

Integration of community distributed energy solutions (IDES)



Purpose and Status

- IRL
 - Vision development of microgrids
 - Pilot site for technology integration and demonstration
 - Study the impacts of distributed energy on rural supply
 - Local renewables integrated with fuel cell generation

Massey University

- Massey vision self sufficiency through renewable energy
- Student resource assessment projects

Status

- Five years into a 6 year study
- Modestly funded limited to small "behind the meter" renewable and demand side technologies
- Support from a range of lines companies

1.

Integration of community distributed energy solutions (IDES)

N.


Available Resources


Local energy resources


- Solar
- Wind
- Microhydro
- Ambient heat
- Biomass wood burners in all houses
- Liquid fuels
- DSM and energy efficiency

Network electricity


 11 kV 3 phase – supplied to all farms











Integration of community distributed energy solutions (IDES)



Experience in Brief

- Solar hot water: retrofit installation issues, performance monitoring difficult, incompatible with wetbacks – no problems since
- H/w heat pump: simple retrofit installation, compatible with wetbacks no problems reported
- Solar PV: installation straight forward, initial operational problems of inverters tripping out resulted in supply transformer tap change – no problems since
- Wind generator: Cost of power connection prohibitive, HyLink concept being demonstrated
- Biodiesel genset: installed at a woolshed and operated automatically every day for several weeks, but not run recently due to lack of a biodiesel supply, too noisy for regular use
- Microhydro: uses an EcoSolutions pelton wheel operating at 48Vdc, consent process costly relative to return

Integration of community distributed energy solutions (IDES)



Lessons to Date in Brief

- Education, training and demonstration
 - Good for student projects over 10 undertaken so far
 - But site progress difficult due to discontinuity and inexperience

Network impacts

- All local generation is network connected via inverters at the household level
- No evidence of any power quality issues to date

Uptake

- Because of regulated low fixed line charges for small users, the best option at present is to avoid exporting power
- Only microhydro generation is economic on current kWh prices
- Even Totara Valley is very remote when it comes to getting things fixed!

17

Integration of community distributed energy solutions (IDES)





Plans for This Year


- Integration of a hydrogen energy system
 - Wind sourced hydrogen pipeline demonstration
- Install a small microgrid system at the farm community level
 - 3 x 6 kW inverter injection platforms (1 per farm)
 - Operate on a community or ADMD basis
- Final project report
 - June 2008




"The meter does run backwards!"











Network Economics and Rural DE

- Analysis is being undertaken based on rural lines asset management plans (O&M)
 - Methodology developed with two lines companies to assess the economics of different combinations of DG/DE for deferring upgrades
 - Assumes an increasing load will breach the feeder capacity threshold
 - Fuel based (firm) DG capacity provided in combination with wind, PV, microhydro generation or solar hot water DE
- NPV and ROI calculated for different ratios of investment in fuel and intermittent renewable technologies
 - 100% capacity genset with 20%, 50%, 80% parallel investment in renewable distributed energy technologies
 - Example results based on 10% demand growth to illustrate the trends

22



Network Economics and Rural DE


Example Inputs to the Model


 Applies to any regional level of assets for which an O&M plan is produced

Note(1): Planning Horizon = Furthest Extent of Asset Investment (max. = 100).

Note(2): Deferral time = Duration of DER project (1 to 30 years (max)).

Variable	Units	
1,600.0	kW	
40.0	years	Note(1)
20.0	years	Note(2)
10.0%	as shown	
3.0%	as shown	
6,473.0	kW	
\$739,063.44	as shown	
\$99.37	\$/kW/Yr	
\$0.0807	\$/kWh/Yr	
	40.0 20.0 10.0% 3.0% 6,473.0 \$739,063.44 \$99.37	







Network Economics and Rural DE

Results

- Most combinations offer positive NPV
- Addition of renewable microgeneration generally reduces the ROI over a basic distributed diesel genset option
- In some scenarios there is a midpoint optimum combination of renewable generation because of an increasing cost of fuel
- Analysis allows quantification of the degree of government support or incentive necessary to encourage renewable DE investment as a network upgrade deferral strategy
- Suggests a way forward to support renewable DE technologies without up-front subsidies
- Results are currently being written up

(Note: the methodology and results are specific to the New Zealand electricity market, but may be transportable to others)