LABOR AND DIRECT COSTS).) However,

the technical proposal should **not** include pricing data relating to individual salary information, indirect cost rates or amounts, fee amounts (if any)., and total costs. The technical proposal should disclose your technical approach in as much detail as possible, including, but not limited to, the requirements of the technical proposal instructions.

## (5) Alternate Proposals

You may, at your discretion, submit alternate proposals, or proposals which deviate from the requirements; provided, that you also submit a proposal for performance of the work as specified in the statement of work. Such proposals may be considered if overall performance would be improved or not compromised and if they are in the best interests of the Government. Alternative proposals, or deviations from any requirements of this RFP, shall be clearly identified.

#### (6) Evaluation of Proposals

The Government will evaluate technical proposals in accordance with the criteria set forth in PART IV, SECTION M of this RFP.

#### (7) Potential Award Without Discussions

The Government reserves the right to award a contract without discussions if the Contracting Officer determines that the initial prices are fair and reasonable and that discussions are not necessary.

#### (8) Use of the Metric System of Measurement

It is the policy of the Department of Health and Human Services to support the Federal transition to the metric system and to use the metric system of measurement in all procurements, grants, and other business related activities unless such use is impracticable or is likely to cause significant inefficiencies.

The offeror is encouraged to prepare their proposal using either "Hard Metric," "Soft Metric," or "Dual Systems" of measurement. The following definitions are provided for your information:

**Hard Metric** - The replacement of a standard inch-pound size with an accepted metric size for a particular purpose. An example of size substitution might be: selling or packaging liquids by the liter instead of by the pint or quart (as for soft drinks), or instead of by the gallon (as for gasoline).

**Soft Metric** - The result of a mathematical conversion of inch-pound measurements to metric equivalents for a particular purpose. The physical characteristics are not changed.

**Dual Systems** - The use of both inch-pound and metric systems. For example, an item is designed, produced, and described in inch-pound values with soft metric values also shown for information or comparison purposes.

#### (9) Care of Live Vertebrate Animals

The following notice is applicable when contract performance is expected to involve care of live vertebrate animals:

# Notice to Offerors of Requirement for Adequate Assurance of Protection of Vertebrate Animal Subjects - (SEPTEMBER 1985)

The Public Health Service (PHS) Policy on Human Care and Use of Laboratory Animals establishes a number of requirements for research activities involving animals. Before a PHS award may be made to an applicant organization, the organization shall file, with the Office of Extramural Research (OER), Office of Laboratory Animal Welfare (OLAW), National Institutes of Health (NIH), PHS, a written Animal Welfare Assurance which commits the organization to comply with the provisions of the PHS Policy on Humane Care and Use of Laboratory Animals by Awardee Institutions, the Animal Welfare Act, and the Guide for the Care and Use of Laboratory Animals prepared by the Institute of Laboratory Animal Resources. In accordance with the PHS Policy on Humane

Care and Use of Laboratory Animals by Awardee Institutions, applicant organizations must establish a committee, qualified through the experience and expertise of its members, to oversee the institution's animal program, facilities and procedures. No PHS award involving the use of animals shall be made unless the Animal Welfare Assurance has been approved by OER. Prior to award, the Contracting Officer will notify Contractor(s) selected for projects that involve live vertebrate animals that an Animal Welfare Assurance is required. The Contracting Officer will request that OER, OLAW negotiate an acceptable Animal Welfare Assurance with those Contractor(s). For further information, OER, OLAW, may be contacted at Rockledge Center I - Suite 1050, 6705 Rockledge Drive, Bethesda, MD 20817, (301) 496-7163, ext 234. FAX copies are of the PHS Policy are available at (301) 402-2803. This policy is also available on the Internet at http://www.grants.nih.gov/grants/olaw/olaw.htm.

## (10) Privacy Act

The Privacy Act of 1974 (P.L. 93-579) requires that a Federal agency advise each individual whom it asks to supply information, the authority which authorizes the solicitation, whether disclosure is voluntary or mandatory, the principal purpose or purposes for which the information is intended to be used, the uses outside the agency which may be made of the information, and the effects on the individual, if any, of not providing all or any part of the requested information.

The NIH is requesting the information called for in this RFP pursuant to the authority provided by Sec. 301(a)(7) of the Public Health Service Act, as amended, and P.L. 92-218, as amended.

Providing the information requested is entirely voluntary. The collection of this information is for the purpose of conducting an accurate, fair, and adequate review prior to a discussion as to whether to award a contract.

Failure to provide any or all of the requested information may result in a less than adequate review.

In addition, the Privacy Act of 1974 (P.L. 93-579, Section 7) requires that the following information be provided when individuals are requested to disclose their social security number.

Provision of the social security number is voluntary. Social security numbers are requested for the purpose of accurate and efficient identification, referral, review and management of NIH contracting programs. Authority for requesting this information is provided by Section 301 and Title IV of the PHS Act, as amended.

The information provided by you may be routinely disclosed for the following purposes:

- to the cognizant audit agency and the General Accounting Office for auditing.
- to the Department of Justice as required for litigation.
- to respond to congressional inquiries.
- to qualified experts, not within the definition of Department employees, for opinions as a part of the review process.

#### (11) Selection of Offerors

- a) The acceptability of the scientific and technical portion of each research contract proposal will be evaluated by a technical review committee. The committee will evaluate each proposal in strict conformity with the evaluation criteria of the RFP, utilizing point scores and written critiques. The committee may suggest that the Contracting Officer request clarifying information from an offeror.
- b) The business portion of each contract proposal will be subjected to a cost and price analysis, management analysis, etc.
- c) If award will be made without conducting discussions, offerors may be given the opportunity to clarify certain aspects of their proposal (e.g., the relevance of an offeror's past performance information and adverse past

performance information to which the offeror has not previously had an opportunity to respond) or to resolve minor or clerical errors.

