1 2 3 4 5 6 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT 7 FOR THE CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA 8 SOUTHERN DIVISION 9 October 2007 Grand Jury UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,))) 11 Plaintiff,) 12 v. MINH TAN LE, a.k. 13. "Tan,") THAI PHI DO, a.k.14. "Tommy," "Tony," LUAN NGUYEN, a.k.a5. "Loni," "Lonely,") VINH HOANG TRAN, a.k.a6 "Junior,") TRANG THUY NGUYEN, a.k. 17. "Moon," TRUONG LAM NGO,) a.k.**a**8 "Lam," JOSHUA LEE CLARK, a.k.a9. "Mr. J,") HUY ICH NGUYEN, a.k.20 "Uncle Huy," MARCO ANTONIO GARCIA,) a.k.21 "Seca/Soca," and JINFA WANG, 22) Defendants.) 23 24 25 26 27 28

SA CR 07-М na, 21 U.S.C. § 841(a): Distribution of MDMA; and 18 а $\underline{I} \underline{N} \underline{D} \underline{I} \underline{C} \underline{T} \underline{M} \underline{E} \underline{N} \underline{T}$ U.S.C. § 1956(h): Conspiracy r i to Launder Monetary j [21 U.S.C. § 846: Instruments; 18 U.S.C. § 2: Conspiracy to Distribute Aiding and Abetting] u Methamphetamine, MDMA, and а 5 The Grand Jury charges: 6 7 8 9 CRL:crl 10 COUNT ONE 11 [21 U.S.C. § 846] 12 Α. OBJECTS OF THE CONSPIRACY 13 Beginning on a date unknown to the Grand Jury and continuing 14 to on or about October 15, 2007, in Orange County, within the 15 Central District of California, and elsewhere, defendants MINH 16 TAN LE, also known as (a.k.a.) "Tan," ("MINH LE"), THAI PHI DO, 17 a.k.a. "Tommy," a.k.a. "Tony" ("THAI DO"), LUAN NGUYEN, a.k.a. 18 "Loni," a.k.a. "Lonely" ("LUAN NGUYEN"), VINH HOANG TRAN, a.k.a. 19 "Junior" ("VINH TRAN"), TRANG THUY NGUYEN, a.k.a. "Moon" ("TRANG 20 NGUYEN"), TRUONG LAM NGO, a.k.a. "Lam" ("TRUONG NGO"), JOSHUA LEE 21 CLARK, a.k.a. "Mr. J." ("CLARK"), and JINFA WANG ("WANG") and 22 others known and unknown to the Grand Jury, knowingly and 23 intentionally conspired and agreed with each other to: 24 (1) knowingly and intentionally distribute 500 grams or more 25 of a mixture or substance containing a detectable amount of 26 27 2

methamphetamine, approximately 8,910 grams, a schedule II contrôlled substance, in violation of Title 21, United States Code,3Section 841(a)(1);

42) knowingly and intentionally distribute approximately 25,005 grams of a mixture or substance containing a detectable amoun6 of 3,4-methylenedioxymethamphetamine (also known as "MDMA7), a schedule I controlled substance, in violation of Title 21, UStited States Code, Section 841(a)(1); and

9(3) knowingly and intentionally distribute a mixture or substationce containing a detectable amount of marijuana, less than 50 kilbgrams, a schedule I controlled substance, in violation of Title121, United States Code, Section 841(a)(1).

B. <u>MEANS BY WHICH THE OBJECTS OF THE CONSPIRACY WERE TO BE</u> <u>ACCOMPLISHED</u>

The objects of the conspiracy were to be accomplished in substance as follows:

17. Defendant THAI DO would purchase MDMA, methamphetamine, and maxijuana from defendant MINH LE, a resident of Canada, who would19hake arrangements with defendant THAI DO for the delivery of th20 drugs to drug buyers residing in the United States and Austr21ia.

22 Defendant LUAN NGUYEN would purchase MDMA and marijuana from 22 fendants THAI DO and MINH LE and would redistribute the drugs24 o other drug buyers.

25 Defendant VINH TRAN would assist defendant LUAN NGUYEN to di26 ribute drugs.

3

27

4. Defendant TRANG NGUYEN would assist defendant Thai DO to di2tribute drugs, collect the proceeds of drug sales, and delivered drug proceeds to money couriers.

4. Defendant TRUONG NGO, a resident of Australia, would purch**ā**se methamphetamine from defendant THAI DO and would arrange for t**h**e delivery of the methamphetamine in Australia for redis**t**ribution.

8. Defendant CLARK would purchase from defendants LUAN NGUYEN and THAI DO marijuana and would sell to LUAN NGUYEN marijuana.

