

USDA Foreign Agricultural Service

GAIN Report

Global Agriculture Information Network

Template Version 2.09

Required Report - public distribution

Date: 3/15/2005

GAIN Report Number: TH5026

Thailand Trade Policy Monitoring Annual 2005

Approved by:

Russ Nicely, Acting Agricultural Counselor U.S. Embassy, Bangkok

Prepared by:

Sakchai Preechajarn, Agricultural Specialist

Report Highlights:

The key developments in Thai agricultural trade policy in 2004 are: 1) Thailand successfully concluded and signed comprehensive free trade agreements with Australia in late 2004. Meanwhile, the FTA pact with New Zealand should be signed by June 2005 and its implementation will begin as of July 2005. As a result, tariffs for most of the agricultural products imported from these two countries began to immediately reduce or will be eliminated in 2005; 2) Thailand and the United States began serious discussion on the Free Trade Agreement negotiation in 2004 and are scheduled to conduct the third-round at discussions in April, 2005; and 3) Overall international trade policies on agricultural products and processed foods may not change much from those in 2004.

Includes PSD Changes: No Includes Trade Matrix: No Unscheduled Report Bangkok [TH1]

| SECTION I: EXECUTIVE SUMMARY | 3 |
|--|----|
| SECTION II: AGREEMENT COMPLIANCE | 4 |
| A. WORLD TRADE ORGANIZATION | |
| MARKET ACCESS | |
| Tariffs | |
| Tariff-rate Quotas | |
| Sanitary and Phytosanitary Measures | |
| Other Terms and Conditions | |
| EXPORT SUBSIDIES | |
| DOMESTIC SUPPORT | 16 |
| Price Support | |
| Direct Payments | |
| Other Support Programs | 16 |
| B. OTHER TRADE AGREEMENTS | 16 |
| PREFERENTIAL TRADE AGREEMENTS WITH THE UNITED STATES | 16 |
| Non U.S. AGREEMENTS | |
| Thai-Australia Free Trade Area | |
| Thai-New Zealand Free Trade Area | |
| Thai-China Free Trade Area | |
| Thai-Japan Free Trade Area | 21 |
| Thai-India Free Trade Area | |
| Thai-Bahrain Free Trade Area | |
| ASEAN Free Trade Area | |
| ASEAN-China Free Trade Area | 24 |
| SECTION III: TRADE BARRIER CATALOG | 2/ |
| SECTION III: TRADE BARRIER CATALOG | 26 |
| SECTION IV: REFERENCE MATERIALS | 27 |
| EXHIBIT A | 27 |
| EXHIBIT B | 29 |
| EXHIBIT C | 30 |
| FXHIBIT D | |

SECTION I: EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

Thailand's agricultural sector plays a pivotal role in domestic economic and foreign trade policy. Farmers and farm workers constitute about a half of the population and are generally less well off than those who work in non-agricultural sectors. However, despite the massive labor force occupied by the agro-industrial complex, this sector produces just 10 percent of the country's GDP in 2003 (the 2004 data is not available). The share of agriculture in export earnings is about 10.2%, while that of agricultural imports accounts for only 2.4% of total imports in 2004.

Despite its relatively low productivity on several crops against major producing countries, Thailand has been the world largest exporter of a wide range agricultural products (such as rice, tapioca products, rubber, frozen shrimp, canned tuna, and canned pineapple) and a topten world exporter of others (such as chicken meat, seafood, and sugar).

Reflecting its export orientation on poultry and aquaculture, Thailand has become a promising market for imported agricultural raw materials such as fertilizer, chemicals, feed ingredients, livestock genetics, etc. In addition, the rapidly growing personal income of the Thail people and continued growth in the tourism industry (despite the recent Tsunami disaster of December 2004 that affected the tourism industry in the south of Thailand) have generated high demand for imported goods, including western foods and beverages (e.g. dairy products, meat, fruit, tree nuts, beans and lentils, french fry potatoes, wine).

The U.S. has been a major importer of Thai agricultural products, especially frozen shrimp and other canned/frozen seafood, while Thailand has been a promising market for American wheat, cotton, tobacco leaf, and livestock genetics. In addition, the market for imported U.S. high value products is on the rise, in line with the growing incomes, westernized lifestyles and an expansion in the size of the hospitality sector (hotels, resorts, and restaurant). In 2004, Thai food and agricultural exports to the U.S. are about US\$ 2.6 billion, with only about US\$ 765 million recorded officially for Thai imports from the U.S.

There is room for growth in U.S. agricultural exports to Thailand particularly if the high tariffs and other non-tariff trade barriers are rationalized. Thailand, despite its appetite for foreign foods and beverages, is a relatively protected market with high duties and other trade barriers (including TRQ implementation, a complicated import bureaucracy, and increased technical barriers).

The Royal Thai Government (RTG) manipulates non-automatic import permits for several products (meats, feedstuffs, gunny bag, jute and kenaf, etc.) in order to protect local producers. In many cases, TRQ administration by the RTG is manipulated to create trade barriers. The RTG began strict controls on imported food and feed through its sanitary and phytosanitary measures.

In general, Thailand has complied with its WTO obligations. Although Thailand has fixed its bound rates, the applied tariff rates in many cases are lower than the WTO bound rates. Meanwhile, Thailand does maintain 23 tariff-rate quotas for agricultural products. Thailand has been a member of the ASEAN (Association of Southeast Asian Nations) Free Trade Area, called AFTA, since 1991. AFTA set up preferential applied tariffs between Thailand and other ASEAN countries on most agricultural products, with levels currently between 0-5 percent.

In addition to the WTO and AFTA, Thailand is aggressively entering into regional and bilateral trade negotiations that will bestow improved access to new markets overseas. Similarly, Thailand's trading partners will have greater access to the Thai market. Thailand launched

bilateral free trade with China on specific products (fresh vegetables and fruits) in 2003, under the auspices of the ASEAN-China Free Trade Area. Thailand successfully concluded and signed a comprehensive free trade agreement with Australia in late 2004. Meanwhile, the FTA pact with New Zealand should be signed by June 2005 and its implementation will begin as of July 2005. As a result, tariffs for most agricultural products imported from these two countries began to immediately reduce or will be eliminated in 2005. In addition, Thailand is moving aggressively ahead to conclude bilateral free trade negotiations with the United States, Japan, South Korea, India and Bahrain.

Given that FTA negotiations between Thailand and the U.S. are still pending, the implementation of free trade areas with other agricultural exporting countries, particularly China, Australia and New Zealand, will negatively impact U.S. agricultural exports to Thailand. The most affected agricultural products exported by the U.S. will include meats, dairy products, wheat grains, fruit and vegetables, processed potatoes (french fries), and alcoholic liquors (wine in particular), and processed foods.

SECTION II: AGREEMENT COMPLIANCE

A. WORLD TRADE ORGANIZATION

MARKET ACCESS

Tariffs

While Thailand, in general, has been in compliance with its WTO tariff bindings, the prevailing applied and WTO tariff schedules on agricultural products are the highest among ASEAN countries. The Thai government openly employs them as a tool to generate income and protect domestic producers. The WTO bound rates on certain agricultural products are listed in Exhibit A in the Reference Materials Section.

Based on the latest Trade Policy Review, which the RTG reported to the WTO in 2003, Thailand has initiated a restructuring of its tariff regime and customs procedures in order to increase the country's competitiveness. Despite a reduction in the average applied tariff on agricultural products (from 32.7 % in 1999 to 25.4% in 2003), the current agricultural tariff rates far exceed the targeted ranges of 1-10%. In addition, this average tariff rate (25.4%) is much higher than the overall tariff on non-agricultural items (12.9%) in 2003.

In order to improve the country's trade competitiveness, the RTG has targeted to restructure the tariff system in the manner that import duties would be reduced to 1% for raw material products, 5% for intermediate products, and 10% for finished products. However, this target has not fully been reached partly because of the RTG's recent concentration on free trade agreements with several countries.

The latest reduction in tariffs for agricultural products occurred in October 2003 when the RTG restructured customs tariff schedule for 1,511 items in order to increase the competitiveness of domestic manufacturers and resolve discrepancies in the current import tariff structure. The Royal Thai Government announced that 464 items under this scheme were agricultural products and processed foods while 1,047 items were industrial goods.

However, there are some ironic aspects of this cabinet approval. First, out of a total 1,511 products, 403 items on the list (not explicitly referred to as either agricultural products or industrial products) will be categorized as a reserved list for international trade negotiations

in the future. Second, after scrutinizing the details in the proposed tariff plan, it is apparent that there will be no change in tariffs for most of the agricultural products: the tariffs for these products are just being reduced to the WTO bound rates of 2004, the last year of the current WTO tariff reduction scheme. Third, only a few categories of products are actually subject to an explicitly reduced tariff schedule. The change in applied tariff for agricultural products in 2003 is summarized in Exhibit B. Details in this change were reported in the 2004 report (TH4033).

According to Exhibit B, the applied tariffs on several products become equal to the bound rates in the WTO tariff schedule. However, in the case that the applied tariff rates are lower than the bound rates, an importer of products originating from the WTO member countries is eligible to choose either the "bound rate" or "applied rate". This means that the importer may want the "applied rate" to be assessed if it is lower than the bound rate. If the importer wants to enjoy the bound rate in case that it is lower than the applied rate, he must present documents to the Customs such as a certificate of origin.

In addition to high tariffs, the RTG is also using unfair import permit fees on uncooked red meat, poultry, and meat offal as a barrier to the trade flow. Imported meat faces much higher fees than domestic meat. In 1999, the House of Parliament passed a law to amend the various fees which were collected from animal/animal products trade and transportation. As a result, the Ministry of Agriculture revised its fees across the board, including import permit fees for meat and offal. While fees on red meat (beef, buffalo meat, goat meat, lamb, and pork) remained unchanged at 5 baht/kg, those for other products were higher. For instance, the import permit fee on poultry meat was raised to 10 baht/kg (as compared to the earlier 5 baht/kg), meat offal to 20 baht/kg (as compared to 5 baht/kg), and hides and skins to 2 baht/kg (as compared to 1 baht/kg). As a result of the proposal which was initiated by U.S. Meat Export Federation (USMEF) and FAS/Bangkok in 2000, the Thai Cabinet recently agreed in 2002 to reduce the import permit fee on meat offal from the current 20 baht/kg (approx. US\$ 454/ton) to 5 baht/kg (US\$ 114/ton). However, the reduction was officially implemented on June 1, 2003.

It is apparent that Thailand is implementing trade barriers that are not WTO compliant by violating the national treatment rule, for example, because the prevailing rates on imported meats appeared to surpass the actual veterinary inspection costs inferred by Thai Department of Livestock Development. Fees on domestic meat, which are paid to the local administrative office in the forms of a slaughtering fee and a slaughterhouse fee, are much lower. Domestic fees for beef currently amount to US\$ 4/ton (derived from actual fee of 24 baht per head of cattle) against US\$ 114/ton for imported beef. Domestic fees for poultry meat, pork, and offal are only US\$ 17/ton, US\$ 15/ton, and zero, against US\$ 227/ton, US\$ 114/ton, and US\$ 114/ton for imported products, respectively. Analytical details on this unfair practice are available in report TH2101.

Tariff-rate Quotas

Thailand was allowed to establish TRQs for 23 agricultural products under the WTO Agreement on Agriculture. The products under the TRQs system are divided into two groups. The first group comprises a number of traditional export commodities (e.g. rice, coconuts), where comparative advantage could preclude the need for import protection. A second group consists of commodities, which can be produced domestically but importation is necessary to meet the high demand of the processing industry (e.g. oilseed, corn). In administering the TRQs for the latter group, the RTG will issue higher-than-commitment inquota amount and/or lower-than-commitment in-quota duties, when domestic production is not sufficient to cover the demand, especially for export-oriented industries. In years of sufficient domestic supply or surpluses, the RTG will limit in-quota imports, both in-quota

amount and in-quota duties, only to the level which is obligated to the WTO agreement. The details on the tariff-rate quotas and the out-quota tariff rates are provided in Exhibit C and Exhibit D in the Reference Materials Section.

Although the RTG claims it is justified, Thailand's TRQs management of certain products is unpredictable and non-transparent, which discourages imports. The illustration of this practice can be seen in the TRQs allocations for skimmed milk powder, fresh potatoes, and corn.

Non-fat dry (Skimmed) milk powder

In general, the RTG allocated the TRQs to dairy processors based on their purchases of domestic raw milk. Also, the RTG allocated the TRQ import quota in an amount exceeding the WTO commitment and lowered the in-quota duties to 5% against 20% allowable under the WTO agreement. However, its practice of quota allocation created problems for the importers and dairy processors in two ways; i.e., untimely quota allocation and uncertainty in the amount of the whole-year import quota. In order to force dairy processing plants in using domestic raw milk, the government strategically delays the announcement of quota allocations (normally divided into two slots). In addition, the RTG used the purchase of local raw milk supply as criteria for eligibility for additional quota to meet actual demand. For example:

In early 2003, the RTG determined to limit the in-quota amount to 53,889 tons and raised the duty to 20% (instead of 5% as in the past several years), corresponding to the levels that Thailand is obligated at with the WTO. This action apparently was to force dairy processing plants to absorb domestic raw milk. In addition, the RTG has also tactically divided the actual quota for eligible dairy processors into 4 slots. This means that a shipment could be done in every three months. In late 2003 the RTG announced the allocation of additional quota of 13,401.11 tons as requested by dairy processors and lowered the tariff rate back to 5 percent for this additional quota.

The Thai government announced in December 31, 2003, to allocate the TRQ amount of 55,000 tons of nonfat dry milk (HS 0402100007) for 2004 to condensed milk processors, other non-fluid milk processors (such as ice cream makers, chocolate or coffee beverage processors, and bakery manufacturers), dairy-for-export processors, and yogurt producers. The in-quota imports are subject to 5 percent tariff rate, against 216 percent for the out-of-quota imports. The in-quota import shipments would be valid until August 31, 2004. All eligible importers are pleased with the eight-month period window (from January to August) because it was long enough to allow them to plan the imports to meet their demand. However, the import system became unpredictable again when the dairy industry asked the RTG to allocate additional quota for the rest of the year (from August to December 2004). Despite a rumor that the RTG unofficially agreed to allocate additional quota of 22,000 tons in August, the RTG had finally no action on this request.