- d) If the Government intends to conduct discussions prior to awarding a contract-
  - (1) Communications will be held with offerors whose past performance information is the determining factor preventing them from being placed within the competitive range. Such communications shall address adverse past performance information to which an offeror has not had a prior opportunity to respond. Also, communications may be held with any other offerors whose exclusion from, or inclusion in, the competitive range is uncertain.
    - Such communications shall not be used to cure proposal deficiencies or omissions that alter the technical or cost elements of the proposal, and/or otherwise revise the proposal, but may be considered in rating proposals for the purpose of establishing the competitive range.
  - (2) The Contracting Officer will, in concert with program staff, decide which proposals are in the competitive range. The competitive range will be comprised of all of the most highly rated proposals. Oral or written discussions will be conducted with all offerors in the competitive range.
    - While it is NIAID's policy to conduct discussions with all offerors in the competitive range, NIAID reserves the right, in special circumstances, to limit the number of proposals included in the competitive range to the greatest number that will permit an efficient competition. All aspects of the proposals are subject to discussions, including cost, technical approach, past performance, and contractual terms and conditions. At the conclusion of discussions, each offeror still in the competitive range shall be given an opportunity to submit a written Final Proposal Revision (FPR) with the reservation of the right to conduct finalization of details with the selected sources in accordance with HHSAR 315.370.
- e) The process described in FAR 15.101-1 will be employed, which permits the Government to make tradeoffs among cost or price and non-cost factors and to consider award to other than the lowest price offeror or other than the highest technically rated offeror. This process will take into consideration the results of the technical evaluation, the past performance evaluation (if applicable) and the cost analysis.
- The NIAID reserves the right to make a single award, multiple awards, or no award at all to the RFP. In addition, the RFP may be amended or canceled as necessary to meet NIAID requirements. Synopses of awards exceeding \$25,000 will be published in the Commerce Business Daily.

#### (12) HUBZone Small Business Concerns

Small Business offerors located in underutilized business zones, called "HUBZones," will be evaluated in accordance with FAR Clause 52.219-4, NOTICE OF PRICE EVALUATION PREFERENCE FOR HUBZONE SMALL BUSINESS CONCERNS, which is incorporated by reference in ARTICLE I.3. of this solicitation. Qualified HUBZone firms are identified in the Small Business Administration website at http://www.sba.gov/hubzone.

#### (13) Extent of Small Disadvantaged Business Participation

In accordance with FAR Subpart 15.304(c)(4), the extent of participation of Small Disadvantaged Business (SDB) concerns in performance of the contract in the authorized NAICS Industry Subsectors shall be evaluated in unrestricted competitive acquisitions expected to exceed \$500,000 (\$1,000,000 for construction) subject to certain limitations (see FAR 19.1202-1 and 19.1202-2(b). The dollar amounts cited above include any option years/option quantities that may be included in this solicitation. The definition of a "small disadvantaged business" is cited in FAR 19.001.

The factor entitled "Extent of Small Disadvantaged Business Participation" as set forth under the Evaluation Criteria in Section M shall be used for evaluation purposes. Credit under this evaluation factor is not available to SDB concerns that receive a Price Evaluation Adjustment (PEA) under FAR 19.11. Therefore, an SDB will be evaluated on this factor only if that SDB concern waives the PEA. Waiver of the price evaluation adjustment shall be clearly stated in the proposal.

The Department of Commerce determines, on an annual basis, by Subsectors, as contained in the North American Industry Classification System (NAICS) codes, and region, if any, the authorized SDB procurement mechanisms and applicable factors (percentages). The NAICS codes can be found at:

http://www.sba.gov/size/NAICS-cover-page.htm

The Department of Commerce website for the annual determination is: http://www.arnet.gov/References/sdbadjustments.htm

Offerors shall include with their offers, SDB targets, expressed as dollars and percentages of total contract value, in each of the applicable, authorized NAICS Industry Subsector(s). The applicable authorized NAICS Industry Subsector(s) for this project is (are) identified elsewhere in this RFP. A total target for SDB participation by the prime contractor, that includes any joint ventures and team members, shall be provided as well as a total target for SDB participation by subcontractors. In addition, offerors must provide information that describes their plans for meeting the targets set forth in their proposal. This information shall be provided in one clearly marked section of the Business Proposal, which shall describe the extent of participation of SDB concerns in the performance of the contract.

If the evaluation factor in this solicitation includes an SDB evaluation factor or subfactor that considers the extent to which SDB concerns are specifically identified, the SDB concerns considered in the evaluation shall be listed in any resultant contract. Offerors should note that addressing the extent of small disadvantaged business participation is not in any way intended to be a substitute for submission of the subcontracting plan, if it is required by this solicitation. An example of the type of information that might be given (in addition to the narrative describing the plan for meeting the targets) follows:

# EXAMPLE Targets for SDB Participation - NAICS Industry Subsector 223

	SDB Percentage of Total Contract Value	SDB Dollars
Total Contract Value- \$1,000,000	25%	\$250,000
SDB Participation by Prime	10%	\$100,000
(Includes joint venture partners and team arrangements)*		
SDB Participation by subcontractors	15%	\$150,000

\*NOTE: FAR Subpart 9.6 defines "Contractor team arrangements" to include two or more companies forming a partnership or joint venture to act as a potential prime contractor, or a potential prime contractor who agrees with one or more companies to have them act as its subcontractors on a specific contract or acquisition program. For purposes of evaluation of the SDB participation factor, FAR 19.1202-4 requires that SDB joint ventures and teaming arrangements at the prime level be presented separately from SDB participation by subcontractors.

