Idaho National Laboratory

IDAHO NATIONAL LABORATORY (INL) WILDLAND FIRE OVERVIEW

May 2006

Jim Colson, INL Emergency Management David Stonhill, INL Assistant Fire Chief

WILDLAND FIRES ARE A SEASONAL OCCURANCE







Idaho National Laboratory









Recent INL Wildland Fire History

| Year | Number Human Fires | Human Fire Acres | Number Lighting Fire | Lightning Fire Acres | Total Fires | Total Fire Acres |
|------------------------|--------------------------|---------------------|----------------------------|-------------------------|-------------|---------------------|
| 1994 | 5 | 16,639 | 0 | 0 | 5 | 16,639 |
| 1995 | 1 | 6,833 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 6,833 |
| 1996 | 10 | 28,714 | 2 | 6,973 | 12 | 35,687 |
| 1997 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| <i>1998</i> | 6 | 17 | 0 | 0 | 6 | 17 |
| 1999 | 4 | 6 | 3 | 40,629 | 7 | 40,635 |
| 2000 | 5 | 92 | 8 | 36,685 | 13 | 36,777 |
| 2001 | 5 | 2 | 2 | 80 | 7 | 82 |
| 2002 | 5 | 121 | 0 | 0 | 5 | 121 |
| 2003 | 7 | 718 | 0 | 0 | 7 | 718 |
| 2004 | 5 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 6 | 2 |
| 2005 | | | | | | |
| Eleven Year Total | 53 | 53,143 | 16 | 84,368 | 69 | 137,511 |
| Eleven Year Average | 4.8 | 4,831 | 1.5 | 7,670 | 6.3 | 12,501 |



INL FIRE RESTRICTIONS

Fire Danger Classes:

- Low
- Moderate
- High
- Very High
- Extreme

Current INL Fire Danger: "LOW"

daho National Laboratory

INL RESTRICTIONS:

Stage 1 Restrictions will go into effect when INL Fire Danger reaches "Very High":

- Off-road vehicles restricted to designated roads and trails
 - At least one additional water tender and one INL dozer on transport maintained at CFA, fully fueled for responses during backshift and weekend hours.
 - A minimum of two equipment operators are maintained available for call back in case of fire.
 - Welding, cutting, and other hot work activities in the field are conducted only upon approval of the INL Fire Marshal or designee.

RESTRICTIONS

Stage 2 Restrictions will go into effect when INL Fire Danger reaches "EXTREME", :

- ✓ Same as Stage 1 restrictions plus additional equipment readiness
- At least one additional water tender and one dozer on transport maintained at CFA, fully fueled for responses 24/7.
- Second water tender and second dozer available during backshift and weekends



INL Wildland Fire Management Objectives

• Firefighter and Public Safety First.

o National Laboratory

- No wildland fire situation with the possible exception of threat to human survival, requires the exposure of firefighters to life-threatening situations
- Minimize impact to INL systems, structures and components
- Minimize impact on natural and cultural resources and the environment
- Practice prudent expenditure of allocated resources

Source: INL Wildland Fire Management Guide, GDE-7063

WILDLAND FIRE MANAGEMENT COMMITTEE

Background

The Department of Energy (DOE) made the decision to create a Wildland Fire **Management** Committee (WFMC) as part of a **Finding of No Significant** Impact (FONSI) and Environmental Assessment approved April 24, 2003.

daho National Laboratory

Purpose

The purpose of the WFMC is to review environmental impacts and make recommendations, as necessary, for pre-fire, fire suppression, and post-fire wildland management activities. The emphasis of the WFMC is to balance protection of INL infrastructure and natural resource protection

WILDLAND FIRE MANAGEMENT COMMITTEE OBJECTIVES

The WFMC will review pre-fire, suppression, and post-fire activities and associated environmental impacts. The WFMC will make recommendation, as necessary, to maintain an ecosystem of native vegetation and natural fire cycles and other resource values. The committee will do this by making recommendation for:

- Fuel management (such as defensible space, fuel management zones)
- Suppression strategies
- Rehabilitating fire-impacted areas