Fresh potatoes

In addition to frozen potatoes (french fries), U.S. potatoes exporters have potential markets for seed and table potatoes. However, the RTG limits market access for fresh potatoes (seed or table) through the use of extremely limited TRQs. The RTG is committed to allow imports of 302 metric tons of seed and table potatoes combined in 2004 at the tariff rate of 27% for in-quota imports, while the out-quota imports are subject to 125.0%.

Due to a growing potato chip market and a lack of domestic seed potato production, the potato chipping industry has acquired fresh potato production through seed imports and

fresh potato farming contract. Reflecting insufficient local fresh production, the chipping and snack food industry requested the government to increase the in-quota imports. The three hundred tons of fresh potatoes under the TRQ is far from enough to keep the plants running at efficient capacity when local supplies are off-season.

The RTG has had the discretion to allow potato imports in excess of its WTO-committed quota, however, there is little to no transparency to the way the RTG reaches this decision or that it will be repeated.

Like the year 2001 and 2002, the RTG allocated the quota of 22,700 tons for 2004 on July 15, 2004, a date that was far behind the first request submission by processors in mid 2003. In addition, the window of importation period was limited to July-December 2004.

Corn

While corn is one of 23 agricultural commodities of which importation is under the WTO tariff-rate-quota system, the RTG has generally restricted the window period of in-quota imports, normally March-June, in order to protect domestic corn growers. This limited time frame, when U.S. corn supplies are decreasing and prices are bullish, places U.S. suppliers at a disadvantage against competitors like Argentina and China.

The RTG limited the in-quota imports to a level that Thailand is obligated to the WTO in 2003 in response to sufficient supply domestic availabilities. As for 2004, in response to the feed industry's complain to the government about rocketing domestic corn prices, the RTG agreed on June 8, 2004, to increase the regular TRQs for corn from 54,700 metric tons to 500,000 metric tons and reduced in-quota tariff rates from 20 percent to zero. The out-of-quota imports are subject to a 73 percent tariff rate with a surcharge of 180 baht/ton. However, the window period of imports was limited to only one month (from June 8, 2004 to July 15, 2004). Due to a too short window period and uncompetitive prices for corn imports, there were no imports under this quota allocation.

Sanitary and Phytosanitary Measures

Thailand historically did not explicitly use sanitary and phytosanitary measures to limit agricultural imports. It complied with most international standards. Due mainly to an anticipation of reduced efficacy in using tariffs as trade barriers (following an ongoing decline in import duties) and to increased pressures on Thai agricultural products implemented by importing counties (particularly the EU and Japan), the RTG began to place more stringent standards on imported products in recent years. Some specific issues that Thailand should address include:

- 1) Domestic producers often are not obligated to meet the same standards;
- 2) Arduous tests for chemical residues, colorants, and other food additives are burdensome on both exporter and importer alike;
- 3) The RTG's reluctance to publish changes or updates to existing regulations, which creates confusion and difficulties in understanding and preparing for the change by interested parties; e.g., instead of providing written guidance, the common way of doing business is based on official word or personal commitments made by the RTG official to interested parties;
- 4) Sampling at import location is more rigorous than for domestic producers.

Very recently the RTG initiated several programs to upgrade the food safety of Thai products to meet international standards. The Ministry of Agriculture and Cooperatives (MOAC)

introduced an effort in capacity building in food safety research and development through the application of the Good Agricultural Practice (GAP) method by the use of high yield crop varieties and proper fertilizers. In addition, the MOAC set up the National Bureau of Agricultural Commodity and Food Standards (NBACFS) which is responsible for standardizing, inspecting, and certifying food and agricultural products. MOAC has determined the standards for 14 agricultural commodities including longans, orchids, Thai Hom Mali rice (fragrant rice), lychees, oranges, and others.

The RTG continued its campaign on food safety in 2005 after announcing a "Food Safety Year" in 2004. The Thai Cabinet set up guidelines for a procedure framework for inspecting and controlling the quality of agricultural commodity and food. The objectives of the guidelines are:

- 1) to focus on the safety of consumers in both the country and international market on an equivalent basis;
- 2) to promote Thai agricultural products and food to meet international standards;
- 3) to determine sanitary and phytosanitary standards (SPS) on a scientific basis in order to protect consumer and agricultural production;
- 4) to initiate an equivalency of standard and safety control between Thailand and international communities.

Following are illustrations of some of the sanitary and phytosanitary measures being used as trade barriers:

Requirement of Certificate of Analysis on Chemical Residues for Imported Food Products

On September 20, 2004, the Thai Ministry of Public Health announced a notification based on its earlier Ministerial Rule No. 11/2004, which requires a certificate of analysis on chemical residue and microorganism for 16 items of imported goods (including powder milk, honey, most of grocery products, fresh fruits and vegetables, etc.). The notification specified that the implementation would be in place 90 days after the regulation was published in the Royal Gazette. As it was published in the Royal Gazette on September 30, 2004, the new regulation was scheduled to be effective on December 30, 2004.

This new rule will definitely affect a trade flow of U.S. relevant agricultural products into Thailand, with an estimated value of US\$ 73 million per annum, unless it is revoked. Indeed, the proposed regulations began to negatively affect U.S. agricultural product exports to Thailand, especially fresh fruits. The immediate result of this announcement is that Thai importers canceled their purchase of U.S. fruits in the first quarter of 2005, valuing about US\$ 3.0 million, because of pending associated technical issues and uncertainties as to acquiring a certificate of analysis.

FAS/Bangkok, in cooperation with USDA/FAS/FSTSD in Washington, made an effort to convey a serious concern on this issue to the Thai government. In addition, FAS/Bangkok worked with Trade Counselors in the Australian and New Zealand Embassies in Thailand to press the Thai government to review this rule or delay the rule implementation. FAS/Bangkok convinced the Thai government that their rule implementation is not justified based on the fact that:

1) The Thai government failed to allow sufficient time for WTO member countries for consideration of comments before adopting the rule as final. Although Thailand notified this new rule to WTO on October 24, 2004, its rule implementation would enter into force on date that roughly coincides with the close date of the comment

- period. In addition, Thailand's practice to set up the date of implementation prior to review the comments from rule-affected WTO countries is not acceptable:
- 2) The regulation's requirement that every lot of relevant shipped products must be tested and declared free of certain pesticides and microorganism are vague, and would be unnecessarily burdensome and costly;
- 3) The transparent, science-based U.S. system for monitoring pesticides ensures that products reaching the consumer are safe and wholesome;
- 4) It is not clear that Thailand will require certification and testing on domestic products. If not, imposing these requirements on imports only could be viewed as arbitrary discrimination and a disguised restriction on trade.

Following these negotiations, the Thai Ministry of Public Health bowed to the U.S. and representatives from the governments of Australia and New Zealand to postpone its rule implementation for three months. The Ministry announced on December 27, 2004, shortly before the original implementation would be in place, to postpone the effectiveness of the rule to March 31, 2005. This change was permitted to allow the U.S. and other exporting countries to have time to provide their comments and to convince the Thai government to revoke the rule. In addition, U.S. exports of relevant products, with an estimated value of US\$ 18 million, will be normalized in the first quarter of 2005. FAS/Bangkok continues to make effort to divert these new requirements in such a manner that they will not disrupt the trade flow of U.S. exports to Thailand.

Requirements for Good Manufacturing Practice (GMP)

After a two-year grace period, beginning July 24, 2003, the Public Health Ministerial Notification No. 193, B.E. 2543 (2000), Titled "Method of Food Manufacturing and Equipment for Manufacturing Food and Food Storage" would be applied to all domestic manufacturers and foreign suppliers of 54 types of products.

The covered products under this regulation are listed as follows:

- 1. Infant food and modified food for infant and children
- 2. Infant and children's food supplements
- 3. Modified milk for infant and modified milk for infant and children
- 4. Ice
- 5. Drinking water in sealed containers
- 6. Beverage in sealed containers
- 7. Food in sealed containers
- 8. Milk
- 9. Cultured milk
- 10. Ice cream
- 11. Flavored milk
- 12. Milk products
- 13. Food additives
- 14. Food color
- 15. Food flavoring substances
- 16. Sodium cyclamate and food containing sodium cyclamate
- 17. Food for weight control
- 18. Tea
- 19. Coffee
- 20. Fish sauce
- 21. Water by-products from manufacturing of monosodium glutamate
- 22. Natural mineral water
- 23. Vinegar

- 24. Edible oil and fat derived from animal or vegetable
- 25. Peanut oil
- 26. Cream
- 27. Butter oil
- 28. Butter
- 29. Cheese
- 30. Ghee
- 31. Margarine
- 32. Semi-processed food
- 33. Some particular sauces as identified by Thai FDA
- 34. Palm oil
- 35. Coconut oil
- 36. Mineral drink
- 37. Soybean milk in sealed containers
- 38. Chocolate
- 39. Jam, jelly, marmalade in sealed containers
- 40. Food classified by Thai FDA as food for special purpose
- 41. Quicklime soaked egg
- 42. Royal jelly and royal jelly products
- 43. Products from the hydrolysis or fermentation of soybean protein
- 44. Honey (except where the place of manufacturing does not fall under the description of a factory under the law-governing factory
- 45. Fortified rice
- 46. Brown rice flour
- 47. Salted water for food flavoring
- 48. Sauce in sealed containers
- 49. Bread
- 50. Gum and candy
- 51. Processed agar and jelly
- 52. Garlic products
- 53. Flavor and essence additives
- 54. Frozen food

Domestic manufacturers of these products are obligated to comply with the method of food manufacturing, tools and equipment for manufacturing food, and food storage according to the Good Practice in Food Manufacturing standards of Thailand. Meanwhile, any importer of the covered products must present an equivalent certificate of GMP in line with the Thai GMP Law for certain factories or plants which manufacture those products. The GMPs accepted can be <u>any</u> of the followings: a) GMP by Thai Law; b) GMP by Codex; c) HACCP; d) ISO 9000; and e) other practice equivalent to (a)-(d).

For U.S. food products, Thai FDA officials agree that U.S. practices (it is understood that all U.S. food manufacturers are already subject to 21CFR part 110) are normally superior to the Thai GMP under the present Thai GMP Law. Accordingly, any simple statement/certificate (including HACCP certificate) that is endorsed by USG agencies will be acceptable. The statement may contain sayings like "The food product(s) are manufactured by U.S. processing plant(s) which is (are) subject to 21CFR part 110".

It is understood that most U.S. food plants have adopted HACCP systems to ensure the food safety of their processed foods and the HACCP certification (or equivalent) documents have been acquired. As a result, this law enforcement should have no serious impact on trade flow of U.S. products into Thailand.

The Requirement on Registration and Import Control on Feed Ingredients and Commercial Feed

The Thai government announced a new import regulation governing feeds and feedstuffs in 2002. The latest move by the Thai Ministry of Agriculture and Cooperatives (MOAC) seeks to tighten its control over the inflow of animal feed and ingredients. A common practice in Thailand is the use of sanitary and phytosantiary import permits as de facto import licenses. Furthermore, MOAC wants to only allow imports from foreign plants that have been inspected and approved by the foreign and Thai government officials.

The requirement addresses: a) requesting import permits; b) issuing import permits; c) extending import permits; d) replacing import permits; and e) import procedures and mechanics.

These requirements and their mechanisms leave considerable room for arbitrary and subjective use of the import permits to be used as means to slow down or even to stop imports. Again, requirements like these are starting to be used against many imported commodities. In general, the requirements call for:

- 1. Each shipment to be accompanied by many documents, including certificate of origin; certificate of health or export; certificate of analysis; product information and use; manufacturing process chart; ingredient list; invoice; bill of lading; packing list; and possibly others;
- 2. MOAC Department of Livestock Division (DLD) has fifteen days to review the documents and make its determination to issue the import permit or not;
- 3. Only foreign plants that have been inspected and approved by the foreign government and the Thai government will be allowed to export to Thailand;
- 4. MOAC/DLD to have the authority to reject any shipment that does not fully comply with this regulation. Any rejected shipment will have to be removed from Thailand at the expense of the importer.

The Thai feed processing sector has obvious interests in closing off imports of feedstuffs and is able to heavily influence the Thai government to take steps to make it more difficult to import. The Thai government is also feeling pressure from multilateral partners (ASEAN, WTO, inter alias) to open its agricultural market by lowering tariffs. Additionally, Thai government sources indicate that this new regulation is actually in response to tougher EU livestock product import requirements that could impact Thai poultry and shrimp exports to Europe. As a result, the Thai government seems to be shifting to non-tariff barriers as a means to protect its agricultural industry from the expected increase in foreign supplier presence, as well as to avoid losing access to EU markets.

MOAC is widely regarded as the segment of the government that is most vocal against increasing market access for foreign companies, while the Ministry of Commerce and the Ministry of Foreign Affairs seem to accept greater market openness.

Requirement on the Inspection of Meat Processing Plants in Exporting Countries

The DLD announced in 2000 the guidelines for the importation of meat and its by-products (including offal). Under these regulations, the DLD required that: 1) any importer must have a DLD-approved cold storage facility, of which the quality is subject to determined standards; and 2) all imported meat and meat by-products coming into Thailand must be delivered from

DLD-approved slaughterhouses, which may also be subject to annual inspection by DLD veterinarians. The focus of the meat plant inspections would be the disease control and surveillance system, epidemic status, ante-mortem transportation, slaughtering process and meat inspection, post-mortem transportation to export port, and laboratory tests. Although the DLD claimed that the action was aimed at preventing any exotic disease contact and protecting domestic consumers, the actual motives may be more related to efforts to protect domestic producers as tariffs on meat and meat by-products fall to lower levels in the future.