# (14) Reimbursement of Costs for Independent Research and Development Projects (Commercial Organizations Only)

The primary purpose of the Public Health Service (PHS) is to support and advance independent research within the scientific community. This support is provided in the form of contracts and grants totaling approximately 7 billion dollars annually. PHS has established effective, time tested and well recognized and accepted procedures for stimulating and supporting this independent research by selecting from multitudes of proposals those research projects most worthy of support within the constraints of its appropriations. The reimbursement of independent research and development costs not incidental to product improvement, through the indirect cost mechanism, would circumvent this competitive process.

To ensure that all research and development projects receive similar and equal consideration, all offerors may compete for direct funding for independent research and development projects they consider worthy of support by

submitting those projects to the appropriate Public Health Service grant and/or contract office for review. Since these projects may be submitted for direct funding, the successful offeror agrees that no costs for any independent research and development project, including applicable indirect costs, will be claimed under any contract resulting from this solicitation.

#### (15) Salary Rate Limitation in Fiscal Year 2001 \*\*

Offerors are advised that pursuant to P.L. 106-554, no NIH Fiscal Year 2001 (October 1, 2000 - September 30, 2001) funds may be used to pay the direct annual salary of an individual through any contract awarded as a result of this solicitation at a rate in excess of the Executive Schedule, Level I\* (direct salary is exclusive of Overhead, Fringe Benefits and General and Administrative expenses also referred to as "indirect cost" or "facilities and administrative (F&A) costs"). Direct salary has the same meaning as the term "institutional base salary." An individual's direct salary (or institutional base salary) is the annual compensation that the contractor pays for an individual's appointment whether that individual's time is spent on research, teaching, patent care or other activities. Direct salary (or institutional base salary) excludes any income that an individual may be permitted to earn outside of duties to the contractor.).

This does not preclude the offeror from absorbing that portion of an employee's annual salary (plus the dollar amount for fringe benefits and associated indirect costs) that exceeds a rate of the Executive Schedule, Level I\*. The salary rate limitation set by P.L. 106-554 applies only to Fiscal Year 2001 funds, however, salary rate ceilings for subsequent years may be included in future DHHS appropriation acts. Multi-year contracts awarded pursuant to this solicitation may be subject to unilateral modifications by the Government if an individual's annual salary exceeds any salary rate ceiling established in future appropriations acts. The Executive Schedule, Level I\* annual salary rate limit also applies to individuals proposed under subcontracts, however it does not apply to consultants. P.L. 106-554 states in pertinent part:

"None of the funds appropriated in this Act for the National Institutes of Health and the Substance Abuse, and Mental Health Services Administration shall be used to pay the salary of an individual through a grant or extramural mechanism at a rate in excess of Executive Level I."

\*This rate may change periodically. For your information, the rate can be found at: http://www.opm.gov/oca/01tables/execses/html/01execsc.htm

#### (16) Institutional Responsibility Regarding Conflicting Interests of Investigators

#### **EACH INSTITUTION MUST:**

- (a) Maintain an appropriate written, enforced policy on conflict of interest that complies with 42 CFR Part 50 Subpart F and/or 45 CFR Part 94 as appropriate and inform each investigator of the Institution's policy, the Investigator's reporting responsibilities, and the applicable regulations. If the Institution carries out the NIH funded research through subgrantees, contractors or collaborators, the Institution must take reasonable steps to ensure that Investigators working for such entities comply with the regulations, either by requiring those investigators to comply with the Institution's policy or by requiring the entities to provide assurances to the Institution that will enable the Institution to comply with the regulations.
- (b) Designate an Institutional official(s) to solicit and review financial disclosure statements from each Investigator who is planning to participate in NIH-funded research.
- (c) Require that by the time an application/proposal is submitted to the NIH each investigator who is planning to participate in the NIH-funded research has submitted to the designated official(s) a listing of his/her known Significant Financial Interests (and those of his/her spouse and dependent children): (i) that would reasonably appear to be affected by the research for which the NIH funding is sought; and (ii) in entities whose financial interests would reasonably appear to be affected by the research. All financial disclosures must be updated during the period of the award, either on an annual basis or as new reportable Significant Financial Interests are obtained.

- (d) Provide guidelines consistent with the regulations for the designated official(s) to identify conflicting interests and take such actions as necessary to ensure that such conflicting interests will be managed, reduced, or eliminated.
- (e) Maintain records, identifiable to each award, of all financial disclosures and all actions taken by the institution with respect to each conflicting interest for: (1) in the case of grants, at least three years from the date of submission of the final expenditures report or, where applicable, from other dates specified in 45 CFR Part 74.53(b) and (2) in the case of contracts, 3 years after final payment or, where applicable, for the other time period specified in 48 CFR Part 4 Subpart 4.7, Contract Records Retention.
- (f) Establish adequate enforcement mechanisms and provide for sanctions where appropriate.
- (g) Certify, in each application/proposal for funding to which the regulations applies, that:
  - 1) there is in effect at the Institution a written and enforced administrative process to identify and manage, reduce or eliminate conflicting interests with respect to all research projects for which funding is sought from the NIH;
  - 2) prior to the Institution's expenditure of any funds under the award, the Institution will report to the awarding component the existence of a conflicting interest (but not the nature of the interest or other details) found by the Institution and assure that the interest has been managed, reduced or eliminated in accord with the regulations; and for any interest that the Institution identifies as conflicting subsequent to the expenditure of funds after award, the report will be made and the conflicting interest managed, reduced, or eliminated, at least on a temporary basis within sixty days of that identification;
  - 3) the Institution agrees to make information available, upon request, to the awarding component regarding all conflicting interests identified by the Institution and how those interested have been managed, reduced, or eliminated to protect the research from bias; and
  - 4) the Institution will otherwise comply with the regulations.

