# FRAMEWORK FOR THE ANNUAL REPORT OF THE STATE CHILDREN'S HEALTH INSURANCE PLANS UNDER TITLE XXI OF THE SOCIAL SECURITY ACT

#### **Preamble**

Section 2108(a) of the Act provides that the State and Territories\* must assess the operation of the State child health plan in each Federal fiscal year, and report to the Secretary, by January 1 following the end of the Federal fiscal year, on the results of the assessment. In addition, this section of the Act provides that the State must assess the progress made in reducing the number of uncovered, low-income children. The State is out of compliance with SCHIP statute and regulations if the report is not submitted by January 1. The State is also out of compliance if any section of this report relevant to the State's program is incomplete.

To assist States in complying with the statute, the National Academy for State Health Policy (NASHP), with funding from the David and Lucile Packard Foundation, has coordinated an effort with States and CMS over the years to design and revise this Annual Report Template. Over time, the framework has been updated to reflect program maturation and corrected where difficulties with reporting have been identified.

The framework is designed to:

- **A.** Recognize the *diversity* of State approaches to SCHIP and allow States *flexibility* to highlight key accomplishments and progress of their SCHIP programs, **AND**
- B. Provide consistency across States in the structure, content, and format of the report, AND
- C. Build on data already collected by CMS quarterly enrollment and expenditure reports, AND
- D. Enhance accessibility of information to stakeholders on the achievements under Title XXI.

<sup>\* -</sup> When "State" is referenced throughout this template, "State" is defined as either a state or a territory.

# FRAMEWORK FOR THE ANNUAL REPORT OF THE STATE CHILDREN'S HEALTH INSURANCE PLANS UNDER TITLE XXI OF THE SOCIAL SECURITY ACT

# DO NOT CERTIFY YOUR REPORT UNTIL ALL SECTIONS ARE COMPLETE.

State/Terri	State/Territory: OH									
	(Name of State/Territory)									
The followi 2108(a)).	ng Annual Report is	s submitted in compl	liance with	n Title XXI of the Socia	I Security Act (Section					
Signature:										
		John Corlett, Ol	hio Medic	aid Director						
SCHIP Pro	gram Name(s):	All, Ohio								
SCHIP Pro	SCHIP Program Type:  SCHIP Medicaid Expansion Only Separate Child Health Program Only Combination of the above									
Reporting I	Period: <b>2007</b>		Note: Fed	eral Fiscal Year 2007 starts	10/1/06 and ends 9/30/07.					
Contact Pe	erson/Title: Ch	narlene Alexander,	SCHIP P	rogram Manager						
Address:										
Address.	P.O. Box 182709									
City:	Columbus	State:	ОН	Zip:	43218-2709					
Phone:	(614) 728-8476		_ Fax:	(614) 728-9201						
Email:	alexac@odjfs.sta	ate.oh.us								
Submission	n Date: <b>1/31/20</b>	08								

(Due to your CMS Regional Contact and Central Office Project Officer by January 1st of each year)

# **SECTION I: SNAPSHOT OF SCHIP PROGRAM AND CHANGES**

**SCHIP Medicaid Expansion Program** 

To provide a summary at-a-glance of your SCHIP program characteristics, please provide the following information. You are encouraged to complete this table for the different SCHIP programs within your state, e.g., if you have two types of separate child health programs within your state with different eligibility rules. If you would like to make any comments on your responses, please explain in narrative below this table.

**Separate Child Health Program** 

		Corini inicalcata Expansion i rogiani									
		* Upper % of FPL are defined as <u>Up to and Including</u>									
							From		% of FPL conception to birth	% of FPL *	
	From	1	33	% of FPL for infants	200	% of FPL *	From		% of FPL for infants	% of FPL *	
Eligibility	From	1	33	% of FPL for children ages 1 through 5	200	% of FPL *	From		% of FPL for children ages 1 through 5	% of FPL *	
	From	From 100		% of FPL for children ages 6 through 16	200	% of FPL *	From		% of FPL for children ages 6 through 16	% of FPL *	
	From			% of FPL for children ages 17 and 18	200	% of FPL *	From		% of FPL for children ages 17 and 18	% of FPL *	
	•					•				•	
				No					No		
Is presumptive provided for		/		Yes, for who	om and how	long? <b>[1</b>	Yes - Please describe below:  For which populations (include the FPL levels) [1000]				
				N/A					N/A		
Is retroactive available?	eligibility			No					No		
avaliable:				Yes, for whom and how long? All medicaid eligibles; three months prior, from the date of application.					Yes, for whom and how long?		

		N/A	1	N/A	
Does your State Plan				No	
contain authority to			Not applicable	Yes	
implement a waiting list?				N/A	
Doos your program hove		No		No	
Does your program have a mail-in application?	$\boxtimes$	Ye	S	Yes	
		N/A	1	N/A	
Can an applicant apply		No		No	
for your program over the		Ye	6	Yes	
phone?		N/A	A	N/A	
Does your program have an application on your		No		No	
website that can be printed, completed and	$\boxtimes$	Ye	5	Yes	
mailed in?		N/A	4	N/A	
		No		No	
		Ye	s – please check all that apply	Yes -	- please check all that apply
			Signature page must be printed and mailed in		Signature page must be printed and mailed in
Can an applicant apply for your program on-line?			Family documentation must be		Family documentation must be mailed (i.e., income documentation)
			Electronic signature is required		Electronic signature is required
			-		No Signature is required
		N/A	4	N/A	
		r			
Does your program		No			No
require a face-to-face interview during initial		Ye	S	]	Yes
application		N/A	1	]	N/A
Does your program require a child to be			No	]	No

uninsured for a minimum amount of time prior to		Yes			Yes				
enrollment (waiting period)?	Specify no	umber of mont	hs	Specify number of months					
penou):				To which groups (including FPL levels) does the period of uninsurance apply? [1000]					
					List all exemptions to imposing the period of uninsurance [1000]				
		□ N/A			N/A				
Does your program	☐ No	)			No				
match prospective enrollees to a database	⊠ Ye	S			Yes				
that details private insurance status?					at database?	[1000]			
	□ N//	4			N/A				
		No			No				
		Yes			Yes	_			
		Specify number		Specify number of months					
Does your program provide period of			hen a child would lose period in the box below	Explain circumstances when a child would lose eligibility during the time period in the box below					
continuous coverage regardless of income		n eligible for a	and receiving the child's birth is						
changes?			one full year. The						
		naintain eligibil ontinuously in t							
	household		the mother's						
		N/A			N/A				
	1	1		·					
		No			No				
		Yes			Yes	1			
		ment fee nount			ment fee nount				
Does your program  Premium amount		Premiu	m amount						
require premiums or an	Yea	rly cap		Yea	rly cap				
enrollment fee?	If yes, briefly explain fee structure in the box below			below	(including pre and include	ee structure in the box mium/enrollment fee Federal poverty levels propriate)			
		N/A			N/A				

Does your program	$\boxtimes$	No		No
impose copayments or coinsurance?		Yes		Yes
comsurance?		N/A		N/A
B		No		No
Does your program impose deductibles?		Yes		Yes
		N/A		N/A
		No		No
Dogo vour program		Yes		Yes
Does your program require an assets test?	If Ye	s, please describe below	If Ye	s, please describe below
'				
		N/A		N/A
	П	No	ПП	No
		Yes	H	Yes
	If Yes, please describe below			s, please describe below
		gards include:\$50 child support		·
		ctions; self-employment operating		
Does your program		nses; earned income from a dependent who is a full-time student and in a JTPA		
require income		NCSTA program; care cost for dependent		
disregards?	progi	ram; care cost for dependent child/adult		
		\$175 per child or \$200 for a child under		
		or full-time care, or \$120 for part-time (<35 hours); \$90 work allowance; \$30		
		1/3 of the remaining income for individuals		
		have received OWF (aka: TANF)		
	(Plea	se see narrative below)	$\vdash$	I N/A
		N/A		N/A
			r	
		No		No
		Yes		Yes
		☐ We send out form to family with their		☐ We send out form to family
le a proprinted renowal		information pre-completed and ask for confirmation		with their information pre- completed and ask for
Is a preprinted renewal form sent prior to eligibility		ioi commination		confirmation
expiring?				
		We send out form but do not require a response unless income or other		We send out form but do not require a response unless
		circumstances have changed		income or other circumstances
		g		have changed
		N/A		N/A

Enter any Narrative text below. **[7500]** in one of the prior four months; court-ordered child support payments.

<b>Comments</b>	on	Resp	onses	in	Table:
-----------------	----	------	-------	----	--------

Application documentation requirements

Cost sharing (including amounts, populations, & collection process)

Benefit structure

Crowd out policies

Comments on Responses in Table.							
Is there an assets test for children in your Medicaid program?			Yes	$\boxtimes$	No		I/A
Is it different from the assets test in your separate child health program?  If yes, please describe in the narrative section below the asset test in program.	your [		Yes		No	⊠ N	I/A
Are there income disregards for your Medicaid program?			Yes		No		I/A
Are they different from the income disregards in your separate child healt program? If yes, please describe in the narrative section below the income disregards used in your separate child health program.	th [		Yes		No	N	I/A
Is a joint application used for your Medicaid and separate child health program?			Yes		No	Image: square of the square of	I/A
7. Indicate what documentation is required at initial application							
Self-Declaration Documentat  Income  Citizenship Insured Status	tion Red	<u>quired</u>					
8. Have you made changes to any of the following policy or program areas during indicate "yes" or "no change" by marking appropriate column.	g the re	porting	period	? Plea	ase		
	Expa	Medica ansion S Progra	SCHIP	<u> </u>	C	Separate Child Hea Progran	lth
	Yes	No Change	N/A		Yes	No Change	N/A
Applicant and enrollee protections (e.g., changed from the Medicaid Fair Hearing Process to State Law)							
Application							

 $\boxtimes$ 

 $\boxtimes$ 

Delivery system							
Eligibility determination process (including implement open enrollment periods)	ting a waiting lists or						
Eligibility levels / target population			$\boxtimes$				
Assets test in Medicaid and/or SCHIP							
Income disregards in Medicaid and/or SCHIP			$\boxtimes$				
Eligibility redetermination process		$\boxtimes$					
Enrollment process for health plan selection				$\boxtimes$			
Family coverage				$\boxtimes$			
Outreach (e.g., decrease funds, target outreach)			$\boxtimes$				
Premium assistance							
Prenatal Eligibility expansion							
Waiver populations (funded under title XXI)							
Parents				$\boxtimes$			
Pregnant women				$\boxtimes$			
Childless adults				$\boxtimes$			
Methods and procedures for prevention, investigation of fraud and abuse	n, and referral of cases						
Other – please specify							T
9. For each topic you responded yes to above, please each	xplain the change and w	hy the	change v	vas made	e, below:		
Applicant and enrollee protections							
(e.g., changed from the Medicaid Fair Hearing Process to State Law)							
Application	Added in citizenship r receiving Medicaid.	equiren	nents for	those ap	plying fo	r or	

Application documentation requirements	Added in citizenship requirements for those applying for or receiving Medicaid.
Benefit structure	
Cost sharing (including amounts, populations, & collection process)	
Crowd out policies	
Delivery system	
Eligibility determination process (including implementing a waiting lists or open enrollment periods)	Added in citizenship requirements for those applying for or receiving Medicaid.
Eligibility levels / target population	
Assets test in Medicaid and/or SCHIP	
Income disregards in Medicaid and/or SCHIP	
Eligibility redetermination process	Added in citizenship requirements for those applying for or receiving Medicaid.  Also see narrative below for Managed Care Plan exchange.
Enrollment process for health plan selection	
Family coverage	
Outreach	
Premium assistance	
Prenatal Eligibility Expansion	
Waiver populations (funded under title XXI)	
Doronto	<u> </u>

·	
Pregnant women	
r regnant women	
Childless adults	
Criticiess addits	
Methods and procedures for prevention,	
investigation, and referral of cases of fraud and abuse	
investigation, and referral of cases of fraud and abuse	
Other – please specify	
a.	
a.	
b.	
υ.	
C.	

### Enter any Narrative text below. [7500]

I) January 1, 2008, ODJFS added the redetermination date for all managed care plan members in the HIPAA 834 transaction provided to contracting managed care plans. The provision of redetermination dates to MCPs supports the Medicaid/SCHIP program expansion goals, bolsters efforts to decrease "churning," and simplifies plans' administrative functions translating to improved continuity of care for MCP members. MCPs will use this data to encourage and remind consumers to keep redetermination appointments and to offer assistance with transportation, if appropriate.

# SECTION II: PROGRAM'S PERFORMANCE MEASUREMENT AND PROGRESS

This section consists of three subsections that gather information on the core performance measures for the SCHIP program as well as your State's progress toward meeting its general program strategic objectives and performance goals. Section IIA captures data on the core performance measures to the extent data is available. Section IIB captures your enrollment progress as well as changes in the number and/or rate of uninsured children in your State. Section IIC captures progress towards meeting your State's general strategic objectives and performance goals.

### SECTION IIA: REPORTING OF CORE PERFORMANCE MEASURES

CMS is directed to examine national performance measures by the SCHIP Final Rules of January 11, 2001. To address this SCHIP directive, and to address the need for performance measurement in Medicaid, CMS, along with other Federal and State officials, developed a core set of performance measures for Medicaid and SCHIP. The group focused on well-established measures whose results could motivate agencies, providers, and health plans to improve the quality of care delivered to enrollees. After receiving comments from Medicaid and SCHIP officials on an initial list of 19 measures, the group recommended seven core measures, including four core child health measures:

Well child visits in the first 15 months of life
Well child visits in the 3rd, 4th, 5th, and 6th years of life
Use of appropriate medications for children with asthma
Children's access to primary care practitioners

These measures are based on specifications provided by the Health Plan Employer Data and Information Set (HEDIS®). HEDIS® provides a useful framework for defining and measuring performance. However, use of HEDIS® methodology is <u>not</u> required for reporting on your measures. The HEDIS® methodology can also be modified based on the availability of data in your State.

This section contains templates for reporting performance measurement data for each of the core child health measures. Please report performance measurement data for the three most recent years (to the extent that data are available). In the first and second column, data from the previous two years' annual reports (FFY 2005 and FFY 2006) will be populated with data from previously reported data in SARTS, enter data in these columns only if changes must be made. If you previously reported no data for either of those years, but you now have recent data available for them, please enter the data. In the third column, please report the most recent data available at the time you are submitting the current annual report (FFY 2007). Additional instructions for completing each row of the table are provided below.

#### If Data Not Reported, Please Explain Why:

If you cannot provide a specific measure, please check the box that applies to your State for each performance measure as follows:

<u>Population not covered</u>: Check this box if your program does not cover the population included in the measure.

<u>Data not available</u>: Check this box if data are not available for a particular measure in your State. Please provide an explanation of why the data are currently not available.

<u>Small sample size</u>: Check this box if the sample size (i.e., denominator) for a particular measure is less than 30. If the sample size is less than 30, your State is not required to report data on the measure. However, please indicate the exact sample size in the space provided.

Other: Please specify if there is another reason why your state cannot report the measure.

#### **Status of Data Reported:**

Please indicate the status of the data you are reporting, as follows:

<u>Provisional</u>: Check this box if you are reporting data for a measure, but the data are currently being modified, verified, or may change in any other way before you finalize them for FFY 2007.

Final: Check this box if the data you are reporting are considered final for FFY 2007.

<u>Same data as reported in a previous year's annual report</u>: Check this box if the data you are reporting are the same data that your State reported in another annual report. Indicate in which year's annual report you previously reported the data.

### **Measurement Specification:**

For each performance measure, please indicate the measurement specification (i.e., were the measures calculated using the HEDIS® technical specifications, HEDIS®-like specifications, or some other source with measurement specifications unrelated to HEDIS®). If the measures were calculated using HEDIS® or HEDIS®-like specifications, please indicate which version was used (e.g., HEDIS® 2007). If using HEDIS®-like specifications, please explain how HEDIS® was modified.

#### **Data Source:**

For each performance measure, please indicate the source of data – administrative data (claims) (specify the kind of administrative data used), hybrid data (claims and medical records) (specify how the two were used to create the data source), survey data (specify the survey used), or other source (specify the other source). If another data source was used, please explain the source.

### **Definition of Population included in the Measure:**

Please indicate the definition of the population included in the denominator for each measure (such as age, continuous enrollment, type of delivery system). Check one box to indicate whether the data are for the SCHIP population only, or include both SCHIP and Medicaid (Title XIX) children combined. Also provide a definition of the numerator (such as the number of visits required for inclusion).

Note: You do not need to report data for all delivery system types. You may choose to report data for only the delivery system with the most enrollees in your program.

#### Year of Data:

Please report the year of data for each performance measure. The year (or months) should correspond to the *period in which utilization took place*. Do *not* report the year in which data were collected for the measure, or the version of HEDIS® used to calculate the measure, both of which may be different from the period corresponding to utilization of services.

#### Performance Measurement Data (HEDIS® or Other):

In this section, please report the numerators, denominators, and rates for each measure (or component). The template provides two sections for entering the performance measurement data, depending on whether you are reporting using HEDIS® or HEDIS®-like methodology or a methodology other than HEDIS®. The form fields have been set up to facilitate entering numerators, denominators, and rates for each measure. If the form fields do not give you enough space to fully report on your measure, please use the "additional notes" section.

Note: SARTS will calculate the rate if you enter the numerator and denominator. Otherwise, if you only have the rate, enter it in the rate box.

If you typically calculate separate rates for each health plan, report the aggregate state-level rate for each measure (or component). The preferred method is to calculate a "weighted rate" by summing the numerators and denominators across plans, and then deriving a single state-level rate based on the ratio of the numerator to the denominator. Alternatively, if numerators and denominators are not available, you may calculate an "unweighted average" by taking the mean rate across health plans.

## **Explanation of Progress:**

The intent of this section is to allow your State to highlight progress and describe any quality improvement activities that may have contributed to your progress. If improvement has not occurred over time, this section can be used to discuss potential reasons for why progress was not seen and to describe future quality improvement plans. In this section, your State is also asked to set annual performance objectives for FFY 2008, 2009, and 2010. Based on your recent performance on the measure (from FFY 2005 through 2007), use a combination of expert opinion and "best guesses" to set objectives for the next three years. Please explain your rationale for setting these objectives. For example, if your rate has been increasing by 3 or 4 percentage points per year, you might project future increases at a similar rate. On

the other hand, if your rate has been stable over time, you might set a target that projects a small increase over time. If the rate has been fluctuating over time, you might look more closely at the data to ensure that the fluctuations are not an artifact of the data or the methods used to construct a rate. You might set an initial target that is an average of the recent rates, with slight increases in subsequent years.

In future annual reports, you will be asked to comment on how your actual performance compares to the objective your State set for the year, as well as any quality improvement activities that have helped or could help your State meet future objectives.

### Other Comments on Measure:

Please use this section to provide any other comments on the measure, such as data limitations or plans to report on a measure in the future.