1. Defendant WANG would pick-up MDMA pills from defendant TRANG12NGUYEN.

C. <u>OYERT ACTS</u>

14 furtherance of the conspiracy and to accomplish the object5 of the conspiracy, on or about the following dates, defend6nts MINH LE, THAI DO, LUAN NGUYEN, VINH TRAN, TRANG NGUYEN7, TRUONG NGO, CLARK, and WANG and other co-conspirators known1&nd unknown to the Grand Jury, committed various overt acts within9 the Central District of California and elsewhere, inclu20 ng but not limited to, the following:

81. On or about May 10, 2006, defendants LUAN NGUYEN and VINH 22RAN caused a third party to transport approximately 35,000 MDMA 2311s from Orange County, California, to Minnesota.

24 On or about December 4, 2006, defendant THAI DO told defen25ant LUAN NGUYEN that THAI DO expected to receive 60,000 MDMA 2611s and gave defendant LUAN NGUYEN instructions on the

4

27

distribution of the pills.

20. On or about December 5, 2006, defendant THAI DO told defendant LUAN NGUYEN that THAI DO expected to receive 100,000 MDMA pails and needed defendant's LUAN NGUYEN's assistance in storing the pills.

61. On or about December 6, 2006, in Westminster, Calif∂rnia, defendant CLARK delivered suspected marijuana to defen&ant LUAN NGUYEN.

92. On or about December 17, 2006, defendants LUAN NGUYEN and C**10**ARK discussed the delivery of marijuana to CLARK.

1B. On or about December 17, 2006, defendant CLARK went to the residence of defendant LUAN NGUYEN, in Westminster, California, and backed his vehicle into the gated area of LUAN NGUYEN4's driveway.

14. On or about December 17, 2006, defendant LUAN NGUYEN spoke16with defendant MINH LE about the marijuana transaction with defendant CLARK.

18. On or about December 18, 2006, defendant THAI DO asked defendent LUAN NGUYEN if LUAN NGUYEN wanted to buy marijuana from defendent THAI DO.

25. On or about December 19, 2006, defendants LUAN NGUYEN and M22NH LE discussed the marijuana transaction proposed by defen2ant THAI DO during the December 18th telephone conversation and d2fendant LUAN NGUYEN agreed to assist defendants MINH LE and THAI 20 to distribute the marijuana.

20. On or about December 22, 2006, defendant CLARK agreed 27 5

to melt with defendant LUAN NGUYEN to pick-up drugs.

18. On or about December 28, 2006, defendant VINH TRAN told defendant LUAN NGUYEN that he was on his way to pick-up drugs.

49. On or about January 30, 2007, defendant LUAN NGUYEN told $\hat{\mathbf{a}}$ efendant VIHN TRAN that defendant LUAN NGUYEN was returning drugs6to "Chau."

20. In March 2007, defendants THAI DO, MINH LE, and TRUONG NGO a&ranged for the shipment of methamphetamine from Vancouver, Canada, to defendant TRUONG NGO in Sidney, Australia.

20. Between March 23, 2007, and April 5, 2007, defendants THAI DD and MINH LE sent to Sidney, Australia, approximately 8,91012grams of methamphetamine.

22. On or about May 11, 2007, defendants THAI DO and MINH LE distribution of drugs in Australia and the collection of proceeds from drug sales.

26. On or about May 12, 2007, defendant MINH LE told defendant THAI DO to expect a person to call THAI DO to arrange for the pick-up of 20,000 MDMA pills.

29. On or about May 14, 2007, defendant THAI DO told defen20ant TRANG NGUYEN that a person would contact her to pick-up MDMA **T**rom defendant TRANG NGUYEN.

23. On or about May 15, 2007, defendant TRANG NGUYEN deliv23red approximately 20,000 MDMA pills to defendant WANG.

24. On or about May 15, 2007, defendant THAI DO called defen25ant MINH LE and confirmed that the 20,000 MDMA pills had been 26elivered.

6

27

1	
2	
3	
4	
5	
6	
7	
8	
9	
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	COUNT TWO
17	[18 U.S.C. § 1956(h)]

A. **DB**JECTS OF THE CONSPIRACY

Beginning on a date unknown and continuing until on or about Octobed 15, 2007, in Orange County, within the Central District of Callfornia, and elsewhere, defendants MINH TAN LE, also known as (a2k.a.) "Tan," ("MINH LE"), THAI PHI DO, a.k.a. "Tommy," a.k.a23 "Tony" ("THAI DO"), TRANG THUY NGUYEN, a.k.a. "Moon" ("TRAME NGUYEN"), HUY ICH NGUYEN, a.k.a. "Uncle Huy" ("HUY NGUYEN"), MARCO ANTONIO GARCIA, a.k.a. "Seca," a.k.a. "Soca," and other26 known and unknown to the Grand Jury, knowingly and

27

intentionally conspired and agreed with each other to conduct and attempt to conduct financial transactions affecting interstate commence, which transactions would involve the proceeds of specified unlawful activity, that is, a violation of 21 U.S.C. § 856, foonspiracy to distribute controlled substances, knowing the transfection is designed in whole or in part to conceal or disgu7se the nature, location, source, ownership or control of said proceeds, and that while conducting and attempting to conduct such financial transactions, defendants knew that the propetty involved in the financial transactions represented the procetals of some form of unlawful activity, all in violation of Titlel28, United States Code, Section 1956(a)(1)(B).