# (17) Institutional Management of Conflicting Interests

(a) The designated official(s) must: (1) review all financial disclosures; and (2) determine whether conflict of interest exists, and if so, determine what actions should be taken by the Institution to manage, reduce or eliminate such conflict of interest. A conflict of interest exists when the designated official(s) reasonably determines that a Significant Financial Interest could directly and significantly affect the design, conduct, or reporting of the NIH-funded research.

Examples of conditions or restrictions that might be imposed to manage actual or potential conflicts of interests include, but are not limited to:

- (i) public disclosure of significant financial interests;
- (ii) monitoring of research by independent reviewers;
- (iii) modification of the research plan;
- (iv) disqualification of the Investigator(s) from participation in all or a portion of the research funded by the awarding component;
- (v) divestiture of significant financial interests; or
- (vi) severance of relationships that create actual or potential conflicts of interests.
- (b) An Institution may require the management of other conflicting financial interests in addition to those described in paragraph (a) of this section, as the Institution deems appropriate.

#### (18) ROTC Access and Federal Military Recruiting on Campus

Section 514 of the FY 1997 Appropriations Act prohibits NIH from providing contract funds to educational institutions that the Secretary of Defense determines have a policy or practice (regardless of when implemented) that either prohibits, or in effect prevents (1) the maintaining, establishing, or operation of a unit of the Senior Reserve

Officer Training Corps at the covered education entity; or (2) a student at the covered educational entity from enrolling in a unit of the Senior Reserve Officer Training Corps at another institution of higher education.

Further, contract funds may not be provided to educational institutions that have a policy or practice that prohibits or prevents (1) entry to campuses, or access to students (who are 17 years of age or older) on campuses, for purposes of Federal military recruiting; or (2) access by military recruiters for purposes of Federal military recruiting to information pertaining to students (who are 17 years of age or older) enrolled at the covered educational entity.

### (19) Past Performance Information

a) Offerors shall submit the following information as part of their BUSINESS proposal.

A list of the last <u>5</u> contracts completed during the past THREE years and THE LAST <u>2</u> CONTRACTS AWARDED currently in process that are similar in nature to the solicitation work scope. Contracts listed may include those entered into by the Federal Government, agencies of state and local governments and commercial concerns. Offerors that are newly formed entities without prior contracts should list contracts and subcontracts as required above for all key personnel.

Include the following information for each contract or subcontract:

- 1. Name of Contracting Organization
- 2. Contract Number (for subcontracts, provide the prime contract number and the subcontract number)
- 3. Contract Type
- 4. Total Contract Value
- 5. Description of Requirement
- 6. Contracting Officer's Name and Telephone Number
- 7. Program Manager's Name and Telephone Number
- 8. Standard Industrial Code

The offeror shall submit comparable information on all subcontractors that the offeror proposes to perform a major subcontract under this effort. For the purpose of this solicitation, a "major subcontract" is defined as <u>any subcontract estimated at greater than \$25,000</u>.

The offeror may provide information on problems encountered on the identified contracts and the offeror's corrective actions.

b) Each offeror will be evaluated on its performance under existing and prior contracts for similar products or services. The Government is not required to contact all references provided by the offeror. Also, references other than those identified by the offeror may be contacted by the Government to obtain additional information that will be used in the evaluation of the offeror's past performance.

#### (20) Solicitation Provisions Incorporated by Reference, FAR 52.252-1 (February 1998)

This Solicitation incorporates one or more solicitation provisions by reference, with the same force and effect as if they were given in full text. Upon request, the Contracting Officer will make their full text available. The offeror is cautioned that the listed provisions may include blocks that must be completed by the offeror and submitted with its quotation or offer. In lieu of submitting the full text provisions, the offeror may identify the provision by paragraph identifier and provide the appropriate information with its quotation or offer. Also, the full text of a solicitation provision may be accessed electronically at this address: <a href="http://www.arnet.gov/far/">http://www.arnet.gov/far/</a>.

## FEDERAL ACQUISITION REGULATION (48 CFR CHAPTER 1):

- a) Submission of Offers in the English Language, FAR Clause 52.214-34, (April 1991).
- b) Submission of Offers in U.S. Currency, FAR Clause 52.214-35, (April 1991).
- c) Facilities Capital Cost of Money, FAR Clause 52.215-16, (October 1997).

- d) Order of Precedence-Uniform Contract Format, FAR Clause 52.215-8, (October 1997).
- e) Pre-award On-Site Equal Opportunity Compliance Evaluation, (Over \$10,000,000), FAR Clause 52.222-24, (February 1999).

#### TECHNICAL PROPOSAL INSTRUCTIONS

A detailed work plan must be submitted indicating how each aspect of the statement of work is to be accomplished. Your technical approach should be in as much detail as you consider necessary to fully explain your proposed technical approach or method. The technical proposal should reflect a clear understanding of the nature of the work being undertaken. The technical proposal must include information on how the project is to be organized, staffed, and managed. Information should be provided which will demonstrate your understanding and management of important events or tasks.

#### (1) Technical Discussions

The technical discussion included in the technical proposal should respond to the items set forth below:

#### a) Statement of Work

#### (1) Objectives

State the overall objectives and the specific accomplishments you hope to achieve. Indicate the rationale for your plan, and relation to comparable work in progress elsewhere. Review pertinent work already published which is relevant to this project and your proposed approach. This should support the scope of the project as you perceive it.

## (2) Approach

Use as many subparagraphs, appropriately titled, as needed to clearly outline the general plan of work. Discuss phasing of research and, if appropriate, include experimental design and possible or probable outcome of approaches proposed.

#### (3) Methods

Describe in detail the methodologies you will use for the project, indicating your level of experience with each, areas of anticipated difficulties, and any unusual expenses you anticipate.

