1	
2	
3	A CUTE EVOQUE CUDEI INE I EVELS (AECLa)
4	ACUTE EAPOSUKE GUIDELINE LEVELS (AEGLS)
5	FOR
6	ACRYLONITRILE
7	(CAS Reg. No. 107-13-1)
8	
9	
10	
11	
12	$H_2C = CH - C \sim N$
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
20	
20	
22	
_ _	

ACUTE EXPOSURE GUIDELINE LEVELS (AEGLs)
FOR
ACRYLONITRILEE
(CAS Reg. No. 107-13-1)

1

14

PREFACE

Under the authority of the Federal Advisory Committee Act (FACA) P. L. 92-463 of
1972, the National Advisory Committee for Acute Exposure Guideline Levels for Hazardous
Substances (NAC/AEGL Committee) has been established to identify, review and interpret
relevant toxicologic and other scientific data and develop AEGLs for high priority, acutely toxic
chemicals.

AEGLs represent threshold exposure limits for the general public and are applicable to
 emergency exposure periods ranging from 10 minutes to 8 hours. Three levels - AEGL-1,
 AEGL-2 and AEGL-3 — are developed for each of five exposure periods (10 and 30 minutes, 1
 hour, 4 hours, and 8 hours) and are distinguished by varying degrees of severity of toxic effects.
 The three AEGLs are defined as follows:

AEGL-1 is the airborne concentration (expressed as parts per million or milligrams per
 cubic meter [ppm or mg/m³]) of a substance above which it is predicted that the general
 population, including susceptible individuals, could experience notable discomfort, irritation, or
 certain asymptomatic, non-sensory effects. However, the effects are not disabling and are
 transient and reversible upon cessation of exposure.

AEGL-2 is the airborne concentration (expressed as ppm or mg/m³) of a substance above which it is predicted that the general population, including susceptible individuals, could experience irreversible or other serious, long-lasting adverse health effects or an impaired ability to escape.

AEGL-3 is the airborne concentration (expressed as ppm or mg/m³) of a substance above which it is predicted that the general population, including susceptible individuals, could experience life-threatening health effects or death.

30 Airborne concentrations below the AEGL-1 represent exposure levels that could produce 31 mild and progressively increasing but transient and nondisabling odor, taste, and sensory 32 irritation or certain asymptomatic, non-sensory effects. With increasing airborne concentrations 33 above each AEGL, there is a progressive increase in the likelihood of occurrence and the 34 severity of effects described for each corresponding AEGL. Although the AEGL values 35 represent threshold levels for the general public, including susceptible subpopulations, such as infants, children, the elderly, persons with asthma, and those with other illnesses, it is recognized 36 37 that individuals, subject to unique or idiosyncratic responses, could experience the effects 38 described at concentrations below the corresponding AEGL. 39

1	TABLE OF CONTENTS	
2	PREFACE	2
3	LIST OF TABLES	5
4	EXECUTIVE SUMMARY	6
5	1. INTRODUCTION	9
6 7 9 10 11 12 13 14	 HUMAN TOXICITY DATA	9 9 9 11 11 11 11 12 13 14
15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27 28 29 30 31 32 33	 ANIMAL TOXICITY DATA. Acute Lethality. 3.1.1. Monkey. 3.1.2. Rat. 3.1.3. Dog. 3.1.4. Guinea pig. 3.1.5. Cat. 3.1.6. Rabbit. 3.2. Nonlethal Toxicity. 3.2.1. Monkey. 3.2.2. Dog. 3.2.3. Cat. 3.2.4. Rat. 3.2.5. Rabbit. 3.2.6. Guinea pig. 3.3. Developmental/Reproductive Effects 3.4. Genotoxicity. 3.5. Carcinogenicity. 3.6. Summary. 	$ \begin{array}{c} 14 \\ 14 \\ 14 \\ 14 \\ 14 \\ 17 \\ 17 \\ 17 \\ 17 \\ 18 \\ 18 \\ 18 \\ 18 \\ 18 \\ 19 \\ 19 \\ 19 \\ 19 \\ 20 \\ 20 \\ 20 \\ 20 \\ 22 \\ 23 \\ 24 \\ 24 \\ \end{array} $
34 35 36 37 38 39 40 41 42 43 44	 4. SPECIAL CONSIDERATIONS	25 25 26 27 27 27 27 27 28 28 28 28 28 28 28 28 29
45 46 47	 6. DATA ANALYSIS FOR AEGL-2 6.1. Human Data Relevant to AEGL-2 6.2. Animal Data Relevant to AEGL-2 	

1	6.3.	Derivation of AEGL-2 Values	
2 3 4 5	7. DAT 7.1. 7.2. 7.3.	TA ANALYSIS FOR AEGL-3 Human Data Relevant to AEGL-3 Animal Data Relevant to AEGL-3 Derivation of AEGL-3 Values	
6 7 8 9	8. SUN 8.1. 8.2. 8.3.	MMARY OF AEGLs AEGL Values and Toxicity Endpoints Comparisons with Other Standards and Guidelines Data Adequacy and Research Needs	31 31 32 34
10	9. REF	FERENCES	
11	APPENDI	IX A: DERIVATION OF AEGL VALUES	41
12	APPENDI	IX B: TIME SCALING CALCULATIONS	47
13	APPENDI	IX C: AEGL DERIVATION SUMMARY TABLES	
14	APPENDI	IX D: BMC ANALYSIS FOR ACRYLONITRILE	
15	APPENDI	IX E: LITCHFIELD AND WILCOXON LC50 CALCULATION	
16	APPENDI	IX F: CARCINOGENICITY ASSESSMENT FOR ACRYLONITRILE	
17 18	APPENDI	IX G: CATEGORY PLOT FOR ACRYLONITRILE	

1		LIST OF TABLES	
2			
3	S 1. SUMMA	ARY OF AEGL VALUES FOR ACRYLONITRILE (AN)	8
4	TABLE 1.	CHEMICAL AND PHYSICAL DATA FOR ACRYLONITRILE (AN)	9
5	TABLE 2.	TOXICITY OF AN VAPOR IN RATS EXPOSED FOR 0.5 TO 8 HOURS	15
6	TABLE 3.	TOXICITY OF AN VAPOR IN RATS EXPOSED FOR 4 HOURS	15
7	TABLE 4.	LETHAL RESPONSE OF RATS EXPOSED TO AN AT VARIOUS EXPOSURE	
8		CONCENTRATION/DURATIONS.	16
9	TABLE 5.	LETHALITY IN RATS FOLLOWING NOSE-ONLY INHALATION EXPOSURE TO AN FOR	4
10		HOURS	16
11	TABLE 6.	TOXICITY OF AN VAPOR IN DOGS EXPOSED FOR 4 HOURS.	17
12	TABLE 7.	TOXICITY OF AN VAPOR IN GUINEA PIGS EXPOSED FOR 4 HOURS	18
13	TABLE 8.	MATERNAL TOXICITY AMONG RATS EXPOSED BY INHALATION TO ACRYLONITRIL	ЪE
14		(AN)	21
15	TABLE 9.	LITTER DATA FOR PREGNANT RATS EXPOSED TO ACRYLONITRILE (AN) VAPOR	21
16	TABLE 10.	INCIDENCE OF FETAL MALFORMATIONS AMONG LITTERS OF RATS EXPOSED TO	
17		ACRYLONITRILE (AN) VAPOR	21
18	TABLE 11.	REPRODUCTIVE PARAMETERS IN RATS EXPOSED TO ACRYLONITRILE (AN) VAPOR	ON
19		GESTATION DAYS 6-20	22
20	TABLE 12.	TUMOR TYPE AND INCIDENCE DATA FOR RATS EXPOSED TO ACRYLONITRILE (AN))
21		VAPOR	24
22	TABLE 13.	AEGL-1 VALUES FOR ACRYLONITRILE	29
23	TABLE 14.	AEGL-2 VALUES FOR ACRYLONITRIL	30
24	TABLE 15.	AEGL-3 VALUES FOR ACRYLONITRILE	31
25	TABLE 16.	AEGL VALUES FOR ACRYLONITRILE	32
26	TABLE 17.	EXTANT STANDARDS AND GUIDELINES FOR ACRYLONITRILE	32
27			

1 2 3

EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

Acrylonitrile (CAS Reg. No. 107-13-1) is a monomer used in the manufacture of acrylic fibers, synthetic rubber, resins, plastics, adhesives, and acrylamide. Acrylonitrile (AN) has a sharp onion-garlic odor. World-wide production is estimated at 4 to 4.5 million metric tons. A concentration range of 1.6 - 36.3 ppm has been reported as AN odor thresholds for humans.

8 Nonlethal effects of occupational exposure to AN include headache, nasal and ocular 9 irritation, thoracic discomfort, nervousness and irritability. Available information indicates that 10 these effects occur at 12-15 ppm regardless of exposure duration and resolve following removal from exposure. No signs or symptoms were reported for informed male volunteer subjects 11 following exposure up to 4.6 ppm for 8 hours (Jakubowksi et al., 1987). Lethality following 12 13 acute inhalation exposure to AN has been reported but no exposure terms are available. Limited 14 information suggest that children may be more susceptible to the effects of acute inhalation 15 exposure than adults.

16

Acute exposure data are available for several laboratory species (monkey, rat, dog, rabbit, 17 18 guinea pig, cat) and demonstrate qualitatively similar responses ranging from mild irritation 19 (redness of exposed skin, lacrimation, nasal discharge) and mild effects on ventilation and 20 cardiovascular responses to severe respiratory effects, convulsions, and death. Four-hour 21 exposure to concentrations ranging from 30 to 100 ppm produced little or no effect in most 22 species tested but dogs appeared to be notably more sensitive exhibiting severe effects at the 23 100-ppm exposure level. Results of a recent nose-only exposure study in rats showed that 24 concentrations up to 50 ppm for 6 hours or 225 ppm for 1.75 hours produced only minor 25 transient effects on blood pressure. Lethality in rats appears to occur at cumulative exposure of 26 1800-1900 ppm@rs for 0.5 to 6-hour exposure durations, although for nose-only exposures this 27 is notably higher (- 3800 ppm@rs). Analysis of exposure concentration-duration data suggest a near linear relationship (i.e., n = 1.1 for $C^n \ge t = k$). Results of studies in animals showed that 28 lethality may be delayed especially at the lower limits of lethal exposures. One study provided 29 30 evidence for teratogenic effects in rats following gestational exposure of dams to 80 ppm but not 31 at 40 ppm AN. Another study showed an exposure-related decrease in fetal weight following 32 gestational exposure of dams to 25, 50, or 100 ppm AN; no other reproductive/developmental 33 effects were detected. Results of *in vitro* testing suggest that AN is weakly mutagenic. Results 34 of *in vivo* mammalian cell assays measuring various endpoints were generally negative. Results 35 of long-term inhalation exposure cancer bioassays have shown that AN is carcinogenic in rats. 36 The brain, spinal cord, Zymbal's gland, tongue, nonglandular stomach, small intestine, and 37 mammary gland have all been identified as targets.

38

39 AN toxicity appears to be directly related to its metabolism. Two major metabolism 40 pathways have been described; conjugation with glutathione and epoxidation by microsomal cytochrome P4502E1 which forms 2-cyanoethylene oxide (CEO). Metabolites from both 41 42 pathways are subject to additional biotransformation. The glutathione conjugate may form a 43 mercapturic acid which is excreted in urine. CEO is further metabolized via conjugation with glutathione (catalysis with cytosolic GST or nonenzymatically) resulting in additional conjugates 44 45 and via hydrolysis by microsomal epoxide hydrolase (EH). The secondary metabolites of CEO 46 may also be further metabolized. Cyanide may be generated via the EH pathway and by one of 47 the GSH conjugation products. Cyanide, in turn, is detoxified to thiocyanate via rhodanese-48 mediated reactions with thiosulfate.

1 Generally, the toxic effects following acute inhalation exposure to AN appear to be 2 irritation of the respiratory tract and the metabolism of AN to cyanide. Acrylonitrile-induced 3 neurological effects in laboratory animals appear to involve the parent compound and the 4 cyanide metabolite. The pivotal role of cyanide has been clearly demonstrated. AN-induced 5 convulsions, are likely the result of cyanide resulting from AN metabolism although recent work 6 suggests that only the early seizures are cyanide-mediated and that severe clonic convulsions 7 preceding death may be due to parent compound.

8

9 The AEGL-1 values were based on the absence of effects in informed human volunteer 10 subjects (6 males) exposed for 8 hours to 4.6 ppm AN (Jakubowski et al., 1987). Industry reports noted that exposure to 12-15 ppm caused ocular irritation and headaches regardless of 11 exposure duration. A 3-fold reduction (an appropriate adjustment for mild irritation effects) of 12 13 the lower limit of this range is equivalent to the 4.6 ppm no-effect concentration reported by Jakubowski et al. (1987). Therefore, the 4.6 ppm value is recommended for all AEGL-1 14 exposure durations. In light of results of studies showing only mild effects (headache, 15 16 nervousness, fatigue, nausea, and insomnia) following subchronic occupational exposure to AN levels possibly as high as 20 ppm, further reduction of the AEGL-1 values is not warranted. 17

18

19 The AEGL-2 values were based upon slight transient effects in rats exposed to 305 ppm 20 AN for 2 hours (Dudley and Neal, 1942). The effects resolved within 12 hours post exposure. 21 Analysis of occupational exposure effects indicated that routine exposure to 10-20 ppm (up to 2-22 higher than the 8-hr AEGL-2) resulted in complaints of headache, fatigue, nausea, and insomnia which were neither irreversible nor escape-impairing effects. Therefore, the critical effect upon 23 24 which the AEGL-2 values are based is appropriate. The interspecies uncertainty factor was 25 limited to 3 because PB-PK modeling has shown that predicted concentrations of AN and the metabolite CEO in blood and brain were similar in rats and humans exposed by inhalation. The 26 27 intraspecies uncertainty factor was limited to 3 because the effects associated with acute 28 irritation effects of AN are not likely to vary greatly among individuals and because metabolism 29 may play only a limited role in the critical effects used as the basis for AEGL-2 derivation. Time 30 scaling for developing AEGL-2 values from the 2-hour experimental POD to AEGL-specific exposure durations was performed using $C^n \ge t = k$, where n = 1.1. 31

32

33 The AEGL-3 values were derived using 30-minute, 1-, 4-, and 8-hour BMCL₀₅ estimates 34 of lethality threshold. Data for several AEGL-specific exposure periods were available from the reports by Apple et al. (1981) and Dudley and Neal (1942). A 30-minute BMCL₀₅ of 1748 ppm 35 was calculated from the Appel et al. (1981a) data. The 1-hr, 2-hr, 4-hr, and 8-hr BMCL₀₅ values 36 derived from lethality data published by Dudley and Neal (1942) are 1024.4, 491.3, 179.5 and 37 38 185.8 ppm, respectively, for rats exposed to various concentrations of AN for 1, 2, 4, or 8 hours. 39 With the exception of the 4-hour value, the resulting $BMCL_{05}$ values are relationally consistent 40 across time and the 30-minute, 1-hour, and 8-hour estimates were used to derive corresponding AEGL-3 values. Because the 4-hr value was not used due to the relational inconsistency, the 4-41 42 hour AEGL-3 value was derived by time-scaling the 8-hour BMCL₀₅ of 185.9 ppm. Although 43 the dog appeared to be the most sensitive species, the overall database for rats is more robust 44 thereby justifying use of the rat data. Further justification for limiting the interspecies 45 uncertainty factor to 3 comes from PBPK models demonstrating that predicted concentrations of AN and the metabolite CEO in blood and brain were similar in rats and humans exposed by 46 47 inhalation. The PBPK model for AN and CEO disposition in humans utilized human in vitro data and scaling from a rat model (Kedderis and Fennell, 1996) that incorporated major 48 49 biotransformation and reactivity pathways. These included metabolism of AN to glutathione

1 conjugates and CEO, reaction rates of AN and CEO with glutathione and tissue components, and 2 the metabolism of CEO by hydrolysis and glutathione conjugation. For effects resulting from a single acute exposure, an intraspecies uncertainty factor of 3 may be considered sufficient for 3 4 accounting for variability in metabolism-mediated effects. Additional uncertainty factor 5 application would result in incompatibility between AEGL-3 and AEGL-2 values.