WLFMC Membership and Responsibilities

The BEA Facility and Site Services (F&SS) Director will champion the WFMC. The committee will consist of advocates and proponents of key INL resources. Standing members will include a chair and representatives from:

- INL Emergency Services
- INL Fire Marshall
- INL Cultural Resource Management Office (Cultural/Historical Resources)
- INL Environmental Compliance (Air, Water, & Soil Resources and NEPA)
- S. M. Stoller Corp., Inc. (Wildlife/Habitat Resources)
- INL Facilities Management Services
- NE-ID Infrastructure
- NE-ID Fire Protection Engineer
- NE-ID NEPA Compliance Officer

Idaho National Laboratory

2006 Wildland Fire Preparation Activity Schedule

| | A | B | С | E | F |
|----|--|----------|-----------|----------------------------|--------------------|
| 1 | ACTIVITY/TASK | START | FINISH | RESPONSIBLE PERSON | STATUS |
| 2 | PLANNING/DOCUMENTATION | | | | |
| 3 | Wildland Fire Management Guidance Document | | | | |
| 4 | Review WLFMG | 02/28/06 | 05/15/06 | Gosswiller | 0% |
| 5 | Revise WLFMG and Reissue as needed | 03/31/06 | 06/20/06 | Gosswiller | 0% |
| 6 | Issue Wildland Fire Management Guidance Document | | 06/20/06 | | 0% |
| 7 | | | | | |
| 8 | Wildfire FONSI Implementation | | | | |
| 9 | Clarify FY 06 FONSI Inplementation (funding) | 04/17/06 | 06/15/06 | Tuck/Gosswiller | 75% |
| 10 | WLF EA Implemented | | 06/15/06 | | 75% |
| 11 | | | | | |
| 12 | WILDLAND FIRE VEGETATION ASSESSMENT | | | | |
| 13 | Review and Revise as needed WLF Assessment Documentation | 04/17/06 | 05/01/06 | Whittaker | 20% |
| 14 | Conduct Assessment | 04/17/06 | 06/15/06 | Whittaker | 0% |
| 15 | Communicate Draft Assessment Results to Facility Representatives | 04/17/06 | 06/15/06 | Whittaker | 0% |
| 16 | Complete Assessment Report | 04/17/06 | 06/15/06 | Whittaker | 0% |
| 17 | Coordinate deficiencies and entered into ICARE | 04/17/06 | 06/16/06 | Whittaker | 0% |
| 18 | Complete Site ICARE issues | 04/17/06 | 07/15/06 | Facility Managers | 0% |
| 19 | INL Mowing | 04/17/06 | 07/15/06 | Tuck | 0% |
| 20 | WLF Vegetation Corrective Actions Complete | | 07/15/06 | | 3% |
| 21 | | | | | |
| 22 | INL/ICP WILDLAND FIRE COORDINATION | | | | |
| 23 | Draft Service Agreement | 04/17/06 | 4/1/01/06 | Marshall/Gosswiller/Colson | 100% |
| 24 | Approve MOA | 04/17/06 | 05/01/06 | Marshall/Hendrix | 0% |
| 25 | INL/ICP Wildland Fire Coordination Complete | | 05/01/06 | | 50% |
| 26 | | | | | |
| 27 | EQUIPMENT/SUPPLIES READINESS | | | | |
| 28 | Heavy Equipment | | | | |
| 29 | Review Equipment Spare Parts Availability and Re-stock | 04/17/06 | 05/15/06 | Winter/Hendrix | 5% |
| 30 | Identify Critical Heavy Equipment for WLF Needs | 04/17/06 | 05/15/06 | Robertson/Winter/Hendrix | 5% |
| 31 | Identify Equipment Staging Responsibility and Funding | 04/17/06 | 05/01/06 | Tuck | 50% |
| | Prepared by J. Co | blson | | WLF 04-24-2006.xls 4/ | Page 1 '26/2006 |
| 4 | Idaho National Laboratory | S | | | |



Wildland Fire Preparedness Activities

Wildland Fire Briefings Wildland Fire Documentation

- WLF Guide
- Soil Contamination Area EDF
- Emergency Plan and Procedure Review
- Mapping Resources