#### (4) Schedule

Provide a schedule for completion of the work and delivery of items specified in the statement of work. Performance or delivery schedules shall be indicated for phases or segments, as applicable, as well as for the overall program. Schedules shall be shown in terms of calendar months from the date of authorization to proceed or, where applicable, from the date of a stated event, as for example, receipt of a required approval by the Contracting Officer. Unless the request for proposal indicates that the stipulated schedules are mandatory, they shall be treated as desired or recommended schedules. In this event, proposals based upon the offeror's best alternative schedule, involving no overtime, extra shift or other premium, will be accepted for consideration.

## b) Personnel

Describe the experience and qualifications of personnel who will be assigned for direct work on this program. Information is required which will show the composition of the task or work group, its general qualifications, and recent experience with similar equipment or programs. Special mention shall be made of direct technical supervisors and key technical personnel, and the approximate percentage of the total time each will be available for this program.

OFFERORS SHOULD ASSURE THAT THE PRINCIPAL INVESTIGATOR, AND ALL OTHER PERSONNEL PROPOSED, SHALL NOT BE COMMITTED ON FEDERAL GRANTS AND CONTRACTS FOR MORE THAN A TOTAL OF 100% OF THEIR TIME. IF THE SITUATION ARISES WHERE IT IS DETERMINED THAT A PROPOSED EMPLOYEE IS COMMITTED FOR MORE THAN 100% OF HIS OR HER TIME, THE GOVERNMENT WILL REQUIRE ACTION ON THE PART OF THE OFFEROR TO CORRECT THE TIME COMMITMENT.

# (1) Principal Investigator/Project Director

List the name of the Principal Investigator/Project Director responsible for overall implementation of the contract and key contact for technical aspects of the project. Even though there may be co-investigators, identify the Principal Investigator/Project Director who will be responsible for the overall implementation of any awarded contract. Discuss the qualifications, experience, and accomplishments of the Principal Investigator/Project Director. State the estimated time to be spent on the project, his/her proposed duties, and the areas or phases for which he/she will be responsible.

#### (2) Other Investigators

List all other investigators/professional personnel who will be participating in the project. Discuss the qualifications, experience, and accomplishments. State the estimated time each will spend on the project, proposed duties on the project, and the areas or phases for which each will be responsible.

#### (3) Additional Personnel

List names, titles, and proposed duties of additional personnel, if any, who will be required for full-time employment, or on a subcontract or consultant basis. The technical areas, character, and extent of subcontract or consultant activity will be indicated and the anticipated sources will be specified and qualified. For all proposed personnel who are not currently members of the offeror's staff, a letter of commitment or other evidence of availability is required. A resume does not meet this requirement. Commitment letters for use of consultants and other personnel to be hired must include:

- The specific items or expertise they will provide.
- Their availability to the project and the amount of time anticipated.
- Willingness to act as a consultant.
- How rights to publications and patents will be handled.

#### (4) Resumes

Resumes of all key personnel are required. Each must indicate educational background, recent experience, specific or technical accomplishments, and a listing of relevant publications.

#### (2) Technical Evaluation

Proposals will be technically evaluated in accordance with the factors, weights, and order of relative importance as described in the Technical Evaluation Criteria (SEE SECTION M).

#### (3) Additional Technical Proposal Information

- a) Proposals which merely offer to conduct a program in accordance with the requirements of the Government's scope of work will not be eligible for award. The offeror must submit an explanation of the proposed technical approach in conjunction with the tasks to be performed in achieving the project objectives.
- b) The technical evaluation is conducted in accordance with the weighted technical evaluation criteria by an initial review panel. This evaluation produces a numerical score (points), which is based upon the information contained in the offeror's proposal only.

#### (4) Other Considerations

Record and discuss specific factors not included elsewhere which support your proposal. Using specifically titled subparagraphs, items may include:

- a) Any agreements and/or arrangements with subcontractor(s). Provide as much detail as necessary to explain how the statement of work will be accomplished within this working relationship.
- b) Unique arrangements, equipment, etc., which none or very few organizations are likely to have which is advantageous for effective implementation of this project.

- c) Equipment and unusual operating procedures established to protect personnel from hazards associated with this project.
- d) Other factors you feel are important and support your proposed research.
- e) Recommendations for changing reporting requirements if such changes would be more compatible with the offeror's proposed schedules.

#### **BUSINESS PROPOSAL INSTRUCTIONS**

#### (1) Basic Cost/Price Information

The business proposal must contain sufficient information to allow the Government to perform a basic analysis of the proposed cost or price of the work. This information shall include the amounts of the basic elements of the proposed cost or price. These elements will include, as applicable, direct labor, fringe benefits, travel, materials, subcontracts, purchased parts, shipping, indirect costs and rate, fee, and profit.

# (2) Proposal Cover Sheet

The following information shall be provided on the first page of your pricing proposal:

- 1. Solicitation, contract, and/or modification number;
- 2. Name and address of Offeror;
- 3. Name and telephone number of point of contact;
- 4. Name, address, and telephone number of Contract Administration Office, (if available);
- 5. Name, address, and telephone number of Audit Office (if available);
- 6. Proposed cost and/or price; profit or fee (as applicable); and total;
- 7. The following statement: By submitting this proposal, the offeror, if selected for discussions, grants the contracting officer or an authorized representative the right to examine, at any time before award, any of those books, records, documents, or other records directly pertinent to the information requested or submitted.
- 8. Date of submission; and
- 9. Name, title and signature of authorized representative.

This cover sheet information is for use by offerors to submit information to the Government when cost or pricing data are not required but information to help establish price reasonableness or cost realism is necessary. Such information is not considered cost or pricing data, and shall not be certified in accordance with FAR 15.406-2.

# (3) Information Other than Cost or Pricing Data

a) The information submitted shall consist of data to permit the Contracting Officer and authorized representatives to determine price reasonableness or cost realism, e.g., information to support an analysis of material costs (when sufficient information on labor and overhead rates is already available), or information on prices and quantities at which the offeror has previously sold the same or similar items.