NOTE: Please do not reference attachments in this table. If details about a particular measure are located in an attachment, please summarize the relevant information from the attachment in the space provided for each measure.

# **MEASURE:** Well Child Visits in the First 15 Months of Life

FFY 2005	FFY 2006	FFY 2007
Did you report on this goal?	Did you report on this goal?	Did you report on this goal?
⊠ Yes	⊠ Yes	∑ Yes
□ No	□ No	□ No
If Data Not Reported, Please Explain Why:	If Data Not Reported, Please Explain Why:	If Data Not Reported, Please Explain Why:
Population not covered.	Population not covered.	Population not covered.
Data not available. <i>Explain</i> :	Data not available. Explain:	Data not available. Explain:
☐ Small sample size (less than 30).	Small sample size (less than 30).	Small sample size (less than 30).
Specify sample size:	Specify sample size:	Specify sample size:
Other. Explain:	Other. Explain:	Other. Explain:
Guiei. Expiain.	Guiei. Expituii.	Guier. Explain.
Status of Data Reported:	Status of Data Reported:	Status of Data Reported:
Provisional.	Provisional.	Provisional.
☐ Final.	☐ Final.	Final.
Same data as reported in a previous year's annual report.	Same data as reported in a previous year's annual report.	Same data as reported in a previous year's annual report.
Specify year of annual report in which data previously	Specify year of annual report in which data previously	Specify year of annual report in which data previously
reported:	reported:	reported:
Measurement Specification:	Measurement Specification:	Measurement Specification:
☐ HEDIS. Specify version of HEDIS used:	☐ HEDIS. Specify version of HEDIS used:	☐ HEDIS. Specify version of HEDIS used:
HEDIS-like. Specify version of HEDIS used:	HEDIS-like. Specify version of HEDIS used:	HEDIS-like. Specify version of HEDIS used:
Explain how HEDIS was modified:	Explain how HEDIS was modified:	Explain how HEDIS was modified:
Other. Explain:	Other. Explain:	Other. Explain:
Guici. Explain.	Guier. Explain.	Version of HEDIS used: 2007
Data Source:	Data Source:	Data Source:
Administrative (claims data). Specify:	Administrative (claims data). Specify:	Administrative (claims data). Specify:
Hybrid (claims and medical record data). Specify:	Hybrid (claims and medical record data). Specify:	Hybrid (claims and medical record data). Specify:
		Survey data Crasifu
Survey data. Specify:	Survey data. Specify:	Survey data. Specify: Other. Specify:
Other. Specify:	Other. Specify:	Both FFS and Encounter claims
<b>Definition of Population Included in the Measure:</b>	<b>Definition of Population Included in the Measure:</b>	<b>Definition of Population Included in the Measure:</b>
Definition of denominator:	Definition of denominator:	Definition of denominator:
Denominator includes SCHIP population only.	Denominator includes SCHIP population only.	Denominator includes SCHIP population only.
Denominator includes SCHIP and Medicaid (Title XIX).	Denominator includes SCHIP and Medicaid (Title XIX).	Denominator includes SCHIP and Medicaid (Title XIX).
Definition of numerator: Use of CY04 + claims within	Definition of numerator: Use of CY05 + claims within	Definition of numerator: Use of CY06+ claims within HEDIS
HEDIS 2005. Excludes Duals Eligibles and Non-Medicaid	HEDIS 2006. Excludes Duals Eligibles and Non-Medicaid	2007. Excludes Dual Eligibles and Non-Medicaid groups.
groups.	groups.	
Year of Data: 2004	Year of Data: 2005	Year of Data: 2006

]	FFY 2005	]	FFY 2006	]	FFY 2007
HEDIS Performance Me (If reporting with HEDIS/I Percent with specified num 0 visits Numerator: 2272 Denominator: 51837 Rate: 4.38	HEDIS-like methodology)	HEDIS Performance Me (If reporting with HEDIS/I Percent with specified num 0 visits Numerator: 1870 Denominator: 54360 Rate: 3.4	HEDIS-like methodology)	HEDIS Performance Me (If reporting with HEDIS/I Percent with specified num 0 visits Numerator: 1898 Denominator: 56257 Rate: 3.37	HEDIS-like methodology)
1 visit Numerator: 2221 Denominator: 51837 Rate: 4.28	5 visits Numerator: 9693 Denominator: 51837 Rate: 18.7	1 visit Numerator: 2110 Denominator: 54360 Rate: 3.9	5 visits Numerator: 9919 Denominator: 54360 Rate: 18.2	1 visit Numerator: 1762 Denominator: 56257 Rate: 3.13	5 visits Numerator: 7878 Denominator: 56257 Rate: 14.00
2 visits Numerator: 3352 Denominator: 51837 Rate: 6.4	6+ visits Numerator: 22494 Denominator: 51837 Rate: 43.39	2 visits Numerator: 3121 Denominator: 54360 Rate: 5.7	6+ visits Numerator: 25528 Denominator: 54360 Rate: 47	2 visits Numerator: 2773 Denominator: 56257 Rate: 4.93	6+ visits Numerator: 32216 Denominator: 56257 Rate: 57.26
3 visits Numerator: 4934 Denominator: 51837 Rate: 9.52		3 visits Numerator: 4731 Denominator: 54360 Rate: 8.7		3 visits Numerator: 3833 Denominator: 56257 Rate: 6.81	
Additional notes on measu	ire:	Additional notes on measu	ire:	performance between 06 a	re: The significant increase of nd 07 suggests a data issue within nance goals will be based upon lts are validated.
Other Performance Measurement Data: (If reporting with another methodology) Numerator: Denominator: Rate:			Denominator:		surement Data: methodology)
Additional notes on measu	ire:	Additional notes on measu	ire:		easure: Please refer to "Othe fter "Explanation on Progress" for calculations.

#### **Explanation of Progress:**

How did your performance in 2007 compare with the Annual Performance Objective documented in your 2006 Annual Report? The results are higher in both population and rate. However, the large growth in the rate suggests a data issue. Performance Objectives will are based upon historical trends until results are confirmed.

Are there any quality improvement activities that contribute to your progress? In addition to the ODJFS Managed Care Quality Strategy, the managed care plans implement initiatives to improve in the area of well child visits in the first fifteen months of life.

Annual Performance Objective for FFY 2008: 50.55% of children will receive 6 or more well-child visits during their first 15 months of life.

Annual Performance Objective for FFY 2009: 52.38% of children will receive 6 or more well-child visits during their first 15 months of life.

Annual Performance Objective for FFY 2010: 54.03% of children will receive 6 or more well-child visits during their first 15 months of life.

Explain how these objectives were set: Performance objectives were developed upon expected performance within various services populations, and blended together for a statewide objective. Managed Care Plans have performance expectations in their contracts. For this measure, it is a 10% decrease in the difference between present performance and a target of 80%. Those individuals who received services only within FFS were assumed to increase based upon historical results. Other populations were held constant.

Other Comments on Measure: The significant increase of performance between 06 and 07 suggests a data issue within the measurement. The increase in compliance on Well Child Visits 15 Months appears to be due to multiple claims on the same day for the same person for such visits. NCQA has indicated that these visits should be counted separately (i.e. two visits in the same day). Performance goals will be based upon historical trends until measurement adjustments can be made.

# MEASURE: Well-Child Visits in Children the 3rd, 4th, 5th, and 6th Years of Life

FFY 2005	FFY 2006	FFY 2007
Did you report on this goal?	Did you report on this goal?	Did you report on this goal?
⊠ Yes	⊠ Yes	⊠ Yes
□ No	□ No	□ No
If Data Not Reported, Please Explain Why:	If Data Not Reported, Please Explain Why:	If Data Not Reported, Please Explain Why:
Population not covered.	Population not covered.	Population not covered.
Data not available. Explain:	Data not available. Explain:	Data not available. Explain:
Small sample size (less than 30)	Small sample size (less than 30).	Small sample size (less than 30).
Specify sample size:	Specify sample size:	Specify sample size:
Other. Explain:	Other. Explain:	Other. Explain:
and Explain.	Guier. Espiani.	Guier. Explain.
Status of Data Reported:	Status of Data Reported:	Status of Data Reported:
Provisional.	Provisional.	Provisional.
Final.	☐ Final.	☐ Final.
Same data as reported in a previous year's annual report.	Same data as reported in a previous year's annual report.	Same data as reported in a previous year's annual report.
Specify year of annual report in which data previously	Specify year of annual report in which data previously	Specify year of annual report in which data previously
reported:	reported:	reported:
Measurement Specification:	Measurement Specification:	Measurement Specification:
Measurement Specification:   □ HEDIS. Specify version of HEDIS used:	Measurement specification:   ∐HEDIS. Specify version of HEDIS used:	Measurement specification:  ☐HEDIS. Specify version of HEDIS used:
HEDIS-like. Specify version of HEDIS used:	HEDIS-like. Specify version of HEDIS used:	HEDIS-like. Specify version of HEDIS used:
Explain how HEDIS was modified:	Explain how HEDIS was modified:	Explain how HEDIS was modified:
Other. Explain:	Other. Explain:	Other. Explain:
		Version of HEDIS used: 2007
Data Source:	Data Source:	Data Source:
Administrative (claims data). <i>Specify</i> :	Administrative (claims data). <i>Specify</i> :	Administrative (claims data). <i>Specify</i> :
Hybrid (claims and medical record data). Specify:	Hybrid (claims and medical record data). <i>Specify</i> :	Hybrid (claims and medical record data). <i>Specify</i> :
Survey data. <i>Specify</i> :	Survey data. Specify:	Survey data. <i>Specify</i> :
Other. Specify:	Other. <i>Specify</i> :	Other. <i>Specify</i> :
		Both FFS and Encounter claims.
Definition of Population Included in the Measure:	Definition of Population Included in the Measure:	<b>Definition of Population Included in the Measure:</b>
Definition of denominator:	Definition of denominator:	Definition of denominator:
☐ Denominator includes SCHIP population only.	☐ Denominator includes SCHIP population only.	☐ Denominator includes SCHIP population only.
Denominator includes SCHIP and Medicaid (Title XIX).	Denominator includes SCHIP and Medicaid (Title XIX).	☐ Denominator includes SCHIP and Medicaid (Title XIX).
Definition of numerator: Use of CY04 + claims within	Definition of numerator: Use of CY05 + claims within	Definition of numerator: Use of CY06+ claims within HEDIS
HEDIS 2005. Excludes Duals Eligibles and Non-Medicaid	HEDIS 2006. Excludes Duals Eligibles and Non-Medicaid	2007. Excludes Dual Eligibles and Non-Medicaid groups.
groups.	groups.	
Year of Data: 2004	Year of Data: 2005	Year of Data: 2006
HEDIS Performance Measurement Data:	HEDIS Performance Measurement Data:	HEDIS Performance Measurement Data:
(If reporting with HEDIS/HEDIS-like methodology)	(If reporting with HEDIS/HEDIS-like methodology)	(If reporting with HEDIS/HEDIS-like methodology)
Percent with 1+ visits	Percent with 1+ visits	Percent with 1+ visits
Numerator: 112390	Numerator: 115903	Numerator: 118899

FFY 2005	FFY 2006	FFY 2007
Denominator: 189507	Denominator: 193878	Denominator: 196181
Rate: 59.3	Rate: 59.8	Rate: 60.61
Additional notes on measure:	Additional notes on measure:	Additional notes on measure:

#### Well-Child Visits in Children the 3rd, 4th, 5th, and 6th Years of Life (continued)

FFY 2005	FFY 2006	FFY 2007
Other Performance Measurement Data:	Other Performance Measurement Data:	Other Performance Measurement Data:
(If reporting with another methodology)	(If reporting with another methodology)	(If reporting with another methodology)
Numerator:	Numerator:	Numerator:
Denominator:	Denominator:	Denominator:
Rate:	Rate:	Rate:
Additional notes on measure:	Additional notes on measure:	Additional notes on measure:

#### **Explanation of Progress:**

How did your performance in 2007 compare with the Annual Performance Objective documented in your 2006 Annual Report? The results show slight improvement but were less than the original objective. The methodology which established the original performance objective was reviewed and determined to have inappropriately applied expectations on various populations. A new methodology was established to weight performance objectives across populations to develop a blended statewide performance objective.

Are there any quality improvement activities that contribute to your progress? In addition to the ODJFS Managed Care Quality Strategy, the manage care plans implement targeted quality improvement initiatives to improve performance in the are of well child visits for this age group.

**Annual Performance Objective for FFY 2008:** 61.20

**Annual Performance Objective for FFY 2009:** 61.91

**Annual Performance Objective for FFY 2010:** 62.47

Explain how these objectives were set: Performance Objectives were developed upon expected performance within various service populations, and blended together for a statewide objective. Managed Care Plans have performance expectations in their contracts. For this measure, it is a 10% decrease in the difference between present performance and a target of 80%. Those incivicuals who received services only within FFS were assumed to increase based upon historical results. Other populations were held constant.

Other Comments on Measure: During CY2006, Ohio implemented statewide managed care, affecting most children. As these children are moved into managed care plans, performance objectives will changed based upon the proportion of children entering into managed care and also having different performance objectives.

MEASURE: Use of Appropriate Medications for Children with Asthma

FFY 2005	FFY 2006	FFY 2007
Did you report on this goal?	Did you report on this goal?	Did you report on this goal?
⊠ Yes	∑Yes	∑Yes
□No	☐ No	□ No
If Data Not Reported, Please Explain Why:	If Data Not Reported, Please Explain Why:	If Data Not Reported, Please Explain Why:
Population not covered.	Population not covered.	Population not covered.
Data not available. <i>Explain</i> :	Data not available. Explain:	Data not available. <i>Explain</i> :
Small sample size (less than 30).	Small sample size (less than 30).	Small sample size (less than 30).
Specify sample size:	Specify sample size:	Specify sample size:
Other. Explain:	Other. Explain:	Other. Explain:
Guier. Explain.	Guier. Expituit.	Guier. Explain.
Status of Data Reported:	Status of Data Reported:	Status of Data Reported:
Provisional.	Provisional.	Provisional.
Final.	Final.	☐ Final.
Same data as reported in a previous year's annual report.	Same data as reported in a previous year's annual report.	Same data as reported in a previous year's annual report.
Specify year of annual report in which data previously	Specify year of annual report in which data previously	Specify year of annual report in which data previously
reported:	reported:	reported:
Measurement Specification:	Measurement Specification:	Measurement Specification:
HEDIS. Specify version of HEDIS used:	☐ HEDIS. Specify version of HEDIS used:	☐ HEDIS. Specify version of HEDIS used:
HEDIS-like. Specify version of HEDIS used:	HEDIS-like. Specify version of HEDIS used:	HEDIS-like. Specify version of HEDIS used:
Explain how HEDIS was modified:	Explain how HEDIS was modified:	Explain how HEDIS was modified:
Other. Explain:	Other. Explain:	Other. Explain:
	Guor. Expun.	Version of HEDIS used: 2007
Data Source:	Data Source:	Data Source:
Administrative (claims data). Specify:	Administrative (claims data). Specify:	Administrative (claims data). Specify:
Hybrid (claims and medical record data). Specify:	Hybrid (claims and medical record data). Specify:	Hybrid (claims and medical record data). Specify:
Survey data. Specify:	Survey data. Specify:	Survey data. Specify:
Other. Specify:	Other. Specify:	Other. Specify:
Guici. Specify.	Unici. Specify.	Both FFS and Encounter claims.
Definition of Population Included in the Measure:	Definition of Population Included in the Measure:	Definition of Population Included in the Measure:
Definition of denominator:	Definition of denominator:	Definition of denominator:
Denominator includes SCHIP population only.	Denominator includes SCHIP population only.	Denominator includes SCHIP population only.
Denominator includes SCHIP and Medicaid (Title XIX).	☐ Denominator includes SCHIP population only. ☐ Denominator includes SCHIP and Medicaid (Title XIX).	☐ Denominator includes SCHIP population only. ☐ Denominator includes SCHIP and Medicaid (Title XIX).
Definition of numerator: Use of CY04 + claims within	Definition of numerator: Use of CY05 + claims within	Definition of numerator: Use of CY06+ claims within HEDIS
HEDIS 2005. Excludes Dual Eligibles and Non-Medicaid	HEDIS 2006. Excludes Dual Eligibles and Non-Medicaid	2007. Excludes Dual Eligibles and Non-Medicaid groups.
_	_	2007. Excludes Dual Eligibles and Non-Medicald groups.
groups.  Year of Data: 2004	groups.  Year of Data: 2006	Year of Data: 2006
1 car of Data: 2004	Tear of Data: 2000	Tear of Data: 2000

# Use of Appropriate Medications for Children with Asthma (continued)

FFY 2005	FFY 2006	FFY 2007
HEDIS Performance Measurement Data:	HEDIS Performance Measurement Data:	HEDIS Performance Measurement Data:
(If reporting with HEDIS/HEDIS-like methodology)	(If reporting with HEDIS/HEDIS-like methodology)	(If reporting with HEDIS/HEDIS-like methodology)
Percent receiving appropriate medications	Percent receiving appropriate medications	Percent receiving appropriate medications
5-9 years	<u>5-9 years</u>	<u>5-9 years</u>
Numerator: 7433	Numerator: 6850	Numerator: 7966
Denominator: 10536	Denominator: 7405	Denominator: 8624
Rate: 70.5	Rate: 92.5	Rate: 92.37
10-17 years	10-17 years	10-17 years
Numerator: 9074	Numerator: 8006	Numerator: 9090
Denominator: 13112	Denominator: 8903	Denominator: 10065
Rate: 69.2	Rate: 89.9	Rate: 90.31
Combined rate (5-17 years)	Combined rate (5-17 years)	Combined rate (5-17 years)
Numerator: 16507	Numerator: 14856	Numerator: 17056
Denominator: 23648	Denominator: 16308	Denominator: 18689
Rate: 69.8	Rate: 91.1	Rate: 91.3
Additional notes on measure:	Additional notes on measure: National definitions for the	Additional notes on measure: Above measure excludes 49
	HEDIS denominator changed between HEDIS 2005 and	contraindications for 5-9 year olds and 66 for 10-17 year
	HEDIS 2006.	olds.
Other Performance Measurement Data:	Other Performance Measurement Data:	Other Performance Measurement Data:
(If reporting with another methodology)	(If reporting with another methodology)	(If reporting with another methodology)
Numerator:	Numerator:	Numerator:
Denominator:	Denominator:	Denominator:
Rate:	Rate:	Rate:
Additional notes on measure:	Additional notes on measure:	Additional notes on measure:

#### **Explanation of Progress:**

How did your performance in 2007 compare with the Annual Performance Objective documented in your 2006 Annual Report? Ohio achieved a 91.26% rate on this estimate; the original goal was 90.3%. However, the methodology which established the original performance objective was reviewed and determined to have inappropriately applied expectations on various populations. A new methodology was established to weight performance objectives across populations to develop a blended statewide performance objective.