As a result of FAS/Bangkok's negotiations, the DLD agreed to accept U.S. meat plants listed on the Meat and Poultry Inspection Directory issued by the Food Safety Inspection Service (FSIS) as plants eligible for exporting meat and offal into Thailand in recent years as an interim measure.

A Lack of Scientific Ground to Impose Import/Sale Prohibition in Response to Disease Outbreaks in Exporting Countries

Like other importing countries, Thailand appeared to go beyond the recommendations of the competent international health organization, particularly the Office International des Epizooties (OIE), when exporting countries confirm a finding of an animal disease outbreak in their countries.

For example, in the context of notification of Bovine Spongiform Encephalopathy (BSE) disease, the RTG would impose an immediate import suspension on beef and meat from other ruminant animals (including their meat products) from any BSE-infected country. The Thai practice in its import suspension is that any shipments of prohibited products, which have not arrived to Thailand prior to the effective date of directives or already arrived to Thailand but not yet cleared at the Thai port, will be banned and must be shipped back to the exporting country. In addition, the Thai Food and Drug Administration stipulated that prohibited products, which are already approved and marketed, must be recalled from supermarket shelves and restaurants and must be returned to an exporting country. The recall action is not only non-scientific but also unfairly applied only to imported products. This discriminatory rule imposition can be clearly seen when the Thai FDA did not conduct a recall action on fresh poultry meat in the domestic market when Thailand was recently hit by an outbreak of High Pathogenic Avian Influenza (HPAI) during the end of CY 2003. Until now, U.S. beef and its products are still subject to the RTG's ban.

Once the finding of a BSE case in the U.S. was announced, the RTG also banned feed ingredients and feed (including pet food) deriving from any animals, both ruminant and non-ruminant animals, from any BSE-affected countries. FAS/Bangkok protested the ban imposition by sending a letter to convince the Thai Department of Livestock Development (DLD) that a ban on products not containing ruminant products (especially which are derived from non-ruminant products) is an extreme, unnecessary measure and does not comply with the OIE's guidelines. In response to the inquiry, the DLD agreed to modify its notification by prohibiting the imports of animal feed deriving from all ruminant animals, which originate in the European Union and any country where is reported or suspected to have BSE disease.

As for a BSE low-risk item like hides and skins, the RTG had initiated additional requirements on export certificates endorsed by BSE-affected countries, while the OIE's guidelines clearly state that veterinary administrations in importing countries, regardless of the BSE status of exporting countries, should authorize without restriction the import or transit through their territory of products recognized to be essentially risk free for BSE transmission, including hides and skins. Again, as a result of discussions, the RTG agreed to comply with the OIE's guidelines.

In addition, the RTG banned U.S. live poultry and poultry products (both uncooked and cooked) in February 2004 after a finding of HPAI in Texas. Again, FAS/Bangkok and USDA/APHIS sent several letters asking the Department of Livestock Development (DLD) to comply with the OIE to allow cooked poultry products for entry. However, the reply from the DLD was always slow and added unacceptable requirements to allow cooked products. In November 2004, FAS/Bangkok also forwarded APHIS's letter to the Thai DLD to remove a ban on all live poultry and poultry products (uncooked and cooked products) based on the fact that the United States already eradicated the HPAI disease in the country. After a few months of the request, the DLD finally agreed to lift a ban on all live poultry and poultry products on February 9, 2005.

Biotechnology

Thailand's biotechnology policy is ambivalent, allowing research in government and university laboratories but not allowing field trials or the commercialization of transgenic plants. From the applied side, it is widely known that there is transgenic cotton growing in Thailand today without the approval of the government. Additionally, there are no regulations, other than a moratorium, as to how to manage transgenic crops that are in commercial production in Thailand.

Thailand has the scientific wherewithal to develop its own biotechnology programs, research, and development. However, there are non-science concerns within the Thai government that keep the support for biotechnology programs limited to the laboratories. The Thai government claims that its unwillingness to move forward with commercialization of transgenic plants is due to the European Union's strict import regulations on these sorts of products.

While banning the commercial planting of transgenic seed, the RTG ironically approved to allow imports of transgenic soybeans and corn for a wide-range of domestic use, in both the feed milling and food processing industries. On the other hand, following pressure from Non-Government Organization (NGO) groups, the RTG, through the Ministry of Public Health, issued in April 2002 a labeling law for food containing Genetically Modified Organisms (GMO) materials/products. The regulations, claiming to protect consumers, were apparently based on the Japanese model allowing for a 5 percent tolerance. In addition, the regulations appeared to hurt U.S. interests, as corn, corn products, soybeans, and soy products have been targeted.

Under the regulations for processed products containing more than one ingredient, labeling will only be required for the top three ingredients by weight, if each ingredient constitutes 5 percent or more of the final product and 5 percent or more of that ingredient is derived from GMO ingredients.

Although the implementation of the regulations was scheduled to be enforced on May 11, 2003, one year from the date of regulation announcement in the Royal Gazette, sources in the Ministry of Public Health reported that the ministry, realizing its lack of laboratory facilities, will only monitor the regulation enforcement on a post-marketing basis. This means that product labeling by producers/importers will be voluntary on their judgment. However, the products may be confiscated and the producer/importer will be subject to the same sorts of penalties if the government inspector proves that the products are supposed to be GMO labeled.

Other Terms and Conditions

Thailand also has other import requirements that restrict market access especially for U.S. products in the forms of domestic purchase requirements, biased customs valuations, import permit/license requirements, and complicated excise tax valuation.

Domestic Absorption

It is apparent that the TRQs allocation for a few products, i.e., soybeans, soy meals, corn, skimmed milk, and fresh potatoes are all subject to a domestic purchase requirement of the quota receivers. In the case of soybeans, importers (mainly soybean oil crushers) need to guarantee their domestic purchase from farmers at determined prices. The amount of import quota allocated to these importers is proportional to their domestic purchase. The same method is applied in case of soy meals, corn, skimmed milk, and fresh potatoes.

Customs Valuation

Thailand, in its latest Trade Policy Review reported to the WTO, claimed that it has adopted the WTO Customs Valuation Agreement and thus the relevant rules under the Agreement are being applied. Thailand has also introduced clear customs appeal procedures that cover custom valuation, tariff classification, amount of duty payable, origin of goods and quantity of imported goods. An Electronic Data Interchange (EDI) system has been established to reduce paper load and about 87 percent of declarations are administered through this EDI system. All customs laws are published in the Official Gazette and all important customs information are also posted on the Customs Department's website.

However, the RTG's claims that the method of transaction values in custom valuations to be in compliance with the Agreement (which should have made the customs procedures more transparent) are still questionable. According trade sources, the Customs Department is believed to continue its practice of utilizing reference prices in customs valuation for some product like fruits and food products.

In the case of imported fruits and produce, the U.S. is particularly vulnerable because of the relative transparency in marketing U.S. products. The Thai practice of indexing duty charges tends to bias charges on U.S. products. The Thais typically will take the highest import value of a particular commodity for each country as the base price used to assess duties. If someone orders even a small specialty unit of a commodity, regardless of the obvious aberration the price of this good represents, its high price becomes the duty reference price. This has occurred notably for fresh fruit where there are relatively large numbers of U.S. suppliers and Thai importers. Other countries do not draw similar scrutiny. The seeming lack of price change for competitor products because of long-term contracts or corruption is never challenged. The transparent fluctuation in U.S. commodity prices has proven to be a disadvantage.

Import Permits

In addition to the fact that importers of uncooked meat and meat offal must pay an unfair import permit fee for inspection services provided by veterinary officials, the administration of sanitary import permit issuances has been non-automatic and nontransparent, and has become a barrier to trade. Thus far, the Department of Livestock Development, for example, has never issued an import permit for chicken meat and pork mainly because they want to protect domestic producers. There is no official prohibition against importing poultry or pork. The issuance of import permits for beef, even allowable to enter the country, takes 15 days, an unreasonably long time. Thai importers must obtain licenses from the RTG for every

shipment of imported meat. Further, the RTG sometimes limits an importer to only part of a requested shipment, when the department wants to limit the imports. For example, if an importer requests a permit for five containers, the RTG may grant a license for only two containers.

Excise Tax Valuation

Thailand has collected excise tax on products that are considered luxury goods, a wide range of items from spirits and beverages to automobiles, as a tool to generate government revenue. Wine and spirits imported into Thailand face astronomically high duties and taxes. While the import duty on these products is a bit under 60% ad valorem, there is an excise tax that is unreasonably complicated and discriminatory between imported products and domestic products.

The excise valuation is extremely complicated in the sense that the amount of paid excise tax itself is revolved in the calculation of excise tax. As a result, based on 54% import duty, for example on wine, 60% excise tax, 7% value added tax, 10% of excise tax as municipal tax, and 2% of excise tax as health tax, the actual duties currently add up to 390%. When compared to taxes on domestic products in which import duty is not included, the complication in excise tax calculation favors domestic manufacturers by requiring them to pay total taxes about one-half of that paid by importers. Total taxes upon domestic wine and spirits are only 212%.

EXPORT SUBSIDIES

Thailand claims that it does not provide direct export subsidies for agricultural products although Thailand availed itself of the transitional arrangements for export subsidies under the WTO Agreement on Subsidies and Countervailing Measures. However, the RTG provides indirect assistance to agricultural exporters and export-oriented agricultural processors through a few schemes.

For example, the Export-Import Bank conducted a refinance scheme for exporters (including agricultural exporters), called the Packing Credit Facility, by purchasing promissory notes from exporters at a lower than commercial interest rate. This facility, however, was terminated in 2003 as the RTG claimed that Thailand wants to comply with WTO agreement. Nevertheless, the RTG set up a new program administered by the EXIM Bank in 2003. Under this program, the EXIM Bank will refund interest on export finance to exporters (including agricultural and non-agricultural exporters) who export their products to the new emerging markets (41 listed countries). Sources from the EXIM Bank reported that the program is on a one-year basis. The RTG claims that this new program is WTO compliant and is to be part of foreign market development program which is directed at emerging markets only, rather than being direct subsidies to exporters.

The RTG also provides other assistance to exporters through investment incentives to export-oriented manufacturers. The Board of Investment (BOI) generally provides a grace period of corporate income tax exemption. The BOI also gives a deduction on the corporate income tax for BOI-approved manufacturers who are able to increase their export income from the previous year.

DOMESTIC SUPPORT

Price Support

Although the RTG does not have a guaranteed price program for agricultural commodities, it has intervened in the market for several products in stabilizing the farm prices. These include: a) a mortgage scheme for paddy and corn; b) price intervention for rubber, oil palm, coffee, garlic, pineapple, eggs, live chicken, etc.; c) the common price intervention program for sugar; and d) the RTG's domestic prices intervention on soybeans and raw milk, by forcing processing plants (soybean crushing mills and dairy processors) to buy domestic soybeans/raw milk at pre-determined levels. In the case of corn, the RTG determines the level of domestic prices as one of the conditions for allowing imports.

For example, support programs for paddy in MY 2004/05 remain unchanged from those in MY 2003/04. In 2004/05, the government policy remains focused on a paddy mortgage scheme. Despite more flexibility in the program this year, fewer farmers participated in the program, due to a higher market price than the intervention price. The mortgage scheme for main paddy, running from November 1, 2004 – March 31, 2005, planned to buy 9 million tons of paddy – 5 million tons of fragrant paddy, 3 million tons of non-fragrant paddy, and 1 million ton of glutinous paddy. As of Mar 6, 2005, the program had bought 4.4 million tons of main-crop paddy which included 1.8 million tons of fragrant paddy and 2.6 million tons of non-fragrant paddy.

Direct Payments

Since MY 2003/04, the RTG has suspended a program to purchase paddy above domestic market prices to process it into rice and sell this rice via Government-to-Government sales at a considerable discount to the purchase price, amounting to an export subsidy. This program suspended because of improved global rice prices.

Other Support Programs

The RTG has other support programs in the form of assistance in reducing the cost of agricultural production. For instance, the RTG supports prices for crop seeds or gives agricultural inputs (seeds and fertilizer) to farmers whose crops are affected by disaster. The Department of Livestock Development continued its program to provide free-of-charge vaccination and artificial insemination to livestock farmers in rural areas. In addition, the Bank for Agriculture and Agricultural Cooperatives (BAAC), which was established in 1966, extends credit widely, directly to individual farmers as well as indirectly through farmer institutions. Following declining market interest rates in recent years, the BAAC's client farmers may not receive the under-market rates as they used to. However, the loans provided by the BAAC are preferred by a majority of Thai farmers due to the long-term relationship and the bank's well-established operation network. In 2004, the BAAC provided lending of 258 billion Baht (US\$ 6.9 billion) to more than 3.7 million client farmers, and of 14 billion Baht (US\$ 0.37 billion) to 841 agricultural cooperatives.

B. OTHER TRADE AGREEMENTS

PREFERENTIAL TRADE AGREEMENTS WITH THE UNITED STATES

Thailand and the United States agreed on October 19, 2003, to begin FTA negotiations. Mr. Somkid Srijatupitak, Deputy Prime Minister of Thailand, led a negotiation team to officially visit the U.S. to discuss the FTA further with the U.S. officials in March 2004. According to

Mr. Srijatupitak, Thailand would use a framework agreement being concluded with Australia as a basis in FTA negotiations with the United States. The second-round negotiations were conducted again in Hawaii, the United States, in October 2004. The third-round negotiations will be held in Thailand in April 2005.

Non U.S. AGREEMENTS

In addition to the establishment of the ASEAN Free Trade Area (AFTA) in early 1990s, the Thai government, especially the present cabinet led by Prime Minister Thaksin Shinnawatra, has aggressively engaged in negotiating regional free trade agreements and bilateral free trade agreements. According to the Thai Department of Trade Negotiations, there are currently in the pipeline the following free trade agreements in negotiation: Thai-U.S., Thai-China, Thai-Australia, Thai-Japan, Thai-New Zealand, Thai-India, Thai-Bahrain, Thai-Peru, ASEAN-China, and BIMST-EU (BIMST represents Bangladesh, India, Myanmar, Sri Lanka, Bhutan, Nepal, and Thailand).