Various inhalation unit risk values have been developed for acrylonitrile. IARC

8 downgraded AN from category 2a to category 2b noting that data relative to human

9 carcinogenicity are inadequate and that no causal association exists. Current data are sufficient

10 for considering AN to be carcinogenic in animals following long-term inhalation exposure. That

AN would induce a carcinogenic response in humans following a single, once-in-a-lifetime acute 11 12 exposure is remote.

13

6 7

14

15

The AEGL values for acrylonitrile are summarized in the following table.

	S 1. Summary of AEGL Values for Acrylonitrile (AN)					
Classification	10-min	30-min	1-h	4-h	8-h	Endpoint (Reference)
AEGL-1 (Nondisabling)	4.6 ppm (10 mg/m ³)	4.6 ppm (10 mg/m ³)	4.6 ppm (10 mg/m ³)	4.6 ppm (10 mg/m ³)	4.6 ppm (10 mg/m ³)	No effect in volunteer human subjects exposed to 4.6 ppm for 8 hrs; UF=1x1 (Jakubowski et al., 1987)
AEGL-2 (Disabling)	290 ppm (630 mg/m ³)	110 ppm (240 mg/m ³)	57 ppm (120 mg/m ³)	16 ppm (35 mg/m ³)	8.6 ppm (19 mg/m ³)	Slight transient effects in rats exposed for 2 hrs to 3-5 ppm; UF=3x3; n=1.1 (Dudley and Neal, 1942)
AEGL-3 (Lethality)	480 ppm (1000 mg/m ³)	180 ppm (390 mg/m ³)	100 ppm (217 mg/m ³)	35 ppm (76 mg/m ³)	19 ppm (41 mg/m ³)	30-min, 1-hr, and 8-hr, BMCL ₀₅ lethality threshold estimates in rats; UF=3x3; n=1.1 (Appel et al., 1981a; Dudley and Neal, 1942)

16 17

18 References

- 19 20
 - Appel, K.E., Peter, H., and Bolt, H.M. 1981a. Effect of potential antidotes on the acute toxicity of acrylonitrile. Int. Arch. Occup. Environ. Health, 49: 157-163.
- Dudley, H.C. and Neal, P.A. 1942. Toxicology of acrylonitrile (vinyl cyanide). I. Study of the acute toxicity. J. Ind. Hyg. Toxicol., 24 (2): 27-36. 25

26 Jakubowski, M., Linhart, I., Pielas, G., Kopecky, J. 1987. 2-Cyanoethylmercapturic acid (CEMA) in the 27 urine as a possible indicator of exposure to acrylonitrile. Brit. J. Industr. Med. 44: 843-840.

- 21 22
- 23 24

INTRODUCTION

1 2 3

4

5 6 1.

Acrylonitrile (CAS Reg. No. 107-13-1) is a monomer used in the manufacture of acrylic fibers, synthetic rubber, resins, plastics, adhesives, and acrylamide. Acrylonitrile (AN) has a

sharp onion-garlic odor. Recent world-wide production has been estimated at 4 to 4.5 million metric tons (Collins et al., 2003; NPI, 2007). Production of acrylonitrile in the United States was 3.4 million pounds in 1996 (NTP, 2006).

⁷ 8

TABLE 1. Chemical and Physical Data for Acrylonitrile (AN)					
Parameter	Value	Reference			
Synonyms	2-propenenitrile; vinyl cyanide; acrylonitrile monomer, cyanoethylene	O'Neil et al., 2001			
Chemical formula	C_3H_3N	O'Neil et al., 2001			
Molecular weight	53.06	O'Neil et al., 2001			
CAS Registry No.	107-13-1	O'Neil et al., 2001			
Physical state	Liquid	O'Neil et al., 2001			
Solubility in water	73 g/L at 20 °C	American Cyanamid, 1959			
Vapor pressure	100 torr @ 23EC	ACGIH, 1991			
	116 hPa	BASF AG, 1994			
Specific gravity	0.8 @ 23EC	O'Neil et al., 2001			
Melting point/boiling point	-83.55 EC @ 0EC/ 77.3EC @ 760 mm	O'Neil et al., 2001			
Conversion factors in air	1 ppm = 2.17 mg/m^3 1 mg/m ³ = 0.46 ppm				

9

10 2. 11 HUMAN TOXICITY DATA

12 2.1. **Acute Lethality**

13

14 A child exposed overnight in a room fumigated with AN died. Vomiting, lacrimation, convulsions, respiratory difficulty, cyanosis, and tachyacardia were present. Five adults also in 15 the room experienced little or no effect (see Section 2.2.) (Grunske, 1949). No exposure 16 concentration-duration information was reported.

17 18

19 Loss of consciousness, convulsions, and respiratory arrest have been reported as 20 outcomes of severe acute inhalation exposure to AN (Buchter and Peter, 1984). However, no exposure terms were available.

21 22

23 The death of a worker cleaning an AN-containing wagon at a train depot was attributed 24 to exposure to the chemical (Bader and Wrbitzky, 2006). No exposure terms were available although liquid AN was present on the clothing of the individual. Cause of death was reportedly 25 26 "blood circulation collapse".

27 28

29

2.2. **Nonlethal Toxicity**

30 The AIHA (1989) lists an odor threshold range of 1.6-22 ppm for AN while Ruth (1986) 31 reported a range of 3.7-36.3 ppm.

32

33 Wilson et al. (1948) reported that exposure of workers handling "polymerizers" at concentrations of 16-100 ppm for 20-45 minutes experienced dull headaches, nasal and ocular 34 irritation, discomfort in the chest, nervousness and irritability. Workers with notable poisoning 35

1 experienced nausea, vomiting, and weakness. Some developed mild jaundice, low-grade 2 anemia, and leukocytosis. No exposure terms were provide for the workers with these more 3 serious effects but all recovered upon removal from exposure. Additional reports (NAC/AEGL, 4 pers. communication) affirmed that occupational exposure to 12-15 ppm resulted in ocular 5 irritation and headache. 6 7 Five adults who spent the night in the room in which a child died of AN poisoning (see 8 Section 2.1.), had no signs of poisoning or complained only of eye irritation (Grunske, 1949). 9 No exposure concentration-duration information was reported. 10 11 Subchronic (- 3 years) occupational exposure AN at concentrations ranging from 0.6 to 6.0 mg/m³ (-0.3 to 3 ppm) produced headaches, insomnia, general weakness, decreased 12 working capacity, and irritability (Babanov et al., 1959). 13 14 15 Lacrimation and visual disturbance were reported for some non-fatal exposures to AN 16 (Davis et al., 1973). Although exposure concentrations were not reported, these effects were 17 likely associated with very high AN concentrations. 18 19 In a report by Sakurai and Kusumoto (1972), the health records of 576 workers working 20 in five AN fiber plants over a 10 year period were examined. Workers exposed to AN concentrations of 11 mg/m³ (5 ppm) complained of headache, fatigue, nausea, and insomnia. 21 There was a positive correlation with exposure time but not with the exposure concentration or 22 23 age of workers. The report analyzed 4439 examinations acquired over 10 years prior to 1970. 24 Two cohorts, one exposed to concentrations of AN of below 11 mg/m³ (5 ppm) and the other exposed to less than 45 mg/m³ (20 ppm) were considered. In a later report, however, Sakurai et 25 26 al. (1978) stated that the study lacked adequate epidemiological design, the findings were based 27 on routine health examinations, and the "exposure levels were not reliably reported" and may 28 have been much higher. In this later appraisal it was noted that many of the symptoms were 29 associated with exposures well in excess of 5 ppm. Sakurai et al. (1978) stated that their 30 findings were not contradictory to those of Wilson et al. (1948) reflecting the older and less 31 controlled workplace environment where levels could be up to 20 ppm. 32 33 Ocular irritation was a primary effect in a 24-year old man whose face, eyes and body 34 were sprayed by AN (no exposure concentration data) explosively released from a defective 35 valve (Vogel and Kirkendall, 1984). Mild conjunctivitis with no corneal clouding was reported. 36 Results of fundascopic examination were normal. 37 38 A study was conducted to evaluate the metabolism and excretion of AN in human 39 informed volunteer subjects (Jakubowski et al., 1987). The six volunteers (including the 40 investigators) were all males aged 28-45. Being toxicologists, they were all aware of the toxic properties of AN. The subjects were exposed for 8 hours to AN vapors generated by a saturator 41 42 immersed in a thermostat-controlled water bath and diluted with carrier air to produce the desired AN concentrations (5 or 10 mg/m³; equivalent to 2.3 and 4.6 ppm, respectively). 43 Airflow in the 11.7 m³ chamber was approximately 200 m³/hr. There were three 10-minute 44 breaks from the exposure at 2, 4, and 6 hours. Gas chromatography was used to monitor the AN 45 46 concentration every 15 minutes. No symptoms were reported by any of the subjects. 47

48 The World Health Organization (WHO, 1983) summarized various workplace studies
49 (Zotova, 1975; Delivanova et al., 1978; Enikeeva et al., 1976; Ivanov, 1983).

Blepharoconjunctivitis was reported following exposure to 5 ppm AN. Other non-ocular
 symptoms were also reported.

Ginceva et al. (1977) reported no changes in the health status for a group of 23 men occupationally exposed to 1.9 to3.3 ppm AN for three to five years.

6 7 8

4

5

2.3. Developmental/Reproductive Effects

9 Developmental/reproductive toxicity of AN in humans is very limited. A reported 10 decreased testosterone level in AN factory workers (Ivanescu et al., 1990) was confounded by concurrent exposure to other chemicals. No adverse effect was detected for gynecological health 11 12 of 410 women occupationally exposed to AN (no exposure terms) compared to 436 unexposed women (Dorodnova, 1976). Czeizel et al. (1999) reported on the rate and type of congenital 13 abnormalities in 46,326 infants born to mothers living within a 25 km radius of an AN factory in 14 15 Hungary. Significant clusters of pectus excavatum (depressed sternum), undescended testes, and 16 clubfoot were noted. The authors, however, reported that the overall results supported the null 17 hypothesis for AN-induced effects in people living in the vicinity of the AN factory. 18

19 2.4. Genotoxicity

20 2.4.1. In Vitro Studies

21

In experiments with human lymphocytes, Perocco et al. (1982) showed that exposure of human lymphocytes to 0.5 mM AN (26.5 μ g/ml) resulted in a significant increase in SCE. Obe et al. (1985), however, was unable to demonstrate SCE-induction by AN in human lymphocytes exposed for 24 hours to AN at concentrations of 1 or 10 μ g/ml in the absence of S9 and for one hour in the presence of S9 from Arochlor-induced rat livers.

27

Rizzi et al. (1984) examined the incorporation of $[_3H]TdR$ into DNA in HeLa cells. The test groups inlcuded a control and AN-treated cells without hydroxyurea (-HU), and control and treated cells treated with hydroxyurea (+HU). The -HU/+HU relationship between treated and control cells and the value of +HU between treated and control cells were statistically significant at AN dose levels of 0.18 (p < 0.01) and 0.036 mM (p < 0.09). It was concluded that AN is mutagenic and genotoxic at very low concentrations. Contrary to this, Martin and Campbell (1985) failed to demonstrate unscheduled DNA repair in HeLa cells.

35

AN produced positive results in tests with human lymphoblasts (TK6, *TK* locus) both with and without metabolic activation (Crespi et al.,1985). Tests were conducted at AN concentrations of 5 - 50 μ g/ml for three hours in the presence of S9 (from Arochlor-induced rat livers) or for 20 hours without S9. There was a 3.5-fold increase in mutational frequency in the presence of S9 at 40 and 50 μ g/ml. In the absence of S9, mutational frequency was increased 2-fold at 15 μ g/ml and 1.3-fold at 20 μ g/ml (compared to controls).

42

Crespi et al. (1985) also conducted tests using the AHH-1 cell line (HGPRT locus).
Concentrations of AN were 5 - 25 µg/ml for 28 hours. Test were conducted with metabolic
activation and an expression period of 6 days. An approximate 4.5-fold increase in mutation
frequency at 25 µg/ml was detected relative to controls which was similar to the response
obtained with the benzo(a)pyrene (3.1 µg/ml) positive control.

The mutagenic potential of both AN and its metabolite 2-CEO (2-cyanoethylene oxide) 1 2 was examined using the TK human lymphoblast cell line (with and without S9) with 3 heterozygous thymidine kinase (tk) locus as the marker (Recio et al., 1989). Cells were exposed 4 for two hours with an expression period of 6-8 days. AN was not mutagenic in the absence of S9 5 (less than a 2-fold increase in mutation frequency) over a concentration range of 0.4 to 1.5 mM 6 (21 to 80 μ g/ml). With S9, there was a statistically significant (p<0.05) 4-fold mutagenic 7 response with the highest exposure concentration 1.5 mM (74 µg/ml). Survival was only 10% at 8 a concentration of 1.5 mM. The metabolite peroduced a 17-fold increase in mutation frequency 9 without S9 at 100 µM. The results indicated AN to be weakly mutagenic in mammalian cells, 10 while the mutagenic response induced by CEO suggests that it may be the primary mutagenic 11 metabolite of AN. In a follow-up study (Recio et al., 1990), human TK6 lymphoblasts were 12 treated with CEO (150 uM for 2h). Base-pair substitution mutations and frameshift mutations 13 were observed.

14

15 Sister chromatid exchange (SCE) and the induction of DNA single breaks was examined 16 using adult human bronchial epithelial cells (Chang *et al.*, 1990). The cultures were exposed 17 for 20 hours to 150, 300, 500, or 600 μ g/ml An and assessed for SCE and DNA strand breaks. 18 Notable cytotoxicity was observed at 600 μ g/ml, but not at the lower concentrations. SCEs 19 were significantly increased (p < 0.01) at 150 and 300 μ g/ml; incidence of SCE per cell was 6.6 20 and 10.7 respectively (3.7 in unexposed controls). The extent of DNA single strand breaks 21 appeared to be positively correlated with AN concentrations.

22

A human mammary epithelial cell (HMEC) DNA repair assay in secondary
cultures of HMEC was reported by by Eldridge et al. (1992). The cultures of normal HMEC
were derived from mammoplasties of five healthy women. Although CEO was cytotoxic to
HMEC, a positive UDS response was produced thereby confirming its genotoxicity. AN
exhibited considerable cytotoxicity but no genotoxicity was observed in the HMEC DNA repair
assay.

30 **2.4.2.** *In Vivo* Studies

31 32 Chromosomal damage in peripheral lymphocytes of 18 workers exposed to AN for an 33 average of 15.4 years was studied by Thiess and Fleig (1978). The workers were also exposed to 34 styrene, ethylbenzene, butadiene, and butylacrylate. The actual AN exposure was not reported. 35 Air concentrations of AN over approximately 10 years averaged 5 ppm and were reportedly representative of normal operating conditions. During the actual conduct of the study workplace 36 37 AN levels were about 1.5 ppm. The frequency of chromosomal aberrations in peripheral 38 lymphocytes of the workers was not increased compared to the unexposed controls. 39

40 Borba et al. (1996) reported chromosomal aberrations and SCEs in 14 workers employed 41 in the polymerization area and in 12 maintenance workers of an acrylic fiber plant. A control 42 group consisted of 20 unexposed workers in administration jobs. No AN exposure concentration 43 or exposure duration terms were provided. No difference in SCEs was detected when the 44 exposed groups and the controls were compared.

2.5. Carcinogenicity

Numerous studies have been conducted to assess the potential carcinogenicity of AN.
These have been previously reviewed (Felter and Dollarhide,1997; Sapphire Group, Inc., 2004).
Because a carcinogenic response is unlikely following a single acute once-in-a-lifetime
exposure, an extensive review of the available information on this subject is considered beyond
the scope of this document.