Are there any quality improvement activities that contribute to your progress? In addition to the ODJFS Managed Care Quality Strategy, the managed care plans offer comprehensive case management services to children under the age of 21 diagnosed with certain conditions, such as asthma.

**Annual Performance Objective for FFY 2008:** 91.35 (92.44 for ages 5 to 9; 90.41 for ages 10 to 17)

**Annual Performance Objective for FFY 2009:** 91.43 (92.51 for ages 5 to 9; 90.51 for ages 10 to 17)

**Annual Performance Objective for FFY 2010:** 91.50 (92.57 for ages 5 to 9; 90.59 for ages 10 to 17)

Explain how these objectives were set: Performance Objectives were developed upon expected performance within various service populations, and blended together for a statewide objective. Managed Care Plans have performance expectations in their contracts. For this measure, it is a 10% decrease in the difference between present performance and a target of 95%. Those individuals who received services only within FFS were assumed to increase based upon historical results. Other populations were held constant.

**Other Comments on Measure:** This performance is only based upon the HEDIS age categories of age 5–9 and ages 10–17. The ages 18–56 are not included since is not a primary SCHIP population. During CY2006, Ohio implemented statewide managed care, affecting most children. As these children are moved into managed care plans, performance objectives will changed based upon the proportion of children entering into managed care and also having different performance objectives.

# **MEASURE: Children's Access to Primary Care Practitioners**

FFY 2005	FFY 2006	FFY 2007
Did you report on this goal?  ☐ Yes	Did you report on this goal?  ☐ Yes ☐ No	Did you report on this goal?  ☐ Yes ☐ No
☐ No  If Data Not Reported, Please Explain Why:	If Data Not Reported, Please Explain Why:	If Data Not Reported, Please Explain Why:
Population not covered.  Data not available. Explain:	Population not covered.  Data not available. Explain:	Population not covered.  Data not available. Explain:
☐ Small sample size (less than 30).  Specify sample size: ☐ Other. Explain:	☐ Small sample size (less than 30).  Specify sample size: ☐ Other. Explain:	☐ Small sample size (less than 30).  Specify sample size: ☐ Other. Explain:
Status of Data Reported:	Status of Data Reported:	Status of Data Reported:
☐ Provisional. ☐ Final.	☐ Provisional. ☐ Final.	☐ Provisional. ☐ Final.
Same data as reported in a previous year's annual report.  Specify year of annual report in which data previously reported:	Same data as reported in a previous year's annual report.  Specify year of annual report in which data previously reported:	Same data as reported in a previous year's annual report.  Specify year of annual report in which data previously reported:
Measurement Specification:  ☐ HEDIS. Specify version of HEDIS used: ☐ HEDIS-like. Specify version of HEDIS used: Explain how HEDIS was modified: ☐ Other. Explain:	Measurement Specification:  ☐ HEDIS. Specify version of HEDIS used: ☐ HEDIS-like. Specify version of HEDIS used:  Explain how HEDIS was modified: ☐ Other. Explain:	Measurement Specification:  ☐HEDIS. Specify version of HEDIS used: ☐HEDIS-like. Specify version of HEDIS used:  Explain how HEDIS was modified: ☐Other. Explain:
Data Source:	Data Source:	Version of HEDIS used: 2007  Data Source:
<ul> <li>☑ Administrative (claims data). Specify:</li> <li>☐ Hybrid (claims and medical record data). Specify:</li> <li>☐ Survey data. Specify:</li> <li>☐ Other. Specify:</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>☑ Administrative (claims data). Specify:</li> <li>☐ Hybrid (claims and medical record data). Specify:</li> <li>☐ Survey data. Specify:</li> <li>☐ Other. Specify:</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>☑ Administrative (claims data). Specify:</li> <li>☐ Hybrid (claims and medical record data). Specify:</li> <li>☐ Survey data. Specify:</li> <li>☐ Other. Specify:</li> <li>Both FFS and Encounter claims.</li> </ul>
Definition of Population Included in the Measure:  □ Definition of denominator: □ Denominator includes SCHIP population only. □ Denominator includes SCHIP and Medicaid (Title XIX). □ Definition of numerator: Use of CY04 + claims within HEDIS 2005. Excludes Dual Eligibles and Non-Medicaid groups.	Definition of Population Included in the Measure:  □ Definition of denominator: □ Denominator includes SCHIP population only. □ Denominator includes SCHIP and Medicaid (Title XIX). □ Definition of numerator: Use of CY05 + claims within HEDIS 2006. Excludes Dual Eligibles and Non-Medicaid groups.	Definition of Population Included in the Measure:  □ Definition of denominator: □ Denominator includes SCHIP population only. □ Denominator includes SCHIP and Medicaid (Title XIX).  □ Definition of numerator: Use of CY06+ claims within HEDIS 2007. Excludes Dual Eligibles and Non-Medicaid groups.
Year of Data: 2005	Year of Data: 2006	Year of Data: 2006

FF	Y 2005	I	FFY 2006	]	FFY 2007	
<b>HEDIS Performance Measu</b>	rement Data:	HEDIS Performance Mea	HEDIS Performance Measurement Data:		HEDIS Performance Measurement Data:	
(If reporting with HEDIS/HE	DIS-like methodology)	(If reporting with HEDIS/H	IEDIS-like methodology)	(If reporting with HEDIS/F.	(If reporting with HEDIS/HEDIS-like methodology)	
Percent with a PCP visit		Percent with a PCP visit		Percent with a PCP visit		
<u>12-24 months</u>	<u>7-11 years</u>	<u>12-24 months</u>	<u>7-11 years</u>	<u>12-24 months</u>	<u>7-11 years</u>	
Numerator: 55599	Numerator: 145811	Numerator: 57719	Numerator: 153618	Numerator: 59157	Numerator: 161086	
Denominator: 58210	Denominator: 171308	Denominator: 60016	Denominator: 179551	Denominator: 61529	Denominator: 185043	
Rate: 95.5	Rate: 85.1	Rate: 96.2	Rate: 85.6	Rate: 96.14	Rate: 87.1	
25 months 6 years	12 10 22000	25 months 6 visors	12-19 years	25 months 6 years	12 10 years	
25 months-6 years Numerator: 199131	12-19 years Numerator: 175970	25 months-6 years Numerator: 207322	Numerator: 189846	25 months-6 years Numerator: 210491	12-19 years Numerator: 198791	
Denominator: 234658	Denominator: 207104	Denominator: 239907	Denominator: 222150	Denominator: 242910	Denominator: 230950	
Rate: 84.9	Rate: 85	Rate: 86.4	Rate: 85.5	Rate: 86.65	Rate: 86.08	
Additional notes on measure:		Additional notes on measur	re:	Additional notes on measur	re:	
Other Performance Measur	rement Data:	Other Performance Meas	urement Data:	Other Performance Meas	urement Data:	
(If reporting with another met	thodology)	(If reporting with another n	nethodology)	(If reporting with another methodology)		
Numerator:		Numerator:		Numerator:		
Denominator:		Denominator:	Denominator:			
Rate:		Rate:		Rate:		
Additional notes on measure:		Additional notes on measur	re:	Additional notes on measur	re:	

#### **Explanation of Progress:**

How did your performance in 2007 compare with the Annual Performance Objective documented in your 2006 Annual Report? Ohio achieved 87.38% on its performance measures, higher than the original goal of 86.3%. However, the methodology which established the original performance objective was reviewed and determined to have inappropriately applied expectations on various populations. A new methodology was established to weight performance objectives across populations to develop a blended statewide performance objective.

Are there any quality improvement activities that contribute to your progress? In addition to the ODJFS Managed Care Quality Strategy (pg 60), the managed care plans implement targeted initiatives to emphasize the importance of receiving primary and preventive care services for this age group.

**Annual Performance Objective for FFY 2008:** 87.92 (96.71 for age 12–24 months, 87.18 for age 25 m-6 yrs, 87.58 for age 7–11 yrs, 86.61 for age 12–19 yrs).

**Annual Performance Objective for FFY 2009:** 

**Annual Performance Objective for FFY 2010:** 

Explain how these objectives were set: This objective estimated a 0.5% increase in access for each population. This estimate is reviewed annually; thus, no objectives have been set for 2009 or 2010.

#### **Other Comments on Measure:**

### SECTION IIB: ENROLLMENT AND UNINSURED DATA

The information in the table below is the Unduplicated Number of Children Ever Enrolled in SCHIP in your State for the two most recent reporting periods. The enrollment numbers reported below should correspond to line 7 in your State's 4<sup>th</sup> quarter data report (submitted in October) in the SCHIP Statistical Enrollment Data System (SEDS). The percent change column reflects the percent change in enrollment over the two-year period. If the percent change exceeds 10 percent (increase or decrease), please explain in letter A below any factors that may account for these changes (such as decreases due to elimination of outreach or increases due to program expansions). This information will be filled in automatically by SARTS through a link to SEDS. Please wait until you have an enrollment number from SEDS before you complete this response.

Program	FFY 2006	FFY 2007	Percent change FFY 2006-2007
SCHIP Medicaid Expansion Program	221643	231538	4.46
Separate Child Health Program	0	0	

Please explain any factors that may account for enrollment increases or decreases exceeding 10 percent.

#### N/A

The table below shows trends in the three-year averages for the number and rate of uninsured children in your State based on the Current Population Survey (CPS), along with the percent change between 1996-1998 and 2004-2006. Significant changes are denoted with an asterisk (\*). If your state uses an alternate data source and/or methodology for measuring change in the number and/or rate of uninsured children, please explain in Question #3. SARTS will fill in this information automatically, but in the meantime, please refer to the CPS data attachment that was sent with the FFY 2007 Annual Report Template.

	Uninsured Children Under Age 19 Below 200 Percent of Poverty		Below 200 Pe	ildren Under Age 19 rcent of Poverty as a Children Under Age 19
Period	Number	Std. Error	Rate	Std. Error
1996 - 1998	189	28.7	6.0	.9
1998 - 2000	186	28.5	5.8	.9
2000 - 2002	157	21.8	5.4	.7
2002 - 2004	156	21.7	5.2	.7
2003 - 2005	153	21.6	5.2	.7
2004 - 2006	131	20.0	4.5	.7
Percent change	-30.7%	NA	-25.0%	NA

1996-1998 vs.		
2004-2006		

Please explain any activities or factors that may account for increases or decreases in your number and/or rate of uninsured children.

The implementation of the Deficit Reduction Act of 2005 citizenship requirements appears to have presented a challenge for consumers to verify identity.

Please note any comments here concerning CPS data limitations that may affect the reliability or precision of these estimates.

The Ohio Department of Job and Family Services (ODJFS) uses the CPS, but augments this information with its own survey (the Ohio Family Health Survey). Please see #3 for additional information.

Please indicate by checking the box below whether your State has an alternate data source and/or methodology for measuring the change in the number and/or rate of uninsured children.

✓ Yes (please report your data in the table below)✓ No (skip to Question #4)

Please report your alternate data in the table below. Data are required for two or more points in time to demonstrate change (or lack of change). Please be as specific and detailed as possible about the method used to measure progress toward covering the uninsured.

Data source(s)	Ohio Family Health Survey (OFHS)
Reporting period (2 or more	1998 and 2004
points in time)	
Methodology	Phone sample
Population (Please include ages	Ohio residents (households)
and income levels)	
Sample sizes	1998: 16,000 and 2004: 40,000. In 1998 the sample included about
	6,000 households with children. In 2004 the sample included about
	15,000 households with children.
Number and/or rate for two or	Children with insurance at any time in the past year 1998: 94.4% or
more points in time	2,690,633 out of 2,851,223. In 2004: 97.0% or 2,803,008 out of
	2,890,038.
Statistical significance of results	

Please explain why your State chose to adopt a different methodology to measure changes in the number and/or rate of uninsured children.

ODJFS has the OFHS as an additional source of data. Since this survey is not administered yearly, it does not supplant the use of the Current Population Survey. However, due to its size and scope, it validates the CPS and provides additional information for policy planning.

What is your State's assessment of the reliability of the estimate? What are the limitations of the data or estimation methodology? (Provide a numerical range or confidence intervals if available.)

The OFHS is significantly larger in sample size. The sample and weighting are more representative of Ohio's population. The 1998 OFHS has a standard error of .5% with a 95% lower and upper CL at 93.4% and 95.3% respectively. The 2004 OFHS had a standard error of .2% with the 95% lower and upper CL at 96.7% and 97.3% respectively.

What are the limitations of the data or estimation methodology? The most significant limitation is that this survey cannot be produced annually.

How does your State use this alternate data source in SCHIP program planning?

ODJFS has used it to better understand the uninsured population to estimate fiscal impact from projected growth and new programming. This information has also been made available to others for analysis and is available to communities (through a web site) for grant writing.

How many children do you estimate have been enrolled in Medicaid as a result of SCHIP outreach activities and enrollment simplification? Describe the data source and method used to derive this information

ODJFS does not have a direct count of the efforts or different types of outreach performed statewide linking the number of children enrolled in Medicaid. However, ODJFS continues to work closely with community partners and advocates to share information. The community partner's efforts are coordinated with children and families to assist with ongoing education of the program and services while aiding with the application process. Their efforts continue to make a significant impact.

### SECTION IIC: STATE STRATEGIC OBJECTIVES AND PERFORMANCE GOALS

This subsection gathers information on your State's general strategic objectives, performance goals, performance measures and progress towards meeting goals, as specified in your SCHIP State Plan. (If Section 9 of your SCHIP State Plan has changed, please indicate when it changed, and how the goals and objectives in Section 9 of your State Plan and the goals reported in this section of the annual report are different. Also, the state plan should be amended to reconcile these differences). The format of this section provides your State with an opportunity to track progress over time. This section contains templates for reporting performance measurement data for each of five categories of strategic objectives, related to:

Reducing the number of uninsured children

SCHIP enrollment

Medicaid enrollment

Increasing access to care

Use of preventative care (immunizations, well child care)

Please report performance measurement data for the three most recent years for which data are available (to the extent that data are available). In the first two columns, report data from the previous two years' annual reports (FFY 2005 and FFY 2006) will be populated with data from previously reported data in SARTS, enter data in these columns only if changes must be made. If you previously reported no data for either of those years, but you now have recent data available for them, please enter the data. In the third column, please report the most recent data available at the time you are submitting the current annual report (FFY 2007).

Note that the term *performance measure* is used differently in Section IIA versus IIC. In Section IIA, the term refers to the four core child health measures. In this section, the term is used more broadly, to refer to any data your State provides as evidence towards a particular goal within a strategic objective. For the purpose of this section, "objectives" refer to the five broad categories listed above, while "goals" are State-specific, and should be listed in the appropriate subsections within the space provided for each objective.

NOTES: Please do not reference attachments in this section. If details about a particular measure are located in an attachment, please summarize the relevant information from the attachment in the space provided for each measure.

In addition, please do not report the same data that were reported in Sections IIA or IIB. The intent of this section is to capture goals and measures that your State did not\_report elsewhere in Section II.

Additional instructions for completing each row of the table are provided below.

### Goal:

For each objective, space has been provided to report up to three goals. Use this section to provide a brief description of each goal you are reporting within a given strategic objective. All new goals should include a direction and a target. For clarification only, an <u>example</u> goal would be: "Increase (direction) by 5 percent (target) the number of SCHIP beneficiaries who turned 13 years old during the measurement year who had a second dose of MMR, three hepatitis B vaccinations and one varicella vaccination by their 13<sup>th</sup> birthday."

### Type of Goal:

For each goal you are reporting within a given strategic objective, please indicate the type of goal, as follows:

<u>New/revised:</u> Check this box if you have revised or added a goal. Please explain how and why the goal was revised.

<u>Continuing:</u> Check this box if the goal you are reporting is the same one you have reported in previous annual reports.

<u>Discontinued:</u> Check this box if you have met your goal and/or are discontinuing a goal. Please explain why the goal was discontinued.

### **Status of Data Reported:**

Please indicate the status of the data you are reporting for each goal, as follows:

<u>Provisional:</u> Check this box if you are reporting performance measure data for a goal, but the data are currently being modified, verified, or may change in any other way before you finalize them for FFY 2007.

Final: Check this box if the data you are reporting are considered final for FFY 2007.

<u>Same data as reported in a previous year's annual report:</u> Check this box if the data you are reporting are the same data that your State reported for the goal in another annual report. Indicate in which year's annual report you previously reported the data.

### **Measurement Specification:**

This section is included for only two of the objectives— objectives related to increasing access to care, and objectives related to use of preventative care—because these are the two objectives for which States may report using the HEDIS® measurement specification. In this section, for each goal, please indicate the measurement specification used to calculate your performance measure data (i.e., were the measures calculated using the HEDIS® specifications, HEDIS®-like specifications, or some other method unrelated to HEDIS®). If the measures were calculated using HEDIS® or HEDIS®-like specifications, please indicate which version was used (e.g., HEDIS® 2007). If using HEDIS®-like specifications, please explain how HEDIS® was modified.

### **Data Source:**

For each performance measure, please indicate the source of data. The categories provided in this section vary by objective. For the objectives related to reducing the number of uninsured children and SCHIP or Medicaid enrollment, please indicate whether you have used eligibility/enrollment data, survey data (specify the survey used), or other source (specify the other source). For the objectives related to access to care and use of preventative care, please indicate whether you used administrative data (claims) (specify the kind of administrative data used), hybrid data (claims and medical records) (specify how the two were used to create the data source), survey data (specify the survey used), or other source (specify the other source). In all cases, if another data source was used, please explain the source.

#### **Definition of Population Included in Measure:**

Please indicate the definition of the population included in the denominator for each measure (such as age, continuous enrollment, type of delivery system). Also provide a definition of the numerator (such as the number of visits required for inclusion, e.g., one or more visits in the past year).

For measures related to increasing access to care and use of preventative care, please also check one box to indicate whether the data are for the SCHIP population only, or include both SCHIP and Medicaid (Title XIX) children combined.

#### Year of Data:

Please report the year of data for each performance measure. The year (or months) should correspond to the *period in which enrollment or utilization took place*. Do *not* report the year in which data were collected for the measure, or the version of HEDIS® used to calculate the measure, both of which may be different from the period corresponding to enrollment or utilization of services.

### **Performance Measurement Data:**

<u>Describe what is being measured</u>: Please provide a brief explanation of the information you intend to capture through the performance measure.

Numerator, Denominator, and Rate: Please report the numerators, denominators, and rates for each measure (or component). For the objectives related to increasing access to care and use of preventative care, the template provides two sections for entering the performance measurement data, depending on whether you are reporting using HEDIS® or HEDIS®-like methodology or a methodology other than HEDIS®. The form fields have been set up to facilitate entering numerators, denominators, and rates for each measure. If the form fields do not give you enough space to fully report on your measure, please use the "additional notes" section.

If you typically calculate separate rates for each health plan, report the aggregate state-level rate for each measure (or component). The preferred method is to calculate a "weighted rate" by summing the numerators and denominators across plans, and then deriving a single state-level rate based on the ratio of the numerator to the denominator. Alternatively, if numerators and denominators are not available, you may calculate an "unweighted average" by taking the mean rate across health plans.