Thailand has made the most progress with Australia and New Zealand in their FTA negotiations. While the comprehensive FTA pact with Australia was officially signed in July 2004 and began operating January 1, 2005, that with New Zealand is scheduled to be signed by June 2005. Also, Thailand may also finalize its FTA negotiations with Japan this year.

Thailand also made a substantial progress in FTA negotiations with China, Bahrain, India, and Peru, by signing framework agreements.

Following is a summary of FTA negotiations that Thailand has with Australia, New Zealand, China, Japan, Bahrain, India, and with ASEAN member countries:

Thai-Australia Free Trade Area

On July 5, 2004, Thailand and Australia signed the Thailand-Australia Free Trade Agreement (TAFTA) as a landmark in trade relations. The pact began effective as of January 1, 1005.

Due to the FTA agreement, trade between Australia and Thailand will continue to grow. In 2003, Thailand was Australia's 12th largest market for exports and 13th largest source of imports. Two-way trade was worth \$5.9 billion in 2003.

Following is a brief description of Thailand's tariff schedule for Australian agricultural products under the FTA pact:

Meat

- Thailand will phase the current 32% tariff for mutton to zero in 2010;
- Thailand immediately reduced the tariff on beef to 40%, down from 51%, and for beef offal to 30%, down from 33%, and will phase these rates to zero in 2020;
- Thailand will phase the current 33% tariff for pork to zero in 2020.

Dairy

• Thailand immediately eliminated the previous tariffs on infant formula (5%), lactose (up to 20%), casein and milk albumin (10%), and will phase the tariffs on butter fat, milkfood, yoghurt, dairy spreads and ice cream to zero in 2010;

- It provided an immediate additional quota for Australia of 2,200 tonnes for skim milk powder and 120 tonnes for liquid milk and cream, expanding by 17% at five-yearly intervals until 2025, when all tariffs and quotas will be eliminated;
- It will phase the tariffs for butter and cheese, other milk powders and concentrates to zero in 2020.

Grains and related products

- Thailand immediately eliminated the previous tariffs on wheat (ad valorem equivalent of 12-20%), barley, rye and oats (ad valorem equivalents of up to 25%), and the tariff and tariff rate quota on rice;
- It also immediately eliminated the tariffs on unroasted malt (ad valorem equivalent of 28%) and wheat gluten (31%), and will phase the tariffs on wheat flour (32.6%) and starch (31%) to zero in 2010.

Fruit and Vegetables

- Thailand will phase tariffs on most fresh fruit and vegetables (current rates mostly 33% or 42%) to zero in 2010. Tariffs on mandarins (42%) and grapes (33%) were immediately reduced to 30%, and will be phased to zero in 2015;
- Thailand immediately eliminated its tariffs on most tropical fruit;
- Thailand provided immediate additional quota for fresh potatoes, expanding yearly until 2020, when all tariffs and quotas will be eliminated. The current 30% tariffs for processed potatoes will be phased to zero in 2015;
- Thailand immediately reduced to 24% the previous tariffs of 30% on fruit juices and canned fruit, and will phase the tariff to zero in 2010. The previous 30% tariffs on canned mixed fruit and canned pineapple was eliminated immediately.

Sugar

• Thailand provided immediate additional quota for sugar, expanding annually by 10%, with tariff and quota free access in 2020.

Wine, Beer and Spirits

- Thailand immediately reduced its previous 54% tariffs on wine to 40%, and will phase the tariff to zero in 2015:
- For beer and spirits, Thailand immediately reduced its previous tariffs of 60% to 30%, before phasing to zero in 2010.

Other Processed Foods

- Thailand immediately eliminated its previous 10% tariffs on chocolate confectionery; and will phase its current 30% tariff on sugar confectionery to zero in 2010;
- For bakery products, Thailand will mostly phase current tariffs of 25-30% to zero in 2010, with immediate elimination of tariffs on crispbread and some cereals.

Other

- Thailand immediately eliminated its previous tariffs of up to 10% on hides and skins;
- Thailand immediately eliminated its previous 1% tariff on wool and will bind its tariff on cotton at zero.

Sanitary and phytosanitary measures (SPS) and Food Standards

Australia and Thailand have agreed to establish an expert group on SPS and food standards to strengthen cooperation in this area. The expert group will implement a work program over a 2-year period from entry into force of this agreement with the aim of:

- Enhancing mutual understanding of each other's SPS, agricultural and food standards;
- Consulting on matters related to the development or application of SPS measures and other agricultural and food standards that affect or may affect trade between the Parties:
- Reviewing and assessing progress of both countries' priority market access interests;
- Consulting on requests for recognition of equivalence of SPS measures or other agricultural and food standards;
- Consulting on matters relating to the harmonization of standards;
- Coordinating capacity-building and technical cooperation programs; and
- Strengthening cooperation in the WTO, Codex Alimentarius Commission, the Office International des Epizooties, and the International Plant Protection Convention.

Thai-New Zealand Free Trade Area

Prime Ministers Thaksin Shinawatra of Thailand and Helen Clark of New Zealand announced the successful conclusion of negotiations on a Closer Economic Partnership (CEP) Agreement between their two countries on October 20, 2004.

According to Prime Ministers Thaksin and Clark, the two parties agreed in the following areas:

- The agreement provides for comprehensive coverage of trade in goods. All tariffs will be eliminated either on implementation or under phasing arrangements. On implementation, 71% of bilateral trade will be duty free and by 2010 this proportion is estimated to rise to 84%:
- Trade-facilitating provisions aimed at reducing transaction costs are included on quarantine measures, standards and conformance and customs procedures:
- Negotiations on an agreement to liberalise trade in services and which is consistent
 with both countries' GATS commitments will commence within three years of the CEP
 entering into force:
- A solid framework is established for encouraging the flow of investment between the two countries;
- The two countries will cooperate on intellectual property rights matters and competition policy;
- On government procurement, the two countries will work progressively to eliminate barriers and envisage further substantive negotiations to expand the application of this Chapter;
- Both sides will ensure transparent administration of laws and regulations.

The two Prime Ministers also announced the conclusion of parallel negotiations on: (1) bilateral arrangements on labor and environment, which lay out shared understandings and establish mechanisms for ongoing cooperation and dialogue on these issues; and (2) a cooperation arrangement between Customs agencies.

The Prime Ministers concluded that the Closer Economic Partnership Agreement, along with

the other bilateral arrangements negotiated in parallel with the CEP, represent a major step forward in the bilateral relationship between Thailand and New Zealand.

Overall Outcomes

- On entry into force if the CEP on July 1, 2005, Thailand will eliminate tariffs and quotas on 52% of imports from New Zealand. Currently only 4% of imports from New Zealand receive duty free access;
- By 2010, a further 13% of trade will be duty free. Another 20% of trade will have tariffs phased out by 2020. Trade restrictions on the remaining 15% of imports (covering only skim milk powder and liquid milk and cream) will be eliminated by 2025:
- The first round of tariff cuts will take place on implementation of the CEP on July 1, 2005 and the second round on Janaury 1, 2006, with subsequent reductions being applied on Janaury 1 each year;
- There is scope within the Agreement to accelerate these tariff reductions in the future.

The following section cover the outcomes on tariff reduction for New Zealand's agricultural product exports to Thailand:

Dairy

- Tariffs on infant milk formula (currently 5%), casein (5%), lactose (up to 10%) and protein concentrates (5%) will be eliminated on implementation;
- Tariffs on yoghurt (5%), buttermilk (5%), milk protein concentrates (5%) and butterfat (5%) will be removed by 2009;
- The 18% tariff on whole milk powder will drop to 15% on implementation and then pahse down to zero by 2020;
- Tariffs on cheese and butter will phase to zero by 2020.

Meat

- The 30% tariff on sheep meat will drop to 24% on implementation, then phase out by 2010.
- The 50% tariff on beef will drop to 40% on implementation and will phase down to zero by 2020;
- The 30% tariff on beef offal will phase to zero by 2020.

Fruit and Vegetables

- Thailand will, on implementation, eliminate tariffs on most New Zealand horticulture exports to Thailand including sweet potatoes, carrots, frozen peas, frozen mixed vegetables, dried peas, avocadoes, apples, cherries, kiwifruit and persimmons. These items currently face tariffs of up to 40%;
- Thailand will establish and then gradually increase additional New Zealand-specific quotas for imports of fresh potatoes and onions. All trade restrictions on these products will be removed by 2020.

Seafood

• Thailand's higher tariffs (20-30%) on seafood products will phase to zero by 2010;

• The 5% tariffs on New Zealand's main seafood exports to Thailand will variously be eliminated on implementation or be phased to zero by 2009 or 2015. (Note: These tariffs are not applied to fish exported to Thailand for processing and re-export).

Forestry Products

Most New Zealand forestry exports to Thailand currently face a tariff of only 1%, which will be removed on implementation. Other tariffs of up to 30% will be either eliminated on implementation or phased out by 2020. The 12.5% tariff on fiberboard will be cut to 5% in 2005 and removed in 2012.

Processed food and beverages

- Thailand's current 60% tariff on wine will drop to 30% on implementation and then phase to zero by 2015;
- Thailand will phase out the current 30% tariff on processed potatoes by 2015.

Thai-China Free Trade Area

On June 18, 2003, Thailand and China signed the Early Harvest Scheme on fruits, vegetables, and nuts (harmonized codes of chapter 07-08). Under the scheme, import duties on these products between two countries would be eliminated immediately on October 1, 2003.

FAS/Bangkok assessed that the tariff reduction under the free trade area agreement has benefited the Chinese agricultural products (chapter 01-08) coming into Thailand, at the expense of U.S. and others' exports. However, this threat has been limited initially to fruits and nuts due to the direct competition that Chinese products present to these U.S. products in the Thai market. The details of this analysis are available in FAS/Bangkok's TH3077 Report.

Thai-Japan Free Trade Area

The Prime Ministers of Japan and Thailand held a meeting in Tokyo on December 11, 2003 and discussed the vital importance of establishing a Japan-Thailand Economic Partnership Agreement (JTEPA). The two Prime Ministers emphasized the close economic, cultural and strategic ties between the two countries that have been forged through fruitful co-operation over many years, and recognized with great appreciation that such close ties have offered a variety of mutual economic and strategic benefits and opportunities for the two countries and their peoples. They, therefore, underscored the feasibility and desirability of further strengthening their economic partnership by creating an enduring framework between the two countries in a form of the JTEPA.

There have been a few meetings for negotiations in 2004 and early 2005. According to the Thai Department of Trade Negotiations, Thailand proposed the JTEPA Task Force in their meeting from January 24-February 1, 2005 to include poultry meat, tapioca flour, sugar, fishery products, fruit and vegetables, processed foods, leather products and shoes for Japan's tariff reduction or elimination schedule. Meanwhile, Japan proposed Thailand to phrase out tariffs on automotive goods and steels.

There has been no official report on the outcome of the sixth JTEPA meeting that was held from February 24-March 4, 2005. However, some international media reported that Thailand and Japan held substantive negotiations on a free trade agreement in Tokyo, and the two countries could be ready to sign a pact in April 2005.

The main sticking points in the agreement are Japan's request that Thailand lower auto sector tariffs and Thailand's need for Japan to lower tariffs on agricultural goods and to make it easier for skilled Thai labor to work in Japan. In addition, both countries have agreed that tariffs on rice, which they both produce in abundance, will be excluded from the FTA negotiations.

Thai-India Free Trade Area

On October 9, 2003, Thailand and India signed a framework agreement mainly to initiate an Early Harvest Scheme. Under the scheme, both countries will gradually reduce the current applied tariff rates by 50% on March 1, 2004, by 75% on March 1, 2005, and 100% on March 1, 2006, respectively. There are 82 items of product under this scheme, including rambutans, longans, mangosteens, grapes, wheat, canned seafoods, and other industrial goods. The latest negotiations in February 2005 came up with some agreements to categorize normal-track items that will be subject to trade liberalization into two groups, including items under the zero-tariff target and items under non-zero-tariff target. In addition, the two parties agreed to exchange an indicative list of sensitive-track items and made some progresses on the matters of rule of origin, SPS cooperation, anti-dumping and market protection measures, trade dispute, etc.

Thai-Bahrain Free Trade Area

Thailand and Bahrain signed a framework agreement on Common Effective Preferential (CEP) which was effective on December 29, 2002. The Early Harvest products (662 items) were reduced to zero and a 3%. Any products with 3% tariff rate would be eliminated on January 1, 2005.

As for the rest of the uncovered products (about more than 5,000 items), they will be divided into 3 groups: 1) Fast track products (about 40% of total pending items), for which tariff rates will be eliminated by January 1, 2005; 2) Normal track products (another 40%), for which tariff rates will be eliminated by January 1, 2007; and 3) Other products (about 20%), for which tariff rates will be eliminated by January 1, 2010.

However, this framework agreement has not been effective thus far because Government of Bahrain is in the process of endorsement by the Bahrain Parliament House.

ASEAN Free Trade Area

The former Thai Prime Minister Anand Panyarachun first floated the idea of an AFTA (ASEAN Free Trade Area) in June 1991. Having gathered preliminary support from other ASEAN member countries, Thailand presented in September 1991 a draft AFTA proposal, called Anand's initiative. The basic principle of the AFTA is to introduce, through the Common Effective Preferential Tariff Scheme (CEPT), tariff reductions in AFTA of all products to within 0-5% by 2003, beginning January 1, 1993, except for rice, a highly sensitive product.

There are two categories of commodities which are subject to the tariff reduction under the CEPT scheme; i.e., 1) Industrial and Agro-Industrial Products; and 2) Non-Processed Agricultural Products.