Any information submitted must support the price proposed. Include sufficient detail or cross references to clearly establish the relationship of the information provided to the price proposed. Support any information provided by explanations or supporting rational as needed to permit the Contracting Officer and authorized representative to evaluate the documentation.

Unless otherwise stated in this solicitation, the information may be submitted in the offeror's own format.

- (4) Requirements for Cost or Pricing Data or Information Other than Cost and Pricing Data [FAR Clause 52.215-20 (October 1997)]
  - (a) Exceptions from cost or pricing data.
    - (1) In lieu of submitting cost or pricing data, offerors may submit a written request for exception by submitting the information described in the following subparagraphs. The Contracting Officer may require additional supporting information, but only to the extent necessary to determine whether an exception should be granted, and whether the price is fair and reasonable.
      - (i) Identification of the law or regulation establishing the price offered. If the price is controlled under law by periodic rulings, reviews, or similar actions of a governmental body, attach a copy of the controlling document, unless it was previously submitted to the contracting office.

- (ii) Commercial item exception. For a commercial item exception, the offeror shall submit, at a minimum, information on prices at which the same item or similar items have previously been sold in the commercial market that is adequate for evaluating the reasonableness of the price for this acquisition. Such information may include--
  - (A) For catalog items, a copy of or identification of the catalog and its date, or the appropriate pages for the offered items, or a statement that the catalog is on file in the buying office to which the proposal is being submitted. Provide a copy or describe current discount policies and price lists (published or unpublished), e.g., wholesale, original equipment manufacturer, or reseller. Also explain the basis of each offered price and its relationship to the established catalog price, including how the proposed price relates to the price of recent sales in quantities similar to the proposed quantities;
  - (B) For market-priced items, the source and date or period of the market quotation or other basis for market price, the base amount, and applicable discounts. In addition, describe the nature of the market;
  - (C) For items included on an active Federal Supply Service Multiple Award Schedule contract, proof that an exception has been granted for the schedule item.
- (2) The offeror grants the Contracting Officer or an authorized representative the right to examine, at any time before award, books, records, documents, or other directly pertinent records to verify any request for an exception under this provision, and the reasonableness of price. For items priced using catalog or market prices, or law or regulation, access does not extend to cost or profit information or other data relevant solely to the offeror's determination of the prices to be offered in the catalog or marketplace.
- (b) Requirements for cost or pricing data. If the offeror is not granted an exception from the requirement to submit cost or pricing data, the following applies:
  - (1) The offeror shall prepare and submit cost or pricing data and supporting attachments in accordance with Table 15-2 of FAR 15.408.
  - (2) As soon as practicable after agreement on price, but before contract award (except for unpriced actions such as letter contracts), the offeror shall submit a Certificate of Current Cost or Pricing Data, as prescribed by FAR 15.406-2.

(End of provision)

Alternate I (October 1997). As prescribed in 15.408(l), substitute the following paragraph (b)(1) for paragraph (b)(1) of the basic provision:

(b) (1) The offeror shall submit cost or pricing data and supporting attachments in the following format:

The format specified in paragraph L.2.c.(4) Cost and Pricing Data, subparagraph 3. Formats for Submission of Line Item Summaries shall be used for the submission cost information. Submission of all other cost or pricing data shall be in accordance with Table 15-2 in FAR 15.408.

- (5) Qualifications of the Offeror
  - a) You are requested to submit a summary of your "General Experience, Organizational Experience Related to this RFP, Performance History and Pertinent Contracts."
    - (1) General Experience

**General experience** is defined as general background, experience and qualifications of the offeror. A discussion of proposed facilities which can be devoted to the project may be appropriate.

(2) Organizational Experience Related to the RFP

**Organizational experience** is defined as the accomplishment of work, either past or on-going, which is comparable or related to the effort required by this RFP. This includes overall offeror or corporate experience, **but not** the experience and/or past performance of individuals who are proposed as personnel involved with the Statement of Work in this RFP.

#### (3) Performance History

<u>Performance history</u> is defined as meeting contract objectives within <u>delivery</u> and <u>cost schedules</u> on efforts, either past or on-going, which is comparable or related to the effort required by this RFP.

#### (4) Pertinent Contracts

**Pertinent contracts** are defined as a listing of each related contract completed within the last three years or currently in process. The listing should include: 1) the contract number; 2) contracting agency; 3) contract dollar value; 4) dates contract began and ended (or ends); 5) description of contract work; 6) explanation of relevance of work to this RFP; 7) actual delivery and cost performance versus delivery and cost agreed to in the contract(s). For award fee contracts, separately state in dollars the base fee and award fee available and the award fee actually received. The same type of organizational experience and past performance data should be submitted.

#### (5) Pertinent Grants

List grants supported by the Government that involved similar or related work to that called for in this RFP. Include the grant number, involved agency, names of the grant specialist and the Science Administrator, identification of the work, and when performed.

You are cautioned that omission or an inadequate or inaccurate response to this very important RFP requirement could have a negative effect on the overall selection process. Experience and past performance are factors which are relevant to the ability of the offerors to perform and are considered in the source selection process.

## (6) Other Administrative Data

#### a) Property

- (1) It is DHHS policy that Contractors will provide all equipment and facilities necessary for performance of contracts. Exception may be granted to furnish Government-owned property, or to authorize purchase with contract funds, only when approved by the Contracting Officer. If the offeror is proposing that the Government provide any equipment, other than that specified under Government Furnished Property in the RFP, the proposal must include comprehensive justification which includes:
  - (a) An explanation that the item is for a special use essential to the direct performance of the contract and the item will be used exclusively for the purpose. Office equipment such as desks, office machines, etc., will not be provided under a contract except under very exceptional circumstances.
  - (b) No practical or economical alternative exists (e.g., rental, capital investment) that can be used to perform the work.
- (2) The offeror shall identify Government-owned property in its possession and/or Contractor titled property acquired from Federal funds, which it proposes to use in the performance of the prospective contract.
- (3) The management and control of any Government property shall be in accordance with DHHS Publication (OS) 686 entitled, "Contractors Guide for Control of Government Property (1990)," a copy of which will be provided upon request.