# **Explanation of Progress:**

The intent of this section is to allow your State to highlight progress and describe any quality improvement activities that may have contributed to your progress. If improvement has not occurred over time, this section can be used to discuss potential reasons for why progress was not seen and to describe future quality improvement plans. In this section, your State is also asked to set annual performance objectives for FFY 2008, 2009, and 2010. Based on your recent performance on the measure (from FFY 2005 through 2007), use a combination of expert opinion and "best guesses" to set objectives for the next three years. Please explain your rationale for setting these objectives. For example, if your rate has been increasing by 3 or 4 percentage points per year, you might project future increases at a similar rate. On the other hand, if your rate has been stable over time, you might set a target that projects a small increase over time. If the rate has been fluctuating over time, you might look more closely at the data to ensure that the fluctuations are not an artifact of the data or the methods used to construct a rate. You might set an initial target that is an average of the recent rates, with slight increases in subsequent years. In future annual reports, you will be asked to comment on how your actual performance compares to the objective your State set for the year, as well as any quality improvement activities that have helped or could help your State meet future objectives.

#### Other Comments on Measure:

Please use this section to provide any other comments on the measure, such as data limitations or plans to report on a measure in the future.

# Objectives Related to Reducing the Number of Uninsured Children (Do not report data that was reported in Section IIB, Questions 2 and 3)

FFY 2005	FFY 2006	FFY 2007
Goal #1 (Describe)	Goal #1 (Describe)	Goal #1 (Describe)
(=	(=	Percent of Medicaid/SCHIP children with medical coverage
		for the entire year whose family income is below 200% FPL
		will be 95% or greater.
Type of Goal:	Type of Goal:	Type of Goal:
New/revised. Explain:	New/revised. Explain:	New/revised. Explain:
Continuing.	Continuing.	Continuing.
Discontinued. Explain:	Discontinued. Explain:	Discontinued. Explain:
		The 2006 CPS and 2004 OFHS data support that Ohio has
		achieved a 95% penetration of the uninsured population.
		Ohio is in the process of submitting a State Plan Amendment
		to expand the Medicaid/SCHIP program.
Status of Data Reported:	Status of Data Reported:	Status of Data Reported:
Provisional.	Provisional.	Provisional.
Final.	Final.	Final.
Same data as reported in a previous year's annual report.	Same data as reported in a previous year's annual report.	Same data as reported in a previous year's annual report.
Specify year of annual report in which data previously	Specify year of annual report in which data previously	Specify year of annual report in which data previously
reported:	reported:	reported:
Data Source:	Data Source:	Data Source:
☐ Eligibility/Enrollment data	☐ Eligibility/Enrollment data	☐ Eligibility/Enrollment data
Survey data. Specify:	Survey data. <i>Specify</i> :	Survey data. Specify:
Other. Specify:	Other. Specify:	Other. Specify:
		CPS
Definition of Population Included in the Measure:	Definition of Population Included in the Measure:	Definition of Population Included in the Measure:
		D. C. M. C. L. L. M. L. C. C. C. L.
Definition of denominator:	Definition of denominator:	Definition of denominator: Number of Children
Definition of numerator:	Definition of numerator:	Definition of numerator: Number of Insured Children
Definition of numerator:	Definition of numerator:	Definition of numerator. Number of insured Children
Year of Data:	Year of Data:	Year of Data: 2006
Performance Measurement Data:	Performance Measurement Data:	Performance Measurement Data:
Described what is being measured:	Described what is being measured:	Described what is being measured:
Bosoniou what is coming measured.	Bosonious what is coming intensation	Bosonioed what is coming inclusion
Numerator:	Numerator:	Numerator: 131000
Denominator:	Denominator:	Denominator: 291100
Rate:	Rate:	Rate: 45
Rate:		
Additional notes on measure:	Additional notes on measure:	Additional notes on measure: 2004–2006 blended CPS rate
Additional notes on measure.		
		Numerator 131000
		Denominator 2911000
		Please Note: The above numbers will only except thousands.

FFY 2005	FFY 2006	FFY 2007
	Explanation of Progress:	Explanation of Progress:
	How did your performance in 2006 compare with the Annual Performance Objective documented in your 2005 Annual Report?	How did your performance in 2007 compare with the Annual Performance Objective documented in your 2006 Annual Report?
	Are there any quality improvement activities that contribute to your progress?	Are there any quality improvement activities that contribute to your progress?
	Annual Performance Objective for FFY 2007:	<b>Annual Performance Objective for FFY 2008: 5.0</b>
	Annual Performance Objective for FFY 2008:	<b>Annual Performance Objective for FFY 2009: 5.0</b>
	Annual Performance Objective for FFY 2009:	<b>Annual Performance Objective for FFY 2010:</b> 5.0
	Explain how these objectives were set:	Explain how these objectives were set: Ohio will maintain a 95% coverage for children.
Other Comments on Measure:	Other Comments on Measure:	Other Comments on Measure:

# Objectives Related to Reducing the Number of Uninsured Children (Do not report data that was reported in Section IIB, Questions 2 and 3) (Continued)

FFY 2005	FFY 2006	FFY 2007
Goal #2 (Describe)	Goal #2 (Describe)	Goal #2 (Describe)
The State of Ohio is in transition with a new Governor and	The State of Ohio is in transition with a new Governor and	
these goals have yet to be developed.	these goals have yet to be developed.	
Type of Goal:	Type of Goal:	Type of Goal:
New/revised. Explain:	New/revised. Explain:	☐ New/revised. <i>Explain</i> :
Continuing.	Continuing.	Continuing.
Discontinued. Explain:	Discontinued. Explain:	Discontinued. Explain:
Status of Data Reported:	Status of Data Reported:	Status of Data Reported:
Provisional.	Provisional.	Provisional.
Final.	Final.	Final.
☐ Same data as reported in a previous year's annual report.	☐ Same data as reported in a previous year's annual report.	☐ Same data as reported in a previous year's annual report.
Specify year of annual report in which data previously	Specify year of annual report in which data previously	Specify year of annual report in which data previously
reported:	reported:	reported:
Data Source:	Data Source:	Data Source:
Eligibility/Enrollment data	Eligibility/Enrollment data	Eligibility/Enrollment data
Survey data. Specify:	Survey data. Specify:	Survey data. Specify:
Other. Specify:	Other. Specify:	Other. Specify:
<b>Definition of Population Included in the Measure:</b>	Definition of Population Included in the Measure:	Definition of Population Included in the Measure:
Definition of denominator:	Definition of denominator:	Definition of denominator:
Definition of numerator:	Definition of numerator:	Definition of numerator:
Year of Data:	Year of Data:	Year of Data:
Performance Measurement Data:	Performance Measurement Data:	Performance Measurement Data:
Described what is being measured:	Described what is being measured:	Described what is being measured:
Numerator:	Numerator:	Numerator:
Denominator:	Denominator:	Denominator:
Rate:	Rate:	Rate:
Additional notes on measure:	Additional notes on measure:	Additional notes on measure:
	Explanation of Progress:	Explanation of Progress:
	How did your performance in 2006 compare with the Annual Performance Objective documented in your 2005 Annual Report?	How did your performance in 2007 compare with the Annual Performance Objective documented in your 2006 Annual Report?
	Are there any quality improvement activities that contribute to your progress?	Are there any quality improvement activities that contribute to your progress?

FFY 2005	FFY 2006	FFY 2007
	Annual Performance Objective for FFY 2007:	Annual Performance Objective for FFY 2008:
	Annual Performance Objective for FFY 2008:	Annual Performance Objective for FFY 2009:
	Annual Performance Objective for FFY 2009:	Annual Performance Objective for FFY 2010:
	Explain how these objectives were set:	Explain how these objectives were set:
Other Comments on Measure:	Other Comments on Measure:	Other Comments on Measure:

# Objectives Related to Reducing the Number of Uninsured Children (Do not report data that was reported in Section IIB, Questions 2 and 3) (Continued)

FFY 2005	FFY 2006	FFY 2007
Goal #3 (Describe)	Goal #3 (Describe)	Goal #3 (Describe)
The State of Ohio is in transition with a new Governor and	The State of Ohio is in transition with a new Governor and	
these goals have yet to be developed.	these goals have yet to be developed.	
Type of Goal:	Type of Goal:	Type of Goal:
New/revised. Explain:	New/revised. <i>Explain</i> :	New/revised. <i>Explain</i> :
Continuing.	Continuing.	Continuing.
Discontinued. <i>Explain</i> :	Discontinued. Explain:	Discontinued. <i>Explain</i> :
Status of Data Reported:	Status of Data Reported:	Status of Data Reported:
Provisional.	Provisional.	Provisional.
☐ Final.	Final.	Final.
☐ Same data as reported in a previous year's annual report.	☐ Same data as reported in a previous year's annual report.	☐ Same data as reported in a previous year's annual report.
Specify year of annual report in which data previously	Specify year of annual report in which data previously	Specify year of annual report in which data previously
reported:	reported:	reported:
Data Source:	Data Source:	Data Source:
Eligibility/Enrollment data	Eligibility/Enrollment data	Eligibility/Enrollment data
Survey data. <i>Specify</i> :	Survey data. Specify:	Survey data. <i>Specify</i> :
Other. Specify:	Other. <i>Specify</i> :	Other. <i>Specify</i> :
Definition of Population Included in the Measure:	Definition of Population Included in the Measure:	<b>Definition of Population Included in the Measure:</b>
Definition of denominator:	Definition of denominator:	Definition of denominator:
Definition of numerator:	Definition of numerator:	Definition of numerator:
Definition of numerator:	Definition of numerator:	Definition of numerator:
Year of Data:	Year of Data:	Year of Data:
Performance Measurement Data:	Performance Measurement Data:	Performance Measurement Data:
Described what is being measured:	Described what is being measured:	Described what is being measured:
Described what is being measured.	Described what is being measured.	Described what is being measured.
Numerator:	Numerator:	Numerator:
Denominator:	Denominator:	Denominator:
Rate:	Rate:	Rate:
Additional notes on measure:	Additional notes on measure:	Additional notes on measure:
	Explanation of Progress:	Explanation of Progress:
	How did your performance in 2006 compare with the	How did your performance in 2007 compare with the
	Annual Performance Objective documented in your	Annual Performance Objective documented in your
	2005 Annual Report?	2006 Annual Report?
	Are there any quality improvement activities that	Are there any quality improvement activities that
	contribute to your progress?	contribute to your progress?
	contribute to your progress:	contribute to your progress:
1		

FFY 2005	FFY 2006	FFY 2007
	Annual Performance Objective for FFY 2007: Annual Performance Objective for FFY 2008: Annual Performance Objective for FFY 2009:	Annual Performance Objective for FFY 2008: Annual Performance Objective for FFY 2009: Annual Performance Objective for FFY 2010:
	Explain how these objectives were set:	Explain how these objectives were set:
Other Comments on Measure:	Other Comments on Measure:	Other Comments on Measure:

### **Objectives Related to SCHIP Enrollment**

FFY 2005	FFY 2006	FFY 2007
Goal #1 (Describe)	Goal #1 (Describe)	Goal #1 (Describe)
The State of Ohio is in transition with a new Governor and	(	Ohio has a Medicaid expansion program and these goals are
these goals have yet to be developed.		identified under Medicaid enrollment.
Type of Goal:	Type of Goal:	Type of Goal:
New/revised. Explain:	New/revised. Explain:	New/revised. Explain:
Continuing.	Continuing.	Continuing.
Discontinued. Explain:	Discontinued. Explain:	Discontinued. Explain:
_ '	_ '	_ '
Status of Data Reported:	Status of Data Reported:	Status of Data Reported:
Provisional.	Provisional.	Provisional.
Final.	Final.	Final.
☐ Same data as reported in a previous year's annual report.	☐ Same data as reported in a previous year's annual report.	☐ Same data as reported in a previous year's annual report.
Specify year of annual report in which data previously	Specify year of annual report in which data previously	Specify year of annual report in which data previously
reported:	reported:	reported:
Data Source:	Data Source:	Data Source:
Eligibility/Enrollment data.	Eligibility/Enrollment data.	Eligibility/Enrollment data.
Survey data. <i>Specify</i> :	Survey data. Specify:	Survey data. <i>Specify</i> :
Other. Specify:	Other. Specify:	Other. Specify:
Definition of Population Included in the Measure:	Definition of Population Included in the Measure:	Definition of Population Included in the Measure:
Definition of denominator:	Definition of denominator:	Definition of denominator:
Definition of denominator.	Definition of denominator.	Definition of denominator.
Definition of numerator:	Definition of numerator:	Definition of numerator:
Definition of numerator.	Definition of numerator.	Definition of numerator.
Year of Data:	Year of Data:	Year of Data:
Performance Measurement Data:	Performance Measurement Data:	Performance Measurement Data:
Described what is being measured:	Described what is being measured:	Described what is being measured:
	, and the second	
Numerator:	Numerator:	Numerator:
Denominator:	Denominator:	Denominator:
Rate:	Rate:	Rate:
Additional notes on measure:	Additional notes on measure:	Additional notes on measure:
	Explanation of Progress:	Explanation of Progress:
	How did your performance in 2006 compare with the	How did your porformance in 2006 company
	Annual Performance Objective documented in your	How did your performance in 2006 compare with the Annual Performance Objective documented in your
	2005 Annual Report?	2005 Annual Report?
	2003 Amiliai Report:	2005 Amiuai Keport:
	Are there any quality improvement activities that	Are there any quality improvement activities that
	contribute to your progress?	contribute to your progress?
	Table to Jour Program.	Programme
t		

FFY 2005	FFY 2006	FFY 2007
	Annual Performance Objective for FFY 2007: Annual Performance Objective for FFY 2008: Annual Performance Objective for FFY 2009:	Annual Performance Objective for FFY 2008: Annual Performance Objective for FFY 2009: Annual Performance Objective for FFY 2010:
	Explain how these objectives were set:	Explain how these objectives were set:
Other Comments on Measure:	Other Comments on Measure:	Other Comments on Measure:

### **Objectives Related to SCHIP Enrollment (Continued)**

FFY 2005	FFY 2006	FFY 2007
Goal #2 (Describe)	Goal #2 (Describe)	Goal #2 (Describe)
The State of Ohio is in transition with a new Governor and	The State of Ohio is in transition with a new Governor and	
these goals have yet to be developed.	these goals have yet to be developed.	
Type of Goal:	Type of Goal:	Type of Goal:
New/revised. Explain:	New/revised. Explain:	New/revised. Explain:
Continuing.	Continuing.	Continuing.
Discontinued. Explain:	Discontinued. Explain:	Discontinued. <i>Explain</i> :
Status of Data Reported:	Status of Data Reported:	Status of Data Reported:
Provisional.	☐ Provisional.	Provisional.
Final.	Final.	Final.
Same data as reported in a previous year's annual report.	Same data as reported in a previous year's annual report.	Same data as reported in a previous year's annual report.
Specify year of annual report in which data previously	Specify year of annual report in which data previously	Specify year of annual report in which data previously
reported:	reported:	reported:
Data Source:	Data Source:	Data Source:
Eligibility/Enrollment data.	Eligibility/Enrollment data.	Eligibility/Enrollment data.
Survey data. <i>Specify</i> :	Survey data. Specify:	Survey data. <i>Specify</i> :
Other. Specify:	Other. <i>Specify</i> :	Other. Specify:
Definition of Population Included in the Measure:	Definition of Population Included in the Measure:	Definition of Population Included in the Measure:
Definition of denominator:	Definition of denominator:	Definition of denominator:
Definition of denominator:	Definition of denominator:	Definition of denominator:
Definition of numerator:	Definition of numerator:	Definition of numerator:
Definition of humerator.	Definition of numerator.	Definition of numerator.
Year of Data:	Year of Data:	Year of Data:
Performance Measurement Data:	Performance Measurement Data:	Performance Measurement Data:
Described what is being measured:	Described what is being measured:	Described what is being measured:
	e e e e e e e e e e e e e e e e e e e	
Numerator:	Numerator:	Numerator:
Denominator:	Denominator:	Denominator:
Rate:	Rate:	Rate:
Additional notes on measure:	Additional notes on measure:	Additional notes on measure:
	Explanation of Progress:	Explanation of Progress:
	TI 121	TI 121
	How did your performance in 2006 compare with the	How did your performance in 2006 compare with the
	Annual Performance Objective documented in your	Annual Performance Objective documented in your
	2005 Annual Report?	2005 Annual Report?
	Are there any quality improvement activities that	Are there any quality improvement activities that
	contribute to your progress?	contribute to your progress?
	continue to jour progress:	continue to jour progress.

FFY 2005	FFY 2006	FFY 2007
	Annual Performance Objective for FFY 2007: Annual Performance Objective for FFY 2008: Annual Performance Objective for FFY 2009:	Annual Performance Objective for FFY 2008: Annual Performance Objective for FFY 2009: Annual Performance Objective for FFY 2010:
	Explain how these objectives were set:	Explain how these objectives were set:
Other Comments on Measure:	Other Comments on Measure:	Other Comments on Measure:

## **Objectives Related to SCHIP Enrollment (Continued)**

FFY 2005	FFY 2006	FFY 2007
Goal #3 (Describe)	Goal #3 (Describe)	Goal #3 (Describe)
The State of Ohio is in transition with a new Governor and	The State of Ohio is in transition with a new Governor and	
these goals have yet to be developed.	these goals have yet to be developed.	
Type of Goal:	Type of Goal:	Type of Goal:
New/revised. Explain:	New/revised. Explain:	New/revised. Explain:
Continuing.	Continuing.	Continuing.
Discontinued. Explain:	Discontinued. Explain:	☐ Discontinued. <i>Explain</i> :
Status of Data Reported:	Status of Data Reported:	Status of Data Reported:
Provisional.	Provisional.	Provisional.
Final.	Final.	Final.
☐ Same data as reported in a previous year's annual report.	☐ Same data as reported in a previous year's annual report.	☐ Same data as reported in a previous year's annual report.
Specify year of annual report in which data previously	Specify year of annual report in which data previously	Specify year of annual report in which data previously
reported:	reported:	reported:
Data Source:	Data Source:	Data Source:
Eligibility/Enrollment data.	Eligibility/Enrollment data.	Eligibility/Enrollment data.
☐ Survey data. Specify: ☐ Other. Specify:	☐ Survey data. <i>Specify</i> : ☐ Other. <i>Specify</i> :	☐ Survey data. <i>Specify</i> : ☐ Other. <i>Specify</i> :
Other. spectyy.	☐ Other. <i>Spectyy</i> .	Unier. Spectyy.
<b>Definition of Population Included in the Measure:</b>	Definition of Population Included in the Measure:	Definition of Population Included in the Measure:
Definition of denominator:	Definition of denominator:	Definition of denominator:
Definition of numerator:	Definition of numerator:	Definition of numerator:
W CD-4	V CD-4.	V 6 D - 4
Year of Data:  Performance Measurement Data:	Year of Data:  Performance Measurement Data:	Year of Data:  Performance Measurement Data:
Described what is being measured:	Described what is being measured:	Described what is being measured:
Described what is being measured.	Described what is being measured.	Described what is being measured.
Numerator:	Numerator:	Numerator:
Denominator:	Denominator:	Denominator:
Rate:	Rate:	Rate:
AIRC	A 1722 - 1 - 2	A 1192 - 1 - 2
Additional notes on measure:	Additional notes on measure:	Additional notes on measure:
	Explanation of Progress:	Explanation of Progress:
	How did your performance in 2006 compare with the Annual Performance Objective documented in your 2005 Annual Report?	How did your performance in 2006 compare with the Annual Performance Objective documented in your 2005 Annual Report?
	Are there any quality improvement activities that contribute to your progress?	Are there any quality improvement activities that contribute to your progress?