1) Industrial and Agro-Industrial Products

There are two main lists for these products:

- 1.1. Inclusion List (IL). The products under the inclusion list are separated into two programs, which are the Fast Track Program and the Normal Track Program:

 A) The Fast Track Program: The tariff rates for products under this program were to be reduced to 0-5 percent within 7 years (by January 1, 2000). A set of 15 product groups identified for accelerated tariff reduction include oil, cement, chemicals, pharmaceuticals, fertilizer, plastics, rubber products, leather products, pulp and paper, textiles & apparels, wooden and rattan furniture, ceramics and glass products, gems and jewelry products, machinery & electrical appliances (electronics), and mineral products.

 B) The Normal Track Program: The tariff rates for products under this program will be reduced to 0-5 percent within 10 years: by January 1, 2003, for original members (incl. Brunei, Indonesia, Malaysia, Philippines, Singapore, and Thailand) and by January 1, 2006-2010 for new Members (incl. Cambodia, Lao PDR, Myanmar, and Vietnam).
- 1.2 Temporary Exclusion List (TEL). ASEAN Members are eligible to delay their tariff reduction by listing the products in TEL. Under the TEL, the members, after 3 years of tariff reduction exemption, are committed to release 20 percent of total listed items into the Inclusion List (IL) and the tariff rates for these products will be reduced to 0-5 percent within 7 years: by January 1, 2003, for original members; and by January 1, 2006-2010, for new Members.
- 2) Non-processed Agricultural Products

In principle, the tariff reduction under the CEPT scheme for non-processed agricultural products will start later than that for industrial and agro-industrial products, but will be ended at the same relevant year of agreement. However, this rule is not applied to commodities on the Sensitive List and Highly Sensitive List. Accordingly, there are three lists for this product category:

- 2.1 Inclusion List (IL). Under this scheme, original members (including Thailand) will reduce the tariff rates for products under this category to 0-5 percent by 2003. While the same committed tariff level (0-5 percent) will be applied to new members by 2006-2010.
- 2.2. Temporary Exclusion List (TEL). Members are able to begin their tariff reduction under this list in one year after the tariff reduction under the Inclusion List is effective. Tariff rates must reduced to 0-5 percent in the same relevant year as that in the IL.
- 2.3 Sensitive List (SL). The tariff reduction for the products under the SL will be the slowest. Members are committed to reduce the tariff rates to 0-5 percent within 10 years after the scheme starts. However, as some products which are considered as highly sensitive products like rice, the final tariff rates are allowed to be higher than 5 percent at the end of the scheme time frame. As for Thailand, there are seven tariff lines within four products that are in its Sensitive List: Harmonized code (6 digits); a) Coconut (120300); b) Coffee (090111, 090112 and 090130); c) Fresh Cut Flowers (060310); and d) Potatoes (070110 and 070190).

There are also General Exceptions which are those products excluded for the protection of national security, the protection of human, animal or plant life and health, and the protection of articles of artistic, historic, and archaeological value.

Apart from tariff reduction, member countries are obliged to eliminate all quantitative restrictions (quotas, license, etc.) on CEPT products.

As regards non-tariff barriers, member countries on a gradual basis shall eliminate these within a period of five years enjoyment of concessions applicable to the CEPT products.

The product, which is deemed to be under the CEPT scheme, must contain local content or ASEAN content altogether at least 40 percent of the product value, and it must be on the CEPT scheme of both an importing country and an exporting country.

As one of the original ASEAN members (including Brunei, Malaysia, Philippines, and Singapore), Thailand's tariff rates for all agricultural products imported from ASEAN members, except for the 7 commodities on the Sensitive List, are subject to be 0-5% since January 1, 2003.

ASEAN-China Free Trade Area

The ASEAN-China Summit in Brunei in November 2001 agreed to establish an ASEAN-China Free Trade Area (ASEAN-China FTA) within ten years, with recognition of differences in the level of economic development among the parties. The area of economic cooperation includes: (1) agriculture; (2) information and communication technology; (3) human resources development; (4) investment; and (5) Mekong River basin development.

In the area of trade in goods, ASEAN and China agreed in principle that the tariff schedule among the parties should be reduced substantially on all trade in goods, including HS code chapters of 01 (live animals), 02 (meat and edible meat offal), 03 (fish), 04 (dairy products), 05 (other animal products), 06 (live trees), 07 (edible vegetables), and 08 (edible fruits and nuts).

Tariff Reduction and Elimination Plan

The products in the covered items, which are not covered by the Early Harvest Program, will be categorized into two Tracks: Normal Track and Sensitive Track:

- (a) Normal Track: the applied MFN tariff rates* for products listed in the Normal Track will be gradually reduced or eliminated over a period from 2005 to 2010 for the ASEAN 6 (Brunei, Indonesia, Malaysia, Singapore, Thailand) and China, and in the case of the newer ASEAN member states (Cambodia, Laos, Burma, Vietnam), the period will be from 2005 to 2015.
- (b) Sensitive Track: The applied MFN tariff rates for products listed in this track will be reduced in accordance with the mutual end rates and end dates as agreed by the parties.

Note: * Applied MFN tariff rates shall:

- (i) in the case of ASEAN Member States (which are WTO members as of 1 July 2003) and China, refer to their respective applied rates as of 1 July 2003; and
- (ii) in the case of ASEAN Member States (which are non-WTO members as of 1 July 2003), refer to the rates as applied to China as of 1 July 2003.

Early Harvest Program

In order to accelerate the implementation of this agreement, the ASEAN Parties agreed to implement an Early Harvest Program. Products covered by the Early Harvest Program will include: 1) products of HS Code Chapter 01-08, unless otherwise excluded by a Party in its Exclusion List; and 2) specific products set out in Annex 2 of the Agreement. As ASEAN 6 has no exclusion of any product, this means all commodities from Chapter 01 to Chapter 08 will be included in the Early Harvest Program.

The product categories for tariff reduction and elimination under the Early Harvest Program are broken into 3 product categories, defined as follows:

| Item | China and ASEAN 6 | New ASEAN States | | | |
|------------|-------------------|------------------|--|--|--|
| Category 1 | MFN rates >15% | MFN rates > 30% | | | |
| Category 2 | MFN rates 5-15% | MFN rates 15-30% | | | |
| Category 3 | MFN rates <5% | MFN rates <15% | | | |

The implementation time frames under the Early Harvest Program are determined as follows:

China and ASEAN 6 (Brunei, Indonesia, Malaysia, Singapore, Thailand):

| Product Category | Not later than 1 Jan 2004 | Not later than 1 Jan 2005 | Not later than 1 Jan 2006 | | |
|------------------|------------------------------|------------------------------|------------------------------|--|--|
| | 2004 | 2003 | 2000 | | |
| 1 | 10% | 5% | 0% | | |
| 2 | 5% | 0% | 0% | | |
| 3 | 0% | 0% | 0% | | |

China and the newer ASEAN Member States (Cambodia, Laos, Burma, Vietnam):

| Country | 1Jan |
|--------------------|------|------|------|------|------|------|------|
| | 2004 | 2005 | 2006 | 2007 | 2008 | 2009 | 2010 |
| Vietnam: | | | | | | | |
| Product Category 1 | 20% | 15% | 10% | 5% | 0% | 0% | 0% |
| Product Category 2 | 10% | 10% | 5% | 5% | 0% | 0% | 0% |
| Product Category 3 | 5% | 5% | 0-5% | 0-5% | 0% | 0% | 0% |
| | | | | | | | |
| Laos and Burma: | | | | | | | |
| Product Category 1 | - | - | 20% | 14% | 8% | 0% | 0% |
| Product Category 2 | - | - | 10% | 10% | 5% | 0% | 0% |
| Product Category 3 | - | - | 5% | 5% | 0-5% | 0% | 0% |
| | | | | | | | |
| Cambodia: | | | | | | | |
| Product Category 1 | - | - | 20% | 15% | 10% | 5% | 0% |
| Product Category 2 | - | - | 10% | 10% | 5% | 5% | 0% |
| Product Category 3 | - | - | 5% | 0-5% | 0-5% | 0-5% | 0% |
| | | | | | | | |

SECTION III: TRADE BARRIER CATALOG

| 1+0 | Drodust | T 1 | Doctrictic = /Notes |
|------|------------------------------|---------------------------------|--|
| Item | Product | Trade Impact US\$ million | Restriction/Notes |
| | | (Annually) | |
| 1 | Red meats | 6.0 | High duties of 51% Import permit fee applied at US\$ 114/ton Non-automatic and non-transparent administration of import permit Import permit for pork has been never issued Note: market creation and expansion |
| 2 | Poultry meat | 4.0 | High duties of 51% Import permit fee applied at US\$ 227/ton Non-automatic and non-transparent administration of import permit Import permit for chicken meat (excluding turkey) has been never issued Note: market creation |
| 3 | Dairy products | 3.0 | TRQs system is applied to skimmed milk, and the quota administration is untimely and uncertain Local content requirement High duties (5-30%) on other dairy products Note: market expansion |
| 4 | Seafood | 5.0 | High import duties of 60% Note: market creation and expansion |
| 5 | Fresh fruit | 5.0 | High duties of 10-60% Note: market expansion |
| 6 | Nuts | 4.0 | Duties of 10% for most products Note: market expansion |
| 7 | Wheat | 8.0 | Duty of 1.0 baht/kg (approx. US\$ 25/ton) |
| 8 | Snack foods | 5.0 | Duties of 5-30% Note: market expansion |
| 9 | Frozen potatoes | 6.0 | High duties of 33% Note: market expansion |
| 10 | Processed meat products | 4.5 | High duties of 30-60% Note: market expansion |
| 11 | Processed fruit & vegetables | 6.0 | High duties of 30% Note: market creation and expansion |
| 12 | Wine | 6.0 | Duties altogether are almost 400% Note: market expansion |
| 13 | Pet foods | 6.0 | Duties of 9.2 % Complicated feed control regulations Note: market creation and expansion |

SECTION IV: REFERENCE MATERIALS

EXHIBIT A

Thailand: WTO Bound Rates on Certain Agricultural Products

| | PRODUCT | HARMONIZED | | | | | | | |
|----|---------------------------|--------------|-------|------|-------------|------|-------------|-----|-------------|
| | | CODE | QUOTA | | 2002 | | 0) 2003 | | 2004 |
| | | | | (%) | Volume | (%) | Volume | (%) | Volume |
| 1 | BEEF (Fresh and Frozen)* | 0201 | | 52 | | 51 | | 50 | |
| | | 0202 | | | | | | | |
| 2 | BEEF OFFAL* | 0206.100.007 | • | 36 | | 33 | | 30 | |
| 3 | MEAT OF SWINE | 0203.290.000 | | 36 | | 33 | | 30 | |
| 4 | MEAT OF SHEEP/LAMB | 0204 | | 34 | | 32 | | 30 | |
| 5 | TURKEY | 20724-20727 | • | 36 | | 33 | | 30 | |
| 6 | SALMON,TUNAS,COD,SARDINES | 0303 | | 5 | | 5 | | 5 | |
| | MACKEREL AND OTHER FISH | | | | | | | | |
| 7 | CRABS | 0306.140.001 | | 5 | | 5 | | 5 | |
| 8 | SCALLOPS | 0307.210.006 | | 5 | | 5 | | 5 | |
| | | 0307.290.003 | | | | | | | |
| 9 | POWDER MILK & CREAM | 0402 | Х | 32 | | 31 | | 30 | |
| 10 | CHEESE & CURD | 0406 | | 36 | Bt.12/kg | 33 | Bt.11/kg | 30 | Bt.10/kg |
| 11 | DRIED PEAS, BEANS,LENTILS | 0713 | | 44 | | 42 | | 40 | |
| 12 | ALMONDS, FRESH/DRIED | '0802110001 | • | 44 | Bt.36.80/kg | 42 | Bt.35.15/kg | 40 | Bt.33.50/kg |
| | IN SHELL & SHELLED | 0802120002 | | | | | | | _ |
| 13 | PISTACHIOS, FRESH/DRIED | 0802.500.008 | | 44 | Bt.36.80/kg | 42 | Bt.35.15/kg | 40 | Bt.33.50/kg |
| 14 | ORANGE | 0805.200 | | 44 | Bt.36.80/kg | 42 | Bt.35.15/kg | 40 | Bt.33.50/kg |
| 15 | GRAPEFRUIT, FRESH/DRIED | 0805.400.002 | • | 44 | Bt.36.80/kg | 42 | Bt.35.15/kg | 40 | Bt.33.50/kg |
| 16 | GRAPES, FRESH | 0806.100.002 | | 36 | Bt.30.00/kg | 33 | Bt.27.50/kg | 30 | Bt.25.00/kg |
| 17 | RAISINS | 0806.200.004 | | 36 | Bt.30.00/kg | 33 | Bt.27.50/kg | 30 | Bt.25.00/kg |
| 18 | APPLE (FRESH) | 0808.100.003 | | | Bt.15.00/kg | | Bt.13.75/kg | | Bt.12.50/kg |
| 19 | PEARS & QUINCES | 0808.200.005 | | 36 | Bt.30.00/kg | 33 | Bt.27.50/kg | 30 | Bt.25.00/kg |
| 20 | CHERRIES (FRESH) | 0809.200.000 | | 44 | Bt.36.80/kg | 42 | Bt.35.15/kg | 40 | Bt.33.50/kg |
| 21 | PEACHES, INCL NECTARINES | 0809.300.002 | | 44 | Bt.36.80/kg | 42 | Bt.35.15/kg | 40 | Bt.33.50/kg |
| 22 | PLUMS AND SLOES | 0809.400.004 | | 44 | Bt.36.80/kg | 42 | Bt.35.15/kg | 40 | Bt.33.50/kg |
| 23 | COFFEE | 0901 | Х | | | | | | |
| 24 | POPCORN | 1005.90.0000 | Х | | | | | | |
| 25 | SUNFLOWER/SUFFLOWER OIL | 1512.191006 | • | 27.6 | Bt.5.11/I. | 27.3 | Bt.5.06/I. | 27 | Bt.5.00/I. |
| | (EDIBLE) | | | | | | | | |
| 26 | SAUSAGES | 1601 | | 36 | Bt.30.00/kg | 33 | Bt.27.50/kg | 30 | Bt.25.00/kg |
| 27 | SUGAR CONFECTIONERY | 1704.100.007 | • | 44 | Bt.36.80/kg | 42 | Bt.35.15/kg | 40 | Bt.33.50/kg |
| | | 1704.900.001 | | | | | | | |
| 28 | CHOCOLATE & FOOD PREP. | 1806 | | 44 | Bt.36.80/kg | 42 | Bt.35.15/kg | 40 | Bt.33.50/kg |
| | WITH COCOA | | | | | | | | |
| 29 | MILKFOOD FOR INFANTS | 1901.101.009 | | 9.2 | | 9.1 | | 9 | |
| 30 | OTHER PREPS W/FLOUR MEAL | 1901.909.004 | | 9.2 | | 9.1 | | 9 | |
| | OR STARCH | | | | | | | | |
| 31 | BREAKFAST CEREAL | 1904.10 | | 31.4 | Bt.26.19/kg | 25.7 | Bt.21.43/kg | 20 | Bt.16.67/kg |
| 32 | FROZEN FRIES | 2004.100.007 | | 36 | Bt.30.00/kg | 33 | Bt.27.50/kg | 30 | Bt.25.00/kg |
| 33 | JAMS, FRUIT JELLIES | 2007 | | 36 | Bt.30.00/kg | 33 | Bt.27.50/kg | 30 | Bt.25.00/kg |
| 34 | GROUND NUTS | 2008.110.004 | | 36 | Bt.30.00/kg | 33 | Bt.27.50/kg | 30 | Bt.25.00/kg |
| 35 | OTHER NUTS INC MIXTURES | 2008.190 | | 36 | Bt.30.00/kg | 33 | Bt.27.50/kg | 30 | Bt.25.00/kg |
| 36 | ALMOND (ROSTED) | 2008.190.014 | • | 36 | Bt.30.00/kg | 33 | Bt.27.50/kg | 30 | Bt.25.00/kg |