# b) Submission of Electronic Funds Transfer Information with Offer, FAR Clause 52.232-38 (MAY 1999)

The offeror shall provide, with its offer, the following information that is required to make payment by electronic funds transfer (EFT) under any contract that results from this solicitation. This submission satisfies

the requirement to provide EFT information under paragraphs (b)(1) and (j) of the clause at 52.232-34, Payment by Electronic Funds Transfer--Other than Central Contractor Registration.

- (1) The solicitation number (or other procurement identification number).
- (2) The offeror's name and remittance address, as stated in the offer.
- (3) The signature (manual or electronic, as appropriate), title, and telephone number of the offeror's official authorized to provide this information.
- (4) The name, address, and 9-digit Routing Transit Number of the offeror's financial agent.
- (5) The offeror's account number and the type of account (checking, savings, or lockbox).
- (6) If applicable, the Fedwire Transfer System telegraphic abbreviation of the offeror's financial agent.
- (7) If applicable, the offeror shall also provide the name, address, telegraphic abbreviation, and 9-digit Routing Transit Number of the correspondent financial institution receiving the wire transfer payment if the offeror's financial agent is not directly on-line to the Fedwire and, therefore, not the receiver of the wire transfer payment.

#### c) Financial Capacity

The offeror shall indicate if it has the necessary financial capacity, working capital, and other resources to perform the contract without assistance from any outside source. If not, indicate the amount required and the anticipated source.

#### d) Incremental Funding

An incrementally funded cost-reimbursement contract is a contract in which the total work effort is to be performed over a multiple year period and funds are allotted, as they become available, to cover discernible phases or increments of performance. The incremental funding technique allows for contracts to be awarded for periods in excess of one year even though the total estimated amount of funds expected to be obligated for the contract are not available at the time of the contract award. If this requirement is specified elsewhere in this RFP, the offeror shall submit a cost proposal for each year. In addition, the following provisions are applicable:

#### HHSAR 352.232-75, Incremental Funding (January 2001)

(a) It is the Government's intention to negotiate and award a contract using the incremental funding concepts described in the clause entitled Limitation of Funds. Under the clause, which will be included in the resultant contract, initial funds will be obligated under the contract to cover the first year of performance. Additional funds are intended to be allotted to the contract by contract modification, up to and including the full estimated cost of the contract, to accomplish the entire project. While it is the Government's intention to progressively fund this contract over the entire period of performance up to and including the full estimated cost, the Government will not be obligated to reimburse the Contractor for costs incurred in excess of the periodic allotments, nor will the Contractor be obligated to perform in excess of the amount allotted.

(b) The Limitation of Funds clause to be included in the resultant contract shall supersede the Limitation of Cost clause found in the General Provisions.

(End of provision)

# e) Facilities Capital Cost of Money, FAR 52.215-16, (October 1997)

(This is applicable if you are a commercial organization.)

- (a) Facilities capital cost of money [(see FAR 15.408(h))] will be an allowable cost under the contemplated contract, if the criteria for allowability in subparagraph 31.205-10(a)(2) of the Federal Acquisition Regulation are met. One of the allowability criteria requires the prospective Contractor to propose facilities capital cost of money in its offer.
- (b) If the prospective Contractor does not propose this cost, the resulting contract will include the clause Waiver of Facilities Capital Cost of Money.

#### (End of Provision)

If the offeror elects to claim this cost, the offeror shall specifically identify or propose it in the cost proposal for the contract by checking the appropriate box below.

- [ ] The prospective Contractor has specifically identified or proposed facilities capital cost of money in its cost proposal and elects to claim this cost as an allowable cost under the contract. Submit Form CASB-CMF (see FAR 31.205-10).
- [ ] The prospective Contractor has not specifically identified or proposed facilities capital cost of money in its proposal and elects not to claim it as an allowable cost under the contract.

#### (7) Subcontractors

If subcontractors are proposed, please include a commitment letter from the subcontractor detailing:

- a) Willingness to perform as a subcontractor for specific duties (list duties).
- b) What priority the work will be given and how it will relate to other work.
- c) The amount of time and facilities available to this project.
- d) Information on their cognizant field audit offices.
- e) How rights to publications and patents are to be handled.
- f) A complete cost proposal in the same format as the offeror's cost proposal.

Note: Organizations that plan to enter into a subcontract with an educational concern under a contract awarded under this RFP should refer to the following Web Site for a listing of clauses that are required to be incorporated in Research & Development (R&D) subcontracts with educational institutions:

http://ocm.od.nih.gov/contracts/rfps/FDP/PDPclausecover.htm

#### (8) Proposer's Annual Financial Report

A copy of the organization's most recent annual report must be submitted as part of the business proposal.

#### (9) Representations and Certifications

One copy of the Representations and Certifications attached as Section K shall be completed and be signed by an official authorized to bind your organization. Additionally, a completed copy of the Representations and Certifications shall be submitted from any proposed subcontractor.

#### (10) Travel Costs/Travel Policy

# a) Travel Costs - Commercial

Costs for lodging, meals, and incidental expenses incurred by Contractor personnel shall be considered to be reasonable and allowable to the extent they do not exceed on a daily basis the per diem rates set forth in the Federal Travel Regulations, General Services Administration (GSA). Therefore, if travel costs are applicable and proposed by offerors, please be advised that they shall be calculated using the per diem rate schedule as established by GSA. Reimbursement of travel costs under any contract awarded from this RFP shall be in accordance with FAR 31.205-46.