8

1

9 Following extensive analysis of the epidemiology studies (occupational cohort studies, 10 supporting cohort and case-control studies), it has been concluded that many of the older studies 11 had methodological or design weaknesses (e.g., insufficient sample size, insufficient or 12 incomplete follow-up, inadequate exposure assessment, confounding factors such as 13 simultaneous exposures and smoking habits for which there were no controls) and that the results 14 of the studies did not provide adequate evidence that AN is carcinogenic in humans at current 15 occupational exposure levels or at lower levels that would be characteristic of environmental 16 exposures (Sapphire Group, Inc., 2004). In the evaluation it was also noted that results of more recent studies (Benn and Osborne, 1988; Blair et al., 1988; Wood et al., 1988; Swaen et al., 17 18 1998, 2004) supported this conclusion and that meta-analysis (Rothman, 1994; Collins and 19 Acquavella 1998, EU, 2001) affirmed that cancer risk associated with AN exposure is extremely 20 low.

21

Felter and Dollarhide (1997) concluded that the human weight of evidence for the carcinogenicity of AN is insufficient. Evaluations of recent literature indicate that the weight of evidence from human studies does not support the conclusion that there is a causal association between exposure to humans and lung cancer. A 1×10^{-4} risk specific concentration of 9 F g/m³ was derived based upon the LED₁₀.

27

28 The disparity between findings from laboratory animal bioassays and human 29 epidemiological findings was evaluated by Ward and Starr (1993). According to the US EPA 30 estimates derived from animal studies (based on USEPA's potency estimates from their 1983 assessment), lifetime exposure to 1 μ g/m³ AN translates into an increased cancer risk of 1 in 31 6,700 people (6.7 \pm 10⁻³) and into an increased risk of brain cancer of 1 in 12,000 people (1.2 \pm 32 10^{-4}). Assuming that workers in older studies were exposed to an average level of 2 to 5 ppm AN 33 34 during their working lifetime, they determined the statistical power of the AN epidemiological 35 studies was high enough (>80%) to reliably detect the USEPA predicted increases of cancer due 36 to occupational AN exposure. However, these predicted increases were not found in any of the 37 epidemiological studies. The authors concluded that the upper bound estimate of the AN 38 inhalation cancer potency as estimated by the USEPA was too high to be consistent with the 39 human experience in occupational exposure situations.

40

41 IARC downgraded AN from a category 2a to a category 2b (IARC, 1999). This status 42 change was based upon the lack of carcinogenic evidence from the more recent epidemiological 43 studies. The data regarding potential carcinogenicity of AN in humans is considered to be 44 inadequate and no evidence of a causal association exists. This decision supports the conclusion 45 that AN is probably not carcinogenic to man.

2.6. Summary

2 3 A concentration range of 1.6 - 36.3 ppm has been reported as AN odor thresholds for 4 humans. Nonlethal effects of occupational exposure to AN include headache, nasal and ocular 5 irritation, thoracic discomfort, nervousness and irritability but definitive exposure-response data 6 are lacking. Available information indicates that such effects resolve following removal from 7 exposure. No signs or symptoms were reported for male volunteer subjects following exposure 8 up to 4.6 ppm for 8 hours. Lethality following acute inhalation exposure to AN has been 9 reported. Although no exposure terms are available and information is limited, children 10 appeared to be more susceptible than adults in the same exposure conditions.

11 12

1

3. ANIMAL TOXICITY DATA

- 13 **3.1.** Acute Lethality
- 14 **3.1.1. Monkey**
- 15

16 Rhesus monkeys (4.2-4.8 kg) were exposed to 65 ppm AN (2 males and 2 females) or to 90 ppm (2 females) for 4 hours (Dudley and Neal, 1942). The AN test atmosphere was 17 generated by bubbling air through AN (purity determined through repeated fractional 18 distillations free of cyanide and with a boiling point of 76-77EC) and mixing this AN-saturated 19 20 air stream with a main air stream. Air flow through the exposure chamber was 260 L/min (" 2%). The concentration of the AN was varied by adjusting the volume of air passing through 21 22 the bubbler. The concentration of AN in the chamber was determined by the change in weight of the AN in the bubbler, air flows and start/stop times. Even at the highest exposure (90 ppm), the 23 rhesus monkeys (all individuals in this exposure group) exhibited only slight redness of the face 24 25 and genitals, and a slight increased in respiratory rate upon initial exposure.

26

Dudley et al. (1942), exposed four rhesus monkeys to 56 ppm (average concentration) of
AN 4 hours/day, 5days/week for four weeks. All four monkeys survived and showed no
evidence of toxicity during the four week exposure period.

31 3.1.2. Rat

32 33 Dudley and Neal (1942) conducted single exposure experiments in which groups of 16 Osborne-Mendel rats (- 295 g, gender not specified) were exposed for 0.5, 1, 2, 4, or 8 hours to 34 35 various concentrations of acrylonitrile (Table 2). Details regarding generation of the test 36 atmospheres are provided in the preceding paragraph (Section 3.1.1.). Responses included initial 37 stimulation of respiration followed by rapid shallow respiration. Above 300 ppm, rats started 38 exhibiting signs of ocular and nasal irritation. Rats exposed to any concentration of AN exhibited 39 flushing (reddening) of the skin, nose, ears, and feet. Prior to death, the rats were gasping and 40 convulsing. Gross pathology findings of dead rats revealed bright red lungs of "normal consistency" and dark red blood. Rats which survived any acute exposure to AN exhibited no 41 42 residual effects. Results of the experiments are summarized in Table 2. 43

	TABLE 2. Toxicity of AN Vapor In Rats Exposed for 0.5 to 8 Hours.						
Exposure	Exposure	Mortality	Total				
Time	Conc.	(%) During	Mortality				
(hrs)	(ppm)	Exposure	(%)	Effects ^a			
0.5	2445	0	0	Marked; slight residual effects to 24 hrs			
	1490	0	0	Marked; no residual effects in 24 hrs			
	1270	0	0	Marked; no residual effects in 24 hrs			
	665	0	0	Moderate transitory effects			
1	2445	0	81	Deaths in 4 hrs; slight effects at 24 hrs in survivors			
	1490	0	25	Deaths in 4 hrs; slight effects at 24 hrs in survivors			
	1270	0	0	Marked effects; slight effects at 24 hrs; normal at 48 hrs			
	665	0	0	Marked transitory effects			
2	1260	0	100	Fatal; deaths within 4 hrs			
	595	0	6	Marked transitory effects			
	305	0	0	Slight transitory effects			
4	635	50	100	Fatal			
	315	25	31	Marked; no effects in survivors at 24 hrs			
	130	0	0	slight transitory effects			
8	320	94	94	Fatal			
	270	44	44	Marked; no effects in survivors at 24 hrs			
	210	6	6	Marked transitory effects			
	135	0	0	Moderate transitory effects			
	90	0	0	Slight discomfort			

^aNonlethal effects included initial rapid respiration followed by rapid shallow breathing; prior to death animals exhibited slow, gasping respiration, convulsions, followed by coma. Dudley and Neal, 1942.

1 2 3

4

5

In another phase of the study by Dudley and Neal (1942), rats (16/group) were exposed for 4 hours to 635, 315, 130, or 100 ppm (Table 3). Exposure to 130 ppm slight transient effects and no lethality. Effects were similar to those described in the preceding paragraph. Exposure to 315 ppm resulted in 31% mortality and exposure to 635 ppm produced 100% mortality.

6 7

TABLE 3. Toxicity of AN Vapor in Rats Exposed For 4 Hours.					
Exposure Conc.Mortality (%)Total Mortality					
(ppm)	During Exposure	(%)	Effects		
635	50	100	Death occurred in 2-6 hrs		
315	25	31	Marked effects; no residual effects in survivors		
130	0	0	Slight transitory effects		
100	0	0	Slight transitory effects		

Dudley and Neal, 1942

8

9

In a lethality study conducted at Haskell Laboratory (du Pont & Co., 1968), groups of
 adult male ChR-CD rats (248-268 g) were exposed to AN for 4 hours. The test chamber
 atmosphere was analyzed at least every half hour by gas chromatography. Test animals were

13 observed for 14 days. During exposure the rats exhibited irregular respiration, hyperemia,

14 lacrimation, tremors, convulsions. Deaths occurring during exposure occurred within 2-4 hours

15 after the start of the exposure. Deaths occurring after exposure occurred between 7 minutes and

16 18 hours. A 4-hr LC₅₀ of 333 ppm (275-405 ppm 95% confidence interval) was reported. Rats

17 surviving the exposure exhibited mild to severe, dose-related weight loss the first day of

18 observation followed by normal weight gain.

Appel et al. (1981a) provided lethality data for groups of 3 to 6 male Wistar rats exposed to AN for 30-180 minutes with exposure concentration varying with exposure duration (Table 4). In this study (designed to assess potential antidotes for acute AN toxicity), AN vapor was generated by evaporating AN (99.5% purity) in a halothane vaporator and adjusting the AN vapor concentration with clean filtered air. Vapor concentration was determined by gas chromatography.

7

TABLE 4. Lethal Response of Rats Exposed to AN at Various Exposure Concentration/Durations.					
Exposure conc. (ppm)	Exposure duration (min)	Mortality ratio			
650	180	1/3			
950	120	1/3			
1100	120	3/3			
1600	30	0/3			
2600	30	1/3			
3000	30	6/6			
2400	10	0/3			

Appel et al. (1981a).

9

In a rat study reported by Vernon et al. (1990), a group of 10 adult Sprague-Dawley rats (5/gender) was exposed for 1 hour to 1,080 ppm AN. None of the rats died. Clinical signs reported included rapid shallow breathing, decreased activity, nasal discharge, salivation, lacrimation and coma (in 3 of 10 animals). The extremities of all animals were red at 37 minutes into the exposure. All rats recovered within five minutes of exposure termination.

15

16 A GLP-OECD guideline study sponsored by the Shanghai SECCO Petrochemical 17 Company, Ltd. examined the acute toxicity of AN in rats (WIL Research Laboratories, 2005). In 18 this study, groups of 5 male and 5 female Crl:CD[®](SD) rats (8-12 weeks old; 242-297 g) were 19 exposed (nose-only) for 4 hours to 539, 775, 871, 1006, or 1181 ppm AN (99.9 % purity). The 20 rats were acclimated for 7 days prior to exposure and observed for 14 days after exposure. 21 Exposure was in a two-tiered conventional nose-only exposure system where exposure 22 atmosphere conditions (temperature, oxygen, humidity, etc.) were monitored every 20-30 23 minutes. The AN test atmosphere was generated by passing compressed nitrogen through the 24 test material to create a vapor which was diluted with compressed air prior to being delivered to 25 the exposure system. Actual AN concentrations were determined by gas chromatography. 26 Mortality data are summarized in Table 5. The report provided 4-hour LC_{50} values of 964 ppm 27 (857-1085 95% c.i) for males, 920 ppm (807-1050 95% c.i) for females, and 946 ppm (866-28 1032 95% c.i.) combined (determined by the method of Litchfield and Wilcoxon, 1949).

29 30

TABLE 5. Lethality in rats following nose-only inhalation exposure to AN for 4 hours						
Exposure Conc. (ppm)	Mortality During Exposure		Total M	lortality	Comments	
	М	F	М	F		
539	0	0	0	0		
775	0	0	0	0		
871	0	0	1	3	Deaths at 0 to 1 day postexposure	
1006	1	1	3	4	2 (%, 3 (&) at 0 to 1 day postexposure	
1181	4	3	5	4	1 ($\%$, 1 ($\&$) at 0 to 1 day postexposure	

WIL Research Laboratories, 2005

⁸

1 2 Clinical observations immediately following exposure included tremors, ataxia, labored 3 respiration, hypoactivity, decreased defecation, and gasping but there was no apparent exposure 4 concentration-effect relationship. Necropsy findings in dead rats included the presence of a distended, gas-filled jejunum in one female of the 871-ppm group, distended gas-filled stomach 5 6 in three females in the 871-ppm and 1006-ppm groups, and dark, discoloration of the lungs in 7 one male and one female in the 1181-ppm group. No other findings were noted for rats that 8 died. At scheduled sacrifice, the only finding was dark discoloration of the lungs in one male of 9 the 871-ppm group.

10

11 **3.1.3. Dog**

In their assessment of AN lethality in multiple species, Dudley and Neal (1942) also
exposed groups of 2-4 male and female dogs (5.5-12.0 kg; strain not specified) to various AN
concentrations for 4 hours (Table 6). The investigators found dogs to be more sensitive to
inhaled AN; exposures producing only minor effects in other species caused coma and death in
the dogs.

18

	TABLE 6. Toxicity of AN Vapor In Dogs Exposed for 4 Hours.				
Exposure Conc.	onc.				
(ppm)	Gender	Effects			
30	F	Slight salivation by end of exposure period; no other effects			
	F	Slight salivation by end of exposure period; no other effects			
	F	Slight salivation by end of exposure period; no other effects			
	F	Slight salivation by end of exposure period; no other effects			
65	F	Severe salivation; weak by end of exposure			
	F	Coma by end of exposure; died at 8 hrs			
100	М	Severe salivation during exposure; full recovery within 24 hrs			
	F	Convulsions at 2.5 hrs; coma by end of exposure; partial paralysis of hind legs			
		for 3 days			
	F	Convulsions at 2.5 hrs; coma by end of exposure; full recovery within 48 hrs			
110	F	Coma at end of exposure; dead at 4.5 hrs			
	М	Coma at end of exposure; dead at 3 days			
	F	Coma at end of exposure; food refusal for 10 days; slowly recovered			
165	F	Convulsions at 2 hrs; dead at 3 hrs of exposure			
	М	Coma from end of exposure to death at 4 hrs.			

Dudley and Neal, 1942

19

20

Results of a 4-week repeat exposure experiment using two dogs exposed to an average concentration of 56 ppm AN for 4 hours/day was reported by Dudley et al. (1942). After the first four hour exposure, one dog died in convulsions while the second dog developed a transient paralysis of the hind legs after the 5th, 13th and 14th exposure. Subsequent exposures were well tolerated.

3.1.4. Guinea pig

27 28

26

Results of 4-hour exposure experiments with guinea pigs (8-16 per group; - 695 g) are shown in Table 7 (Dudley and Neal, 1942). Neither redness of the skin nor eyes was observed in guinea pigs as it was in other species. Exposure to AN did cause watering of the eyes, nasal discharge, and coughing. As exposure increased, coughing was accompanied by moist breath

1 sounds. Exposures that were lethal in dogs had very little effect on guinea pigs. Delayed death

- 2 (3-6 days post exposure) was attributed to pulmonary edema.
- 3

	TABLE 7. Toxicity of AN Vapor in Guinea Pigs Exposed for 4 hours			
Exposure Conc. (ppm)	Mortality (%) During Exposure	Total Mortality (%)	Effects	
100	0	0	Slight to no effect	
265	0	0	Slight transitory effect; reduced feed consumption for	
			4 days	
575	25	63	Ocular and nasal irritation during exposure; delayed	
			death (3-6 days) probably from pulmonary edema	
1160	13	100	5 Dead within 1.5 hrs post exposure; 2 dead at 18 hrs	

Dudley and Neal, 1942

4 5 6

7

3.1.5. Cat

8 In the study by Dudley and Neal (1942), groups of 2-4 cats (gender not specified; - 3.6 9 kg) were exposed to AN for 4 hours. Exposure to 100 ppm produced only salivation and slight 10 transient effects (redness of the skin and mucosae) while exposure to 275 ppm resulted in more 11 severe effects (marked salivation, signs of pain) but no deaths. At 600 ppm, 100% mortality 12 (preceded by convulsions) occurred within 1.5 hour following exposure.

13 14

Four cats were exposed to 56 ppm AN (average concentration) 4 hours/day, 5 days/week for 8 weeks (Dudley et al., 1942). The cats occasionally vomited, were lethargic, and lost weight. One cat developed a transitory weakness of the hind legs after the 3rd exposure and died after the 11th exposure. The remaining three cats survived the entire exposure period with minimal effects.

18 19

20 3.1.6. Rabbit

21

In the Dudley and Neal (1942) report, groups of 2-3 albino rabbits (gender not specified; - 4.5 kg) were exposed to AN for 4 hours. Signs of exposure were similar to those observed for rats but the rabbits appeared to be more susceptible to AN-induced lethality. Exposure to 100 or 135 ppm produced slight to marked transitory effects. Exposure to 260 ppm killed 1 of 2 rabbits during exposure while the second died within 4-5 hours. Exposure to 580 ppm resulted in a similar response with the second rabbit dead within 3-4 hours.