| | PRODUCT | HARMONIZED | TARIFF (WTO) | | | | | | | |
|----|-----------------------|--------------|--------------|------|---------------|------|------------------|----|--------------|--|
| | | CODE | QUOTA | 2002 | | 2003 | | | 2004 | |
| 37 | FRUIT & VEG JUICE | 2009 | | 44 | Bt.14.72/I. | 42 | Bt.14.06/I. | 40 | Bt.13.40/I. | |
| 38 | INSTANT COFFEE | 2101.110.010 | Х | | | | | | | |
| | | 2101.120.010 | | | | | | | | |
| 39 | INSTANT TEA | 2101.200.016 | | 44 | | 42 | | 40 | | |
| 40 | TOMATO KETCHUP | 2103.200.004 | | 36 | Bt 9.00/kg. | 33 | Bt. 8.25/kg. | 30 | Bt. 7.50/kg. | |
| 41 | MUSTARD | 2103.300.006 | | 36 | Bt 15.00/kg. | 33 | Bt. 13.75/kg. | 30 | Bt. 12.50/kg | |
| 42 | OTHER SAUCES & PREP | 2103.909.099 | | 44 | Bt. 11.04/kg. | 42 | Bt. 10.55/kg. | 40 | Bt. 10.05/kg | |
| 43 | SOUPS & BROTHS & | 2104.100.008 | | 31.4 | Bt. 5.36/kg. | 25.7 | Bt. 4.43/kg. | 20 | Bt.3.50/kg. | |
| | PREPARATION | 2104.200.004 | | | | | | | | |
| 44 | ICE CREAM | 2105.000.001 | | 44 | | 42 | | 40 | | |
| 45 | OTHER FOOD PREP | 2106.900 | | 31.4 | | 25.7 | | 20 | | |
| 46 | BEER | 2203.000.001 | | 62 | Bt. 25.8/I. | 61 | Bt. 25.4/I. | 60 | Bt. 25.00/l. | |
| 47 | CHAMPAGNE | 2204.100.104 | | 55.2 | Bt. 18.4/I. | 54.6 | Bt. 18.2/I. | 54 | Bt. 18.00/I. | |
| 48 | OTHER SPARKLING WINES | 2204.100.907 | | 55.2 | Bt. 18.4/I. | 54.6 | Bt. 18.2/I. | 54 | Bt. 18.00/I. | |
| 49 | WINE | 2204210001 | | 55.2 | Bt. 18.4/I. | 54.6 | Bt. 18.2/I. | 54 | Bt. 18.00/l. | |
| | | 2204290009 | | | | | | | | |
| 50 | WHISKIES | 2208.300.004 | | 62 | Bt. 124/I. | 61 | Bt. 122/I. | 60 | Bt. 120/I. | |
| 51 | DOG&CAT FOOD | 2309.100.108 | | 9.2 | | 9.1 | | 9 | | |
| | | 2309.100.905 | | | | | | | | |

Remark: *Inspection fee by Livestock Department is equal to Baht 5/kg. for beef and Baht 20/kg. for offals

Note: Until WTO member countries are able to conclude the new round agricultural liberalization scheme, Thailand will apply the 2004 tariff schedule to current tariff implementation.