WLF Vegetation Assessment

- Issues tracked to closure

WLF Equipment/Supplies

- WLF Vehicles
- PPE
- GPS
- Site Monitoring Team Equipment

daho National Laboratory

BEA/ICP Coordination

- Heavy Equipment Operators (HEO)/Equipment Operators (EO)
- Support Personnel (HEO, EO, Labors, Cafeteria)
- Equipment/Resources
- Training
- Site Radiological Monitoring
- Callout Lists

Offsite Coordination/MOU Reviews

- BLM and other RFFAs (Limited Air Support this year)
- Idaho Dept of Transportation
- INL State Oversight (monitoring activities)

Wildland Fire Preparation Activities (continued)

Communications Readiness

- Radio and Radio System Operability
- Radio Architecture
- Batteries

WLF Training/Awareness

- Firefighters
- HEOs and EOs
- Support (mechanics, laborers, Site Monitoring Teams, etc.)

Evacuation Readiness Meteorological Support Mapping



Prevention Activities

- Mowing of roadsides to minimize risk of accidental ignition
- Site area vegetation assessment (clean up)
- Wildland Fire Awareness
 - Posting Fire Danger Ratings
 - INL Fire Marshal Advisories
 - I-notes
 - Implementation of Fire Restrictions (Stage 1 and 2)
 - Employee Awareness



Wildland Fire Suppression Approach

- No "Let it burn" policy on INL property
- Control in first burning period (night time suppression)
- Fight the fire aggressively but provide for personnel safety first
- Top Protection Priorities are People, Property, Environment, Cultural/Natural Resources
- Fire Department trained in BLM Minimum Impact Strategy Training (MIST)



Wildland Fire Recovery Activities

Personnel/Plant Safety Considerations Surveys/Mapping **Rehabilitation/Environmental** Assessment **Situation Reporting**



Wildland Pre-Fire Suppression Planning - A Risk-Hazard-Value Approach



Purpose

To determine the extent of hazards and potential risk to personnel, property, and environment from Wildland fire.

Process

Use defined criteria to assess Wildland fire hazards and evaluate the probability of a fire starting or propagating through the interfaces around INL and quantify the vulnerability and impact of a Wildland fire once it is ignited.

Goal

Reduce the specific risk to each complex and the overall risk to the INL by mitigating identified hazards.



Assessing Risks Supports Sound Wildland Fire Management Planning

- Performed annually
- Engages and educates the INL community
- Targets hazards
- Integrates GIS mapping
- Basis of emergency response planning



Planning Using Primary Grids



Idaho National Laboratory

45



Idaho National Laboratory

| | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 |
|---|----|----|------------|-----------------------|--|----|
| | 7 | 8 | 9 | 10 | 11 | 12 |
| | 13 | 14 | MIFIC | 16 | 17 | 18 |
| | 19 | 20 | 21 | 22 | 23 | 24 |
| | 25 | 26 | 27 | 28 | 29 | 30 |
| | 31 | 32 | -33- | 34 | 35 | 36 |
| - | | | a constant | and the second second | and the second | |

Grids segmented into square miles

Criteria for assessing the risk factors:

- •Relevant sections from NFPA standards
- •National Wildland Coordinating Group (NWCG)
- •United States Forest Service (USFA)
- •United States Department of the Interior, Bureau of Land Management (BLM)
- •Federal Emergency Management Agency (FEMA)
- •National Association of State Foresters
- •United States Department of Agriculture (USDA)
- •Wildland/Urban Interface Fire Protection Program
- •INL Wildland Fire Management Guide.