FFY 2005	FFY 2006	FFY 2007
	Annual Performance Objective for FFY 2007: Annual Performance Objective for FFY 2008: Annual Performance Objective for FFY 2009:	Annual Performance Objective for FFY 2008: Annual Performance Objective for FFY 2009: Annual Performance Objective for FFY 2010:
	Explain how these objectives were set:	Explain how these objectives were set:
Other Comments on Measure:	Other Comments on Measure:	Other Comments on Measure:

### **Objectives Related to Medicaid Enrollment**

FFY 2005	FFY 2006	FFY 2007
Goal #1 (Describe)	Goal #1 (Describe)	Goal #1 (Describe)
	,	Percent of Medicaid/SCHIP children with medical coverage
		for the entire year whose family income is below 200% FPL
		will be 95% or greater.
Type of Goal:	Type of Goal:	Type of Goal:
New/revised. <i>Explain</i> :	New/revised. <i>Explain</i> :	New/revised. <i>Explain</i> :
Continuing.	Continuing.	Continuing.
☐ Discontinued. <i>Explain</i> :	☐ Discontinued. <i>Explain</i> :	☐ Discontinued. <i>Explain</i> :
		The 2006 CPS and 2004 OFHS data support that Ohio has
		achieved a 95% penetration of the uninsured population.
		Ohio is in the process of submitting a State Plan Amendment
		to expand the Medicaid/SCHIP program.
Status of Data Reported:	Status of Data Reported:	Status of Data Reported:
Provisional.	Provisional.	Provisional.
Final.	Final.	Final.
Same data as reported in a previous year's annual report.	Same data as reported in a previous year's annual report.	Same data as reported in a previous year's annual report.
Specify year of annual report in which data previously	Specify year of annual report in which data previously	Specify year of annual report in which data previously
reported:	reported:	reported:
Data Source:	Data Source:	Data Source:
Eligibility/Enrollment data.	☐ Eligibility/Enrollment data.	☐ Eligibility/Enrollment data. ☐ Survey data. <i>Specify</i> :
☐ Survey data. <i>Specify</i> : ☐ Other. <i>Specify</i> :	☐ Survey data. <i>Specify</i> : ☐ Other. <i>Specify</i> :	Other. Specify:
☐ Other. specify.	☐ Other. Specify.	CPS
Definition of Population Included in the Measure:	Definition of Population Included in the Measure:	Definition of Population Included in the Measure:
Definition of I opulation included in the freeduce.	Definition of Topulation included in the vicusure.	Definition of I opulation included in the Measure.
Definition of denominator:	Definition of denominator:	Definition of denominator: Number of Children
Definition of numerator:	Definition of numerator:	Definition of numerator: Number of Insured Children
Year of Data:	Year of Data:	Year of Data: 2006
Performance Measurement Data:	Performance Measurement Data:	Performance Measurement Data:
Described what is being measured:	Described what is being measured:	Described what is being measured:
Numerator:	Numerator:	Numerator: 131000
Denominator:	Denominator:	Denominator: 2911000
Rate:	Rate:	Rate: 4.5
	4.130	4.11111 1
Additional notes on measure:	Additional notes on measure:	Additional notes on measure: 2004–2006 blended CPS rate

FFY 2005	FFY 2006	FFY 2007
	Explanation of Progress:	Explanation of Progress:
	How did your performance in 2006 compare with the Annual Performance Objective documented in your 2005 Annual Report?  Are there any quality improvement activities that contribute to your progress?	How did your performance in 2006 compare with the Annual Performance Objective documented in your 2005 Annual Report?  Are there any quality improvement activities that contribute to your progress?
	Annual Performance Objective for FFY 2007:	Annual Performance Objective for FFY 2008:
	Annual Performance Objective for FFY 2008:	Annual Performance Objective for FFY 2009:
	Annual Performance Objective for FFY 2009:	Annual Performance Objective for FFY 2010:
	Explain how these objectives were set:	Explain how these objectives were set:
Other Comments on Measure:	Other Comments on Measure:	Other Comments on Measure:

## **Objectives Related to Medicaid Enrollment (Continued)**

FFY 2005	FFY 2006	FFY 2007
Goal #2 (Describe)	Goal #2 (Describe)	Goal #2 (Describe)
The State of Ohio is in transition with a new Governor and	The State of Ohio is in transition with a new Governor and	
these goals have yet to be developed.	these goals have yet to be developed.	
Type of Goal:	Type of Goal:	Type of Goal:
New/revised. Explain:	New/revised. Explain:	New/revised. Explain:
Continuing.	Continuing.	Continuing.
Discontinued. Explain:	Discontinued. Explain:	Discontinued. Explain:
·	<u> </u>	
Status of Data Reported:	Status of Data Reported:	Status of Data Reported:
☐ Provisional.	Provisional.	Provisional.
☐ Final.	Final.	☐ Final.
☐ Same data as reported in a previous year's annual report.	Same data as reported in a previous year's annual report.	Same data as reported in a previous year's annual report.
Specify year of annual report in which data previously	Specify year of annual report in which data previously	Specify year of annual report in which data previously
reported:	reported:	reported:
Data Source:	Data Source:	Data Source:
Eligibility/Enrollment data.	Eligibility/Enrollment data.	Eligibility/Enrollment data.
Survey data. Specify:	Survey data. Specify:	Survey data. Specify:
Other. Specify:	Other. Specify:	Other. Specify:
<b>Definition of Population Included in the Measure:</b>	Definition of Population Included in the Measure:	Definition of Population Included in the Measure:
Definition of denominator:	Definition of denominator:	Definition of denominator:
Definition of numerator:	Definition of numerator:	Definition of numerator:
Year of Data:	Year of Data:	Year of Data:
Performance Measurement Data:	Performance Measurement Data:	Performance Measurement Data:
Described what is being measured:	Described what is being measured:	Described what is being measured:
Described what is coming measured.	2 control what is come moustred.	2 continue in comg measured
Numerator:	Numerator:	Numerator:
Denominator:	Denominator:	Denominator:
Rate:	Rate:	Rate:
Additional notes on measure:	Additional notes on measure:	Additional notes on measure:
	Explanation of Progress:	Explanation of Progress:
	How did your performance in 2006 compare with the	How did your performance in 2006 compare with the
	Annual Performance Objective documented in your	Annual Performance Objective documented in your
	2005 Annual Report?	2005 Annual Report?
	Are there any quality improvement activities that	Are there any quality improvement activities that
	contribute to your progress?	contribute to your progress?
	contribute to your progress.	contribute to your progress.

FFY 2005	FFY 2006	FFY 2007
	Annual Performance Objective for FFY 2007:	Annual Performance Objective for FFY 2008:
	Annual Performance Objective for FFY 2008:	Annual Performance Objective for FFY 2009:
	Annual Performance Objective for FFY 2009:	Annual Performance Objective for FFY 2010:
	Explain how these objectives were set:	Explain how these objectives were set:
	Explain non mese objectives were set.	Explain non mese objectives were set.
Other Comments on Measure:	Other Comments on Measure:	Other Comments on Measure:

**Objectives Related to Medicaid Enrollment (Continued)** 

FFY 2005	FFY 2006	FFY 2007
Goal #3 (Describe)	Goal #3 (Describe)	Goal #3 (Describe)
The State of Ohio is in transition with a new Governor and	The State of Ohio is in transition with a new Governor and	3 0 42 110 (2 3 3 2 1 1 1 0 )
these goals have yet to be developed.	these goals have yet to be developed.	
Type of Goal:	Type of Goal:	Type of Goal:
New/revised. Explain:	New/revised. Explain:	New/revised. Explain:
Continuing.	Continuing.	Continuing.
Discontinued. <i>Explain</i> :	Discontinued. Explain:	Discontinued. Explain:
Status of Data Reported:	Status of Data Reported:	Status of Data Reported:
Provisional.	Provisional.	Provisional.
Final.	Final.	Final.
☐ Same data as reported in a previous year's annual report.	☐ Same data as reported in a previous year's annual report.	☐ Same data as reported in a previous year's annual report.
Specify year of annual report in which data previously	Specify year of annual report in which data previously	Specify year of annual report in which data previously
reported:	reported:	reported:
Data Source:	Data Source:	Data Source:
Eligibility/Enrollment data.	Eligibility/Enrollment data.	Eligibility/Enrollment data.
Survey data. Specify:	Survey data. Specify:	Survey data. Specify:
Other. Specify:	Other. Specify:	Other. Specify:
Definition of Population Included in the Measure:	Definition of Population Included in the Measure:	Definition of Population Included in the Measure:
Definition of Formation included in the Weasure:	Definition of Fopulation included in the Measure:	Definition of Formation included in the Measure.
Definition of denominator:	Definition of denominator:	Definition of denominator:
	2 Children of General World	
Definition of numerator:	Definition of numerator:	Definition of numerator:
Year of Data:	Year of Data:	Year of Data:
Performance Measurement Data:	Performance Measurement Data:	Performance Measurement Data:
Described what is being measured:	Described what is being measured:	Described what is being measured:
Numerator:	Numerator:	Numerator:
Denominator:	Denominator:	Denominator:
Rate:	Rate:	Rate:
Additional notes on measure:	Additional notes on measure:	Additional notes on measure:
	Explanation of Progress:	Explanation of Progress:
		•
	How did your performance in 2006 compare with the	How did your performance in 2006 compare with the
	Annual Performance Objective documented in your	Annual Performance Objective documented in your
	2005 Annual Report?	2005 Annual Report?
	Are there any quality improvement activities that	Are there any quality improvement activities that
	contribute to your progress?	contribute to your progress?

FFY 2005	FFY 2006	FFY 2007
	Annual Performance Objective for FFY 2007:	Annual Performance Objective for FFY 2008:
	Annual Performance Objective for FFY 2008:	Annual Performance Objective for FFY 2009:
	Annual Performance Objective for FFY 2009:  Explain how these objectives were set:	Annual Performance Objective for FFY 2010:  Explain how these objectives were set:
Other Comments on Measure:	Other Comments on Measure:	Other Comments on Measure:

Objectives Increasing Access to Care (Usual Source of Care, Unmet Need)

FFY 2005

FFY 2005	FFY 2006	FFY 2007
Goal #1 (Describe)	Goal #1 (Describe)	Goal #1 (Describe)
To increase the percent of children (Age $4-21$ ) on Medicaid	To increase the percent of children (Age $4 - 21$ ) on Medicaid	To increase the percent of children (Age 4–21) on
/ SCHIP with an Annual Dental Visit to 60%.	/ SCHIP with an Annual Dental Visit to 60%.	Medicaid/SCHIP with an Annual Dental Visit to 60%.
Type of Goal:	Type of Goal:	Type of Goal:
New/revised. <i>Explain</i> :	New/revised. Explain:	New/revised. <i>Explain</i> :
Continuing.	Continuing.	⊠ Continuing.
☐ Discontinued. <i>Explain</i> :	☐ Discontinued. <i>Explain</i> :	☐ Discontinued. <i>Explain</i> :
	Past reports had included an increase in dental utilization	
	measures for the entire child population (both	
	Medicaid/SCHIP and other), but based results on the Ohio	
	Family Health Survey. These surveys do indicate better rates	
	than the HEDIS results, but are not done often enough to	
	easily track change.	
Status of Data Reported:	Status of Data Reported:	Status of Data Reported:
Provisional.	Provisional.	Provisional.
⊠ Final.	Final.	☐ Final.
Same data as reported in a previous year's annual report.	Same data as reported in a previous year's annual report.	Same data as reported in a previous year's annual report.
Specify year of annual report in which data previously	Specify year of annual report in which data previously	Specify year of annual report in which data previously
reported:	reported:	reported:
Measurement Specification:  ☐ HEDIS. Specify version of HEDIS used:	Measurement Specification:  ☐ HEDIS. Specify version of HEDIS used:	Measurement Specification:  ☐ HEDIS. Specify version of HEDIS used:
☐ HEDIS. Specify version of HEDIS used:	☐ HEDIS. Specify version of HEDIS used:	HEDIS. Specify version of HEDIS used:  HEDIS-like. Specify version of HEDIS used:
Explain how HEDIS was modified:	Explain how HEDIS was modified:	Explain how HEDIS was modified:
Other. Explain:	Other. Explain:	□ Other. Explain:
Other. Explain.	Ошег. <i>Ехриин</i> .	Version of HEDIS used: 2007
Data Source:	Data Source:	Data Source:
Administrative (claims data).	Administrative (claims data).	Administrative (claims data).
Hybrid (claims and medical record data).	Hybrid (claims and medical record data).	Hybrid (claims and medical record data).
Survey data. Specify:	Survey data. Specify:	Survey data. Specify:
Other. Specify:	Other. Specify:	Other. Specify:
_ carrier aparty).		
Definition of Population Included in the Measure:	Definition of Population Included in the Measure:	<b>Definition of Population Included in the Measure:</b>
Definition of denominator:	Definition of denominator:	Definition of denominator:
☐ Denominator includes SCHIP population only.	☐ Denominator includes SCHIP population only.	☐ Denominator includes SCHIP population only.
☐ Denominator includes SCHIP and Medicaid (Title XIX).	☐ Denominator includes SCHIP and Medicaid (Title XIX).	☐ Denominator includes SCHIP and Medicaid (Title XIX).
Definition of numerator: Use of CY04 + claims within	Definition of numerator: Use of CY05 + claims within	Definition of numerator: Use of CY06+ claims within HEDIS
HEDIS 2005. Excludes Dual Eligibles and Non-Medicaid	HEDIS 2006. Excludes Dual Eligibles and Non-Medicaid	2005. Excludes Dual Eligibles and Non-Medicaid groups.
groups.	groups.	
Year of Data: 2004	Year of Data: 2005	Year of Data: 2006
<b>HEDIS Performance Measurement Data:</b>	<b>HEDIS Performance Measurement Data:</b>	<b>HEDIS Performance Measurement Data:</b>
(If reporting with HEDIS/HEDIS-like methodology)	(If reporting with HEDIS/HEDIS-like methodology)	(If reporting with HEDIS/HEDIS-like methodology)
Numerator: 297474	Numerator: 315359	Numerator: 328185
Denominator: 621154	Denominator: 643194	Denominator: 656161
Rate: 47.9	Rate: 49	Rate: 50.02

FFY 2005	FFY 2006	FFY 2007
Additional notes on measure:	Additional notes on measure:	Additional notes on measure: Newest versions of HEDIS include ages 2 to 3. Present goal still only focuses on 4 to 21.
Other Performance Measurement Data:	Other Performance Measurement Data:	Other Performance Measurement Data:
(If reporting with another methodology)	(If reporting with another methodology)	(If reporting with another methodology)
Numerator:	Numerator:	Numerator:
Denominator:	Denominator:	Denominator:
Rate:	Rate:	Rate:
Additional notes on measure:	Additional notes on measure:	Additional notes on measure:
	Explanation of Progress:	Explanation of Progress:
	How did your performance in 2006 compare with the Annual Performance Objective documented in your 2005 Annual Report?	How did your performance in 2006 compare with the Annual Performance Objective documented in your 2005 Annual Report? Ohio achieved a 50.02% rate on this measure; our goal was 47.6%. However, the methodology which established the original performance objective was reviewed and determined to have inappropriately applied expectations on various populations. A new methodology was established to weight performance objectives across populations to develop a blended statewide performance objective.
	Are there any quality improvement activities that contribute to your progress?	Are there any quality improvement activities that contribute to your progress? In addition to the ODJFS Managed Care Quality Strategy, the managed care plans implement targeted quality improvement initiatives to increase the number of children who access dental services on an annual basis.
	Annual Performance Objective for FFY 2007: 47.6%	Annual Performance Objective for FFY 2008: 50.37%
	Annual Performance Objective for FFY 2008: 48.8%	Annual Performance Objective for FFY 2009: 50.68%
	Annual Performance Objective for FFY 2009:	Annual Performance Objective for FFY 2010: 50.96%
	Explain how these objectives were set: Managed Care Plans have expectations for performance in their contracts. As noted below, these populations were expanded. Objectives for FFY 2009 have not been extablished. Discussions are required to establish these goals to be placed into (future) contracts. Although objectives may at times appear to be less than prior results, objectives were extablished in contracts based upon different populations. Adjustments will be made in the future.	Explain how these objectives were set: Performance objectives were developed upon expected performance within various service populations, and blended together for a statewide objective. Managed Care Plans have performance expectations in their contracts. For this measure, it is a 10% decrease in the difference between present performance and a targeted 60%. Those individuals who received services only within FFS were assumed to increase based upon historical results. Other populations were held constant.

FFY 2005	FFY 2006	FFY 2007
Other Comments on Measure:	Other Comments on Measure: Objectives for FFY 2007 are	Other Comments on Measure: During CY2006, Ohio
	objectives for CY 2006 results. Objectives for FFY 2008 are	implemented statewide managed care, affecting most
	for CY 2007 results. During SFY 2007, Ohio Medicaid	children. As these children are moved into managed care
	underwent changes by expanding Medicaid/SCHIP	plans, performance objectives will change based upon the
	populations into managed care plans (statewide). The only	proportion of children entering into managed care and also
	child populations not in managed care plans will be children	having different performance objectives.
	who are in the Aged, Blind and Disabled categories.	
	Managed Care Plans have performance objectives. As the	
	expansion occurs, alterations will be made to adjust	
	performance expectations.	