EXHIBIT B

Thailand: The Changes in Applied Tariffs for Agricultural Products in 2003

| The tariff rate on live cattle, not for breeding, was down from 10% to 5%; otherwise down from 40% to 30%. | Chapter | Description | Tariff Changes |
|--|---------|---------------------------------------|--|
| Pish and crustaceans, etc. Down from 60% to 5-30% | 01 | Live animals | |
| Dairy products, eggs, honey, etc Tariff rates on butter, cheese, and curd were reduced from 60% to 30% reduced so animal origin, not elsewhere specified or included birds, down from 35% to 10%. Tariff on only few Items was reduced: for example, skin and other parts of birds, down from 35% to 10%. Tariff on only few Items was reduced: for example, skin and other parts of plants; bulbs, roots, etc Tariff for cut flowers was down from 60% to 54%, while that for foliage, roots, etc Tariff the foliage parts of plants, without flowers was reduced from 60% to 30% Tariff on other nuts (almonds, hazelnuts, walnuts, chestnuts, and pistachios) remain unchanged at 150 bah1/kg to 10% or 8.50 bah1/kg. Fresh papers and quinces: down from 60% or 3 bah1/kg. Tersh paras and quinces: down from 60% or 30 bah1/kg. Fresh paras and quinces: down from 60% or 30 bah1/kg. Presh paras and quinces: down from 60% or 30 bah1/kg. Presh paras and quinces: down from 60% or 30 bah1/kg. Presh paras and quinces: down from 60% or 30 bah1/kg. Presh paras and quinces: down from 60% or 30 bah1/kg. Presh paras and quinces: down from 60% or 30 bah1/kg. Presh paras and quinces: down from 60% to 50%. Tariff rate for wheat grains was down from 1.00 bah1 to 0.10 bah1/kg; otherwise was 2.75 bah1/kg. Tariff rate for wheat grains was down from 1.00 bah1 to 0.10 bah1/kg; otherwise was 2.75 bah1/kg. Tariff rate for wheat grains was down from 1.00 bah1 to 0.10 bah1/kg; otherwise was 2.75 bah1/kg. Tariff on 1.00 bah1/kg. To 1.85 bah1/kg. Otherwise was 2.75 bah1/kg. Tariff on most life on sybeans remain unchanged at 5% observed to 1.00 bah1/kg. Copra - 0.45 bah1/kg. Copra - 0.45 bah1/kg. Castor oil seed - 5%: low-fat flour and meal of soybeans - 10% or 0.30 bah1/kg. Castor oil seed - 5%: low-fat flour and meal of soybeans - 10% or 0.30 bah1/kg. Castor oil seed - 5%: low-fat flour and meal of soybeans - 10% or 0.30 bah1/kg. Castor oil seed - 5%: low-fat flour and meal of soybeans - 10% or 0.30 bah1/kg. Castor oil seed - 5%: low-fat | 02 | Meat and edible meat offal | Down from 60% to 30-50%. |
| Products of animal origin, not selected by elsewhere specified or included by disk, down from 35% to 10%. | 03 | 1 | Down from 60% to 5-30%. |
| elsewhere specified or included Dirds. down from 35% to 10%. | 04 | Dairy products, eggs, honey, etc | Tariff rates on butter, cheese, and curd were reduced from 60% to 30% |
| branches, and other parts of plants, without flowers was reduced from 60% to 30% to 30%. Edible vegetables and certain roots and libers Edible fruit and nuts Edible fruit and nuts Edible fruit and nuts Tariff on other nuts (almonds, hazelnuts, walnuts, chestnuts, and pistachlos) remain unchanged at 150 baht/kg, 10% or 8.50 baht/kg. Fresh apples: unchanged at 150 baht/kg, 10% or 8.50 baht/kg. Fresh apples: unchanged at 150 baht/kg, 10% or 8.50 baht/kg. Fresh apples: unchanged at 150 baht/kg fresh apples and quinces: down from 60% or 30 baht/kg fresh apples. Unchanged at 150 baht/kg fresh apples and such as the tariff was reduced from 60% to 30%. and rafiff rates for most of other products were down from 30% to 27%. Cereals Tariff rate for wheat grains was down from 1.00 baht to 0.10 baht/kg; otherwise was 2.75 baht/kg. Tariff on soybeans remain unchanged at 6% or 0.30 baht/kg; copra - 0.65 baht/kg); castor oil seed - 5%; low-fat flour and meal of soybeans - 10% or 0.30 baht/kg). Otherwise was reduced from 35-60% to 23-30%. Lac, gums, and other vegetable saps and extracts Lac, gums, and other vegetable saps and extracts Egetable platiting materials, etc. Pegetable platiting materials, etc. Friff on most litems was reduced from 35-60% to 23-30%. Tariff on about 40% of total Items in the chapter remain unchanged at 10%, or 1.32 baht/liter, otherwise down 35% to 30%. Tariff on all meat products originating from livestock and poultry meat remain unchanged at 10%, or 1.32 baht/liter, otherwise down from 30% to 27%; cocoa butter, fat, and oil down from 10% to 5%. some prepared from 20% to 10%; cane molasses down from 50% to 20.00% to 20.00%; otherwise remain unchanged at 60%. Preparations of cereals, flour, etc. Preparations of vegetables, fruit, nuts, etc. Product preparations for infant who is lactose-intolerance was down from 30% to 20%; cocoa butter, fat, and oil down from 10% to 5%. some prepared from 30% to 27%; cocoa butter, fat, and oil down from 10% to 5%. some prepared from 30% to 10%; cane | 05 | | |
| Edible fruit and nuts Edible fruit and nuts Edible fruit and nuts Edible fruit and nuts Tariff on other nuts (almonds, hazelnuts, walnuts, chestnuts, and pistachios) remain unchanged at 150 baht/kg to 10% or 8.50 baht/kg. Fresh apples: unchanged at 150 baht/kg to 10% or 8.50 baht/kg. Fresh apples: unchanged at 10% or 3 baht/kg to 30% or 15 baht/kg. Otherwise was down from 60% to 50%. Posterior down from 30% to 27% or 30 baht/kg to 108%, and tariff rates for most of other products were down from 30% to 27% of 10 baht/kg. Otherwise was 2.75 baht/kg. Products of the milling industry, including malt, starches, etc. Tariff rate for wheat grains was down from 1.00 baht to 0.10 baht/kg; otherwise was 2.75 baht/kg. Products of the milling industry, including malt, starches, etc. Tariff on sobpeans remain unchanged at 6% or 0.30 baht/kg, otherwise down from 40% or 2.75 baht/kg to 1.85 baht/kg, otherwise down from 40% or 2.75 baht/kg. Lac, gums, and other vegetable saps and extracts Animal and vegetable fats and oils Vegetable plaiting materials, etc. Fariff on sobpeans remain unchanged at 6% or 0.30 baht/kg; copra - 0.65 baht/kg). Otherwise was reduced from 15-30% to 5-27%. Animal and vegetable fats and oils Animal and vegetable fats and oils Preparations of meat, of fish or of crustaceans, etc. Tariff on about 40% of total items in the chapter remain unchanged at 10%, or 1.32 baht/liter, otherwise down from 30% to 27%. Tariff on sob and the products down from 30% to 27%. Tariff on occoa beans was reduced from 20% to 10%; cane molasses down from 65% to 30-40%; otherwise remain unchanged at 60%. Preparations of cereals, flour, etc to 5%; low preparations of infant who is lactose-includerance was down from 65% to 20%; otherwise remain unchanged. Preparations of vegetables, fruit, nuts, etc. Tariff on some prepared form 30% to 17%; coccoa butter, fat, and oil down from 10% to 5%. Beverages, spirits and vinegar and form own form 65% to 20%; otherwise remain unchanged at 30%; sparking wine, gin, and ge | 06 | · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · | branches, and other parts of plants, without flowers was reduced from 60% to |
| remain unchanged at 50 baht/kg. Fresh apples: unchanged at 10% or 3 baht/kg. Fresh papers and quinces down from 60% or 30 baht/kg to 30% or 15 baht/kg. Otherwise was down from 60% to 50%. Coffee, tea, mate and spices Mate tariff was reduced from 60% to 30%, and tariff rates for most of other products were down from 30% to 23%, and tariff rates for most of other products were down from 30% to 23%. Tariff rate for wheat grains was down from 1.00 baht to 0.10 baht/kg; otherwise was 2.75 baht/kg. Products of the milling industry, including malt, starches, etc. Wheat flour tariff was reduced from 2.75 baht/kg to 1.85 baht/kg, otherwise down from 40% or 2.75 baht/kg to 30% or 2.25 baht/kg. Tariff on soybeans remain unchanged at 6% or 0.30 baht/kg; copra - 0.65 baht/kg). Otherwise was reduced from 35-60% to 23-30%. Lac, gums, and other vegetable saps and extracts Tariff on most items was reduced from 15-30% to 5-27%. Animal and vegetable fats and oils Tariff on about 40% of total items was reduced from 35-60% to 23%. Tariff on about 40% of total items in the chapter remain unchanged at 10%, or 1.32 baht/liter, otherwise down from 30% to 27%. Tariff on about 40% of total items in the chapter remain unchanged at 10%, or 1.32 baht/liter, otherwise down from 30% to 27%. Tariff on a few products was reduced from 30% to 27% cane molasses down from 65% to 30-40%; otherwise remain unchanged at 60%. Tariff on a few products was reduced from 30% to 27%; cacen baht/kg to 20% or 65 baht/kg; otherwise remain unchanged at 60%. Preparations of cereals, flour, etc Product preparations for infant who is lactose-inderance was down from 30% to 20%; otherwise remains unchanged. Tariff on all meat products was reduced from 30% to 27%; cacen bant and wafers down from 30% to 20%; otherwise remains unchanged. Tariff on all products was reduced from 30% to 27%; cacen bant and wafers down from 60% to 5%. Preparations of cereals, flour, etc Developed to the meat and bone meals remain unchanged at 30%. Etc. Tariff on one-man | 07 | | Mostly reduced from 60% to 30-40%. |
| Products were down from 30% to 27%. Tariff rate for wheat grains was down from 1.00 baht to 0.10 baht/kg: otherwise was 2.7 baht/kg. otherwise was 2.7 baht/kg to 1.85 baht/kg, otherwise down from 40% or 2.75 baht/kg to 1.85 baht/kg, otherwise down from 40% or 2.75 baht/kg to 1.85 baht/kg, copra - 0.65 baht/kg): castor oil seed - 5%; low-fat flour and meal of soybeans - 10% or 0.30 baht/kg). Costor oil seed - 5%; low-fat flour and meal of soybeans - 10% or 0.30 baht/kg). Costor oil seed - 5%; low-fat flour and meal of soybeans - 10% or 0.30 baht/kg). Costor oil seed - 5%; low-fat flour and meal of soybeans - 10% or 0.30 baht/kg). Costor oil seed - 5%; low-fat flour and meal of soybeans - 10% or 0.30 baht/kg). Otherwise was reduced from 35-60% to 23-30%. Tariff on most items was reduced from 15-30% to 5-27%. Tariff on about 40% of 100%; and otherwise down 35% to 30%. Tariff on about 40% of 100 total items in the chapter remain unchanged at 10%, or 1.32 baht/liter, otherwise down from 30% to 27%. Tariff on about 40% of 100 total items from livestock and poultry meat remain unchanged at 30% or 30 baht/kg; tish meat products down from 30% or 100 baht/kg to 20% or 65 baht/kg; tish meat products down from 30% or 100 baht/kg to 20% or 65 baht/kg; tish meat products down from 30% or 100 baht/kg to 20% or 40%; otherwise remain unchanged at 60%. Preparations of cereals, flour, etc Product preparations for infant who is lactose-intolerance was down from 40% to 5%; some prepared foods, sweet biscuits, waffles, and wafers down from 30% to 20%; otherwise remains unchanged at 30%. Product preparations for infant who is lactose-intolerance was down from 30% to 20%; otherwise remains unchanged at 30%. Product preparations for infant who is lactose-intolerance was down from 30% to 20%; otherwise remains unchanged at 30%. Product preparations for infant who is lactose-intolerance was down from 30% to 20%; otherwise remains unchanged at 60%. Product preparations Products was reduced from 30% to 1-20%. Pr | 08 | Edible fruit and nuts | remain unchanged at 50 baht/kg to 10% or 8.50 baht/kg. Fresh apples: unchanged at 10% or 3 baht/kg. Fresh pears and quinces: down from 60% |
| 11 Products of the milling industry, including malt, starches, etc. 12 Oilseeds and miscellaneous grains down from 40% or 2.75 baht/kg to 30% or 2.25 baht/kg. 13 Lac, gums, and other vegetable saps and extracts 14 Vegetable plaiting materials, etc. 15 Animal and vegetable fats and oils 16 Preparations of meat, of fish or of crustaceans, etc. 17 Sugars and sugar confectionary 18 Cocoa and cocoa preparations 19 Preparations of cereals, flour, etc 19 Preparations of cereals, flour, etc 20 Preparations of vegetables, fruit, nuts, etc 21 Miscellaneous edible prepared animal feed industries, and prepared animal feed industries, and prepared animal feed 22 Residues and wastes from food industries, and prepared animal feed from monum for most of the manufactured tobacco leave, eiggarettes, cigars, and other masts were reduced from 30% to 5-9%. 18 Tariff on manufactured tobacco 19 Treparations of meat, of fish or of crustaceans etc. 20 Residues and wastes from food industries, and prepared animal feed 21 Tariff on animal products of the main and prepared animal feed industries, and prepared animal feed 22 Tariff on meat and bone meals remain unchanged at 30%, syparations of cereals, flour, etc 23 Residues and wastes from food industries, and prepared animal feed 24 Tobacco and manufactured tobacco | 09 | Coffee, tea, mate and spices | |
| Including malt, starches, etc. down from 40% or 2.75 baht/kg to 30% or 2.25 baht/kg. | 10 | Cereals | |
| baht/kg): castor oil seed - 5%; low-fat flour and meal of soybeans - 10% or 0.30 baht/kg). Otherwise was reduced from 35-60% to 23-30%. Lac, gums, and other vegetable saps and extracts Fariff on most items was reduced from 15-30% to 5-27%. Lac, gums, and other vegetable saps and extracts Bamboo and rattan tariff remains zero; dyeing and tanning materials derived from vegetable remain at 20%; and otherwise down 35% to 30%. Animal and vegetable fats and oils Preparations of meat, of fish or of crustaceans, etc. Preparations of meat, of fish or of crustaceans, etc. Sugars and sugar confectionary Tariff on all meat products or of 5b aht/kg; fish meat products down from 30% or 100 baht/kg to 20% or 65 baht/kg; otherwise remain unchanged at 60%. Sugars and sugar confectionary Tariff on a few products was reduced from 20% to 10%; cane molasses down from 65% to 30-40%; otherwise remain unchanged at 60%. Tariff on cocoa beans was reduced from 30% to 27%; cocoa butter, fat, and oil down from 10% to 5%. Preparations of cereals, flour, etc Product preparations for infant who is lactose-intolerance was down from 30% to 20%; otherwise remains unchanged. Preparations of vegetables, fruit, nuts, etc Tariff on all products (including french fries) remains unchanged at 30%; barkling wine, gin, and geneva down from 60% to 54%; otherwise remain unchanged at 30%; sparkling wine, gin, and geneva down from 60% to 54%; otherwise remain unchanged at 6%. Tariff on meat and bone meals remain unchanged at 1%, fishmeal with a protein level less than 60% unchanged at 6%, soymeal unchanged at 6%. Tariff ron so of other meals were reduced from 10% to 59%. Tariff on non-manufactured tobacco leave, cigarettes, cigars, and other | 11 | | |
| Vegetable plaiting materials, etc. Bamboo and rattan tariff remains zero; dyeing and tanning materials derived from vegetable remain at 20%; and otherwise down 35% to 30%. Animal and vegetable fats and oils Tariff on about 40% of total items in the chapter remain unchanged at 10%, or 1.32 baht/liter, otherwise down from 30% to 27%. Tariff on all meat products originating from livestock and poultry meat remain unchanged at 30% or 30 baht/kg; fish meat products down from 30% or 100 baht/kg to 20% or 65 baht/kg; otherwise remain unchanged at 60%. Sugars and sugar confectionary Tariff on all meat products was reduced from 20% to 10%; cane molasses down from 65% to 30-40%; otherwise remain unchanged Tariff on cocoa beans was reduced from 30% to 27%; cocoa butter, fat, and oil down from 10% to 5%. Product preparations for infant who is lactose-intolerance was down from 30% to 20%; otherwise remains unchanged. Preparations of vegetables, fruit, nuts, etc Preparations of vegetables, fruit, nuts, etc Tariff on all products (including french fries) remains unchanged at 30%. sparkling wine, gin, and geneva down from 60% to 54%; otherwise remain unchanged at 30%; sparkling wine, gin, and geneva down from 60% to 54%; otherwise remain unchanged at 60%. Tariff on meat and bone meals remain unchanged at 1%, fishmeal with a protein level less than 60% unchanged at 6%, soymeal unchanged at 6%. Tariff rates for most of other meals were reduced from 10% to 5-9%. | 12 | Oilseeds and miscellaneous grains | baht/kg); castor oil seed - 5%; low-fat flour and meal of soybeans - 10% or |
| from vegetable remain at 20%; and otherwise down 35% to 30%. Animal and vegetable fats and oils Tariff on about 40% of total items in the chapter remain unchanged at 10%, or 1.32 baht/liter, otherwise down from 30% to 27%. Tariff on all meat products originating from livestock and poultry meat remain unchanged at 30% or 30 baht/kg; fish meat products down from 30% or 100 baht/kg to 20% or 65 baht/kg; otherwise remain unchanged at 60%. Tariff on a few products was reduced from 20% to 10%; cane molasses down from 65% to 30-40%; otherwise remain unchanged Cocoa and cocoa preparations Tariff on cocoa beans was reduced from 30% to 27%; cocoa butter, fat, and oil down from 10% to 5%. Preparations of cereals, flour, etc Product preparations for infant who is lactose-intolerance was down from 40% to 5%; some prepared foods, sweet biscuits, waffles, and wafers down from 30% to 20%; otherwise remains unchanged. Preparations of vegetables, fruit, nuts, etc Tariff on all products (including french fries) remains unchanged at 30%. Tariff on water, including mineral water and aerated water remain unchanged at 30%; sparkling wine, gin, and geneva down from 60% to 54%; otherwise remain unchanged at 60%. Residues and wastes from food industries, and prepared animal feed Residues and manufactured tobacco Tariff on non-manufactured tobacco leave, cigarettes, cigars, and other | 13 | | Tariff on most items was reduced from 15-30% to 5-27%. |
| or 1.32 baht/liter, otherwise down from 30% to 27%. Preparations of meat, of fish or of crustaceans, etc. Tariff on all meat products originating from livestock and poultry meat remain unchanged at 30% or 30 baht/kg; fish meat products down from 30% or 100 baht/kg to 20% or 65 baht/kg; otherwise remain unchanged at 60%. Tariff on a few products was reduced from 20% to 10%; cane molasses down from 65% to 30-40%; otherwise remain unchanged Tariff on cocoa beans was reduced from 30% to 27%; cocoa butter, fat, and oil down from 10% to 5%. Preparations of cereals, flour, etc Product preparations for infant who is lactose-intolerance was down from 30% to 20%; some prepared foods, sweet biscuits, waffles, and wafers down from 30% to 20%; otherwise remains unchanged. Preparations of vegetables, fruit, nuts, etc Miscellaneous edible preparations Tariff on all products (including french fries) remains unchanged at 30%. Tariff on some products was reduced from 30% to 1-20%. Tariff on water, including mineral water and aerated water remain unchanged at 30%; sparkling wine, gin, and geneva down from 60% to 54%; otherwise remain unchanged at 60%. Residues and wastes from food industries, and prepared animal feed Tariff on meat and bone meals remain unchanged at 1%, fishmeal with a protein level less than 60% unchanged at 6%, soymeal unchanged at 6%. Tariff rates for most of other meals were reduced from 10% to 5-9%. | 14 | Vegetable plaiting materials, etc. | |
| crustaceans, etc. unchanged at 30% or 30 baht/kg; fish meat products down from 30% or 100 baht/kg to 20% or 65 baht/kg; otherwise remain unchanged at 60%. Tariff on a few products was reduced from 20% to 10%; cane molasses down from 65% to 30-40%; otherwise remain unchanged Tariff on cocoa beans was reduced from 30% to 27%; cocoa butter, fat, and oil down from 10% to 5%. Preparations of cereals, flour, etc Product preparations for infant who is lactose-intolerance was down from 40% to 5%; some prepared foods, sweet biscuits, waffles, and wafers down from 30% to 20%; otherwise remains unchanged. Preparations of vegetables, fruit, nuts, etc Tariff on all products (including french fries) remains unchanged at 30%. Tariff on some products was reduced from 30% to 1-20%. Tariff on water, including mineral water and aerated water remain unchanged at 30%; sparkling wine, gin, and geneva down from 60% to 54%; otherwise remain unchanged at 60%. Residues and wastes from food industries, and prepared animal feed Residues and wastes from food industries, and prepared animal feed Tariff on meat and bone meals remain unchanged at 1%, fishmeal with a protein level less than 60% unchanged at 6%, soymeal unchanged at 6%. Tariff on non-manufactured tobacco leave, cigarettes, cigars, and other | 15 | Animal and vegetable fats and oils | |
| from 65% to 30-40%; otherwise remain unchanged Cocoa and cocoa preparations Tariff on cocoa beans was reduced from 30% to 27%; cocoa butter, fat, and oil down from 10% to 5%. Preparations of cereals, flour, etc Product preparations for infant who is lactose-intolerance was down from 40% to 5%; some prepared foods, sweet biscuits, waffles, and wafers down from 30% to 20%; otherwise remains unchanged. Preparations of vegetables, fruit, nuts, etc Tariff on all products (including french fries) remains unchanged at 30%. Tariff on some products was reduced from 30% to 1-20%. Tariff on water, including mineral water and aerated water remain unchanged at 30%; sparkling wine, gin, and geneva down from 60% to 54%; otherwise remain unchanged at 60%. Tariff on meat and bone meals remain unchanged at 1%, fishmeal with a protein level less than 60% unchanged at 6%, soymeal unchanged at 6%. Tariff rates for most of other meals were reduced from 10% to 5-9%. Tariff on non-manufactured tobacco leave, cigarettes, cigars, and other | 16 | | unchanged at 30% or 30 baht/kg; fish meat products down from 30% or 100 |
| oil down from 10% to 5%. Preparations of cereals, flour, etc Product preparations for infant who is lactose-intolerance was down from 40% to 5%; some prepared foods, sweet biscuits, waffles, and wafers down from 30% to 20%; otherwise remains unchanged. Tariff on all products (including french fries) remains unchanged at 30%. etc Tariff on some products was reduced from 30% to 1-20%. Tariff on water, including mineral water and aerated water remain unchanged at 30%; sparkling wine, gin, and geneva down from 60% to 54%; otherwise remain unchanged at 60%. Residues and wastes from food industries, and prepared animal feed Tariff on meat and bone meals remain unchanged at 1%, fishmeal with a protein level less than 60% unchanged at 6%, soymeal unchanged at 6%. Tariff rates for most of other meals were reduced from 10% to 5-9%. Tariff on non-manufactured tobacco leave, cigarettes, cigars, and other | 17 | Sugars and sugar confectionary | |
| to 5%; some prepared foods, sweet biscuits, waffles, and wafers down from 30% to 20%; otherwise remains unchanged. Preparations of vegetables, fruit, nuts, etc Tariff on all products (including french fries) remains unchanged at 30%. Tariff on some products was reduced from 30% to 1-20%. Tariff on water, including mineral water and aerated water remain unchanged at 30%; sparkling wine, gin, and geneva down from 60% to 54%; otherwise remain unchanged at 60%. Residues and wastes from food industries, and prepared animal feed Tariff on meat and bone meals remain unchanged at 1%, fishmeal with a protein level less than 60% unchanged at 6%, soymeal unchanged at 6%. Tariff rates for most of other meals were reduced from 10% to 5-9%. Tobacco and manufactured tobacco Tariff on non-manufactured tobacco leave, cigarettes, cigars, and other | 18 | Cocoa and cocoa preparations | |
| etc 21 Miscellaneous edible preparations 22 Beverages, spirits and vinegar 23 Residues and wastes from food industries, and prepared animal feed 24 Tobacco and manufactured tobacco 26 Tariff on some products was reduced from 30% to 1-20%. 27 Tariff on water, including mineral water and aerated water remain unchanged at 30%; sparkling wine, gin, and geneva down from 60% to 54%; otherwise remain unchanged at 60%. 28 Tariff on meat and bone meals remain unchanged at 1%, fishmeal with a protein level less than 60% unchanged at 6%, soymeal unchanged at 6%. 29 Tariff on mon-manufactured tobacco leave, cigarettes, cigars, and other | 19 | Preparations of cereals, flour, etc | to 5%; some prepared foods, sweet biscuits, waffles, and wafers down from |
| preparations 22 Beverages, spirits and vinegar Tariff on water, including mineral water and aerated water remain unchanged at 30%; sparkling wine, gin, and geneva down from 60% to 54%; otherwise remain unchanged at 60%. Residues and wastes from food industries, and prepared animal feed protein level less than 60% unchanged at 6%, soymeal unchanged at 6%. Tariff rates for most of other meals were reduced from 10% to 5-9%. Tariff on non-manufactured tobacco leave, cigarettes, cigars, and other | 20 | | Tariff on all products (including french fries) remains unchanged at 30%. |
| at 30%; sparkling wine, gin, and geneva down from 60% to 54%; otherwise remain unchanged at 60%. Residues and wastes from food industries, and prepared animal feed protein level less than 60% unchanged at 6%, soymeal unchanged at 6%. Tariff rates for most of other meals were reduced from 10% to 5-9%. Tobacco and manufactured tobacco Tariff on non-manufactured tobacco leave, cigarettes, cigars, and other | 21 | | Tariff on some products was reduced from 30% to 1-20%. |
| industries, and prepared animal feed protein level less than 60% unchanged at 6%, soymeal unchanged at 6%. Tariff rates for most of other meals were reduced from 10% to 5-9%. Tobacco and manufactured tobacco Tariff on non-manufactured tobacco leave, cigarettes, cigars, and other | | Beverages, spirits and vinegar | at 30%; sparkling wine, gin, and geneva down from 60% to 54%; otherwise |
| Tobacco and manaractared tobacco Tarm on non manaractared tobacco leave, eight ettes, eight of the | 23 | | protein level less than 60% unchanged at 6%, soymeal unchanged at 6%. |
| | 24 | | |