VEGETATION ASSESSMENT CHECKLIST

| Evacuation) | | | | |
|--|--|--|--|--|
| Any gate on an access road shall be located a minimum of 30 ft from the intersection of the road. | | | | |
| The gate opening shall swing inward and shall provide a clear opening no less than2 ft wider than the gated road. | | | | |
| The fire department shall have ready access to locking mechanisms on any gate that restricts access. | | | | |
| 1.Roads shall be are identified with the proper name using a system consistent with the wildland fire base map or facility maps used for emergency response. | | | | |
| All road signs lettering, numbers and symbols are a minimum of 4 in. in height, with a .5 in. stroke, are reflectorized and contrasting with the background color of the sign. | | | | |
| Signs shall be visible from the road and mounted not less than 6 ft or more than 6 ft to 8 ft above the surface of the road. | | | | |
| Street and road name signs and supporting structures shall be of noncombustible materials. | | | | |
| Fuels Modification and Treatment | | | | |
| Maintenance of a 30 ft, defoliated zone around the perimeter of | | | | |
| all INEEL significant buildings and storage areas at the wildland urban interface or intermix | | | | |
| Ground fuels within the defined defensible space shall be treated or removed. | | | | |
| all INEEL significant buildings and storage areas at the wildland urban interface or intermix Ground fuels within the defined defensible space shall be treated or removed. Live vegetation within the defensible space shall be irrigated or mowed. | | | | |
| all INEEL significant buildings and storage areas at the wildland urban interface or intermix Ground fuels within the defined defensible space shall be treated or removed. Live vegetation within the defensible space shall be irrigated or mowed. Dead and/or downed fuels within the defensible space of buildings shall be removed or treated to maintain the fuel modification area. | | | | |
| all INEEL significant buildings and storage areas at the wildland urban interface or intermix Ground fuels within the defined defensible space shall be treated or removed. Live vegetation within the defensible space shall be irrigated or mowed. Dead and/or downed fuels within the defensible space of buildings shall be removed or treated to maintain the fuel modification area. Vegetation under trees within the fuel modification area shall be maintained at a height that will preclude ground fire from spreading in the tree crown. | | | | |

Idaho National Laboratory

| CC | ompustible Materials | • | | | |
|--|---|----------------------------|--|--|--|
| Outdoor propane tanks, fuel canisters, tanks, and other combustible liquids storage shall be provided 30 ft. of defensible space. | | | | | |
| Other combustible materials shall be removed from the defensible space or stored in conformance with requirements. | | | | | |
| Main INE | ntenance of a 30 ft. defoliated zone around the EL substations. | perimeter fence of all | | | |
| Clearances not less than those established by Table 1 shall be maintained between vegetation and power lines. | | | | | |
| | Line Voltage Minimum Clearance (in) | | | | |
| | 750–35,000 | 6 | | | |
| | 35,001–60,000 | 12 | | | |
| | 60,001–115,000 | 19 | | | |
| | 115,001–230,000 | 30.5 | | | |
| | 230,001–500,000 | 115 | | | |
| | Source: NFPA 1 "Uniform Fire Code" | | | | |
| Roofing, exterior overhangs, eves, and other building features that may be exposed to direct flame contact, radiant heat or firebrands should be identified and protected. | | | | | |
| An e struc | exposure protection plan shall be developed for ctures located within 50 ft of the interface. | all buildings and | | | |
| Pers the f | onnel normally on the construction site shall be ire extinguishers provided. | e instructed in the use of | | | |

VEGETATION ASSESSMENT CHECKLIST

| Potential and Historical Ignition Sources | | Water Supply | | | | |
|--|--|---|--|--|--|--|
| Assess risk associated with natural and human sources of ignition including, but not limited to, the following: •Lightning •Utility corridors •Transportation corridors •Arson | | At a minimum, every building within 30 feet of the interface shall be provided with a water supply meeting the requirements of NFPA 1142, Standard on Water Supplies for Suburban and Rural Fire Fighting, for the purpose of fire fighting. | | | | |
| | | Hydrant shall be located so they can be operated from within a defensible space protected from the approach of fire. | | | | |
| Assess the difficulty to control wildland fires within, or threatening the area. Vegetation Topography | | Water supplies shall be of sufficient volume and pressure to adequately supply water cannons/master streams determined as part of the exposure protection plan. | | | | |
| Aspect Fire History Historical fire weather Potential fire behavior | | Water supplies planned for exposure protection shall not be subject to interruption from wildland fires as a result of power isolation or damage and shall have an established backup capability. | | | | |
| Fire fighting capabilities and limitations | | Construction Activities | | | | |
| Has an Exposure plan been developed which includes include at a minimum: | | Construction sites located at the wildland urban interface/intermix shall be provided a minimum of 30 ft. defensible space. | | | | |
| Response number and type of emergency response apparatus. Primary and secondary water supply. Minimum water flow required Location of water cannons/master stream devises (pre-deployed or placed as peeded). | | At least one portable fire extinguisher having a rating of at least 4-A-30-BC shall be within a travel distance of 75 ft or less to any point of a structure under construction. | | | | |
| or praced as needed). | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |



| INL Complex/Grid: | | | | | |
|--|----------|-----------------|-----------|--------------|--|
| | Assess | sed Probability | Level | | |
| | Low 1 | Moderate 2 | High 3 | Comments | |
| Roads (Access, Ingress, Egress, and Evacuation) | | | | | |
| Fuels Modification and Treatment | | | | | |
| Combustible Materials | | | | | |
| Water Supply | | | | | |
| Construction Activities | | | | | |
| Potential and Historical Ignition Sources | | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| Total of Columns | | | | Total of Row | |
| Probability of Occurrence (6-9 = Unlikely, 10-13 = Possible, 14-18-Likely) | | | | | |
| Overall Probability Rating | | | | | |
| | | | | | |



| INL Complex/Grid: XXXX | | | | | | |
|--|----------------------------|------------|-----------|-----------------|--|--|
| | Assessed Probability Level | | | | | |
| | Low 1 | Moderate 2 | High 3 | Comments | | |
| Roads (Access, Ingress, Egress, and Evacuation) | | • 2 | | | | |
| Fuels Modification and Treatment | | | • 3 | | | |
| Combustible Materials | | | • 3 | | | |
| Water Supply | | • 2 | | | | |
| Construction Activities | • 1 | | | | | |
| Potential and Historical Ignition Sources | | | • 3 | | | |
| | | | | • | | |
| Total of Columns | • 1 | • 4 | • 9 | Total of Row 14 | | |
| Probability of Occurrence (6-9 = Unlikely, 10-13 = Possible, 14-18-Likely) | | | | | | |
| Overall Probability Rating Likely | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |



| | Risk Rating | | | | |
|----------|--|---|---------------------|--|--|
| | | Probability | Population Affected | | |
| | INL Complex/Grid: | | | | |
| | Vulnerabil | ity Assessment | Impact Rating | | |
| | Perso (High=3, Mod | | | | |
| | Economic (Permanent =3, Temporary=2, Immediate=1) | | | | |
| | Envir (High=3, Mod | | | | |
| | Missio (High=3, Moo | | | | |
| | Organization: (Complex=1, Site- | | | | |
| | Total Vulne (sum of | erability Rating all factors) | | | |
| | Rank Low = 5 to 8 Mode | rate = 9 to 11 High = 12 to 15 | | | |
| | Wildland R | isk Rating (probability x vulne | erability) | | |
| | Probability of Occurrence unlikely =1, possible-2, likely=3 | Risk Rating 1-3 =Lowest, 4-6=moderate 7-9 = highest | | | |
| SAL Idah | o National Laboratory | | | | |

| Risk Rating | | | | | | |
|---|------------------------------------|------------|--------------------|--|--|--|
| INL Complex/Grid: | Probability | P | opulation Affected | | | |
| XXXX | Likely | Likely 850 | | | | |
| Wildland Vulr | Impact Rating | | | | | |
| Pers (High=3, Mo | 3 | | | | | |
| E (Permanent =3, Ter | conomic nporary=2, Immediate=1) | | 2 | | | |
| Env (High=3, M | 3 | | | | | |
| Miss (High=3, M | 2 | | | | | |
| Organizatio (Complex=1, Sit | 2 | | | | | |
| Total Vul (sum o | 12 | | | | | |
| Rank Low = 5 to 8 Mod | High | | | | | |
| Wildland Risk Rating (probability x vulnerability) | | | | | | |
| Probability of Occurrence unlikely =1, possible-2, likely=3Vulnerability low-=1, moderate=2, high=3Ri | | | isk Rating | | | |
| Likely (3) | High (3) | | Highest Risk (9) | | | |

Idaho National Laboratory

Eliminate the Hazard or Reduce the Risk

- Hazards prioritized by risk rating
- Corrective actions sent to complex representatives
- Reevaluation scheduled and performed









GIS mapping improves hazard targeting