28

In an 8-week repeat exposure study, three rabbits were exposed for 4 hours/day, 5
days/week to 100 ppm AN (average concentration) (Dudley et al., 1942). The rabbits survived
for the full exposure duration, but were drowsy and listless during exposure and gained no
weight gain. No additional effects were observed.

33

34 **3.2.** Nonlethal Toxicity

35 **3.2.1. Monkey**

36

No evidence of toxicity was observed in rhesus monkeys (four per group; gender not specified) exposed to 56 ppm AN (126 mg/m³) four hours/day, five days/week for 4-weeks

1 (Dudley et al., 1942). A slight increase in respiration upon initial exposure was the only effect

2 reported for 2 male and 2 female monkeys exposed for 4 hours to 65 ppm AN (Dudley and Neal,

3 1942). In the same study, two female monkeys exposed to 90 ppm AN for 4 hours exhibited

slight weakness, redness of the face and genitals, and a slight increase in respiratory rate. These
effects resolved within 12 hours post exposure. Details regarding generation of the test

6 atmospheres are provided in Section 3.1.1.

7

8 **3.2.2. Dog**

9

10 In a preliminary investigation into the toxicity of AN (Haskell Laboratory, 1942), exposure of 3 dogs (strain, gender, age, weight not specified) for 6 hours to 25 ppm AN caused a 11 rise in body temperature of at least 2EF. Exposure to 50 ppm resulted in a drop in body 12 13 temperature of as much as 1.6EF. Three dogs were exposed for 1.75 hours to 225 ppm AN. 14 Two of three dogs exhibited an initial marked increase in pulse rate followed by a decrease. 15 Blood pressure increased in 2 of 3 dogs and decreased in a third dog. Overt signs of exposure 16 included ocular and nasal irritation, vomiting, incoordination, and "noisy" respiration. All dogs 17 recovered within 24 hours.

18

Four dogs exposed to 30 ppm for 4 hours showed only slight salivation (Dudley and Neal, 1942). Severity of effects increased with increasing concentration. Exposure to 65 ppm produced weakness in one dog and coma in another while exposure to100 ppm resulted in convulsions in two of three dogs (see Table 6, Section 3.1.4). All of the dogs in these exposure groups fully recovered within 48 hours or less. Details regarding generation of the test atmospheres for these experiments are described in Section 3.1.1.

26 **3.2.3.** Cat

In the study by Dudley and Neal (1942), groups of 2-4 cats (gender not specified; - 3.6 kg) were exposed to 100 ppm AN for 4 hours exhibited only salivation and slight transient effects (redness of the skin and mucosae) while exposure to 275 ppm resulted in more severe effects (marked salivation, signs of pain) but no deaths.

3233 3.2.4. Rat

34

27

Dudley et al. (1942) exposed 16 rats to an average concentration of 100 ppm AN
5 days/week for 8 weeks. Slight lethargy during exposure was the only adverse effect observed.
During the test period, 3 of the 7 females gave birth and raised normal litters.

38

Results of a study by Bhooma et al. (1992) study demonstrated fibrin network formation in the lung of 6 male Wistar rats exposed to 100 ppm AN 5 hours/day for 5 days and observed for 28 days. Alveolar macrophage activity was elevated from post exposure day 1 to day 14 and returned to normal by day 28. Procoagulant activity in lavage fluid was unaltered for the first 5 days but elevated when assessed at days 14 and 28.

44

In the Dow Chemical study (Quast et al., 1980) study, rats exposed 6 hours/day, 5
days/week to 80 ppm AN exhibited "minimal changes microscopically in the respiratory
epithelium of the nasal turbinates of 80 ppm rats suggestive of slight degree of irritation" at the
6- month interim sacrifice interval. There was no mention of adverse effects associated with the

49 20-ppm exposure.

In the study by WIL Research Laboratories (2005), vocalization upon handling was reported for rats exposed (nose-only) to 539 ppm for 4 hours. Some rats exposed to 775 ppm exhibited ataxia, labored breathing, hyperactivity, and decreased urination and defecation during or after exposure. The rats in both groups were normal within 2 days (539-ppm group) or 8 days (775-ppm group) after exposure.

8 3.2.5. Rabbit

In the Dudley and Neal (1942) report, groups of 2-3 albino rabbits (gender not specified;
- 4.5 kg) exposed to 100 or 135 ppm AN for 4 hours produced slight to marked transitory effects
in respiratory pattern and signs of irritation.

14 **3.2.6.** Guinea pig

Dudley et al. (1942) also exposed 16 guinea pigs to an average concentration of 100 ppm
 AN days/week for 8 weeks. The guinea pigs gained weight moderately and exhibited slight
 lethargy during the exposure but no other adverse signs were observed.

19 20

21

1

9

13

15

3.3. Developmental/Reproductive Effects

22 In a developmental toxicity study conducted by Murray et al. (1978), groups of 30 23 pregnant Sprague-Dawley rats were exposed to 0, 40, or 80 ppm AN (>99 purity) 6 hrs/day on 24 gestation days 6 through 15. The exposure levels were selected based upon the TLV (20 ppm) 25 and preliminary results of a long-term inhalation toxicity study. Clinical signs (daily), maternal 26 body weight, feed consumption were monitored and gross necropsies were performed. Standard 27 developmental parameters were assessed. Gender and body weight, external abnormalities and 28 skeletal and soft-tissue anomalies of fetuses were evaluated. The rats were exposed in stainless 29 steel and glass Rochester type chambers (4.3 m^3) with dynamic airflow conditions. The AN 30 vapor was generated by metering AN into an airstream. The test atmosphere was analyzed by 31 gas-liquid chromatography three times per day. Time-weighted mean concentrations of AN 32 were 40" 2 and 77" 8 ppm (mean " s.d.)

33

34 Results of the Murray et al. (1978) study are summarized in Tables 8, 9, and 10. No 35 treatment-related signs of toxicity were observed during the exposure period. Mean body weight 36 and maternal body weight gain was significantly decreased during treatment in both dose groups. 37 Relative to controls, food consumption was decreased during gestation days 15-17 but increased 38 on days 18-20. Maternal liver weight was unaffected by AN exposure. Pregnancy incidence, 39 mean litter size, incidence of resorptions and average fetal body measurements were unaffected 40 by exposure to AN. A significant (p<0.06) increased incidence of total malformations was detected for litters from the 80-ppm group. Specific malformations included short tail, short 41 42 trunk, missing ribs, delayed ossification of skull bones, omphalocele and hemivertebrae; 43 observed only in the 80 ppm treatment group. Although the incidence of malformations was not 44 statistically increased compared to the control group, these high-dose effects were considered to be exposure-related, because of similar findings in a gavage study by Murray et al. (1976). The 45 46 investigators concluded that the data suggested a teratogenic effect of AN at 80 ppm but that 47 there was no evidence of teratogenicity or embryotoxicity in rats exposed to 40 ppm.

TABLE 8. Maternal	Foxicity Among Rats Exp	osed by Inhalation To Acr	ylonitrile (AN)
Donomotor			
r ai ameter	0 ppm	40 ppm	80 ppm
No. deaths/no. females	0/40	0/38	0/40
% pregnant (no.)	88 (35)	97 (37)	90 (36)
Additional pregnancies	0	0	0
(detected by stain)			
Body weight gain of dams	19" 5	1" 6*	-5" 10*
g.d. 6-9	43" 8	32" 14*	31" 17*
g.d. 10-15	82" 12	84" 22	92" 15
g.d. 16-20			
Liver weight (g.d. 21)	16.0" 1.8	15.9" 1.8	15.3" 1.6
Abs. (g)	38.6" 2.9	41.3" 3.1	40.3" 4.3
Rel. to b.w. (g/kg)			

* p<0.05 Murray et al., 1978

¹ 2

TABLE 9. Litter Data for Pregnant Rats Exposed to Acrylonitrile (AN) Vapor				
Parameter	Exposure concentration			
	0 ppm	40 ppm	80 ppm	
No. of litters	33	36	35	
Implantations/dam	13" 2	13" 2	12" 3	
Live fetuses/litter	13" 2	12" 2	12" 3	
Resorptions/litter	0.6" 0.7	0.7" 1.1	0.5" 0.6	
Fetal b.w. (g)	5.79" 0.33	5.72" 0.42	5.90" 0.25	
Fetal crown-rumplength (mm)	43.9" 2.1	43.5" 2.2	43.7" 2.2	
Murray et al., 1978				

3

Doromotor	Exposure concentration				
I al alleter) ppm	40 ppm	80 ppm		
No. fetuses/No. litters examined					
External & skeletal malformations	121/33	441/36	406/35		
Visceral malformations	40/33	148/36	136/35		
No. fetuses (litters) affected					
External malformations					
Short tail	0(0)	0(0)	2(2)		
Short trunk	0(0)	0(0)	1(1)		
Imperforate anus	0(0)	0(0)	0(0)		
Omphalocele	0(0)	1(1)	1(1)		
Visceral malformations					
Right-sided aortic arch	0(0)	0(0)	0(0)		
Missing kidney, unilateral	0(0)	0(0)	0(0)		
Anteriorly-displaced ovaries	0(0)	0(0)	1(1)		
Skeletal malformations					
Missing vertebrae	0(0)	2(1)	2(2)		
(associated with short tail)					
Missing two vertebrae and a pair of ribs	8(1)	2(1)	7(2)		
Hemivertebra	0(0)	0(0)	1(1)		
Total malformed	8(1)	3(2)	11(6)*		

*p<0.06 Murray et al., 1978

1 2

3 In a comparative study of the relative reproductive/developmental toxicities of aliphatic 4 mononitriles, Saillenfait et al. (1993a) exposed groups of 20-23 pregnant Sprague-Dawley rats to 5 0, 12, 25, 50 or 100 ppm AN (>99% purity) by inhalation for 6 hrs/day on gestation days 6 through 20, and euthanized on day 21. Clinical signs of toxicity, maternal body weight, and feed 6 7 consumption were monitored, and gross necropsies were performed. Fetal examinations 8 included gender ratio and body weight, external abnormalities and skeletal and soft-tissue 9 anomalies. The rats were exposed to the test article in 200 liter stainless steel chambers (23 EC, 50% rel. humidity) with dynamic and adjustable laminar air flow (10-20 m^3/hr). The AN vapor 10 was generated by bubbling air through a flask containing AN, the concentration in the chamber 11 12 being calculated from the ratio of the amount of AN vaporized to the total chamber air flow 13 during the test period. Concentration of AN was determined analytically by hourly sampling and 14 gas-liquid chromatography.

15

16 There were no maternal deaths, but a concentration-dependent decreased absolute body 17 weight gain was observed (significant at p<0.01 at three highest dose groups; 25.1g, 16.1 g, -0.1 g, -7.8 g, and -24.3 g, respectively for the 0, 12, 25, 50, and 100 ppm groups). There was no 18 19 adverse effect on pregnancy rate, average number of implantations or number of live fetuses, 20 incidences of non-surviving implants and resorptions, or fetal sex ratio (Table 11). A 21 statistically significant (p<0.01 to 0.005; see Table 11) exposure-related reduction in fetal 22 weights was observed at 25 ppm and higher concentrations. Evaluation of external, visceral and 23 skeletal variations in the fetuses revealed no AN-related effects. The NOAEL for maternal and 24 developmental toxicity was 12 ppm based on the absence of fetal body weight effect.

25

TABLE 11. Reproductive parameters in rats exposed to acrylonitrile (AN) vaporon gestation days 6-20.					
Parameter	0 ppm	12 pm	25 ppm	50 ppm	100 ppm
No. deaths of treated females	0/20	0/21	0/21	0/20	0/21
% Pregnant at euthanization	100.0	95.2	95.2	90.0	90.5
No. examined litters	20	20	20	18	19
Implantations sites ^a	13.65" 2.81	14.80" 1.99	14.40" 3.38	15.11" 2.00	14.37" 2.17
Live fetuses/litter ^a	12.30" 4.09	14.00" 2.18	13.85" 3.26	14.50" 1.89	13.63" 2.22
% Non-surviving implants/litter ^a	10.40" 22.75	5.44" 7.38	3.49" 6.10	3.89" 5.37	4.94" 8.33
% Resorption sites/litter ^a	10.40" 22.75	5.11" 6.46	3.49" 6.10	3.89" 5.37	4.94" 8.33
Fetal sex ratio (M:F) %	1.05	0.96	1.23	1.10	0.96
Fetal b.w	5.95" 0.28	5.79" 0.28	5.64" 0.36**	5.54" 0.24**	5.04" 0.36**
%	5.66" 0.36	5.51" 0.27	5.37" 0.28*	5.18" 0.25**	4.90" 0.49**
&					

^a Mean "s.d.

* p<0.05; **p<0.01

Saillenfait et al., 1993a

- 26
- 27

28 **3.4.** Genotoxicity

29

The gentotoxicity of AN in animal test systems has been extensively reviewed (Sapphire Group, 2004). AN has been shown to be weakly mutagenic in *Salmonella typhimurium* but metabolic activation (S9) appears to be required. In *Escherichia coli* and in rodent test systems, metabolic activation is not required, but tends to enhance a weak AN-induced response. Results

34 from most *in vivo* mammalian cell assays (chromosome aberration induction in mouse and rat

bone marrow micronucleus, and sister chromatid exchange induction in mice, and induction of 1

2 dominant lethal mutations in rat and mouse sperm) were negative. However, positive results were detected in a variety of *in vitro* assays although *in vivo* clastogenicity was not

3 4 demonstrated. In the Sapphire Group assessment, it was suggested that variability in results

5 between in vitro and in vivo tests for AN-induced chromosomal damage may be a function of the

6 metabolism of AN in intact animals versus that in cultured cells. Specifically, metabolism of 2-

7 cyanoethylene oxide may be less efficient in animals, or there may be rapid detoxification and

8 elimination of 2-cyanoethylene oxide, thereby limiting the interaction with DNA. Considering

9 the positive results for genotoxicity from *in vitro* studies and the generally negative results from

10 in vivo studies, the evidence of AN-induced genotoxicity animals is limited.

11 12

13

3.5. Carcinogenicity

14 A 12-month cancer bioassay was conducted by Maltoni et al. (1977). In this study groups of 30 male and 30 female rats were exposed by inhalation to 5, 10, 20, or 40 ppm of AN 15 16 for 4 hours/day, 5 days/week. A group of rats exposed to clean air served as the control group. The rats were observed until death. Body weight was unaffected by the AN exposure. There 17 18 was statistically significant increase in the percentage of animals with benign and malignant 19 tumors (P < 0.01) and malignant tumors alone (P < 0.01). The total malignant tumors per 100 20 animals was noted for several treated groups, but lacked a definitive dose-response relationship. 21 There was no increase in Zymbal's gland tumors, extrahepatic angiosarcomas, or hepatomas. 22 Encephalic glioma incidence was increased in rats exposed to 20 ppm (3.3%; 3/60) and 40 ppm 23 (5%; 3/60). Although not statistically significant, this response was considered by the 24 investigators to be of possible biological relevance because the brain was shown to be a target 25 organ in the oral administration part of the study.

26

27 Maltoni et al (1988) also conducted experiments in which groups of 54 breeder female 28 rats (Group I) were exposed to 60 ppm AN 4 hours/day, 5 days/week for 7 weeks followed by 7 29 hours/day, 5 days/week for 97 weeks. A group of 54 untreated breeder female rats served as 30 controls (Group II). Following transplacental exposure in the pregnant rats in the 31 aforementioned group, inhalation exposure of offspring continued at 4 hours/day, 7 days/week for 7 weeks followed by 7 hour/day, 5 days/ week for 97 weeks (Group Ia), or 4 hours/day, 5 32 days/week for 7 weeks followed by 7 hours/day, 5 days/week for 8 weeks (Group Ib). Offspring 33 34 group size was 67 males and 54 females in the former exposure protocol and 60 of each gender in the latter protocol. The control offspring group (Group IIa) included 158 males and 149 35 females. Percent of animals with malignant tumors was 37% (20/54) in Group I and 15% (9/60) 36 37 in the Group II (control). For the offspring in group Ia, the percent of animals (males + females) 38 was 54.5%; 66/121) and for Group Ib 33.3% (40/120). For control group II, percent of animals 39 with malignant tumors was 16.7% (10/60) and for Group IIb was 17.9% (55/307).