# b) Travel Policy

One copy of the offeror's (and any proposed subcontractor's) written travel policy shall be included in the business proposal (original only). If an offeror (or any proposed subcontractor) does not have a written travel policy, the offeror shall so state.

# SECTION M - EVALUATION FACTORS FOR AWARD

#### 1. GENERAL

The major evaluation factors for this solicitation include technical (which encompasses experience and past performance factors) cost/price factors and Small Disadvantaged Business (SDB) Participation. Although technical factors are of paramount consideration in the award of the contract, cost/price and SDB participation is also important to the overall contract award decision. All evaluation factors other than cost or price, when combined, are significantly more important than cost or price. In any case, the Government reserves the right to make an award(s) to that offeror whose proposal provides the best overall value to the Government.

The evaluation will be based on the demonstrated capabilities of the prospective Contractors in relation to the needs of the project as set forth in the RFP. The merits of each proposal will be evaluated carefully. Each proposal must document the feasibility of successful implementation of the requirements of the RFP. Offerors must submit information sufficient to evaluate their proposals based on the detailed criteria listed below.

This research project involves human subjects. NIH Policy requires that women and members of minority groups and their subpopulations and children must be included in the study population of research involving human subjects, unless a clear and compelling rationale and justification is provided with respect to the health of the subjects or the purpose of the research. Where inclusion of women, minority populations, and/or children is not feasible, a detailed rationale and justification for exclusion of one or both groups from the study population must be submitted with the technical proposal. The NIAID will review the rationale to determine if it is appropriate with respect to the health of the subjects and/or the purpose of the research. If the rationale is not considered acceptable by the Government and you are included in the competitive range, you will be afforded the opportunity to further discuss and/or clarify your position during discussions or include women, minorities and/or children in your Final Proposal Revision (FPR). If your exclusion position is still considered unacceptable by the Government after discussions, your proposal may not be considered further for award.

The qualification criteria establishes conditions that must be met at the time of receipt of Final Proposal Revisions (FPRs) by the Contracting Officer in order for your proposal to be considered any further for award.

#### 2. TECHNICAL EVALUATION CRITERIA

The evaluation criteria are used by the technical evaluation committee when reviewing the technical proposals. The criteria below are listed in the order of relative importance with weights assigned for evaluation purposes.

PART I TOTAL 100 POINTS

- A) Adequacy and feasibility of the proposed plans for completing all items in Part I of the Work Statement (60, total)
  - 1) Plans and approaches for the separation of <u>M. leprae</u> bacilli from infected armadillo tissues using appropriate procedures, including plans and approaches for improving these procedures. (15)
  - 2) Plans and approaches for isolation, purification, characterization and distribution of leprosy-related research reagents. (20)
  - 3) Plans and approaches for development, preparation and testing of leprosy-related skin test or other leprosy-related early diagnostic reagents, including plans for Phase 1 and 2 human testing in non-endemic and endemic countries. (15)
  - 4) Plans and approaches for developing *in vitro* culture methods for M. leprae. (10)
- B) Documented professional qualifications and experience of the principal investigator and technical personnel assigned to this project. (30)
- C) Adequacy and availability of laboratory facilities for performance of the Work Statement tasks. (10)

PART II TOTAL 100 POINTS

A) Adequacy and feasibility of the proposed methods and approaches to accomplish the goals designated in the Part II Work Statement. (40 points for 1 through 3 below)

- B) Documented professional qualifications and experience of the principal investigator and the technical personnel assigned to the project. (40, total)
  - 1) Expertise in animal pathology and microbiology. (10)
  - 2) Expertise in handling M. leprae and preparing viable, high titer inocula, and in the nude mouse footpad model for *in vivo* cultivation of M. leprae. (15)
  - 3) Experience and expertise in maintenance of an armadillo colony. (15)
- C) Adequacy and availability of appropriate animal and biosafety facilities for the project described. (10)
- D) Adequacy and availability of laboratory facilities for performance of the Work Statement tasks. (10)

#### 3. PAST PERFORMANCE FACTOR

An evaluation of offerors' past performance information will be conducted prior to any communications with offerors leading to establishment of the competitive range. However, this evaluation will not be conducted on any offeror whose proposal will not be admitted to the competitive range on the basis of the results of the evaluation of factors other than past performance.

#### 4. HUBZONE PARTICIPATION FACTOR

Offerors which are qualified HubZone Small Business Concerns will be evaluated in accordance with FAR Clause 52.219-4 (January 1999).

#### 5. SMALL DISADVANTAGED BUSINESS PARTICIPATION FACTOR (SUBJECTIVE ASSESSMENT)

Evaluation of the offeror's Small Disadvantaged Business Participation Plan will be based on information obtained from the plan provided by the offeror (with their business proposal), the realism of the proposal, other relevant information obtained from named SDB concerns, and any information supplied by the Offeror concerning problems encountered in SDB participation.

Evaluation of SDB Participation Plans will be a subjective assessment based on a consideration of all relevant facts and circumstances. The Government is seeking to determine whether the Offeror has demonstrated a commitment to use SDB concerns for the work that is intends to perform as the prime contractor. The assessment of the Offeror's SDB Participation Plan will be used as a means of evaluating the relative capability and commitment of the Offeror and the other competitors. Thus, an Offeror with an exceptional record of participation with SDB concerns may receive a more favorable evaluation than another, whose record is acceptable, even though both may have acceptable technical proposals.

SDB Participation will not be scored, but the Government's conclusions about overall commitment and realism of the Offeror's SDB Participation Plan will be influential in determining the relative merits of the Offeror's proposal and in selecting the Offeror whose proposal is considered most advantageous to the Government.