Alignment Status

Catherine Silvestre
2005 12 02

- **Update**
- **Simulation**
  - No field
  - With magnetic field
- **RealData (no field)**

Results on Simulation

Status

- The simulation gives good results when alining without magnetic field the Mutr and the MuID together. The program find quite well the misalignments that were input by hand.

- However for the MuID, it seems that there is a problem with the founding of the phi misalignments: *The bug has not been found yet.* It should be why the number of tracks is lowering after the second reconstruction when aligning the Mutr with the MuID. *Is it linked to a phi w correlation?*



w: [-1; 1]; z: [-6; 6]; phi: [-0.005; 0.005]

Output offsets after an alignment with the Mutr and the Muid together

MuID alignment

- Check the geometry update when introducing MuID misalignments, or the output alignment of millepede to see if there is not a prob with the orientations as shown in the following plot:



w: [-4; 4]; z: [-10; 10]; phi: [-0.06; 0.06]

Output offsets: alignment of the Mutr and MuID with input misalignments. Here is a zoom on the MuID results.

Reducing number of gost tracks

- Test aligning first the Mutr, then the MuID in order to reduce the number of gost tracks. (put the plots)

w: [-4; 4]; z: [-10; 10]; phi: [-0.06; 0.06]   w: [-4; 4]; z: [-10; 10]; phi: [-0.06; 0.06]

Multiple scaterring in the MuID ?

- Look if the error bars are getting bigger when going away from the vertex.

  Not obvious

  Bigger error bars in south than north(Show plots)

w: [-1; 1]; z: [-6; 6]; phi: [-0.005; 0.005]

Output offsets after an alignment with the Mutr and the Muid together

Status

- The alignment simulation is OK when aligning the Mutr without misalignments input.
- A strange systematic effect was noted in the last station when trying to align with misalignments: founding of wrong misalignments.
- May be it is due to some correlations between w and phi when the tracks are too close to the beam axis. We tried a cut on theta to see is cutting those tracks would improove the alignment with field on.

Actions to be taken

- Test the improvement of the track quality with a theta cut ON:
  1. Try without field first, with misalignments

     Show plots + say what it means
  2. Try with field then with and without misalignments.
- Understand the systematic offsets of the last station.

  may be due to a prob in the extrapolation with respect to the line shape.

Results on RealData

If the aligment of the MuTr was working well, we should see an improvement in the track chi-square from the 1rst reconstruction to the second one.

South Arm

North Arm



First reconstruction | Second reconstruction | Third reconstruction

- After the second reconstruction, the track chi square shows increasement of the number of tracks for the south arm (positive result). Howerver we loose 50 tracks in the north arm (the alignment did not improve the quality of the tracks).
- We turned a third reconstruction to see if it would converge towards a better track chi square for both arm. Unfortunatly, the third reconstruciton shows worse results, for both arm.
- Something is strange with the realdata alignment, since it should improve like the simulation but does not.In fact, it looks like it doesn't affect the tracks much to align or not: no real changes in the tracks...

- We tried to lower the time for running the reconstruction with the alignment in order to be able to have more statistics.

  Testing theta: show coupure 1 et coupure 4

  Show discrepencies from recomulti with read recodst

  tester sensibilité des donénes réelles qd on rajoute désalignements

Simulation

No Field	With Field
No misalignment - Mutr OK. - Loosing tracks because of the MuID. - *Work on the MuID alignment.* With misalignments - Mutr OK. - Muid Problem with the muid finding the misalignments. *Work on the MuID alignment* *A third reconstruction showed that it converges. May be the first misalignments were a little bit big.* *For most detectors, the residuals seem to improve.*	No misalign - Mutr Slight systematic offsets not understood Globaly convincing - Mutr and Muid: globally ok With misalignments - Mutr The program converges towards a wrong walue. *Try less misalignments to help the algorithm to converge. Try to iterate. Try without the z alignment.* - Mutr and Muid *We tried to add a cut on theta to limit the influence of the correlation between w and phi*

Real data

- The program seems to align. However the tracks quality does not improve.
- *Trying each parameters independently* showed that when aligning w and phi at the same time, it damages the traks quality more than improoving it.
- I am trying to run more stat now.