40

41 In the long-term inhalation study of Quast et al. (1980), Sprague-Dawley (Spartan 42 substrain) rats (100/sex/concentration) were exposed by inhalation to 0 (control), 20 ppm, and 80 43 ppm AN for 6 hours/day, 5 days/week for two years (analytical concentrations were 20.1" 2.1 44 and 79.5" 7.3 ppm' respectively, at the 6-month sacrifice). A control group was exposed to clean air. The groups also included animals for interim sacrifices at 6 months (7/gender/dose) and 12 45 46 months (13/gender/dose). Hematology, urinalysis, and clinical chemistry assessments were performed at specific intervals. Clinical observations included body weight, mortality, clinical 47 appearance, onset of tumors, and frequency observed palpable tumors. All rats, regardless of 48 time of death, were subjected to gross pathology examinations. 49

1 2 Alterations in the aforementioned clinical observations occurred earliest and with highest 3 frequency in the high dose (80 ppm) group. Non-neoplastic effects for both exposure groups 4 included exposure concentration-related inflammation and degeneration of tissue in the nasal 5 turbinates (mucosa suppurative rhinitis, hyperplasia, focal erosions, and squamous metaplasia of 6 the respiratory epithelium, with hyperplasia of the mucous secreting cells). Mortality rate was 7 significantly increased (p < 0.05) during the first year in both male and female rats of the 80 ppm 8 group and for females of the 20 ppm group during the last 10 weeks of the study. The increased 9 mortality for the 20 ppm females was the result of early sacrifice due to benign mammary gland 10 tumors. Although these tumors are known to occur spontaneously and at a high rate in Sprague-Dawley rats, they were observed earlier and at higher frequency in AN-exposed animals. Focal 11 perivascular cuffing and gliosis was reported in the brain of male rats at 20 (2/99; p<0.05) and 80 12 13 ppm 7/99 (p<0.05), and 2/100 and 8/100 (p<0.05), respectively, for females in the 20-ppm and 80-ppm groups. There was an increased incidence of brain tumors (p<0.05 for both male and 14 females at the 80 ppm exposure level compared to the controls) identified histopathologically as 15 16 focal or multifocal glial cell tumors (astrocytomas). Proliferative glial cell lesion incidence was 17 significantly increased in the 80 ppm males only.

18

19 Deaths of rats in the Quast et al. (1980) study were often attributable to severe ulceration 20 of the Zymbal's gland or mammary tissue tumors, and suppurative pneumonia (80-ppm group only) resulting from AN-induced pulmonary irritation. The frequency of Zymbal's gland tumors 21 22 was significantly increased (11/100; p<0.05) in both male and female animals in the 80 ppm group; in females the highest incidence occurred during the 13 to 18 month interval. An 23 24 incidence of 3/100 was observed in males exposed to 20 ppm (1/100 for controls). No Zymbal's 25 gland tumors were seen in 20-ppm females. Tumor type and incidence data are summarized in 26 Table 12.

27

TABLE 12. Tumor Type And Incidence Data For Rats Exposed to Acrylonitrile (AN) Vapor					
Exposure		Tongue	Mammary	Small Intestine	
Concentratio	Zymbals Gland	Papilloma/	Gland	Cystadeno-	Brain
n (ppm)	Carcinoma	Carcinoma	Fibroadenoma	carcinoma	astrocytoma
Males					
0	1/100	1/96	-	2/99	0/100
20	3/100	0/14	-	2/20	4/99
80	11/100*	7/89*	-	14/98*	15/99*
		Fe	males		
0	0/100	-	79/100	-	0/100
20	0/100	-	95/100*	-	4/100*
80	10/100*	_	75/100	_	17/100*

*Significantly different from control group (p<0.05) Ouast et al., 1980

30 3.6. **Summary**

31

32 Acute exposure data from tests with various laboratory species (monkey, rat, dog, rabbit, guinea pig, cat) revealed qualitatively similar responses ranging from mild irritation (redness of 33 34 exposed skin, lacrimation, nasal discharge) and mild effects on ventilation and cardiovascular responses to severe respiratory effects, convulsions, and death. Four-hour exposure to

35 concentrations ranging from 30 to 100 ppm produced little or no effect in all species except dogs 36

²⁸ 29

which exhibited severe effects at 100 ppm. Results of a recent nose-only exposure study in rats 1 2 showed that concentrations up to 50 ppm for 6 hours or 225 ppm for 1.75 hours produced only 3 minor transient effects on blood pressure. Lethality in rats appears to occur at cumulative 4 exposure of 1800-1900 ppm@rs for 0.5 to 6-hour exposure durations, although for nose-only 5 exposures this is notably higher (- 3800 ppm@rs). Lethality data for various exposure durations 6 and exposure concentrations suggest a near linear relationship (i.e., n = 1.1 for $C^n \ge t = k$). Death 7 may be delayed especially at the lower limits of lethal exposures. One study provided evidence for teratogenic effects in rats following gestational exposure of dams to 80 ppm but not 40 ppm 8 9 AN. Another study showed an exposure-related decrease in fetal weight following gestational 10 exposure of dams to 25, 50, or 100 ppm AN; no other reproductive/developmental effects were detected. Results of *in vitro* testing suggest that AN is weakly mutagenic. Results of *in vivo* 11 12 mammalian cell assays measuring various endpoints were generally negative Results of inhalation exposure cancer bioassays have shown that AN is carcinogenic in rats. The brain, 13 14 spinal cord, Zymbal's gland, tongue, nonglandular stomach, small intestine, and mammary gland have all been identified as targets. 15 16 17 4. SPECIAL CONSIDERATIONS 18 4.1. **Metabolism and Disposition** 19 20 Following inhalation exposure, AN will undergo rapid absorption by passive diffusion. 21 Data from 6 human male volunteers exposed to AN (5 or 22 ppm) for 8 hours indicated that about 52% of the inhaled AN was retained (Jakubowski et al., 1987). Approximately 91.5% 22 retention was reported for rats exposed 1800 ppm (3,900 mg/m³) AN with absorption exhibiting 23 24 a biphasic pattern (Peter and Bolt, 1984). These investigators also reported that rhesus monkeys absorbed nearly all AN after 6 hours. 25 26

27 Absorbed AN is readily distributed throughout the body. Kedderis et al.(1996) reported 28 detection of AN and 2-cyanoethylene oxide (CEO) in blood, brain, and liver of Fisher F-344 rat 29 three hours after exposure to 186, 254, or 291 ppm. Concentrations of AN and CEO tended to 30 be greatest in the brain than in liver, and decreased rapidly following cessation of exposure. GSH depletion was shown to enhance tissue uptake of AN into brain, stomach, liver, kidney, and 31 32 blood of GSH-depleted (phorone/buthionine sulfoximine treatment) F-344 rats (Pilon et al., 1988b). GSH depletion, however, resulted in a decrease in total radioactivity recovered in brain, 33 stomach, liver, kidney, and blood and a decrease in the nondialyzable radioactivity (AN-derived) 34 35 in the same organs. Control rats showed an accumulation of radiolabel which was greatest in 36 brain RNA; no radioactivity was detected in DNA of any organ examined. In the GSH-depleted 37 rats, radiolabel was greater in brain RNA than in that of the liver or stomach, but was only about 38 half that observed in brain RNA of control rats.

39

Excretion of AN and its metabolites is primarily via the urine, with feces and exhaled air
being minor routes of excretion. AN and its metabolites have been detected in the urine of
exposed workers. Perbellini et al. (1998) reported that levels of AN in urine of pre- and postshift workers were greater than in non-exposed controls.

44

At 24 hours after inhalation exposure of male Sprague-Dawley rats to 0, 4, 20, or 100 ppm AN for 6 hours, 2-cyanoethylmercapturic acid, 2-hydroxyethylmercapturic acid, and thiocyanate were measured in the urine (Tardif et al, 1987). The relationship between total urinary metabolites and exposure appeared to be linear. A dose-dependent excretion profile was reported for male Wistar rats following inhalation exposure to 1, 5, 10, 50, or 100 ppm AN for 8

hours (Müller et al.1987). Cyanoethyl mercaturic acid, S-carboxymethyl cysteine, hydroxyethyl
mercapturic acid, and thioglycolic acid were detected as urinary metabolites. The investigators
concluded that urinary metabolite profiles may be useful for biological monitoring of industrial
exposure. Specifically, unmetabolized AN and the metabolites, cyanoethyl mercapturic acid and
thioglycolic acid, were considered important.

7 AN toxicity appears to be directly related to its metabolism. Two major metabolism 8 pathways have been described (Dahl and Waruszewski, 1989; Fennell et al., 1991; Kedderis et 9 al., 1993; Burka et al., 1994; Gargas et al., 1995, Sumner et al., 1999). One pathway is conjugation with glutathione and the second is epoxidation by microsomal cytochrome P4502E1 10 which forms CEO. Metabolites from both pathways are subject to additional biotransformation. 11 12 The glutathione conjugate may form a mercapturic acid which is excreted in urine. CEO is 13 further metabolized via conjugation with glutathione (catalysis with cytosolic GST or nonenzymatically) resulting in additional conjugates and via hydrolysis by microsomal epoxide 14 hydrolase (EH). The secondary metabolites of CEO may also be further metabolized. Cyanide 15 16 may be generated via the EH pathway and by one of the GSH conjugation products. Cyanide, in turn, is detoxified to thiocyanate via rhodanese-mediated reactions with thiosulfate. Thiocyanate 17 18 has been detected in the blood and urine of volunteer subjects following exposure to AN (21-51 19 ppm for 30 minutes) (Wilson and McCormick, 1949). 20

Vodi.ka et al. (1990) provided data showing that rats exposed for 6 hours to 75, 150, or
300 mg AN/m³ (equivalent to 35, 69, and 138 ppm AN, respectively) excreted as thioethers
35.0%, 22.7%, and 18.1%, respectively, of the dose within 24 hours. About one-third to onehalf of the excretion occurred during the 6-hour exposure.

Benz and Nerland (2005) reported on the effect of cytochrome P450 inhibitors and anticonvulsants on the toxicity of AN in male Sprague-Dawley rats. Treatment of rats with 1benzylimidazole and ethanol effectively reduced blood cyanide levels and early seizures in rats given an LD₉₀ subcutaneous dose of AN but did not affect the clonic convulsions that precede death or AN-induced mortality, thereby suggesting that AN is acutely toxic even in the absence of cyanide.

33 4.2. Mechanism of Toxicity

34

-... Witchumsmi of Toxicity

The mechanism by which AN causes irritation is unknown. Nasal tissue damage in rats may be related to metabolism of AN by this tissue (Dahl and Waruszewski, 1989). Hematologic effects may be due to AN and CEO hemoglobin adducts (Bergmark, 1997; Fennell et al., 2000) while GSH depletion in red blood cells may result in the oxidation of hemoglobin to methemoglobin (Farooqui and Ahmed, 1983a).

40

41 Generally, the toxic effects following acute inhalation exposure to AN appear to be 42 irritation of the respiratory tract and the metabolism of AN to cyanide. Acrylonitrile-induced 43 neurological effects in laboratory animals appear to involve the parent compound and the 44 cvanide metabolite. The pivotal role cvanide in the acute toxicity of a series of aliphatic nitriles 45 has been clearly demonstrated (Willhite and Smith, 1981). AN-induced convulsions, are likely the result of cyanide resulting from AN metabolism (Ghanayem et al., 1991; Nerland et al., 46 47 1989) although results of metabolism studies by Benz and Nerland (2005) suggest that only the 48 early seizures are cyanide-mediated and that severe clonic convulsions preceding death may be 49 due to parent compound as previously described in Section 4.1. Other possible modes of action

1 include inhibition of glyceraldehyde-3-phosphate dehydrogenase, by binding to critical cysteine

- 2 residues (Campian et al., 2002) and ATP production by cyanide with respect to CNS effects.
- Additionally, it has been hypothesized that AN-induced oxidative stress may be related to some neurological effects (Fechter et al., 2003).
- 4 5

6

7

8

Cyanide formation by dams may be responsible, in part, for the developmental toxicity of AN in animals. may be associated with the release of cyanide during maternal metabolism of AN. Saillenfait and Sabate (2000) reported that a series of aliphatic nitriles produced

9 embryotoxicity similar to that observed for sodium cyanide. Saillenfait et al. (1993b) suggested
 0 that glutathione depletion may be involved in the embryotoxicity of inhaled AN in rats.

10 11 12

13

4.3. Structure-Activity Relationships

Willhite and Smith (1981) demonstrated the importance of the AN metabolite, cyanide,
in the lethal response of CD-1 mice following intraperitoneal injections of acetonitrile,
proprionitrile, acrylonitrile, *n*-butyronitrile, malonitrile, or succinonitrile. In studies on the
effects of P450 inhibitors and anticonvulsants, Benz and Nerland (2005) reported that AN
appears to have inherent acute toxicity even in the absence of cyanide. With the data available
for AN and considering the apparent complexity of AN acute toxicity compared to other nitriles,
structure-activity was not used in the derivation of AEGL values.

21 22

4.4. Species Variability

23 24 The effects of acute inhalation exposure to AN are qualitatively similar among several 25 animal species (monkey, dog, cat, rat, rabbit, guinea pig). Nerland et al. (1989) categorized the clinical signs of acute inhalation exposure to AN into four stages: 1) an excitatory phase 26 27 characterized by lacrimation and agitation, 2) a tranquil phase in which cholinergic responses 28 (salivation, lachrymation, urination, defecation) occur, 3) a convulsive stage characterized by 29 clonic seizures, and 4) a terminal stage characterized by paralysis and death. At least some the 30 variability in the toxic response to acrylonitrile may be a function of the cyanide metabolite and activity levels of rhodanese. Drawbaugh et al. (1987) reported dogs to have relatively lower 31 levels of rhodanese and that rats had relatively high levels; overall species variability was about 32 33 3-fold. Results of experiments by Dudley and Neal (1942) also indicated that the dog was the 34 most sensitive species.

35

36 Species differences in metabolism of AN are notable. Both rats and mice appear to form 37 CEO at a greater rate (1.5-fold and 4-fold, respectively) than humans (Roberts et al., 1991). 38 Although the rate of CEO formation was greater in mice, levels of CEO were only a third that 39 found in rats (Roberts et al., 1991) suggesting difference between these rodent species. The conjugation rate for CEO with GSH is reportedly faster in humans (1.5-fold) than in mice or rats 40 (Kedderis et al., 1995). The hydrolysis of CEO by EH is notably higher in humans and virtually 41 42 absent in mice and rats (Kedderis et al., 1995). Based upon spectral analysis of AN interaction 43 with microsomal preparations from rats, mice, and humans, Appel et al. (1981b) conclude that 44 rats resemble humans more closely than do mice. 45

46 **4.5.** Susceptible populations

- 47 48
- Due to the pivotal role of oxidative metabolism of AN in the formation of cyanide,

- 1 alterations in oxidative metabolism capacity (e.g., induction or inhibition of CYP2E1) may
- 2 affect cyanide production rate (induction resulting in greater cyanide formation). Because
- 3 cyanide detoxification may be affected by variances in sulfane sulfur as a source for thiocyanate
- formation via rodanese, individuals with lower circulating levels of sulfane sulfur (e.g., low
 cysteine content diets) may have lowered capacity for cyanide detoxification. It is the net
- 6 difference between the capacities of these processes that will ultimately determine the overall
- 7 cyanide-induced toxicity.
- 8

Results of a study examining the relationship between cigarette smoking, AN-derived
hemoglobin adducts (N-(2-cyanoethyl)valine), and null genotypes for glutathione transferase
(GSTM1 and GSTT1) were reported by Fennell et al. (2000). Analysis of the GST genotypes
(by blood analysis) from 16 nonsmokers and 32 smokers (one to two packs/day) showed that
hemoglobin adduct levels increased with increased cigarette smoking dose. Because the GSTM1
and GSTT1 genotypes had little effect on adduct levels concentrations, the results suggest that
GST polymorphism may not be relevant to assessing susceptibility to AN toxicity.

