January, 2003



# **Super-scalable Algorithms**

# Next Generation Supercomputing on 100,000 and more Processors

**Christian Engelmann** 

This document describes activities performed under contract number DE-AC05000R22750 between the U.S. Department of Energy and Oak Ridge Associated Universities.

### **Overview**

Super-scale architectures. Scalability and fault-tolerance issues. Cellular algorithms theory. ORNL/IBM collaboration. IBM BlueGene\L emulators. ORNL cellular architecture simulator. Super-scalable algorithms. Super-scalable diskless checkpointing. Conclusions and ideas for the future.

### **Super-scale Architectures**

 Current tera-scale supercomputers have up to <u>10,000</u> processors.
 Next generation peta-scale systems will have

Next generation peta-scale systems will have <u>100,000</u> processors and more.

Such machines may easily scale up to <u>1,000,000</u> processors in the next decade.

IBM currently builds the BlueGene\L at Lawrence Livermore National Laboratory.

### IBM BlueGene\L at LLNL

Up to 64K diskless nodes with 2 processors per node. Only 256MB RAM per processor. (64 cabinets 64x32x32 Additional service nodes (I/O). Cabinet Estimated 360 Tera FLOPS. (32 Node boards. Over 150k processors. Node Board (32 chips, 4x4x2) 16 Compute Cards Compute Card Global tree network. (2 chips. 1x2x1) Chip 3-D torus network. 180/360 TF/s (2 processors) 16 TB DDR 29/57TE/s 256 GB DDR Gigabit Ethernet. 90/180 GF/s 8 GB DDR 5.6/11.2 GF/s Operational in <u>2005</u>. 28/5.6 GF/s 0.5 GB DDR 4MB

# Scalability Issues

How to make use of 100,000 processors?
 System scale jumps by a magnitude.
 Current algorithms do not scale well on existing 10,000-processor systems.
 Next generation peta-scale systems are useless if efficiency drops by a magnitude.



### **Fault-tolerance Issues**

How to survive on 100,000 processors? Failure rate grows with the system size. Mean time between failures may be a few hours or just a few minutes. Current solutions for fault-tolerance rely on checkpoint/restart mechanisms. Checkpointing 100,000 processors to central stable storage is not feasible anymore.

# **Cellular Algorithms Theory**

Processes have only limited knowledge mostly about other processes in their neighborhood.
 Application is composed of local algorithms.
 Less inter-process dependencies, e.g., not everyone needs to know when a process dies.
 Peer-to-peer communication with overlapping neighborhoods promotes scalability.

### Paintable Computing at MIT

In the future embedded computers with a radio device get as small as a pigment.
 Supercomputers can be easily assembled by painting a wall of embedded computers.
 Applications are driven by cellular algorithms.



### Paintable Computing at MIT

2002 Ph.D. Thesis using a pushpin board.
 Applications:

- Distributed audio stream storage.
- Fault-tolerant holistic data storage.





# **ORNL/IBM Collaboration**

Development of biology and material science applications for super-scale systems.
 Exploration of super-scalable algorithms.

 Natural fault-tolerance.
 Scale invariance.

 Focus on test and demonstration tool.

Get scientists to think about scalability and fault-tolerance in super-scale systems!

?!

# **ORNL Research Group**

Al Geist (PI)
Christian Engelmann (simulator)
Kasidit Chanchio (global max problem)
Ryan Adamson (async. multigrid)
Bill Shelton (LSMS port)
Pratul Agarwal (MD port)



## BlueGene\L Emulators

#### IBM Research:

- Processor emulation with OS in a Linux process.
- Caltech:
  - MPI trace file analysis for performance prediction.
- ♦ UIUC:
  - Object-oriented message driven emulation of logical system architecture in Converse/Charm++.
  - Adaptive MPI emulation on top of Charm++.
  - Scalability and performance issues in prototypes.
  - Emulation fixed on BlueGene\L architecture.

### **Cellular Architecture Simulator**

Developed at ORNL in Java with native C and Fortran application support using JNI. Runs as standalone or distributed application. Lightweight framework simulates up to 1,000,000 processes on 9 real processors. Standard and experimental networks: Multi-dimensional mesh/torus. Nearest/Random neighbors. Message driven simulation is not in real-time. Primitive fault-tolerant MPI support.

# **Cellular Architecture Simulator**





Every cell has its own code, memory and neighbors list. Server hosts cells and initiates the context switch. Cells communicate asynchronously using messages.



\_ **-** ×



# Super-scalable Algorithms

Extending the cellular algorithms theory to real world scientific applications.

Exploring super-scale properties:

- Scale invariance
- Natural fault-tolerance

Gaining experience in programming models for 100,000-processor machines.