### Objectives Related to Increasing Access to Care (Usual Source of Care, Unmet Need) (Continued)

FFY 2005	FFY 2006	FFY 2007
Goal #2 (Describe)	Goal #2 (Describe)	Goal #2 (Describe)
Type of Goal:  New/revised. Explain: Continuing. Discontinued. Explain:	Type of Goal:  ☐ New/revised. Explain: ☐ Continuing. ☐ Discontinued. Explain:	Type of Goal:  New/revised. Explain: Continuing. Discontinued. Explain:
Status of Data Reported:  Provisional.  Final.  Same data as reported in a previous year's annual report.  Specify year of annual report in which data previously reported:	Status of Data Reported:  Provisional.  Final.  Same data as reported in a previous year's annual report.  Specify year of annual report in which data previously reported:	Status of Data Reported:  Provisional.  Final.  Same data as reported in a previous year's annual report.  Specify year of annual report in which data previously reported:
Measurement Specification:  ☐HEDIS. Specify version of HEDIS used: ☐HEDIS-like. Specify version of HEDIS used: Explain how HEDIS was modified: ☐Other. Explain:	Measurement Specification:  ☐HEDIS. Specify version of HEDIS used: ☐HEDIS-like. Specify version of HEDIS used: Explain how HEDIS was modified: ☐Other. Explain:	Measurement Specification:  ☐HEDIS. Specify version of HEDIS used: ☐HEDIS-like. Specify version of HEDIS used: Explain how HEDIS was modified: ☐Other. Explain:
Data Source:  ☐ Administrative (claims data). ☐ Hybrid (claims and medical record data). ☐ Survey data. Specify: ☐ Other. Specify:	Data Source:  ☐ Administrative (claims data). ☐ Hybrid (claims and medical record data). ☐ Survey data. Specify: ☐ Other. Specify:	Data Source: Administrative (claims data). Hybrid (claims and medical record data). Survey data. Specify: Other. Specify:
Definition of Population Included in the Measure:  Definition of denominator:  ☐ Denominator includes SCHIP population only.  ☐ Denominator includes SCHIP and Medicaid (Title XIX).  Definition of numerator:	Definition of Population Included in the Measure:  □ Definition of denominator: □ Denominator includes SCHIP population only. □ Denominator includes SCHIP and Medicaid (Title XIX).  □ Definition of numerator:	Definition of Population Included in the Measure:  □ Definition of denominator: □ Denominator includes SCHIP population only. □ Denominator includes SCHIP and Medicaid (Title XIX).  Definition of numerator:
Year of Data:	Year of Data:	Year of Data:
HEDIS Performance Measurement Data: (If reporting with HEDIS/HEDIS-like methodology)	HEDIS Performance Measurement Data: (If reporting with HEDIS/HEDIS-like methodology)	HEDIS Performance Measurement Data: (If reporting with HEDIS/HEDIS-like methodology)
Numerator:	Numerator:	Numerator:
Denominator: Rate:	Denominator: Rate:	Denominator: Rate:
Additional notes on measure:	Additional notes on measure:	Additional notes on measure:

FFY 2005	FFY 2006	FFY 2007
Other Performance Measurement Data:	Other Performance Measurement Data:	Other Performance Measurement Data:
(If reporting with another methodology)	(If reporting with another methodology)	(If reporting with another methodology)
Numerator:	Numerator:	Numerator:
Denominator:	Denominator:	Denominator:
Rate:	Rate:	Rate:
Additional notes on measure:	Additional notes on measure:	Additional notes on measure:
	Explanation of Progress:	Explanation of Progress:
	How did your performance in 2006 compare with the Annual Performance Objective documented in your 2005 Annual Report?	How did your performance in 2006 compare with the Annual Performance Objective documented in your 2005 Annual Report?
	Are there any quality improvement activities that contribute to your progress?	Are there any quality improvement activities that contribute to your progress?
	Annual Performance Objective for FFY 2007: Annual Performance Objective for FFY 2008:	Annual Performance Objective for FFY 2008: Annual Performance Objective for FFY 2009:
	Annual Performance Objective for FFY 2009:	Annual Performance Objective for FFY 2010:
	Explain how these objectives were set:	Explain how these objectives were set:
Other Comments on Measure:	Other Comments on Measure:	Other Comments on Measure:

### Objectives Related to Increasing Access to Care (Usual Source of Care, Unmet Need) (Continued)

FFY 2005	FFY 2006	FFY 2007
Goal #3 (Describe)	Goal #3 (Describe)	Goal #3 (Describe)
Type of Goal:  New/revised. Explain: Continuing. Discontinued. Explain:	Type of Goal:  ☐ New/revised. Explain: ☐ Continuing. ☐ Discontinued. Explain:	Type of Goal:  ☐ New/revised. Explain: ☐ Continuing. ☐ Discontinued. Explain:
Status of Data Reported:  Provisional.  Final.  Same data as reported in a previous year's annual report.  Specify year of annual report in which data previously reported:	Status of Data Reported:  Provisional.  Final.  Same data as reported in a previous year's annual report.  Specify year of annual report in which data previously reported:	Status of Data Reported:  Provisional.  Final.  Same data as reported in a previous year's annual report.  Specify year of annual report in which data previously reported:
Measurement Specification:  ☐HEDIS. Specify version of HEDIS used: ☐HEDIS-like. Specify version of HEDIS used: Explain how HEDIS was modified: ☐Other. Explain:	Measurement Specification:  ☐HEDIS. Specify version of HEDIS used: ☐HEDIS-like. Specify version of HEDIS used: Explain how HEDIS was modified: ☐Other. Explain:	Measurement Specification:  ☐HEDIS. Specify version of HEDIS used: ☐HEDIS-like. Specify version of HEDIS used: Explain how HEDIS was modified: ☐Other. Explain:
Data Source:  ☐ Administrative (claims data). ☐ Hybrid (claims and medical record data). ☐ Survey data. Specify: ☐ Other. Specify:	Data Source:  ☐ Administrative (claims data). ☐ Hybrid (claims and medical record data). ☐ Survey data. Specify: ☐ Other. Specify:	Data Source: Administrative (claims data). Hybrid (claims and medical record data). Survey data. Specify: Other. Specify:
Definition of Population Included in the Measure:  □ Definition of denominator: □ Denominator includes SCHIP population only. □ Denominator includes SCHIP and Medicaid (Title XIX).  Definition of numerator:	Definition of Population Included in the Measure:  Definition of denominator:  ☐ Denominator includes SCHIP population only.  ☐ Denominator includes SCHIP and Medicaid (Title XIX).  Definition of numerator:	Definition of Population Included in the Measure:  □ Definition of denominator: □ Denominator includes SCHIP population only. □ Denominator includes SCHIP and Medicaid (Title XIX).  Definition of numerator:
Year of Data:	Year of Data:	Year of Data:
HEDIS Performance Measurement Data: (If reporting with HEDIS/HEDIS-like methodology)	HEDIS Performance Measurement Data: (If reporting with HEDIS/HEDIS-like methodology)	HEDIS Performance Measurement Data: (If reporting with HEDIS/HEDIS-like methodology)
Numerator:	Numerator:	Numerator:
Denominator: Rate:	Denominator: Rate:	Denominator: Rate:
Additional notes on measure:	Additional notes on measure:	Additional notes on measure:

FFY 2005	FFY 2006	FFY 2007
Other Performance Measurement Data:	Other Performance Measurement Data:	Other Performance Measurement Data:
	(If reporting with another methodology)	(If reporting with another methodology)
Numerator:	Numerator:	Numerator:
Denominator:	Denominator:	Denominator:
Rate:	Rate:	Rate:
Additional notes on measure:	Additional notes on measure:	Additional notes on measure:
	Explanation of Progress:	Explanation of Progress:
	How did your performance in 2006 compare with the Annual Performance Objective documented in your 2005 Annual Report?	How did your performance in 2006 compare with the Annual Performance Objective documented in your 2005 Annual Report?
	Are there any quality improvement activities that contribute to your progress?	Are there any quality improvement activities that contribute to your progress?
	Annual Performance Objective for FFY 2007: Annual Performance Objective for FFY 2008:	Annual Performance Objective for FFY 2008: Annual Performance Objective for FFY 2009:
	Annual Performance Objective for FFY 2009:	Annual Performance Objective for FFY 2010:
	Explain how these objectives were set:	Explain how these objectives were set:
Other Comments on Measure:	Other Comments on Measure:	Other Comments on Measure:

## Objectives Related to Use of Preventative Care (Immunizations, Well Child Care)

FFY 2005	FFY 2006	FFY 2007
Goal #1 (Describe)	Goal #1 (Describe)	Goal #1 (Describe)
To increase the percent of children (Ages 1) on	To increase the percent of children (Ages 1) on Medicaid /	To increase the percent of children (Ages 1) on
Medicaid/SCHIP with an Lead Test to 60%.	SCHIP with an Lead Test to 60%.	Medicaid/SCHIP with an Lead Test to 60%.
Type of Goal:	Type of Goal:	Type of Goal:
☐ New/revised. <i>Explain</i> :	⊠ New/revised. <i>Explain</i> :	☐ New/revised. <i>Explain</i> :
Continuing.	Continuing.	☑ Continuing.
☐ Discontinued. <i>Explain</i> :	☐ Discontinued. <i>Explain</i> :	☐ Discontinued. <i>Explain</i> :
	Blood Lead Testing has been incorporated as a performance	
	measure by the ODJFS Performance Center.	
Status of Data Reported:	Status of Data Reported:	Status of Data Reported:
Provisional.	Provisional.	Provisional.
Final.	☐ Final.	⊠ Final.
Same data as reported in a previous year's annual report.	Same data as reported in a previous year's annual report.	Same data as reported in a previous year's annual report.
Specify year of annual report in which data previously	Specify year of annual report in which data previously	Specify year of annual report in which data previously
reported:	reported:	reported:
Measurement Specification:	Measurement Specification:	Measurement Specification:
HEDIS. Specify version of HEDIS used:	HEDIS. Specify version of HEDIS used:	HEDIS. Specify version of HEDIS used:
HEDIS-like. Specify version of HEDIS used:	HEDIS-like. Specify version of HEDIS used:	HEDIS-like. Specify version of HEDIS used:
Explain how HEDIS was modified:  ⊠Other. Explain:	Explain how HEDIS was modified:  ☑Other. Explain:	Explain how HEDIS was modified:  ☑Other. Explain:
There is no Lead Testing measure in HEDIS, but similar	There is no Lead Testing measure in HEDIS, but similar	There is not a lead testing measure in HEDIS (presently), but
criteria (continuous eligibility) is used in this measure.	criteria (continuous eligibility) is used in this measure.	similar criteria (continuous eligibility) is used in this
eriteria (continuous engiointy) is used in this ineasure.	criteria (continuous engionity) is used in this measure.	measure.
Data Source:	Data Source:	Data Source:
Administrative (claims data).	Administrative (claims data).	Administrative (claims data).
Hybrid (claims and medical record data).	Hybrid (claims and medical record data).	Hybrid (claims and medical record data).
Survey data. Specify:	Survey data. Specify:	Survey data. Specify:
Other. Specify:	Other. Specify:	Other. Specify:
Definition of Population Included in the Measure:	Definition of Population Included in the Measure:	Definition of Population Included in the Measure:
Definition of denominator:	Definition of denominator:	Definition of denominator:
Denominator includes SCHIP population only.	Denominator includes SCHIP population only.	Denominator includes SCHIP population only.
Denominator includes SCHIP and Medicaid (Title XIX).	☐ Denominator includes SCHIP and Medicaid (Title XIX).	☐ Denominator includes SCHIP and Medicaid (Title XIX).
Definition of numerator: Medicaid/SCHIP recipients who	Definition of numerator: Medicaid / SCHIP recipients who	Definition of numerator: Medicaid/SCHIP recipients who
received a Blood Lead Lab Test according to Medicaid	received a Blood Lead Lab Test according to Medicaid	received a Blood Lead Lab Test according to Medicaid
claims or Stellar (lead data base from Ohio Dept. of Health).	claims or Stellar (lead data base from Ohio Dept. of Health).	claims or Stellar (lead data base from Ohio Dept. of Health).
Year of Data: 2004	Year of Data: 2005	Year of Data: 2006
HEDIS Performance Measurement Data:	HEDIS Performance Measurement Data:	HEDIS Performance Measurement Data:
(If reporting with HEDIS/HEDIS-like methodology)	(If reporting with HEDIS/HEDIS-like methodology)	(If reporting with HEDIS/HEDIS-like methodology)
Numerator: 37628	Numerator: 43593	Numerator: 46575
Denominator: 76105	Denominator: 80575	Denominator: 79092
Rate: 49.4	Rate: 54.1	Rate: 59.89
Nate. 77.7	Kato. 57.1	Nate. 37.07

FFY 2005	FFY 2006	FFY 2007
Additional notes on measure: Measure involves multiple	Additional notes on measure: Measure involves multiple	Additional notes on measure:
sources. Data anomalies appear to have occurred which	sources. Data anomalies appear to have occurred which	
affected this result. Improvement is indicated at younger	affected this result. Improvement is indicated at younger	
ages. See Goal #2.	ages. See Goal #2.	
Other Performance Measurement Data:	Other Performance Measurement Data:	Other Performance Measurement Data:
(If reporting with another methodology)	(If reporting with another methodology)	(If reporting with another methodology)
Numerator:	Numerator:	Numerator:
Denominator:	Denominator:	Denominator:
Rate:	Rate:	Rate:
Additional notes on measure:	Additional notes on measure:	Additional notes on measure:
	Explanation of Progress:	Explanation of Progress:
	How did your performance in 2006 compare with the	How did your performance in 2006 compare with the
	Annual Performance Objective documented in your	Annual Performance Objective documented in your
	2005 Annual Report?	2005 Annual Report? Ohio has shown significant
	2002 Hilliam Reports	improvement in this measure (over 10% higher than the
		prior goal of 49.7%).
	Are there any quality improvement activities that	Are there any quality improvement activities that
	contribute to your progress?	contribute to your progress? In addition to the ODJFS
	, ,	Managed Care Quality Strategy, the managed care plans
		implement targeted quality improvement initiatives to
		increase the number of children who receive blood lead
		screenings.
	Annual Performance Objective for FFY 2007:	Annual Performance Objective for FFY 2008: Annual Performance Objective for FFY 2009:
	Annual Performance Objective for FFY 2008:	Ammuni Crio munec Objective for 11 1 2009.
	52.7%	
	Annual Performance Objective for FFY 2009:	Annual Performance Objective for FFY 2010:
	Explain how these objectives were set: Managed Care	Explain how these objectives were set:
	Plans have expectations for performance in their contracts.	
	As noted below, these populations were expanded.	
	Objectives for FFY 2009 have not been extablished.	
	Discussions are required to establish these goals to be placed	
	into (future) contracts. Although objectives may at times	
	appear to be less than prior results, with objectives were	
	extablished in contract based upon different populations.	
	Adjustments will be made in the future.	

FFY 2005	FFY 2006	FFY 2007
Other Comments on Measure:	<b>Other Comments on Measure:</b> Objectives for FFY 2007 are	Other Comments on Measure: Ohio has not selected a
	objectives for CY 2006 results. Objectives for FFY 2008 are	performance object for this measure for two reasons: 1)
	for CY 2007 results. During SFY 2007, Ohio Medicaid	HEDIS has proposed a Lead Testing measure. This measure
	underwent changes by expanding Medicaid/SCHIP	is different than the measures presently used. Ohio will
	populations into managed care plans (statewide). The only	review the possible measurement methods during the current
	child populations not in managed care plans will be children	year. 2) Ohio has used different measurement methods and
	who are in the Aged, Blind and Disabled categories.	data source regarding this measure for different populations.
	Managed Care Plans have performance objectives. As the	Ohio will unify this process, improving comparability and
	expansion occurs, alterations will be made to adjust	establish contracting performance objectives for the future.
	performance expectations.	

### Objectives Related to Use of Preventative Care (Immunizations, Well Child Care) (Continued)

FFY 2005	FFY 2006	FFY 2007
Goal #2 (Describe)	Goal #2 (Describe)	Goal #2 (Describe)
To increase the percent of children (Ages 2) on Medicaid /	To increase the percent of children (Ages 2) on Medicaid /	To increase the percent of children (Ages 2) on Medicaid /
SCHIP with an Lead Test to 60%.	SCHIP with an Lead Test to 60%.	SCHIP with an Lead Test to 60%.
Type of Goal:	Type of Goal:	Type of Goal:
New/revised. <i>Explain</i> :	New/revised. <i>Explain</i> :	New/revised. Explain:
Continuing.	Continuing.	Continuing.
Discontinued. Explain:	Discontinued. Explain:	Discontinued. Explain:
	Blood Lead Testing has been incorporated as a performance	-
	measure by the ODJFS Performance Center.	
Status of Data Reported:	Status of Data Reported:	Status of Data Reported:
Provisional.	Provisional.	Provisional.
☐ Final.	☐ Final.	⊠ Final.
☐ Same data as reported in a previous year's annual report.	☐ Same data as reported in a previous year's annual report.	☐ Same data as reported in a previous year's annual report.
Specify year of annual report in which data previously	Specify year of annual report in which data previously	Specify year of annual report in which data previously
reported:	reported:	reported:
Measurement Specification:	Measurement Specification:	Measurement Specification:
☐HEDIS. Specify version of HEDIS used:	☐HEDIS. Specify version of HEDIS used:	☐HEDIS. Specify version of HEDIS used:
☐HEDIS-like. Specify version of HEDIS used:	☐HEDIS-like. Specify version of HEDIS used:	☐HEDIS-like. Specify version of HEDIS used:
Explain how HEDIS was modified:	Explain how HEDIS was modified:	Explain how HEDIS was modified:
☑Other. <i>Explain</i> :	$\square$ Other. <i>Explain</i> :	$\square$ Other. <i>Explain</i> :
There is no Lead Testing measure in HEDIS, but similar	There is no Lead Testing measure in HEDIS, but similar	There is not a lead testing measure (presently) in HEDIS, but
criteria (continuous eligibility) is used in this measure.	criteria (continuous eligibility) is used in this measure.	similar criteria (continuous eligibility) is used in this
		measure.
Data Source:	Data Source:	Data Source:
Administrative (claims data).	Administrative (claims data).	Administrative (claims data).
Hybrid (claims and medical record data).	Hybrid (claims and medical record data).	Hybrid (claims and medical record data).
Survey data. Specify:	Survey data. Specify:	Survey data. <i>Specify</i> :
Other. Specify:	Other. Specify:	Other. Specify:
<b>Definition of Population Included in the Measure:</b>	Definition of Population Included in the Measure:	<b>Definition of Population Included in the Measure:</b>
Definition of denominator:	Definition of denominator:	Definition of denominator:
Denominator includes SCHIP population only.	Denominator includes SCHIP population only.	Denominator includes SCHIP population only.
☐ Denominator includes SCHIP and Medicaid (Title XIX).	Denominator includes SCHIP and Medicaid (Title XIX).	☐ Denominator includes SCHIP and Medicaid (Title XIX).
Definition of numerator: Medicaid / SCHIP recipients who	Definition of numerator: Medicaid / SCHIP recipients who	Definition of numerator: Medicaid/SCHIP recipients who
received a Blood Lead Lab Test according to Medicaid	received a Blood Lead Lab Test according to Medicaid	received a Blood Lead Lab Test according to Medicaid
claims or Stellar (lead data base from Ohio Dept. of Health).	claims or Stellar (lead data base from Ohio Dept. of Health).	claims or Stellar (lead data base from Ohio Dept. of Health).
Year of Data: 2004	Year of Data: 2005	Year of Data: 2006
HEDIS Performance Measurement Data:	HEDIS Performance Measurement Data:	HEDIS Performance Measurement Data:
(If reporting with HEDIS/HEDIS-like methodology)	(If reporting with HEDIS/HEDIS-like methodology)	(If reporting with HEDIS/HEDIS-like methodology)
N 21610	N	N. 0.5700
Numerator: 21618	Numerator: 24961	Numerator: 26580
Denominator: 80565	Denominator: 80083	Denominator: 80563
Rate: 26.8	Rate: 31.2	Rate: 32.99