- 15 16
- 17 **4.6.** Concurrent Exposure Issues

Concurrent exposure to agents capable of altering CYP2E1 function or glutathione levels
 may affect the biotransformation of AN and, therefore, its potential toxicity. Data are
 unavailable to allow for a quantitative adjustment of AEGL values due to potential concurrent
 exposure issues.

23

24

5. DATA ANALYSIS FOR AEGL-1

25 5.1. Human Data Relevant to AEGL-126

27 Occupational exposure of 16-100 ppm for 20-45 minutes produced headache, nasal and 28 ocular irritation, discomfort of the chest, nervousness and irritability (Wilson, et al. 1948). Such 29 effects are of greater severity than the AEGL-1 tier definition. Occupational exposure to 0.3 to 3 30 ppm for approximately 3 three years produced similar effects (Babanov et al., 1959). Sakurai et 31 al. (1978) reported that workers routinely exposed to approximately 5 ppm AN in an acrylic fiber 32 factory experienced initial conjunctival irritation to which some degree of accommodation 33 occurred. Six informed male volunteer subjects (including the investigators) exposed to 2.3 and 4.6 ppm AN for 8 hours reported no symptoms of exposure (Jakubowski et al., 1987). A report 34 35 of occupational exposures indicated that exposure to AN at 10 ppm or less was without effects 36 while exposure to 12-15 ppm produced ocular irritation and headache regardless of exposure 37 duration (NAC/AEGL pers. communication).

38

39 5.2. Animal Data Relevant to AEGL-1

40 41 Dudley et al. (1942) reported that rhesus monkeys exposed to 65 ppm AN for 4 hours 42 exhibited no adverse effects. Nonlethal responses in rats included slight to marked transitory effects upon exposure to 665 ppm for 0.5 or 1 hour, 305 ppm for 2 hours, 130 ppm for 4 hours, 43 44 and 90 ppm for 8 hours. Four-hour exposure of dogs to 30 ppm, and guinea pigs, cats, and rabbits to 100 ppm resulted in slight to moderate transitory effects. The WIL Research 45 46 Laboratories report (2005) reported only vocalization upon handling for rats exposed (nose-only) 47 to 539 ppm for 4 hours. Some rats exposed to 775 ppm exhibited ataxia, hyperactivity, and 48 decreased urination and defecation. Other lethality bioassay reports simply indicated some 49 exposures as nonlethal with no details regarding the presence or absence of nonlethal effects.

1 2

5.3. Derivation of AEGL-1 Values

3 4 The most relevant data for AEGL-1 derivation is the human response data reported by 5 Jakubowski et al. (1987) regarding the absence of effects in volunteer subjects exposed for 8 6 hours to 4.6 ppm AN. This is consistent with the report by Sakurai et al. (1978) in which 7 workers routinely exposed to approximately 5 ppm AN experienced initial conjunctival irritation 8 and for which there was some accommodation. It is also consistent with more recent 9 occupational exposure data indicating that exposure to 10 ppm was without effect while 10 exposure to 12-15 ppm produced ocular irritation and headache regardless of exposure duration 11 (NAC/AEGL pers. communication). It is reasonable to assume that for AEGL-1 severity effects, 12 individual variability in the response to AN would vary no more than 3-fold, thereby indicating a 13 point-of-departure (POD) of about 5 ppm (3-fold reduction of the 15 ppm concentration from 14 occupational exposure data). Therefore, measured 4.6 ppm reported by Jakubowski et (1987) 15 was considered an appropriate POD for AEGL-1 derivation. This is slightly lower than the no-16 effect level of 10 ppm noted in the occupational exposure findings but is appropriate for the general public who may not be accustomed to acrylonitrile exposure as would workers. Because 17 18 occupational exposure data indicated the occurrence and severity of minor effects (ocular 19 irritation and headache) to be independent of exposure duration, the AEGL-1 values were held 20 constant at 4.6 ppm across all exposure durations. In light of results of studies showing only 21 mild effects (headache, nervousness, fatigue, nausea, and insomnia) following subchronic 22 occupational exposure to AN levels possibly as high 10-20 ppm (Wilson et al., 1948; Sakurai et 23 al., 1978), further reduction of the AEGL-1 value by increased uncertainty factor application 24 does not appear warranted. AEGL-1 values are shown in Table 13 and their derivation shown in 25 Appendix A.

26

	TAB	LE 13. AEGL-1 V	Values for Acrylo	nitrile	
Classification	10-min	30-min	1-hr	4-hr	8-hr
AEGL-1	4.6 ppm	4.6 ppm	4.6 ppm	24.6 ppm	4.6 ppm

27 28 29

6. DATA ANALYSIS FOR AEGL-2

30 6.1. Human Data Relevant to AEGL-231

There are no quantitative exposure-response data regarding AEGL-2 type effects in
 humans. Occupational exposure studies reported varying levels of irritation and reversible
 effects.

36 6.2. Animal Data Relevant to AEGL-2

Results of studies with laboratory species show that AEGL-2 type effects in animals include changes in respiratory patterns, tremors, and convulsions, the severity of which appear to increase immediately prior to death. The onset of most of the more severe effects are usually preceded by varying signs of irritation (salivation, redness of skin, lacrimation). Post exposure observation in multiple species showed qualitatively similar effects and that that even severe effects are often reversible upon cessation of exposure.

44

1 The report by Dudley and Neal (1942) provides data for six species (monkey, rat, dog, 2 guinea pig, rabbit, and cat). For rats 0.5-, 1-, 2-, 4-, or 8-hour exposure to 2445, 1270, 305, and 3 135 ppm AN, respectively, produced reversible effects. Apple et al (1981) provided data for rats 4 showing that 10-minute exposure to 2400 ppm or 30-minute exposure to 1600 ppm was not 5 lethal to rats. Dogs were more sensitive to the effects of AN as demonstrated by convulsions and 6 coma at exposures as low as 65 ppm for 4 hours (Dudley and Neal, 1942). Results of a nose-7 only experiment with rats showed that 4-hour exposure to 775 ppm was not lethal but details 8 were lacking regarding the attribution of observed effects (tremors, ataxia, labored breathing, 9 hypoactivity, and gasping) to these exposures. For rabbits, 4-hour exposure up to 135 ppm AN 10 produced slight to marked, but reversible, effects (Dudley and Neal, 1942).

11 12

13

6.3. Derivation of AEGL-2 Values

14 The AEGL-2 values are based upon data from rats (16/group) showing slight transient 15 effects (ocular and nasal irritation) following a 2-hour exposure to 305 ppm (Dudley and Neal, 16 1942). All effects resolved within 12 hours post exposure. The interspecies uncertainty factor was limited to 3 because a non-human primate is considered a more relevant model than rodents, 17 18 dogs or cats. The intraspecies uncertainty factor was limited to 3 because the effects associated 19 with acute irritation effects of AN are not likely to vary greatly among individuals and because 20 metabolism may be of limited relevance regarding such effects. Additional uncertainty factor 21 application would also result in AEGL-2 values unacceptably similar to AEGL-1 values that are 22 based upon human exposure data. Time scaling for AEGL-2 specific durations from the 4-hour experimental POD was performed using $C^n \ge t = k$, where n = 1.1 (ten Berge et al., 1986). 23 24 Occupational exposure data showed that routine exposure to 10-20 ppm (up to ~2-fold higher 25 than the 8-hr AEGL-2) resulted in complaints of headache, fatigue, nausea, and insomnia (Wilson et al. (1948; Sakurai et al. (1978) which are neither irreversible nor escape-impairing 26 27 effects. The AEGL-2 values for acrylonitrile are shown in Table 14 and their derivation 28 summarized in Appendix A.

29

	TAE	BLE 14. AEGL-2	Values for Acrylo	onitrile	
Classification	10-min	30-min	1-h	4-h	8-h
AEGL-2	290 ppm	110 ppm	57 ppm	16 ppm	8.6 ppm

30 31

35

36

37

39

32 7. DATA ANALYSIS FOR AEGL-3

33 7.1. Human Data Relevant to AEGL-334

Quantitative exposure-response data in humans regarding the lethality of AN are not available.

38 7.2. Animal Data Relevant to AEGL-3

Lethality data in multiple laboratory species (monkey, rat, dog, rabbit, guinea pig, cat) are available. Lethality in rats appears to occur at cumulative exposure of 1800-1900 ppm@rs for 0.5 to 6-hour exposure durations, although for nose-only exposures this is notably higher (- 3800 ppm@rs). Lethal response data for monkeys were not available. Dogs were the most sensitive species with lethality in one of two dogs observed following a 4-hour exposure to 65 ppm. However, a 4-hour exposure of 4 dogs to 100 ppm resulted in no deaths while exposure to

1 110 ppm killed 2 of 3 dogs. Data for rats was most extensive. Dudley and Neal (1942)

2 provided response data for rats exposed for 0.5, 1, 2. 4, or 8 hours. Thirty-minute exposure of

rats to AN levels as high as 2445 ppm were without lethal effect. Exposure to 1270 ppm for 1
hour, 305 ppm for 2 hours, 130 ppm for 4 hours, or 135 ppm for 8 hours did not result in deaths

hour, 305 ppm for 2 hours, 130 ppm for 4 hours, or 135 ppm for 8 hours did not result in deaths of any rats (16/group). A four-hour LC_{50} of 333 ppm was reported for rats (du Pont & Co.,

6 1968). At higher exposure rats died within 2 to 4 hours into the exposure period while deaths

7 following exposure occurred between 7 minutes and 18 hours; there was a 14-day observation

8 period. There were no deaths among 10 rats exposed to 1030 ppm AN for 1 hour (Vernon et al.

9 1990). A 33% mortality (1 of 3 rats) was reported for exposures of 650 ppm for 180 minutes,

950 ppm for 120 minutes, and 2600 ppm for 30 minutes and no deaths at exposures of 1600 ppm
for 30 minutes or 2400 ppm for 10 minutes (Appel et al., 1981a).

11 12

13

7.3. Derivation of AEGL-3 Values

14 15 The AEGL-3 values were derived using BMCL₀₅ as estimates of lethality thresholds. 16 Data for 30-minute, 1-, 4-, and 8-hour AEGL-specific exposure periods are available from the reports by Apple et al. (1981) and Dudley and Neal (1942). A 30-minute BMCL₀₅ of 1784 ppm 17 was calculated from the Appel et al. (1981a) data. The 1-hr, 2-hr, 4-hr, and 8-hr BMCL₀₅ values 18 19 derived from lethality data published by Dudley and Neal (1942) are 1024.4, 491.3, 179.5 and 20 185.8 ppm, respectively, for rats exposed to various concentrations of AN for 1, 2, 4, or 8 hours. 21 With the exception of the 4-hour value, the resulting BMCL₀₅ values are relationally consistent across time and were used to derive corresponding AEGL-3 values. The 4-hr value was not used 22 23 due to this inconsistency. Consequently, the 4-hour AEGL-3 was time-scaled using the 8-hour 24 $BMCL_{05}$ of 185.9 ppm. Although the dog appeared to be the most sensitive species, the overall 25 database for rats is more robust thereby justifying use of the rat data. Further justification for limiting the interspecies uncertainty factor to 3 comes from PBPK models demonstrating that 26 27 predicted concentrations of AN and the metabolite CEO in blood and brain were similar in rats 28 and humans exposed by inhalation. The PBPK model for AN and CEO disposition in humans 29 utilized human in vitro data and scaling from a rat model (Kedderis and Fennell, 1996) that 30 incorporated major biotransformation and reactivity pathways. These included metabolism of 31 AN to glutathione conjugates and CEO, reaction rates of AN and CEO with glutathione and tissue components, and the metabolism of CEO by hydrolysis and glutathione conjugation. For 32 33 effects resulting from a single acute exposure, an intraspecies uncertainty factor of 3 may be considered sufficient for accounting for variability in metabolism-mediated effects. Additional 34 uncertainty factor application would result in incompatibility between AEGL-3 and AEGL-2 35 36 values. The resulting AEGL-3 values are shown in Table 15. and their derivation is summarized 37 in Appendix A.

38

	TA	BLE 15. AEGL-3 V	alues for Acrylonit	·ile	
Classification	10-min	30-min	1-h	4-h	8-h
AEGL-3	480 ppm	180 ppm	100 ppm	35 ppm	19 ppm

39 40

41 8. SUMMARY OF AEGLs

42 8.1. AEGL Values and Toxicity Endpoints

43

44 A summary of AEGL values is shown in Table 16. The AEGL-1 values are based upon 45 the absence of effects in male volunteer subjects in a controlled exposure study (Jakubowski et

1 al., 1987) and occupational exposure data showing ocular irritation and headache at 16-20 ppm.

2 The AEGL-2 values are based upon slight transient effect (ocular and nasal irritation) in rats

3 exposed to 305 ppm AN for 2 hours. The AEGL-3 values were derived based upon an estimated

4 lethality threshold (BMCL₀₅) in rats, the species for which the most lethality data are available. 5

	ТА	BLE 16. AEGL V	alues for Acrylonit	rile	
Classification	10-min	30-min	1-h	4-h	8-h
AEGL-1	4.6 ppm	4.6 ppm	4.6 ppm	4.6 ppm	4.6 ppm
(Nondisabling)					
AEGL-2	290 ppm	110 ppm	57 ppm	16 ppm	8.6 ppm
(Disabling)					
AEGL-3	480 ppm	180 ppm	100 ppm	35 ppm	19 ppm
(Lethality)				-	-

6 7

8

9

8.2. Comparisons with Other Standards and Guidelines

10 The AEGL values and existing standards and guidelines for acrylonitrile are summarized 11 in Table 17. The 30-minute AEGL-2 value is consistent with the NIOSH IDLH and the 1-hour 12 AEGL values are consistent with the ERPG values developed by AIHA.