### Scale invariance

 Linear scalability.
 Peer-to-peer communication patterns are based on a small set of neighbor processes.
 Neighbors are random, far away or nearby.
 Global application state is composed of many interdependent local neighborhood states.



# Natural Fault-tolerance

Ability to get the correct answer despite task failures and without checkpointing. May involve redundant computation. • 0,1% failure rate (100 of 100,000 processors) is still acceptable with 0,5% redundancy. Failures detected by hardware and ignored or accepted by neighbor processes. Failed processes may be restarted by "inserting" new ones at anytime.

# **Researched Algorithms**

#### Local information exchange:

- Local peer-to-peer updates of values.
- Mesh-free chaotic relaxation (Laplace/Poisson).
- Finite difference/element methods.
- Dynamic adaptive refinement at runtime.
- Asynchronous multi-grid with controlled or independent updates between different layers.
- Global information exchange:
  - Global peer-to-peer broadcasts of values.
  - Global maximum/optimum search.

# **Ported Applications**



#### Material Science:

- Magnetism simulation using the locally selfconsistent multiple scattering (LSMS) method for understanding the interactions between electrons and atoms in magnetic materials (Bill Shelton).
- Computational Biology:
  - Molecular dynamics (MD) simulation of biological molecules (DNA sequences) for understanding the protein-DNA interactions (Pratul Agarwal).

### Observations

Partially non-deterministic algorithm behavior. Unpredictable application running time. Chaotic relaxation does not always converge. No exact replay without full message trace. Communication bound algorithms that require high point-to-point bandwidth. Asynchronous message driven programming model similar to discrete event simulations. Message queues with overwrite.

### Super-scalable Fault-tolerance

For non-naturally fault tolerant algorithms.
 Does it makes sense to restart all 100,000 processors because one failed?
 The mean time between failures is likely to be a few hours or just a few minutes.
 Traditional centralized checkpointing is limited by bandwidth (bottleneck).

The failure rate is going to outrun the recovery and the checkpointing rate.

# **Diskless Checkpointing**

Decentralized peer-to-peer checkpointing.
 Processors hold backups of neighbors.
 Local checkpoint and restart algorithm.
 Coordination of local checkpoints.



Program

- Program Data
- Local Backup
- Neighbors List
- Neighbors Backup

# **Diskless Checkpointing**

#### In case of a failure:

- Rollback to local memory backup if necessary.
- Restart from remote memory backup.
- Encoding semantics, such as RAID, trade off storage size vs. degree of fault tolerance.
- Very infrequent checkpointing to central stable storage (disk/tape).
- Checkpoint and application processes may be the same or different.
- Possible OS support via library/service.

# **Choosing Neighbors**

Physically near neighbors: Low latency, fast backup and recovery. Physically far neighbors: Recoverable multiprocessor node failures. Random neighbors: Medium latency and bandwidth. Acceptable backup and recovery time. Optimum: Pseudorandom neighbors based on system communication infrastructure.

# **Backup Coordination**

- All checkpoints need to be consistent with the global application state.
- Local states and in-flight messages.
- No coordination for checkpoints with no communication since last or since start.
- Coordination techniques:
  - Global synchronization
  - Local synchronization

# **Global Synchronization**

Global application snapshot (e.g., barrier) at stable global application state.

Synchronous backup of all local states.

- Easy to implement.
- Synchronizes complete application.
- Preferred method for communication intensive applications.

# Local Synchronization

Asynchronous backup of local state and inflight messages (message logging). Acknowledgements for messages to keep accurate records of in-flight messages. Additional local group communication. Different methods to retrieve missed messages from neighbors. More complicated to implement. Preferred method for less communication intensive applications.

### Observations

 Diskless peer-to-peer checkpointing on superscale architectures is possible.
 Synchronization methods have different strengths and weaknesses.

- Timing, latency and bandwidth data impossible to obtain from simulator.
- Real-time tests with different applications are needed for further discussion.
- Final real-world implementation requires super-scalable FT-MPI or PVM.

### Conclusions

Super-scale systems with 100,000 and more processors become reality very soon.
 Super-scalable algorithms that are scale invariant and naturally fault-tolerant do exist.
 Diskless peer-to-peer checkpointing provides an alternative to natural fault-tolerance.
 A lot of research still needs to be done.



### Ideas for the Future

Research in OS and/or middleware supported super-scale diskless checkpointing.

Development of super-scalable fault-tolerant MPI implementation with localized recovery.

Development of super-scalable algorithms for specific applications in computational biology, material science, climate research ... January, 2003



# **Super-scalable Algorithms**

# Next Generation Supercomputing on 100,000 and more Processors

**Christian Engelmann**