FFY 2005	FFY 2006	FFY 2007
Additional notes on measure: Measure involves multiple	Additional notes on measure: Measure involves multiple	Additional notes on measure: Measure involves multiple
sources. Data anomalies appear to have occurred which	sources. Data anomalies appear to have occurred which	sources. Data anomalies appear to have occurred which
affected this result. Improvement is indicated at younger	affected this result. Improvement is indicated at younger	affected this result. Improvement is indicated at younger
ages. See Goal #2.	ages. See Goal #2.	ages.
Other Performance Measurement Data:	Other Performance Measurement Data:	Other Performance Measurement Data:
(If reporting with another methodology)	(If reporting with another methodology)	(If reporting with another methodology)
Numerator:	Numerator:	Numerator:
Denominator:	Denominator:	Denominator:
Rate:	Rate:	Rate:
Additional notes on measure:	Additional notes on measure:	Additional notes on measure:
	Explanation of Progress:	Explanation of Progress:
	How did your performance in 2006 compare with the Annual Performance Objective documented in your 2005 Annual Report?	How did your performance in 2006 compare with the Annual Performance Objective documented in your 2005 Annual Report? Ohio surpassed its SFY2007 goal of 32.0%.
	Are there any quality improvement activities that contribute to your progress?	Are there any quality improvement activities that contribute to your progress? In addition to the ODJFS Managed Care Quality Strategy, the managed care plans implement targeted quality improvement initiatives to increase the number of children who receive blood lead screenings on an annual basis.
	Annual Performance Objective for FFY 2007: 32.0% Annual Performance Objective for FFY 2008:	Annual Performance Objective for FFY 2008: Annual Performance Objective for FFY 2009:
	36.8%	
	Annual Performance Objective for FFY 2009:	Annual Performance Objective for FFY 2010:
	Explain how these objectives were set: Managed Care Plans have expectations for performance in their contracts. As noted below, these populations were expanded. Objectives for FFY 2009 have not been extablished. Discussions are required to establish these goals to be placed into (future) contracts. Although objectives may at times appear to be less than prior results, with objectives were extablished in contract based upon different populations. Adjustments will be made in the future.	Explain how these objectives were set:

FFY 2005	FFY 2006	FFY 2007
Other Comments on Measure:	Other Comments on Measure: Objectives for FFY 2007 are	Other Comments on Measure: Ohio has not selected a
	objectives for CY 2006 results. Objectives for FFY 2008 are	performance object for this measure for two reasons: 1)
	for CY 2007 results. During SFY 2007, Ohio Medicaid	HEDIS has proposed a Lead Testing measure. This measure
	underwent changes by expanding Medicaid/SCHIP	is different than the measures presently used. Ohio will
	populations into managed care plans (statewide). The only	review the possible measurement methods during the current
	child populations not in managed care plans will be children	year. 2) Ohio has used different measurement methods and
	who are in the Aged, Blind and Disabled categories.	data source regarding this measure for different populations.
	Managed Care Plans have performance objectives. As the	Ohio will unify this process, improving comparability and
	expansion occurs, alterations will be made to adjust	establish contracting performance objectives for the future.
	performance expectations.	

### Objectives Related to Use of Preventative Care (Immunizations, Well Child Care) (Continued)

FFY 2005	FFY 2006	FFY 2007		
Goal #3 (Describe)	Goal #3 (Describe)	Goal #3 (Describe)		
Type of Goal:  New/revised. Explain: Continuing. Discontinued. Explain:	Type of Goal:  ☐ New/revised. Explain: ☐ Continuing. ☐ Discontinued. Explain:	Type of Goal:  ☐ New/revised. Explain: ☐ Continuing. ☐ Discontinued. Explain:		
Status of Data Reported:  Provisional.  Final.  Same data as reported in a previous year's annual report.  Specify year of annual report in which data previously reported:	Status of Data Reported:  Provisional.  Final.  Same data as reported in a previous year's annual report.  Specify year of annual report in which data previously reported:	Status of Data Reported:  Provisional.  Final.  Same data as reported in a previous year's annual report.  Specify year of annual report in which data previously reported:		
Measurement Specification:  ☐HEDIS. Specify version of HEDIS used: ☐HEDIS-like. Specify version of HEDIS used: Explain how HEDIS was modified: ☐Other. Explain:	Measurement Specification:  ☐HEDIS. Specify version of HEDIS used: ☐HEDIS-like. Specify version of HEDIS used: Explain how HEDIS was modified: ☐Other. Explain:	Measurement Specification:  ☐HEDIS. Specify version of HEDIS used: ☐HEDIS-like. Specify version of HEDIS used: Explain how HEDIS was modified: ☐Other. Explain:		
Data Source:  ☐ Administrative (claims data). ☐ Hybrid (claims and medical record data). ☐ Survey data. Specify: ☐ Other. Specify:	Data Source:  ☐ Administrative (claims data). ☐ Hybrid (claims and medical record data). ☐ Survey data. Specify: ☐ Other. Specify:	Data Source:  ☐ Administrative (claims data). ☐ Hybrid (claims and medical record data). ☐ Survey data. Specify: ☐ Other. Specify:		
Definition of Population Included in the Measure:  Definition of denominator:  ☐ Denominator includes SCHIP population only.  ☐ Denominator includes SCHIP and Medicaid (Title XIX).  Definition of numerator:	Definition of Population Included in the Measure:  □ Definition of denominator: □ Denominator includes SCHIP population only. □ Denominator includes SCHIP and Medicaid (Title XIX).  □ Definition of numerator:	Definition of Population Included in the Measure:  □ Definition of denominator: □ Denominator includes SCHIP population only. □ Denominator includes SCHIP and Medicaid (Title XIX).  □ Definition of numerator:		
Year of Data:	Year of Data:	Year of Data:		
HEDIS Performance Measurement Data: (If reporting with HEDIS/HEDIS-like methodology)	HEDIS Performance Measurement Data: (If reporting with HEDIS/HEDIS-like methodology)	HEDIS Performance Measurement Data: (If reporting with HEDIS/HEDIS-like methodology)		
Numerator:	Numerator:	Numerator:		
Denominator: Rate:	Denominator: Rate:	Denominator: Rate:		
Additional notes on measure:	Additional notes on measure:	Additional notes on measure:		

FFY 2005	FFY 2006	FFY 2007
Other Performance Measurement Data:	Other Performance Measurement Data:	Other Performance Measurement Data:
(If reporting with another methodology)	(If reporting with another methodology)	(If reporting with another methodology)
Numerator:	Numerator:	Numerator:
Denominator:	Denominator:	Denominator:
Rate:	Rate:	Rate:
Additional notes on measure:	Additional notes on measure:	Additional notes on measure:
	Explanation of Progress:	Explanation of Progress:
	How did your performance in 2006 compare with the Annual Performance Objective documented in your 2005 Annual Report?	How did your performance in 2006 compare with the Annual Performance Objective documented in your 2005 Annual Report?
	Are there any quality improvement activities that contribute to your progress?	Are there any quality improvement activities that contribute to your progress?
	Annual Performance Objective for FFY 2007: Annual Performance Objective for FFY 2008:	Annual Performance Objective for FFY 2008: Annual Performance Objective for FFY 2009:
	Annual Performance Objective for FFY 2009:	Annual Performance Objective for FFY 2010:
	Explain how these objectives were set:	Explain how these objectives were set:
Other Comments on Measure:	Other Comments on Measure:	Other Comments on Measure:

1. What other strategies does your State use to measure and report on access to, quality, or outcomes of care received by your SCHIP population? What have you found?

ODJFS employs the following strategies to measure and report on access to quality or outcomes of care received by the SCHIP population:

#### Quality Strategy for Managed Care Plans

ODJFS has a robust Quality Strategy to ensure Medicaid managed care consumers have access to high quality health care services provided by contracting managed care plans (MCPs). The plans' performance is evaluated in the areas of Access, Quality, Consumer Satisfaction and Administrative Capacity, through a system of internal monitoring procedures and independent reviews completed by an external quality review organization. Incentives and disincentives are used in conjunction with the performance measures to achieve program compliance and continuous quality improvement.

Since 2003, there has been continuous improvement in the areas of well child visits, annual dental visits, lead testing and ensuring children are accessing primary care services.

Identifying Children Receiving a Blood Lead Test.

ODJFS and the Ohio Department of Health (ODH) work together to identify targeted areas of need throughout the State to improve consumer knowledge around blood lead testing and screening. ODJFS has found is that by reminding and educating families and their children on the importance of lead testing, the blood lead testing rates in the State have improved. Providers are keenly aware of the requirements, and for children whether Medicaid or not the message and criteria are consistent throughout the State.

2. What strategies does your SCHIP program have for future measurement and reporting on access to, quality, or outcomes of care received by your SCHIP population? When will data be available?

ODJFS is committed to maintain its current accountability strategy for the contracting managed care plans and will revise accordingly to reflect evolving program priorities and fiscal realities.

#### Children's Health and Wellness Initiative

ODJFS has been selected by the National Academy for State Health Policy (NASHP) to participate in the Assuring Better Child Development (ABCD) Screening Academy to improve the statewide use of structured developmental screening and assessment for care for Medicaid children ages birth through 6. The Screening Academy is a 15-month initiative, beginning in May 2007 jointly sponsored by NASHP and The Commonwealth Foundation that will provide technical assistance to 18 states, Puerto Rico, and the District of Columbia. This initiative provides the opportunity to assist states in improving the delivery of early child development services for low-income children and their families, by linking agencies currently working with young children and pilot test changes in various practice settings in Ohio.

#### Healthchek

ODJFS established the Medicaid Children's Health and Wellness Outreach and Communication Strategy.

The vision is to have a seamless (coordinated), family centered and cost effective continuum of quality care for children through interagency and local partnerships to improve health outcomes and life success.

The Strategy: "Achieve at least the federal screening and participation ratios requirement (80% each; ensure accurate data and reporting)."

### Objectives:

- 1.Increase county department of job and family services (CDJFS) adherence to Healthchek requirements & responsibilities.
- 2.Improve parents involvement in ensuring their children receive AAP required care and medically necessary care.
- 3. Improve provider involvement and sufficiency, accuracy of billings.
- 4.Increase MCPs EPSDT education and outreach efforts to consumers.
- 5. Improve sister agency coordination and reduce duplication of programs or services.
- 6.Enhance local community partnerships, including with schools; draw from existing best practices.
- 7.Increase use of IT and e-health technology.

ODJFS continues to work with ODH in regards to immunization and blood lead testing, and agree on the reporting requirements and further analyze pockets of need through our mutual databases that will be interfaced from our mutual systems.

3. Have you conducted any focused quality studies on your SCHIP population, e.g., adolescents, attention deficit disorder, substance abuse, special heath care needs or other emerging health care needs? What have you found?

The ODJFS has conducted the following focused studies on the Medicaid/SCHIP population:

For Medicaid managed care, the external quality review organization completed a Healthchek (i.e., Ohio's EPSDT program) focused study during SFY 2007 to determine the extent to which Healthchek services and procedures are performed for MCP members 0-20 years of age. Results indicated there is a need for improvement of provider documentation for certain health assessments (e.g., vision and hearing), and not necessarily the provision of the services.

Focus groups have been conducted in the past for consumer understanding regarding blood lead testing. There are plans to conduct a consumer focus group on understanding of developmental screening and developmental milestones. As well, ODJFS works with a statewide interagency collaboration regarding Fetal Alcohol spectrum disorder. This group has also done focus group studies, and includes parents on the committee. Individual communities continue to do individualized consumer focus groups concentrating on specific health indicators and needs identified in those communities. Specific to children's preventive health there has been much movement to coordinate and communicate the various aspects of understanding and provision of children's preventive health.

4. Please attach any additional studies, analyses or other documents addressing outreach, enrollment, access, quality, utilization, costs, satisfaction, or other aspects of your SCHIP program's performance. Please list attachments here and summarize findings or list main findings.

Attached is a Lead testing specific document that provides a correlation between process improvement activities and the relationship of increased testing rates. For more blood lead testing data analysis, go to:

htt://jfs.ohio.gov/ohp/bhpp/lpplpt/providerlead.stm.

Attachment #1

Enter any Narrative text below [7500].

## SECTION III: ASSESSMENT OF STATE PLAN AND PROGRAM OPERATION

Please reference and summarize attachments that are relevant to specific questions

#### **O**UTREACH

How have you redirected/changed your outreach strategies during the reporting period? [7500]

Outreach is redirected to focus primarily on retaining consumers to ensure there is no break in coverage since the majority of Medicaid eligible children in Ohio are enrolled in the Medicaid/SCHIP program. As identified in this report, (Ohio's objectives related to reduce the number of uninsured children) Ohio has a high enrollment rate and retention strategies are in place to aid in maintaining the 95% enrollment rate. ODJFS has strategic partnerships throughout the state of Ohio designed to galvanize the education and retention efforts.

The U.S. citizenship documentation requirements in the Deficit Reduction Act of 2005 shifted all ODJFS Medicaid program staff resources during FFY 2006. The Ohio Administrative Code rules for citizenship became effective September 25, 2006, and the first 3 quarters of 2006 were spent preparing materials (i.e., policy, implementation, desk aids, etc.) and training tools to educate the community and consumers of the new citizenship documentation requirements.

A DRA toolkit was developed and made public to all 88 CDJFS and community stakeholders. The toolkit included a fact sheet on the DRA's impact on eligibility, a fact sheet on the documentation requirements for U.S. citizenship, a draft press release on the new verification requirements, a poster to inform consumers of the new verification requirements, and the presentation that was conducted statewide at the local CDJFS' by ODJFS staff. This toolkit was sent out electronically and posted to the ODJFS website.

Attachment #2 - DRA Toolkit

Below are highlights of some collaborative community networks:

Stakeholder/Community Partnerships

There are ongoing workgroups facilitated by ODJFS that include community partners in an advisory capacity. The Covering Kids and Families Coalition, Medicaid Eligibility Policy Advisory Council, CRIS-E Notice Redesign Committee, and the Medical Care Advisory Council all play a role in assisting ODJFS shape and refine policy initiatives for the Medicaid population. In addition to advisory, this is the forum that stakeholders are encouraged to utilize to initiate conversation around Medicaid/SCHIP issues of concern.

#### Training

ODJFS continues to offer training and technical assistance to the CDJFS on new program policy changes and expansions. Targeted training is offered to community-based organizations that addresses the basic concepts necessary to understand Medicaid.

Community Partnerships

The Ohio Benefit Bank – is a web-enabled, counselor-assisted program that helps low income and moderate income Ohioans identify available benefits while filing taxes. Consumers have the opportunity to gain access to credits and benefits such as the Earned Income Tax Credit, Medicaid, food stamps, childcare subsidies, and home energy assistance.

The Ohio Benefit bank in partnership with the Governor's office of Faith Based and Community Initiatives and the Ohio Association of Second Harvest Foodbanks, work with local partners such as community or faith-based organizations, food banks or food pantries, health care providers and housing programs in order to customize the outreach programs to meet community needs. This initiative operates more that 200 Benefit Bank sites in Ohio.

FreeStore - Hamilton County in Cincinnati Ohio signed a contract for nearly \$1 million with the FreestoreFoodbank to handle the county's efforts to enroll more people into Medicaid. The FreestoreFoodbank is a nonprofit emergency food and services provider and plans to hire staff to find Medicaid- eligible people. Hamilton county anticipates approximately 30,000 people are eligible for Medicaid but are not signed up. The program is scheduled to kickoff in December 2007or early January 2008.

What methods have you found most effective in reaching low-income, uninsured children (e.g., T.V., school outreach, word-of-mouth)? How have you measured effectiveness? **Would you consider this a best practice?** [7500]

Outreach activities and methods have been redirected to children insured by Medicaid, with a focus on retention efforts. To support the uninsured, our Consumer Hotline continues to provide application assistance and answers to general eligibility questions to help guide consumers in getting the care they need. In addition, local level efforts continue in the form of county staff assists consumers in navigating the program.

Is your state targeting outreach to specific populations (e.g., minorities, immigrants, and children living in rural areas)? Have these efforts been successful, and how have you measured effectiveness? [7500]

To target minority populations in rural areas, materials are translated into Spanish and are made available on the Internet and at the local CDJFS's. ODJFS also works in collaboration with the Covering Kids & Families Coalition, which has a pilot site in the Northeast region of the State. The pilot site is charged with targeting the uninsured Hispanic population and ensuring materials and applications are made available in Spanish to simplify application processing.

In addition, ODJFS facilitates the federal distribution of Healthy Start, Grow Smart Booklets to assist Medicaid mothers in caring for their newborns. Healthy Start, Grow Smart is a collection of health information booklets published by the federal government for certain targeted populations; pregnant women, newborns to 12 months, and children 15-months to 35-months of age. The booklets are printed in English, Spanish, Chinese, and Vietnamese. The federal government reimburses the state of Ohio 100% of the cost of storing and mailing the booklets.

o Ohio began mailing the newborn to 12 month-old series in FFY 2005. Currently, the parents of about 3,400 newborns on Medicaid receive booklets, monthly.

o Ohio began mailing the 15 to 35-month old series in FFY 2006. Currently, the parents of about 6,000 13 month-old children on Medicaid receive booklets, monthly.

o Ohio began mailing the prenatal booklet in FFY 2007. Currently, about 6,250 pregnant women on Medicaid receive the booklet monthly.

What percentage of children below 200 percent of the Federal poverty level (FPL) who are eligible for Medicaid or SCHIP have been enrolled in those programs? (Identify the data source used). [7500]

See response to question #1 at the beginning of the Outreach Section. Per the performance goals, Ohio relies on the CPS and OFHS as data sources supporting the Medicaid/SCHIP penetration rate.

### SUBSTITUTION OF COVERAGE (CROWD-OUT)

States with a separate child health program up to and including 200% of FPL must complete question 1.