13

Т	ABLE 17. Extant	Standards and	Guidelines for A	crylonitrile	
]	Exposure Duratio	n	
Guideline	10 min	30 min	1 h	4 h	8 h
AEGL-1	4.6ppm	4.6ppm	4.6 ppm	4.6 ppm	4.6 ppm
AEGL-2	290 ppm	110 ppm	57 ppm	16 ppm	8.6 ppm
AEGL-3	480 ppm	180 ppm	100 ppm	35 ppm	19 ppm
ERPG-1 (AIHA) ^a			10 ppm		
ERPG-2 (AIHA)			35 ppm		
ERPG-3 (AIHA)			75 ppm		
EEGL (NRC) ^b					
PEL-TWA					2 ppm
(OSHA) ^c					
PEL-STEL					
(OSHA) ^d					
IDLH (NIOSH) ^e		85 ppm			
REL-TWA (NIOSH) ^f	1 ppm				1 ppm
	15 min ceiling				
REL-STEL (NIOSH) ^g					
TLV-TWA (ACGIH) ^h					2 ppm*
TLV-STEL (ACGIH) ⁱ					
MAK (Germany) ^j					
MAK					
Spitzenbegrenzung					
(Germany) ^k					
Einsaztoleranzwert					
(Germany) ¹					
MAC-Peak Category					4 ppm TWA
(The Netherlands) ^m					10 ppm STEL

14 15

^a ERPG (Emergency Response Planning Guidelines, American Industrial Hygiene Association) (AIHA, 1994)

1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8	The ERPG-1 is the maximum airborne concentration below which it is believed nearly all individuals could be exposed for up to one hour without experiencing other than mild, transient adverse health effects or without perceiving a clearly defined objectionable odor. The ERPG-2 is the maximum airborne concentration below which it is believed nearly all individuals could be exposed for up to one hour without experiencing or developing irreversible or other serious health effects or symptoms that could impair an individual's ability to take protective action. The ERPG-3 is the maximum airborne concentration below which it is believed nearly all individuals could be exposed for up to one hour without experiencing or developing life-threatening health effects.
9 10 11 12 13	^b EEGL (Emergency Exposure Guidance Levels, National Research Council) (NRC, 1985) is the concentration of contaminants that can cause discomfort or other evidence of irritation or intoxication in or around the workplace, but avoids death, other severe acute effects and long-term or chronic injury.
13 14 15 16 17	^c OSHA PEL-TWA (Occupational Health and Safety Administration, Permissible Exposure Limits - Time Weighted Average) (OSHA, 1993) is defined analogous to the ACGIH-TLV-TWA, but is for exposures of no more than 10 hours/day, 40 hours/week.
17 18 19 20	^d OSHA PEL-STEL (Permissible Exposure Limits - Short Term Exposure Limit) (OSHA, 1993) is defined analogous to the ACGIH-TLV-STEL.
20 21 22 23 24	^e IDLH (Immediately Dangerous to Life and Health, National Institute of Occupational Safety and Health) (NIOSH, 1996) represents the maximum concentration from which one could escape within 30 minutes without any escape-impairing symptoms, or any irreversible health effects.
24 25 26 27	^f NIOSH REL-TWA (National Institute of Occupational Safety and Health, Recommended Exposure Limits - Time Weighted Average) (NIOSH, 1994) is defined analogous to the ACGIH-TLV-TWA.
27 28 29 20	^g NIOSH REL-STEL (Recommended Exposure Limits - Short Term Exposure Limit) (NIOSH, 1994) is defined analogous to the ACGIH-TLV-STEL.
31 32 33 34 35 26	^h ACGIH TLV-TWA (American Conference of Governmental Industrial Hygienists, Threshold Limit Value - Time Weighted Average) (ACGIH, 1997) is the time-weighted average concentration for a normal 8-hour workday and a 40-hour work week, to which nearly all workers may be repeatedly exposed, day after day, without adverse effect. * Acrylonitrile noted as confirmed animal carcinogen with unknown relevance to humans.
37 38 39 40 41 42	ⁱ ACGIH TLV-STEL (Threshold Limit Value - Short Term Exposure Limit) (ACGIH, 1997) is defined as a 15- minute TWA exposure which should not be exceeded at any time during the workday even if the 8-hour TWA is within the TLV-TWA. Exposures above the TLV-TWA up to the STEL should not be longer than 15 minutes and should not occur more than 4 times per day. There should be at least 60 minutes between successive exposures in this range.
43 44 45	^j MAK (Maximale Arbeitsplatzkonzentration [Maximum Workplace Concentration], Deutsche Forschungs-gemeinschaft [German Research Association], Germany) (DFG, 1999) is defined analogous to the ACGIH-TLV-TWA.

- ^k MAK Spitzenbegrenzung (Kategorie II,2) [Peak Limit Category II,2] (DFG, 1999) constitutes the maximum average concentration to which workers can be exposed for a period up to 30 minutes, with no more than 2 exposure periods per work shift; total exposure may not exceed 8-hour MAK. Cat. III indicates possible significant contribution to cancer risk.
- ¹ Einsatztoleranzwert [Action Tolerance Levels] (Vereinigung zur Förderung des deutschen Brandschutzes e.V. [Federation for the Advancement of German Fire Prevention]) constitutes a concentration to which unprotected firemen and the general population can be exposed to for up to 4 hours without any health risks.
- 54 55 56 57 ^mMAC (Maximaal Aanvaaarde Concentratie [Maximal Accepted Concentration - Peak Category]) (SDU Uitgevers 58 [under the auspices of the Ministry of Social Affairs and Employment], The Hague, The Netherlands 2000) 59 is defined analogous to the ACGIH-Ceiling.

46 47

48

49

50

1		
2		
3	8.3.	Data Adequacy and Research Needs
4		
5		Data were adequate for the development of justifiable AEGL values. Human data were
6	used f	or deriving AEGL-1 values and data in monkeys were used for developing AEGL-2
7	values	A robust data set in rats allowed for derivation of AEGL-3 values.
8		
9	9.	REFERENCES
10		
11	ACGI	H (American Conference of Governmental Industrial Hygienists). 2005. Threshold Limit Values
12		and Biological Exposure Indices. American Conference of Governmental Industrial Hygienists,
13		Inc. Cincinnati, OH.
14		
15	ACGI	H (American Conference of Governmental Industrial Hygienists). 1991. Documentation of the
16		Threshold Limit Values and Biological Exposure Indices; Acrylonitrile. American Conference of
17		Governmental Industrial Hygienists, Inc. Cincinnati, OH.
18		
19	AIHA	(American Industrial Hygiene Association). 1989. Odor thresholds for chemical with established
20		occupational health standards. Amer. Industr. Hyg. Assoc., Akron, OH.
21		
22	Americ	can Cyanamid Co. 1959. The Chemistry of Acrylonitrile. 2nd ed., p. 14-15, New York
23	A 1	
24	Appel,	K.E., Peter, H., and Bolt, H.M. 1981a. Effect of potential antidotes on the acute toxicity of
25		acryionitrile. Int. Arch. Occup. Environ. Health, 49: 157-163.
20	Annal	VE Datar H. Dalt M. Dalt H.M. 1081h. Internation of acrulanitrila with hanatia microsomer
27	Appel,	of rate and man. Toxicology Letters 7: 335-340
20		of fais and men. Toxicology Letters 7: 555-540.
$\frac{2}{30}$	Babon	ov GP Kliucikov VN Karajeva Z V 1959 Clinical symptoms of chronic poisoning by
31		acrylonitrile. Vrach. Delo. 8: 833-836. (as cited in WHO IPCS, 1983)
32		
33	Bader,	M. Wrbitzky, R. 2006. Follow-up biomonitoring after accidental exposure to acrylonitrile-
34		Implications for protein adducts as a dose monitor for short-term exposure. Toxicology Letters
35		162: 125-131.
36		
37	BASF	AG . 1994. Sicherheitsdatenblatt Acrylnitril ST1.
38	_	
39	Benz, I	F.W., Nerland, D.E. 2005. Effect of cytochrome P450 inhibitors and anticonvulsants on the acute
40		toxicity of acrylonitrile. Arch. Toxicol. 79: 610-614.
41	D	- 1007 House labin Address of Associational Association I shows to me
42 42	Bergm	Werkers, Smalkers and Nengmalkers, Chem Des Tavical 10: 78-84
43		workers, Smokers and Nonsmokers. Chem Res Toxicol 10: 78-84.
44 15	Phoor	a. T. Badmayathi B. Davarai S.N. 1992 Effect of acrylanitrile on the proceedulant activity of
45 46	DIIOOII	rat lung Bull Environ Constm Toxicol 48: 321-326
40		Tat Tung. Dun. Environ. Conatin. Toxicol. 40. 521-520.
48	Borba	H Monteiro M Proenca M I Chaveca T Pereira V Lynce N and Rueff I (1996)
49	20100,	Evaluation of some biomonitoring markers in occupationally exposed populations to acrylonitrile
50		Teratogenesis, Carcinogenesis, and Mutagenesis 16, 205-218
51		
52	Buchte	er, A., Peter, H. 1984. Clinical toxicology of acrylonitrile. G. Ital. Med. Lav. 6: 83-86.
53		

- Burka, L.T., Sanchez, I. M., Ahmed, E.A., Ghanayem, B.I. 1994. Comparative metabolism and deposition of acrylonitrile and methacrylonitrile in rats. Arch. Toxicol. 68, 611-618.
- Campian E.C, Cai J, Benz, F.W. 2002. Acrylonitrile irreversibly inactivates glyceraldehyde-3-phosphate dehydrogenase by alkylating the catalytically active cysteine 149. Chem Biol Interact 140(3):279-91.
- Chang, C.-M., Hsia, M.T.S, Stoner, G.D., Hsu, I.-C. 1990. Acrylonitrile-induced sister-chromatid exchanges and DNA single-strand breaks in adult human bronchial epithelial cells. Mutation Research 241, 355-360.
- Collins, J.J., Cheng, R., Buck, G.M., Zhang, J., Klebanoff, M. et. al. 2003. The feasibility of conducting a reproductive outcome study of Chinese acrylonitrile worker. J. Environ. Occup. Med. 1: 29-32.

Crespi, C.L., Ryan, C.G., Seixas, G.M., Turner, T.R., Penman, B.W. 1985. Tests for mutagenic activity using mutation assays at two loci in the human lymphoblast cell lines TK6 and AHH-1. In: Ashby, J., de Serres, F.J., Draper, M., Ishidate Jr., M., Margolin, B.H., Matter, B.E., Shelby, M.D. (Eds.): Evaluation of short-term tests for carcinogens. Report of the International Programme on Chemical Safety's collaborative study on in vitro assays. Progress in Mutation Research, 5: 497-516.

- Czeizel AE, Hegedus S., Timar. L. 1999. Congenital Abnormalities and Indicators of Germinal Mutations in the Vicinity of an Acrylonitrile Producing Factory. Mutat Res 427: 105-123.
- Dahl, A.R. and Waruszewski, B.A. 1989. Metabolism of organonitriles and cyanide by rat nasal tissue enzymes. Xenobiotica 19: 1201-1205
- Davis, J.H., Davies, J.E., Americo Rafonnelli, R.S., Reich, G. 1973. Investigation of fatal acrylonitrile intoxications. Pesticides and the environment, a continuing controversy. Inter. Med. Book Corp., 2, 547-556.
- Delivanova, S., Popovski, P., Orusev, T. 1978. Belpharoconjunctivitis in workers in the manufacture of
 synthetic polyacrylonitirle fibers. God. Zb. Med. Fak. Skopje. 24: 279-282.
- Drawbaugh, R.B., Marrs, T.C. 1987. Interspecies differences in rhodanese (thiosulfate sulfurtransferase,
 EC 2.8.1.1) activity in liver, kidney and plasma. Comp. Biochem. Physiol. 86B: 307-310.
- 38 Dorodnova, N.S. 1976. Gynecological morbidity and the specific functions of the female body in the
 39 chemical manufacture of "nitron". Gig Tr Prof Zabol 8: 45-6.
 - Dudley, H.C. and Neal, P.A. 1942. Toxicology of acrylonitrile (vinyl cyanide). I. Study of the acute toxicity. J. Ind. Hyg. Toxicol., 24 (2): 27-36.
- 44 Dudley, H.C., Sweeney, T.R., Miller, J.W. 1942. Toxicology of acrylonitrile. II. Studies of effects of
 45 daily inhalation. J. Ind. Hyg. Toxicol., 24: 255-258.
 46
- 47 Du Pont & Co. 1968. Acute inhalation toxicity in rats. Haskell Laboratory report, October 21, 1968.
 48
- Eldridge, S.R. Butterworth, B.E., Sprankle, C.S., Working, P.K., Bentley, K.S., Hurtt, M.E. 1992.
 Tissue-specific genotoxic effects of acrylamide and acrylonitrile. Environ Mol Mutagen (US). 20:
 148-155.
- Enikeeva, N.A., Ostrovskaja, R.S., Syso, L.V., Podrez, Z.G., Braginska, L.L., Gvozdwv, N.P.,
 Nesterova, N.E., Musserskaja, A.N., Suzdaltreva, N.A., Efremov, A.M. 1976. Industrial
| 1
2
3 | hygiene and health status of workers involved in the manufacture of the synthetic fibre Nitron.
Zdor. Rab. Nef. Negtehim. prom-sti. 9: 22-25 (Russian). |
|----------------------------|---|
| 4
5
6 | Farooqui, M.Y.H., Ahmed, A.E. 1983. In vivo interactions of acrylonitrile with macromolecules in rats.
Chem. Biol. Interactions 47, 363-371 |
| 6
7
8
9 | Felter, S.P., Dollarhide, J.S. 1997. Acrylonitrile: a reevaluation of the database to support an inhalation cancer risk assessment. Reg. Toxicol Pharmacol. 26: 281-287. |
| 10
11
12
13
14 | Fennell TR, MacNeela J P, Morris R W, Watson M, Thompson C. L.,
Bell D A. 2000. Hemoglobin Adducts FromAcrylonitrile and Ethylene Oxide in Cigarette
Smokers: Effects of Glutathione S-Transferase T1-Null and M1-Null Genotypes. Cancer
Epidemiol Biomarkers Prev 9: 705-712. |
| 15
16
17
18 | Fennell, T.R., Kedderis, G.L., Sumner, S.C.J. 1991. Urinary metabolites of
[1,2,3-13 ^C]acrylonitrile in rats and mice detected by 13C nuclear magnetic resonance
spectroscopy. Chem. Res. Toxicol. 4: 678-687. |
| 19
20 | Finney, D.J. 1971. Probit analysis. Cambridge Univ. Press, London. |
| 20
21
22
23
24 | Gargas, M.L., Anderson, M.E., Teo, S.K.O., Batra, R., Fennell, T.R., Kedderis, G.L. 1995. A
Physiologically-Based Dosimetry Description of Acrylonitrile and Cyanoethylene Oxide in the Rat.
Toxicol. Appl. Pharmacol. 134: 185-194. |
| 25
26
27 | Ghanayem, B.I., Farooqui, M.Y.H., Elshabrawy, O., Mumtaz, M.M., Ahmed, A.E. 1991. Assessment of the acute acrylonitrile-induced neurotoxicity in rats. Neurotoxicology and Teratology 13: 499-502. |
| 28
29
30 | Grunsky, F. 1949. Health care and occupational medicine. Ventox and Ventox intoxication. Dtsch. Med. Wochenschr. 74: 1081-1083. |
| 31
32
33
34 | Haber, F.R. 1924. Zur geschichte des gaskrieges [On the history of the gas war]. In:
Fuenf Vortraege aus den Jahren 1920-23 [Five lectures from the years 1920-1923]. Berlin, Germany:
Verlag von Julius Springer; pp. 76-92. |
| 35
36
37 | Haskell Laboratory. 1942. Toxicity of vinyl cyanide. Medical Research Project No. M-97. Haskell Laboratory of Industrial Toxicology, Wilmington, Delaware. |
| 38
39
40 | HSDB (Hazardous Substances Data Bank). 2006. Fluorine monoxide. TOXNET Toxicology Data Network. U.S. Natl. Library of Medicine. |
| 41
42
43 | Ivanescu, M., Berinde, M., Simionescu, L. 1990. Testosterone in sera of workers exposed to acrylonitrile.
Rev. Roum. Endocrinol. 28: 187-192. |
| 44
45
46 | Ivanov, V., Rahier, J., Lauwerys. R. 1989. Lipid peroxidation in acrylonitrile-treated rats, evidenced by elevated ethane production. J. Appl. Toxicol. 9: 353-358 |
| 47
48
49 | Jakubowski, M., Linhart, I., Pielas, G., Kopecky, J. 1987. 2-Cyanoethylmercapturic acid (CEMA) in the urine as a possible indicator of exposure to acrylonitrile. Brit. J. Industr. Med. 44: 834-840. |
| 50
51
52 | Kedderis, G.L., Batra, R., Koop, DR. 1993. Epoxidation of acrylonitrile by rat and human cytochromes P450. Chemical research in Toxicology 6, 866-871. |
| 53
54 | Kedderis, G.L., Batra, R., Turner, M.J. Jr. 1995. Conjugation of acrylonitrile and 2-cyanoethylene oxide with hepatic glutathione. Toxicology and Applied Pharmacology 135, 9-17. |

1 2 3	Kedderis, G. L., Fennell, T.R. 1996. Development of a Physiologically Based Description of Acrylonitrile Dosimetry. CIIT Activities, Vol 16, No. 1, January, 1996.
4 5 6 7	Litchfield, J.T.; Wilcoxon, F. 1949. Simplified method of evaluating dose-effect experiments. J. Pharmacol. Exp. Ther. 96: 99-113.
8 9 10	Maltoni, C., Cilberti, A., Di Maio, E. 1977. Carcinogenicity bioassays on rats of acrylonitrile administered by inhalation and by ingestion. Med. Lavoro, 69: 401-411.
10 11 12 13 14	Maltoni, C., Cilberti, A., Cotti, G., Perino, G. 1988. Long-term carcinogenicity bioassay on acrylonitrile administered by inhalation and by ingestion to Sprague-Dawley rats. Ann. New York Acad. Sci. 534: 179-202.
15 16 17	Müller, G., Verkoyen, C., Soton, N., Norpoth, K. 1987. Urinary excretion of acrylonitrile and its metabolites in rats. Arch. Toxicol. 60: 464-466.
18 19 20 21 22	Murray, F.J., Nitschke, K.D., John, J.A., Crawford, A.A., Murray, J.S., Rampy, L.W., Schwetz, B.A. 1978. Teratologic evaluation of inhaled acrylonitrile monomer in rats. Toxicological Research Laboratory, Health and Environmental Research, Dow Chemical, USA, Midland, MI.
23 24 25 26 27 28	 Murray, F.J., Nitschke, K.D., John, J.A., Smith, J.A., Quast, J.F., Blogg, C.D., Schwetz, B.A. 1976. Teratologic evaluation of acrylonitrile monomer given to rats by gavage. Toxicological Research Laboratory, Health and Environmental Research, Dow Chemical, USA, Midland, MI.
20 29 30 31 32	NAC/AEGL (National Advisory Committee on Acute Exposure Guideline Levels). 2007. Industry reports of occupational exposure to acrylonitrile. NAC/AEGL Mtg. No. 42, Irvine, CA. March 2007.
32 33 34 35	Nerland, E.E., Benz, F.W., Babiuk, C. 1989. Effects of cysteine isomers and derivatives on acute acrylonitrile toxicity. Drug Metabolism Reviews 20: 233-246.
36 37 38 39	NIOSH (National Institute for Occupational Safety and Health). 1996. Documentation for Immediately Dangerous to Life or Health Concentrations (IDLHs). Acrylonitrile. U.S. Department of Health and Human Services; U.S. Government Printing Office, Washington. (Retrieved online Sept. 2006.)
40 41 42 43	NIOSH (National Institute for Occupational Safety and Health). 2005. NIOSH Pocket Guide to Chemical Hazards (2005-151). U.S. Department of Health and Human Services; U.S. Government Printing Office, Washington, PB9419504 National Technical Information Service, Springfield, VA.
44 45	NPI (National Pollution Inventory). 2006. Acrylonitrile (2-propenenitrile) fact sheet.
46 47 48 49 50	NRC (National Research Council). 2001. Standing operating procedures for developing acute exposure guideline levels for hazardous chemicals. Committee on Toxicology, Board on Toxicology and Environmental Health Hazards, Commission on Life Sciences, National Research Council. National Academy Press, Washington, DC.
51 52 53 54	NTP (National Toxicology Program). 2006. Report on Carcinogens, Acrylonitrile. Eleventh Edition; U.S. Department of Health and Human Services, Public Health Service, National Toxicology Program.