13

- 14
- 15
- 16

B. <u>MEANS BY WHICH THE OBJECT OF THE CONSPIRACY WAS TO BE</u> <u>A&COMPLISHED</u>

19he object of the conspiracy was to be accomplished in subst20hce as follows:

21. Defendant THAI DO would purchase MDMA, methamphetamine, and m22rijuana from defendant MINH LE, a resident of Canada, who would23make arrangements with defendant THAI DO for the delivery of th24 drugs to drug buyers residing in the United States and Austr21ia.

26 Defendant MINH LE would arrange for defendant THAI DO 27 8 28 to deliver to defendant GARCIA large quantities of U.S. currency reprezenting the proceeds of drug sales.

3. Defendant TRANG NGUYEN would assist defendant THAI DO to co4lect the proceeds of drug sales and deliver drug proceeds to mofiey couriers.

6. Defendant GARCIA would meet with defendant TRANG NGUYEN to redeive large quantities of U.S. currency representing the proce&ds of drug sales.

9. Defendants HUY NGUYEN would receive from defendant THAI DO prodeceds from drug sales and would invest those funds in a busines known as The Orchid Depot, located in Los Angeles, California.

C. <u>OYERT ACTS</u>

14 furtherance of the conspiracy, and to accomplish the object5 of conspiracy, defendants MINH LE, THAI DO, TRANG NGUYEN, HUY MGUYEN, GARCIA, and others co-conspirators known and unknown to the7 Grand Jury, committed the following over acts, among other 18 within the Central District of California and elsewhere:

69. The allegations in paragraphs eight through twenty-six in co200t one of the indictment are realleged as if set forth in full 2 bere.

22 On or about May 12, 2007, defendants MINH LE and THAI DO ar23 anged for defendant GARCIA to pick-up drug proceeds in THAI DO's 20 session.

9

25 On or about May 12, 2007, defendant GARCIA called defen**26** and THAI DO and arranged to pick-up drug proceeds.

27

9. On or about May 12, 2007, in Westminster, California, defendant TRANG NGUYEN met with defendant GARCIA and delivered drug proceeds.

40. On or about May 18, 2007, defendant THAI DO arranged for defendant TRANG NGUYEN to deliver to defendant GARCIA drug proceeds.

11. On or about May 18, 2007, in Westminster, California, defen&ant TRANG NGUYEN met with defendant GARCIA and delivered to GARCIA drug proceeds.

12. On or about May 22, 2007, defendant THAI DO instructed defemblant TRANG NGUYEN to deliver to defendant GARCIA drug procee2s.

13. On or about May 23, 2007, in Westminster, California defendant TRANG NGUYEN met with defendant GARCIA and delivered to GARCIA5 drug proceeds.

14. On or about June 5, 2007, defendants THAI DO and HUY NGUYEN7 opened a business joint account, in the name of "Orchid Depot18 at First Vietnamese American Bank, in Westminster, Califo9nia, account number xxxx3680 (the "Orchid Account") and depos20ted approximately \$30,000.00 representing drug proceeds.

25. On or about June 1, 2007, in Fontana, California, defen2ant GARCIA met with a co-conspirator and delivered appro23mately \$754,410.00 in U.S. currency to the co-conspirator.

24. On or about June 15, 2007, in Fontana, California, defen25ant GARCIA met with a co-conspirator and delivered appro26mately \$199,870.00 in U.S. currency to the co-conspirator.

10

27

17. On or about June 28, 2007, in Fontana, California, defen2ant GARCIA met with a co-conspirator and delivered approximately \$1,147,285.00 in U.S. currency to the coconsp4rator.

18. On or about October 7, 2007, defendant HUY NGUYEN met with **6** co-conspirator, in Westminster, California, and received approximately \$59,400.000 in U.S. currency which belonged to defen&ant THAI DO.

99. On or about October 7, 2007, defendant HUY NGUYEN calle&O defendant THAI DO and notified THAI DO that the police seize&I THAI DO's money.

12

13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 COUNT THREE 21 [21 U.S.C. § 841(a)]

02 or about May 10, 2006, in Los Angeles County, within the Centr23 District of California, and elsewhere, defendants LUAN NGUYE24 and VINH HOANG TRAN, a.k.a. "Junior," knowingly and inten25 onally distributed approximately 10,000 grams of a mixture or su26 stance containing a detectable amount of 3,4-

27

28

methylenedioxymethamphetamine (also known as "MDMA"), a schedule
I controlled substance.

A TRUE BILL Foreperson THOMAS P. O'BRIEN United⁰ States Attorney CHRISTINE C. EWELL Assistant United States Attorney Chief Criminal Division ROBB \$4 ADKINS Assistant United States Attorney Chief¹⁵Santa Ana Branch Office