EXHIBIT C

| THAILAND: Tariff-rate Quotas of Agricultural Products for Market Access, 1995-2004. (Unit: Metric Ttons) | | | | | | | | | | | |
|--|--------|----------|-----------|---------|----------|---------|---------|---------|---------|---------|---------|
| | | | J | | | | | | | | , |
| Items | Tariff | 1995 | 1996 | 1997 | 1998 | 1999 | 2000 | 2001 | 2002 | 2003 | 2004 |
| | (%) | | | | | | | | | | |
| Milk & Cream | 20.0 | 2,286 | 2,299 | 2,311 | 2,324 | 2,337 | 2,349 | 2,362 | 2,375 | 2,387 | 2,400 |
| Skim milk | 20.0 | 45,000 | 46,111 | 47,222 | 48,333 | 49,444 | 50,556 | 51,667 | 52,778 | 53,889 | 55,000 |
| Potatoes | 27.0 | 288 | 290 | 291 | 293 | 294 | 296 | 297 | 299 | 300 | 302 |
| Onion | 27.0 | 348 | 350 | 352 | 354 | 356 | 357 | 359 | 361 | 363 | 365 |
| Garlic | 27.0 | 62 | 62 | 63 | 63 | 63 | 64 | 64 | 64 | 65 | 65 |
| Coconut | 20.0 | 2,312 | 2,325 | 2,338 | 2,350 | 2,363 | 2,376 | 2,389 | 2,401 | 2,414 | 2,427 |
| Copra | 20.0 | 694 | 745 | 797 | 848 | 900 | 951 | 1,003 | 1,054 | 1,106 | 1,157 |
| Coffee bean | 30.0 | 5 | 5 | 5 | 5 | 5 | 5 | 5 | 5 | 5 | 5 |
| Tea | 30.0 | 596 | 600 | 602 | 606 | 609 | 612 | 615 | 619 | 622 | 625 |
| Pepper | 27.0 | 43 | 43 | 43 | 44 | 44 | 44 | 44 | 45 | 45 | 45 |
| Corn | 20.0 | 52,096 | 52,385 | 52,675 | 52,964 | 53,253 | 53,543 | 53,832 | 54,121 | 54,411 | 54,700 |
| Rice | 30.0 | 237,863 | 239,185 | 240,506 | 241,828 | 243,149 | 244,471 | 245,792 | 247,114 | 248,435 | 249,757 |
| Soybean | 20.0 | 10,402 | 10,460 | 10,518 | 10,575 | 10,633 | 10,691 | 10,749 | 10,806 | 10,864 | 10,922 |
| Onion Seeds | 30.0 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 3 |
| Soybean oil | 20.0 | 2,173 | 2,185 | 2,197 | 2,209 | 2,221 | 2,233 | 2,245 | 2,257 | 2,269 | 2,281 |
| Palm & Palm | 20.0 | 4,629 | 4,655 | 4,680 | 4,706 | 4,732 | 4,757 | 4,783 | 4,809 | 4,834 | 4,860 |
| kernel oil | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Coconut oil | 20.0 | 382 | 384 | 386 | 388 | 390 | 393 | 395 | 397 | 399 | 401 |
| Sugar | 65.0 | 13,105 | 13,177 | 13,251 | 13,323 | 13,396 | 13,469 | 13,542 | 13,614 | 13,687 | 13,760 |
| Instant coffee | 40.0 | 128 | 129 | 129 | 130 | 131 | 131 | 132 | 133 | 133 | 134 |
| Soybean meal | 20.0 | 219,580 | 220,800 | 222,020 | 223,240 | 224,460 | 225,679 | 226,899 | 228,119 | 229,339 | 230,559 |
| Tobacco Leaf | 60.0 | 6,129 | 6,163 | 6,197 | 6,231 | 6,265 | 6,299 | 6,333 | 6,367 | 6,401 | 6,435 |
| Raw silk | 30.0 | 460 | 463 | 465 | 468 | 470 | 473 | 475 | 478 | 480 | 483 |
| Dried longan | 30.0 | 5 | 5 | 6 | 6 | 6 | 7 | 7 | 7 | 8 | 8 |
| Source: De | partm | ent of B | usiness l | Economi | cs, Mini | stry of | Comme | rce. | | | |

Note: Until WTO member countries are able to conclude the new round agricultural liberalization scheme; Thailand will apply the 2004 tariff rate quota (TRQ) schedule to current TRQ implementation.

EXHIBIT D

| THA | THAILAND: Out-Quota Tariff-rate of Agricultural Products for Market Access, 1995-2004. (Unit: Percent) | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
|-----|--|-----------|----------|--------|-----------|--|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------|--|--|--|--|--|
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | Items | Base | 1995 | 1996 | 1997 | 1998 | 1999 | 2000 | 2001 | 2002 | 2003 | 2004 | | | | | |
| | | (%) | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 1 | Milk & Cream | 46.0 | 45.5 | 45.0 | 44.5 | 44.0 | 43.5 | 43.0 | 42.5 | 42.0 | 41.5 | 41.0 | | | | | |
| | Flavored milk | 93.0 | 92.1 | 91.2 | 90.3 | 89.4 | 88.5 | 87.6 | 86.7 | 85.8 | 84.9 | 84.0 | | | | | |
| 2 | Skim milk | 240.0 | 237.6 | 235.2 | 232.8 | 230.4 | 228.0 | 225.6 | 223.2 | 220.8 | 218.4 | 216.0 | | | | | |
| 3 | Potatoes | 139.0 | 137.6 | 136.2 | 134.8 | 133.4 | 132.0 | 130.6 | 129.2 | 127.8 | 126.4 | 125.0 | | | | | |
| 4 | Onion | 158.0 | 156.4 | 154.8 | 153.2 | 151.6 | 150.0 | 148.4 | 146.8 | 145.2 | 143.6 | 142.0 | | | | | |
| 5 | Garlic | 63.0 | 62.4 | 61.8 | 61.2 | 60.6 | 60.0 | 59.4 | 58.8 | 58.2 | 57.6 | 57.0 | | | | | |
| 6 | Coconut | 60.0 | 59.4 | 58.8 | 58.2 | 57.6 | 57.0 | 56.4 | 55.8 | 55.2 | 54.6 | 54.0 | | | | | |
| 7 | Copra | 40.0 | 39.6 | 39.2 | 38.8 | 38.4 | 38.0 | 37.6 | 37.2 | 36.8 | 36.4 | 36.0 | | | | | |
| 8 | Coffee bean | 100.0 | 99.0 | 98.0 | 97.0 | 96.0 | 95.0 | 94.0 | 93.0 | 92.0 | 91.0 | 90.0 | | | | | |
| 9 | Tea | 100.0 | 99.0 | 98.0 | 97.0 | 96.0 | 95.0 | 94.0 | 93.0 | 92.0 | 91.0 | 90.0 | | | | | |
| 10 | Pepper | 57.0 | 56.4 | 55.8 | 55.2 | 54.6 | 54.0 | 53.4 | 52.8 | 52.2 | 51.6 | 51.0 | | | | | |
| 11 | Corn | 81.0 | 80.2 | 79.4 | 78.6 | 77.8 | 77.0 | 76.2 | 75.4 | 74.6 | 73.8 | 73.0 | | | | | |
| 12 | Rice | 58.0 | 57.4 | 56.8 | 56.2 | 55.6 | 55.0 | 54.4 | 53.8 | 53.2 | 52.6 | 52.0 | | | | | |
| 13 | Soybean | 89.0 | 88.1 | 87.2 | 86.3 | 85.4 | 84.5 | 83.6 | 82.7 | 81.8 | 80.9 | 80.0 | | | | | |
| 14 | Onion Seeds | 242.0 | 239.6 | 237.2 | 234.8 | 232.4 | 230.0 | 227.6 | 225.2 | 222.8 | 220.4 | 218.0 | | | | | |
| 15 | Soybean oil | 162.0 | 160.4 | 158.8 | 157.2 | 155.6 | 154.0 | 152.4 | 150.8 | 149.2 | 147.6 | 146.0 | | | | | |
| 16 | Palm & Palm | 159.0 | 157.4 | 155.8 | 154.2 | 152.6 | 151.0 | 149.4 | 147.8 | 146.2 | 144.6 | 143.0 | | | | | |
| | kernel oil | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 17 | Coconut oil | 58.0 | 57.4 | 56.8 | 56.2 | 55.6 | 55.0 | 54.4 | 53.8 | 53.2 | 52.6 | 52.0 | | | | | |
| 18 | Sugar | 104.0 | 103.0 | 102.0 | 101.0 | 100.0 | 99.0 | 98.0 | 97.0 | 96.0 | 95.0 | 94.0 | | | | | |
| 19 | Instant coffee | 55.0 | 54.4 | 53.8 | 53.2 | 52.6 | 52.0 | 51.4 | 50.8 | 50.2 | 49.6 | 49.0 | | | | | |
| 20 | Soybean meal | 148.0 | 146.5 | 145.0 | 143.5 | 142.0 | 140.5 | 139.0 | 137.5 | 136.0 | 134.5 | 133.0 | | | | | |
| 21 | Tobacco Leaf | 80.0 | 79.2 | 78.4 | 77.6 | 76.8 | 76.0 | 75.2 | 74.4 | 73.6 | 72.8 | 72.0 | | | | | |
| 22 | Raw silk | 257.0 | 253.9 | 250.8 | 247.7 | 244.6 | 241.5 | 238.4 | 235.3 | 232.2 | 229.1 | 226.0 | | | | | |
| 23 | Dried longan | 59.0 | 58.4 | 57.8 | 57.2 | 56.6 | 56.0 | 55.4 | 54.8 | 54.2 | 53.6 | 53.0 | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| SOU | RCE: Departn | nent of E | Business | Econom | ics, Mini | OURCE: Department of Business Economics, Ministry of Commerce. | | | | | | | | | | | |

Note: Until WTO member countries are able to conclude the new round agricultural liberalization scheme; Thailand will apply the 2004 tariff rate quota (TRQ) schedule to current TRQ implementation.

End of Report.