- O'Neil, M, Smith, A., Heckelman, P.E., Obenchain, J.R., Jr., Gallipeau, J. R., D'Arecca, M.A., (eds.). 2001. Fluorine monoxide. The Merck Index. 13th edition. Merck & Co., Inc., Whitehouse Station, NJ., pp. 737-738.
- Perbellini L, Ganzi A, Venturi G, Cerpelloni M., Brugnone F. 1998. Biological Monitoring of Acrylonitrile Exposure. G Ital Med Lav Ergon 20: 10-14.
- Perocco, P., Pane, G., Bolognesi, S., Zannotti, M. 1982. Increase in sister chromatid exchange and unscheduled synthesis of deoxyribonucleic acid by acrylonitrile in human lymphocytes in vitro. Scand. J. Work Environ. Health. 2-8: 290-293
- Peter, H., Appel, K.E., Berg, R., Bolt, H.M. 1983. Irreversible binding of acrylonitrile to nucleic acids. Xenobiotica, 13: 19-25.
- Pilon, D., Roberts, A.E., Rickert, D.E. 1988. Effect of glutathione depletion on the uptake of acrylonitrile vapors and on its irreversible association with tissue macromolecules. Toxicol. Appl. Pharmacol. 95: 265-278
- Quast,, J.F., Schuetz, D.J., Balmer, M.F., Gushow, T.S., Park, C.N., McKenna, M.J. 1980. A two-year toxicity and oncogenicity study with acrylonitrile following inhalation exposure of rats. Dow Chemical Co. Toxicology Research Laboratory, Midland, MI. (for the Chemicals Manufacturing Association, Washington, D.C.
- Recio, L., Simpson, D., Cocharane, J., Liber, H., Skopek, T.R.1989. Mutational specifity of 2-cyanoethylene oxide in human lymphoblastoid cells. Environ. Mol. Mutagen. 14 (Suppl. 15): 162
- Recio L, Simpson D, Cochrane J, Liber H, Skopek TR. 1990. Molecular analysis of hprt mutants induced by
 2-cyanoethylene oxide in human lymphoblastoid cells. Mutat Res. 242:195-208.
- Rinehart, W. E., Hatch, T. (1964) Concentration-time product (CT) as an expression of dose in sublethal exposures to phosgene. Ind. Hyg. J. 25: 545-553.
- Rizzi, R., Chiesara, E., Cova, D., Mattioli, M., Lernia, R. 1984. Acrylonitrile: Mutagenicity in yeasts and genotoxicity in HeLa cells. Mutation Research 130: 223.
- Roberts, A.E., Kedderis, G.L., Turner, M.J., Rickert, D.E., Swenberg, J.A. 1991. Species comparison of acrylonitrile expoxidation by microsomes from mice, rats and humans: Relationship to epoxide concentrations in mouse and rat blood. Carcinogenesis 12: 401-404
- Ruth, J.H. 1986. Odor thresholds and irritation levels of several chemical substances: a review. Am. Ind. Hyg.
 Assoc. J. 47: A142-151.
- Saillenfait, A.M., Bonnet, P., Guenier, J.P., De Ceaurriz, J. 1993a. Relative developmental toxicities of
 inhaled aliphatic mononitriles in rats. Fundam. Appl. Toxicol. 20: 365-375.
- Saillenfait, A.M., Payan, J.P., Langonne, I., Beydon, D., Grandclaude, M.C., Sabate, J.P., and de
 Ceaurriz, J. 1993b. Modulation of acrylonitrile-induced embryotoxicity in vitro by glutathion
 depletion. Arch. Toxicol. 67(3):164-172.
- Sakurai, H., Kusumoto, M. 1972. Epidemiological study of health impairment among acrylonitrile workers.
 Journal of Science and labor. 48: 273-282.
- 3 6

1 2 3	Sakurai, H., Onodera, M., Utsunomiya, T., Minakuchi, H., Iwai, H., Mutsumura, H. 1978. Health effects of acrylonitrile in acrylic fibre factories. Brit. J. Ind. Med. 35, 219-225.
4 5	Sapphire Group. 2004. Toxicological Review for Acrylonitrile (CAS No. 107-13-1). Prepared for the AN Group. The Sapphire Group, Inc., Dayton and Cleveland, OH. Final, October 2004.
6 7 8	SDU Uitgevers Nationale MAC List, 2000. (under the auspices of the Ministry of Social Affairs and Employment), The Hague, The Netherlands.
9 10 11 12	Smyth, H.F., Jr. 1966. Military and space short-term inhalation standards. AMA Arch. Environ. Health. 12: 488.
12 13 14 15 16	Sweeney LM, Gargas ML, Strother DE, Kedderis GL. (2003). Physiologically Based Pharmacokinetic Model Parameter Estimation and Sensitivity and Variability Analyses for Acrylonitrile Disposition in Humans. Toxicological Sciences 71: 27–40.
17 18 19 20	Sumner S. C., Fennell T. R., Moore T. A., Chanas B., Gonzalez F., Ghanayem B. I. 1999. Role of Cytochrome P450 2E1 in the Metabolism of Acrylamide and Acrylonitrile in Mice. Chem Res Toxicol 12: 1110-1116.
20 21 22 23 24	Tardif, R., Talbot, D., Grin, M., Brodeur, J. 1987. Urinary excretion of mercapturic acids and thiocyanate in rats exposed to acrylonitrile: Influence of dose and route of administration. Toxicology Letters 39: 255-261.
25 26 27	ten Berge, W.F., Zwart, A., Appelman, L.M. 1986. Concentration-time mortality response relationship of irritant and systemically acting vapours and gases. J. Hazard Materials 13: 301-309.
28 29 30	TERA (Toxicology Excellence for Risk Assessment). 1997. Acrylonitrile: inhalation cancer risk assessment. Prepared for the Acrylonitrile Group. Toxicology Excellence for Risk Assessment, Cincinnati, OH. Final Document (v.2). February 1997.
31 32 33 24	Thiess, A.M., Fleig, I. 1978. Analysis of chromosomes of workers exposed to acrylonitrile. Arch. Toxicol. 41: 149-152.
35 36 37 38	U.S. EPA (U.S. Environmental Protection Agency). 2005. Benchmark Dose Software. Version 1.3.2 National Center for Environmental Assessment, Office of Research and Development. [Online]. Available: http://www.epa.gov/ncea/bmds.htm
39 40 41	Vernon, P.A., Dulak, L. H., Deskin, R. (1990). Acute toxicologic evaluation of acrylonitrile. J. Amer. Coll. Toxicol. 1: 114-115
42 43 44	Vodi•ka, P., Gut, I., Frantík, E. 1990. Effects of inhaled acrylic acid derivatives in rats. Toxicology 65: 209-221.
45 46 47	Vogel, R.A. and Kirkendall, W.M. 1984. Acrylonitrile (vinyl cyanide) poisoning: a case report. Texas Medicine 80, 48-51.
48 49 50	Ward C.E., Starr T.B.1993. Comparison of cancer risks projected from animal bioassays to epidemiologic studies of acrylonitrile workers. Regulat. Toxicol. Pharmacol. 18: 214-232.
50 51 52 53	WHO IPCS (World Health Organization International Programme on Chemical Safety).1983. Acrylonitrile. Environ Health Criteria, No. 28.

- WIL Research Laboratories. (2005). Acute inhalation toxicity study of acrylonitrile in albino rats. WIL Research Laboratories, Ashland, OH. WIL-542001.
- Willhite, C. C., Smith, R.P. 1981. The role of cyanide liberation in the acute toxicity of aliphatic nitriles. Toxicol. Appl. Pharmacol. 59: 589-602.
- Wilson, R.H., Hough, G.V., McCormick, W.E. 1948. Medical problems encountered in the manufacture of American-made rubber. Ind. Med. 17: 199-207.
- 0 Wilson, R.H., McCormick, W.E. 1949. Acrylonitrile: its physiology and toxicology. Ind. Med. 17: 199-207.
- Zotova, L.A. 1975. Working conditions in the production of acrylonitrile and their effect on workers. Gig. Tr.
 Prof. Zabol. 8: 8-11.

APPENDIX A: Derivation of AEGL Values

1 2 3		Derivation	of AEGL-1 Values for Acrylonitrile
4	Key study:	Jakubowski,	M., Linhart, I., Pielas, G., Kopecky, J. 1987. 2-
5		Cyanoethylm	ercapturic acid (CEMA) in the urine as a possible indicator of
6		exposure to a	crylonitrile. Brit. J. Industr. Med. 44: 834-840.
7			
8	Critical effect:	Occupational	exposure reports indicated no effects at $\leq 10~\text{ppm}$ and ocular
9		irritation and	headaches at 12-15 ppm regardless of exposure duration
10		(NAC/AEGL	, pers. communication). A 3-fold reduction of the 15-ppm is
11		equivalent to t	he no-effect concentration reported by Jacubowski et al. (1987)
12		for male hum	an volunteers exposed to 4.6 ppm AN for 8 hours.
13	T ' 1'	1. 1	
14	Time scaling:	none applied;	
15	I.I.,	T - 4 - 1	inter for store a literature of some 1.
10	Uncertainty factors:	I otal uncerta	inty factor adjustment was 1:
1/ 10		Interspecies:	none, numan subjects
10		muaspecies.	i, effects associated with very low-level AIN exposure are not likely to yory among individuals: metabolism is not likely to
19 20			nikely to vary among mutviduals, metadonism is not nikely to
20 21			low-level exposure. An intraspecies UE of 3 applied to the
21			lower limit of the occupational exposure range associated
23			with ocular irritation and headache results in an exposure
24			concentration equivalent to the no-effect concentration (4.6
25			ppm) reported by Jakubowski et al. (1987).
26	Modifying factor:	None	
27			
28	Occupational exposu	re data indicat	ed that for low exposures, exposure duration was irrelevant.
29	Therefore, an AEGL	-1 value of 4.6	ppm is recommended for all durations.

1 2		Derivation of AEGL-2 Values for Acrylonitrile
3 4 5	Key study:	Dudley, H.C. and Neal, P.A. 1942. Toxicology of acrylonitrile (vinyl cyanide). I. Study of the acute toxicity. J. Ind. Hyg. Toxicol., 24 (2): 27-36.
6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	Critical effect:	Slight transient effects in rats exposed for 2 hours to 305 ppm AN. All effects were transient and resolved within 12 hours post exposure. Support: Sakurai et al. (1978) noted that many of the symptoms (headache, fatigue, nausea, and insomnia) upon initial exposure observed for occupational exposure to AN were associated with exposures in excess of 5 ppm, and that the findings were not contradictory to those of Wilson et al. (1948) who reported that occupational exposure to 16-100 ppm for 20-45 minutes produced transient dull headaches, nasal and ocular irritation, discomfort in the chest, nervousness and irritability. Murray et al. (1978) provided evidence of teratogenicity in rats following multiple exposure to 80 ppm AN on gestation days 6-20 but effects in dams were limited to only food consumption and body weight decrease.
20 21	Time scaling:	$C^n \ge t = k$, where $n = 1.1$, ten Berge et al., 1986
22 23 24 25 26 27 28 29 30 31	Uncertainty factors:	Total uncertainty factor adjustment was 10, additional uncertainty adjustment would result in AEGL-2 values that are inconsistent with the overall data and that would be similar to AEGL-1 values. <u>Interspecies</u> : 3; PB-PK modeling has shown that predicted concentrations of AN and the metabolite CEO in blood and brain were similar in rats and humans exposed by inhalation. <u>Intraspecies</u> : 3; the effects associated with acute AN exposure are not likely to vary greatly among individuals; metabolism is not likely to be instrumental in initial minor effects resulting from low-level exposure.
32 33	Modifying factor:	None
34 35 36	Calculation:	$(305 \text{ ppm})^{1.1} \text{ x } 2 \text{ hrs} = 1080 \text{ ppm}^{1.1}$ @hrs
 37 38 39 40 41 	<u>10-minute AEGL-2</u>	$C^{1.1} \ge 0.1667 \text{ hr} = 1080 \text{ ppm}^{1.1}$ @hrs C = 2917.4 ppm 10-min AEGL-2 = 2917.4 ppm/10 = 291.7 ppm (rounded to 290 ppm)
42 43 44 45 46	<u>30-minute AEGL-2</u>	$C^{1.1} \ge 0.5 \text{ hr} = 1080 \text{ ppm}^{1.1}$ @hrs C = 1074 ppm 30-min AEGL-2 = 1074 ppm/10 = 107.4 ppm (rounded to 110 ppm)

1	<u>1-hour AEGL-2</u>	
2		$C^{1.1} \ge 1 \text{ hr} = 1080 \text{ ppm}^{1.1}$ @hrs
3		C = 572.3 ppm
4		1-hr AEGL-2 = 572.3 ppm/10 = 57.2 ppm (rounded to 57 ppm)
5		
6	4-hour AEGL-2	
7		$C^{1.1} \ge 4 \text{ hrs} = 1080 \text{ ppm}^{1.1}$ @hrs
8		C = 162.30 ppm
9		4-hr AEGL-2 = 162.3 ppm/10 = 16.2 ppm (rounded to 16 ppm)
10		
11	<u>8-hour AEGL-2</u>	
12		$C^{1.1} \ge 8 \text{ hrs} = 1080 \text{ ppm}^{1.1}$ @hrs
13		C = 86.4 ppm
14		8-hr AEGL-2 = 86.4 ppm/10 = 8.6 ppm

1		
2		Derivation of AEGL-3 Values for Acrylonitrile
5 4 5	Key studies:	Dudley, H.C. and Neal, P.A. 1942. Toxicology of acrylonitrile (vinyl cyanide). I. Study of the acute toxicity. J. Ind. Hyg. Toxicol., 24 (2): 27-36.
0 7 8		Appel, K.E., