Synthesis – Session 2

Key Themes

- Current BTS structure adds value:
 - Coordination
 - Facilitates earlier action
 - -Information sharing
 - Forum for reconciling differences
 - Existing mechanism to focus attention on substances
 - Relationship capital
 - Nurture synergies

Key Themes

- Current structure suitable to managing risk; not suitable to assessing risk
- Current structure not appropriate to selecting new chemicals for action
 - That is a responsibility of the Parties;
 don't ask stakeholders to take the
 Parties' responsibility
- Be mindful of balance ensure that zeal to add value does not stifle new investment



- BTS a testing ground for new approaches given its prior work with innovative approaches
- Possible approaches:
 - Hybrid: BTS identifies chemicals first, workgroups pursue a sector approach
 - Market-based
 - Sustainable manufacturing
 - Behaviour modification

Key Themes

- Green chemistry
- 'Practical' precaution
- Geographical; state-based
- Approaches aren't necessarily mutually exclusive
- 'Lessons learned' must inform approach selection; i.e., how do we improve
- Selection of any approach <u>must</u> be grounded in explicit criteria; transparency
- In any approach, must name and prioritize substances



- Cannot consider implications of any approach in the abstract; articulate the goal
 - Clear definition of the problem (i.e., the risk management objective) drives decisions about approach(es); includes consideration of sources, nature of risk, etc.
 - Any BTS approach must be flexible
- One substance at a time not necessarily efficient; high transactional costs



- Be mindful of language when describing an approach; i.e, "go after" chemicals, not sectors.
- Any approach must engage the appropriate stakeholders; cannot ignore the 'marketing' dimension supporting any approach
- Combination of approaches likely the appropriate way to proceed