Is your	state's	eligibility	level up	to and	l including	200 pe	ercent o	f the F	PL?
	Yes								

No N/A

If yes, if you have substitution prevention policies in place, please identify those strategies. [7500]

question 2. All other states with trigger mechanisms should also answer this question.
Is your state's eligibility level above 200 and up to and including 250 percent of the FPL?  ☐ Yes ☐ No ☑ N/A
If yes, please identify the trigger mechanisms or point at which your substitution prevention policy is instituted. <b>[7500]</b>
States with separate child health programs over 250% of FPL must complete question 3. All other states with substitution prevention provisions should also answer this question.
Does your state cover children above 250 percent of the FPL or does it employ substitution prevention provisions?
☐ Yes ☐ No ☑ N/A
If yes, identify your substitution prevention provisions (waiting periods, etc.). [7500]
All States must complete the following 3 questions
Describe how substitution of coverage is monitored and measured and how the State evaluates the effectiveness of its policies. [7500]
By passage of the Deficit Reduction Act of 2005, Ohio insurance carriers are required to share eligibility files with ODJFS. By combining these files in a database, adding security and web enabling the data, county caseworkers will be able to check insurance coverage for new Medicaid enrollees at the time of application. This would enable a caseworker to see if a child had insurance coverage during the past year. This process should be in place by September 2008.
At the time of application, what percent of applicants are found to have insurance? [7500]
At the time of application, 9.25% of our Medicaid population has other insurance coverage.
Describe the incidence of substitution. What percent of applicants drop group health plan coverage to enroll in SCHIP? [7500]
N/A
COORDINATION BETWEEN SCHIP AND MEDICAID

States with a separate child health program above 200 through 250% of FPL must complete

N/A

Do you have the same redetermination procedures to renew eligibility for Medicaid and SCHIP (e.g.,

(This subsection should be completed by States with a Separate Child Health Program)

the same verification and interview requirements)? Please explain. [7500]

	lease explain the process that occurs when a child's eligibility status changes from Medicaid to HIP and from SCHIP to Medicaid. Have you identified any challenges? If so, please explain. <b>[7500</b> ]
	N/A
	re the same delivery systems (including provider networks) used in Medicaid and SCHIP? Please plain. [7500]
	N/A
F	or states that do not use a joint application, please describe the screen and enroll process. <b>[7500]</b> . N/A
ELIG	IBILITY REDETERMINATION AND RETENTION
	/hat measures does your State employ to retain eligible children in SCHIP? Please check all that bly and provide descriptions as requested.
$\boxtimes$	Conducts follow-up with clients through caseworkers/outreach workers
$\boxtimes$	Sends renewal reminder notices to all families
	How many notices are sent to the family prior to disenrolling the child from the program?
	Families are sent one notice prior to case closure which includes hearing rights. The pretermination reviews are conducted prior to any closure. The process includes reviewing continuing eligibility for each individual in the assistance group. And this may result in an additional notice to the family.  At what intervals are reminder notices sent to families (e.g., how many weeks before the end of the current eligibility period is a follow-up letter sent if the renewal has not been received by
	the State?) [500] In the month prior to redetermination an application packet is sent to consumers. If this information is not complete a notice to propose termination is sent and subsequent pretermination review is completed.
	Sends targeted mailings to selected populations
	Please specify population(s) (e.g., lower income eligibility groups) [500]
	Holds information campaigns
	Provides a simplified reenrollment process,
	Please describe efforts (e.g., reducing the length of the application, creating combined Medicaid/SCHIP application) [500]
	Conducts surveys or focus groups with disenrollees to learn more about reasons for disenrollment please describe: [500]
$\boxtimes$	Other, please explain: [500]

January 1, 2008, ODJFS added the redetermination date for all managed care plan members in the HIPAA 834 transaction provided to contracting managed care plans. The provision of redetermination dates to MCPs supports the Medicaid/SCHIP program expansion goals, bolsters

efforts to decrease

Which of the above strategies appear to be the most effective? Have you evaluated the effectiveness of any strategies? If so, please describe the evaluation, including data sources and methodology. [7500]

ODJFS has not evaluated the effectiveness of the above strategies due to Ohio's current penetration rate of children enrolled in Medicaid/SCHIP.

What percentage of children in the program are retained in the program at redetermination? What percentage of children in the program are disenrolled at redetermination? [500]

ODJFS does not have access to this data.

Does your State generate monthly reports or conduct assessments that track the outcomes of
individuals who disenroll, or do not reenroll, in SCHIP (e.g., how many obtain other public or private
coverage, how many remain uninsured, how many age-out, how many move to a new geographic
area)

☐ Yes ☑ No ☐ N/A	
When was the monthly report or assessment last conducted?	[7500]
N/A	

If you responded yes to the question above, please provide a summary of the most recent findings (in the table below) from these reports and/or assessments. [7500].

Findings from Report/Assessment on Individuals Who Disenroll, or Do Not Reenroll in SCHIP

Total Number of Dis- enrollees	Obtain of or private coverage			Remain uninsured		Move to new geographic area		Other		
	Number	Percent	Number	Percent	Number	Percent	Number	Percent	Number	Percent

Please describe the data source (e.g., telephone or mail survey, focus groups) used to derive this information. Include the time period reflected in the data (e.g., calendar year, fiscal year, one month, etc.) [7500].

N/A

#### COST SHARING

Has your State undertaken any assessment of the effects of premiums/enrollment fees on participation in SCHIP? If so, what have you found? [7500]

N/A

Has your State undertaken any assessment of the effects of cost sharing on utilization of health services in SCHIP? If so, what have you found? [7500]

N/A

If your state has increased or decreased cost sharing in the past federal fiscal year, has the state undertaken any assessment of the impact of these changes on application, enrollment, disenrollment, and utilization of health services in SCHIP. If so, what have you found? [7500]

N/A

# EMPLOYER SPONSORED INSURANCE PROGRAM (INCLUDING PREMIUM ASSISTANCE PROGRAM(S)) UNDER THE SCHIP STATE PLAN OR A SECTION 1115 TITLE XXI **DEMONSTRATION**

	Does your State offer an employer sponsored insurance program (including a premium assistance ram) for children and/or adults using Title XXI funds?
	<ul><li>☐ Yes, please answer questions below.</li><li>☒ No, skip to Program Integrity subsection.</li></ul>
Chil	dren
[	Yes, Check all that apply and complete each question for each authority.
] ] ]	<ul> <li>□ Family Coverage Waiver under the State Plan</li> <li>□ SCHIP Section 1115 Demonstration</li> <li>□ Medicaid Section 1115 Demonstration</li> <li>□ Health Insurance Flexibility &amp; Accountability Demonstration</li> </ul>
Adu	ılts
[	Yes, Check all that apply and complete each question for each authority.
] ] ] [	<ul> <li>□ Family Coverage Waiver under the State Plan</li> <li>□ SCHIP Section 1115 Demonstration</li> <li>□ Health Insurance Flexibility &amp; Accountability Demonstration</li> <li>□ Premium Assistance under the Medicaid State Plan (Section 1906 HIPP)</li> </ul>
F	Please indicate which adults your State covers with premium assistance. (Check all that apply.)
] ] ]	<ul><li>□ Parents and Caretaker Relatives</li><li>□ Childless Adults</li><li>□ Pregnant Women</li></ul>
progi	Briefly describe how your program operates (e.g., is your program an employer sponsored insuranc ram or a premium assistance program, how do you coordinate assistance between the state and/or loyer, etc.) [7500]
V	What benefit package does the ESI program use? [7500]
Þ	Are there any minimum coverage requirements for the benefit package? [7500]

Are there any limits on cost sharing for children in your ESI program? Are there any limits on cost sharing for adults in your ESI program? [7500]
8. Identify the total number of children and adults enrolled in the ESI program for whom Title XXI funds are used during the reporting period (provide the number of adults enrolled in this program even if they were covered incidentally, i.e., not explicitly covered through a demonstration).
Number of childless adults ever-enrolled during the reporting period
Number of adults ever-enrolled during the reporting period
Number of children ever-enrolled during the reporting period
9. Identify the estimated amount of substitution, if any, that occurred or was prevented as a result of your employer sponsored insurance program (including premium assistance program). Discuss how was this measured? [7500]
10. During the reporting period, what has been the greatest challenge your ESI program has experienced? [7500]
11. During the reporting period, what accomplishments have been achieved in your ESI program? [7500]
12. What changes have you made or are planning to make in your ESI program during the next fiscal year? Please comment on why the changes are planned. <b>[7500]</b>
13. What do you estimate is the impact of your ESI program (including premium assistance) on enrollment and retention of children? How was this measured? [7500]
14. Identify the total state expenditures for providing coverage under your ESI program during the reporting period. (For states offering premium assistance under a family coverage waiver or for states offering employer sponsored insurance or premium assistance under a demonstration.) [7500]
15. Provide the average amount each entity pays towards coverage of the beneficiary under your ESI program:

State:	
Employer:	
Employee:	
16. If you offer a premium assistance prog [ <b>500</b> ]	ram, what, if any, is the minimum employer contribution?
	that you apply in determining whether an applicant can receive ium assistance payment must be less than or equal to the cost fledicaid)? [7500]
18. Is there a required period of uninsuran of uninsurance? <b>[500]</b>	ce before enrolling in your program? If yes, what is the period
19. Do you have a waiting list for your proo	gram? Can you cap enrollment for your program? [500]
(I.E. THOSE THAT ARE NOT MEDICAID EX	Y WITH REGARD TO SEPARATE SCHIP PROGRAMS  KPANSIONS)  at has safeguards and establishes methods and procedures
(1) prevention	
(2) investigation	
(3) referral of cases of fraud and al	ouse?
Please explain: [7500]	
N/A	
For the reporting period, please indicat regarding fraud and abuse in the following	e the number of cases investigated, and cases referred, areas:
Provider Credentialing	
Number of cases in	vestigated
Number of cases re	eferred to appropriate law enforcement officials

Provider Billing
Number of cases investigated
Number of cases referred to appropriate law enforcement officials
Beneficiary Eligibility
Number of cases investigated
Number of cases referred to appropriate law enforcement officials
Are these cases for:
SCHIP
Medicaid and SCHIP Combined
3. Does your state rely on contractors to perform the above functions?
Yes, please answer question below.
□ No
4. If your state relies on contractors to perform the above functions, how does your state provide oversight of those contractors? Please explain: <b>[7500]</b>
Enter any Narrative text below. [7500]

# **SECTION IV: PROGRAM FINANCING FOR STATE PLAN**

1. Please complete the following table to provide budget information. Describe in narrative any details of your planned use of funds below, including the assumptions on which this budget was based (per member/per month rate, estimated enrollment and source of non-Federal funds). (Note: This reporting period =Federal Fiscal Year 2007. If you have a combination program you need only submit one budget; programs do not need to be reported separately.)

#### **COST OF APPROVED SCHIP PLAN**

Benefit Costs	2007	2008	2009
Insurance payments			
Managed Care	1545200147	1709331500	1849656524
Fee for Service	953796128	881956415	943327051
Total Benefit Costs	2498996275	2591287915	2792983575
(Offsetting beneficiary cost sharing payments)			
Net Benefit Costs	\$ 2498996275	\$ 2591287915	\$ 2792983575

#### **Administration Costs**

Personnel			
General Administration	67472899	67472899	67472899
Contractors/Brokers (e.g., enrollment contractors)	0		
Claims Processing	0		
Outreach/Marketing costs	0		
Other (e.g., indirect costs)	0		
Health Services Initiatives	0		
Total Administration Costs	67472899	67472899	67472899
10% Administrative Cap (net benefit costs ÷ 9)	277666253	287920879	310331508

Federal Title XXI Share	1841698279	1928930971	2102435508
State Share	724770895	729829843	758020966

TOTAL COSTS OF APPROVED SCHIP PLAN	2566469174	2658760814	2860456474

2	What were the s	ources of non-	Federal funding	used for State m	atch during the	reporting	nerind?
_	vviiai weie ille s	OULCES OF HOUS	receiai iuniunik	1 0560 101 5146 111	aich duine ine	_ I <b>::</b>	

$\boxtimes$	State appropriations
$\boxtimes$	County/local funds
	Employer contributions
	Foundation grants
	Private donations
	Tobacco settlement
П	Other (specify) [500]

3. Did you experience a short fall in SCHIP funds this year? If so, what is your analysis for why there were not enough Federal SCHIP funds for your program? [1500]

Ohio did not experience a shortfall in SCHIP funds this year.

4. In the table below, enter 1) number of eligibles used to determine per member per month costs for the current year and estimates for the next two years; and, 2) per member per month cost rounded to a whole number. If you have SCHIP enrollees in a fee for service program, per member per month cost will be the average cost per month to provide services to these enrollees.

	20	07	20	08	2009		
	# of eligibles \$ PMPM		# of eligibles	\$ PMPM	# of eligibles \$ PMPM		
Managed Care	784541	\$ 164	851758	\$ 167	867999	\$ 178	
Fee for Service	231822	\$ 343	182945	\$ 402	185491	\$ 424	

Enter any Narrative text below. [7500]

These are average number of eligibles each month.

The fee for service numbers represent Ohio Department of Job and Family Services only.

# SECTION V: 1115 DEMONSTRATION WAIVERS (FINANCED BY SCHIP)

Please reference and summarize attachments that are relevant to specific questions.

If you do not have a Demonstration Waiver financed with SCHIP funds skip to Section VI. If you do, please complete the following table showing whom you provide coverage to.

	SCHIP Non-HIFA Demonstration Eligibility				Waiver Demonstra	ation Eligibility			
		* Upper % of FPL are defined as Up to and Including							
Children	From	% of FPL to	% of FPL *	From	% of FPL to	% of FPL *			
Parents	From	% of FPL to	% of FPL *	From	% of FPL to	% of FPL *			
Childless Adults	From	% of FPL to	% of FPL *	From	% of FPL to	% of FPL *			
Pregnant Women	From	% of FPL to	% of FPL *	From	% of FPL to	% of FPL *			

	tal number of children and adults ever enrolled (an unduplicated enrollment count) in your ration during the reporting period.
	Number of <b>children</b> ever enrolled during the reporting period in the demonstration
	Number of parents ever enrolled during the reporting period in the demonstration
	Number of <b>pregnant women</b> ever enrolled during the reporting period in the demonstration
	Number of childless adults ever enrolled during the reporting period in the demonstration
of children? You	rou found about the impact of covering adults on enrollment, retention, and access to care a are required to evaluate the effectiveness of your demonstration project, so report here made in this evaluation, specifically as it relates to enrollment, retention, and access to [1000]

N/A

Please provide budget information in the following table for the years in which the demonstration is approved. *Note: This reporting period (Federal Fiscal Year 2007 starts 10/1/06 and ends 9/30/07).* 

COST PROJECTIONS OF DEMONSTRATION (SECTION 1115 or HIFA)	2007	2008	2009	2010	2011
Benefit Costs for Demonstration Population #1 (e.g., children)					
Insurance Payments					
Managed care per member/per month rate @ # of eligibles					
Fee for Service  Average cost per enrollee in fee for service					
Total Benefit Costs for Waiver Population #1	0				

### **Benefit Costs for Demonstration Population #2**

(e.g., parents)			
Insurance Payments			
Managed care			
per member/per month rate for managed care			
Fee for Service			
Average cost per enrollee in fee for service			
Total Benefit Costs for Waiver Population #2	0		
Donafit Coata for Domanatustian Domalation #2			
Benefit Costs for Demonstration Population #3 (e.g., pregnant women)			
Insurance Payments			
Managed care			
per member/per month rate for managed care			
Fee for Service			
Average cost per enrollee in fee for service			
Total Benefit Costs for Waiver Population #3	0		
Total Beliefft Costs for Walver Population #5	U		
			_
Benefit Costs for Demonstration Population #4			
(e.g., childless adults)		 	 
Insurance Payments			
Managed care			
per member/per month rate for managed care			
Fee for Service			
Average cost per enrollee in fee for service			
Total Benefit Costs for Waiver Population #3	0		
Total Danafit Coata	0		
Total Benefit Costs	0		
(Offsetting Beneficiary Cost Sharing Payments)	0		
Net Benefit Costs (Total Benefit Costs - Offsetting	0		
Beneficiary Cost Sharing Payments)			
Administration Costs			 T
Personnel			
General Administration			
Contractors/Brokers (e.g., enrollment contractors)			
Claims Processing			
Outreach/Marketing costs			
Other (specify)			
Total Administration Costs	0		
10% Administrative Cap (net benefit costs ÷ 9)	0		
Federal Title XXI Share	0		
State Share	0		

When was your budget last updated (please include month, day and year)? [500]

N/A

TOTAL COSTS OF DEMONSTRATION

Please provide a description of any assumptions that are included in your calculations. [500]

N/A

Other notes relevant to the budget: [7500]

N/A

# SECTION VI: PROGRAM CHALLENGES AND ACCOMPLISHMENTS

For the reporting period, please provide an overview of your state's political and fiscal environment as it relates to health care for low income, uninsured children and families, and how this environment impacted SCHIP. [7500]

During this reporting period, Ohio has continued to face major fiscal challenges. In light of budgetary constraints, the Ohio General Assembly passed legislation in July 2007 to expand access to health care. Ohio's leadership has the belief that every child in Ohio should have the opportunity for access to affordable health care regardless of their family income. Below are the Proposed program expansions:

- 1) Pregnant women 150 200% FPL
- 2) Young adults in foster care (19 21) 150 200% FPL
- 3) Children (0 -18) 200 300% FPL
- 4) Children's Buy-In (disabilities and chronic illnesses) 300%+ FPL

Ohio's Medicaid program currently provides coverage for over 1 million children below 200% of the FPL. There are an estimated 30,000 Ohio children between 200% and 300% of FPL and 20,000 children above 300% of FPL without health insurance. All eligible children above 300% of FPL will pay a monthly premium and covered with State only funds. The creation of a premium participation program to provide the opportunity for affordable coverage to an additional 50,000 uninsured children is under development. The premium amount will be based upon family income and should be affordable without a significant financial burden. Families with two or more children would only be required to pay a maximum of two premiums. Premiums are designed to encourage consumers to consider private health insurance for healthy children. Those over 500% will pay the full capitation rate.

Ohio has successfully completed work to file the program rules, educate stakeholders on implementation and provide outreach to the community. Ohio has submitted the State Plan Amendment (SPA) to proceed with expanding the Medicaid program for children from 200 – 300% of the FPL. The Centers for Medicare and Medicaid Services notified Ohio on January 7, 2008 that this Medicaid expansion was not approved. Ohio will proceed with submitting a SPA to expand the Medicaid program for children from 200 to 250% of FPL.

During the reporting period, what has been the greatest challenge your program has experienced? **[7500]** 

In addition to implementing the U.S. citizenship requirements; the SCHIP reauthorization delay and the CMS August 17, 2007 letter were great program challenges this year. Without the approval of sufficient funding for the SCHIP program Ohio will experience a shortfall in September 2008. It is

difficult to project spending over the next biennium without being reauthorized with greater funding levels and program flexibility. The CMS letter also includes additional program restrictions for states that hopefully will be removed with the reauthorization.

During the reporting period, what accomplishments have been achieved in your program? [7500]

Ohio's biennium budget bill was signed July 2007 with a number of initiatives that were to expand the Medicaid population. The year was successfully spent developing the policy for program expansions and all applicable program rules are law and found in the Ohio Administrative Code.

What changes have you made or are planning to make in your SCHIP program during the next fiscal year? Please comment on why the changes are planned. [7500]

The changes for the new fiscal year are identified in paragraph #1 of Section VI.

Enter any Narrative text below. [7500]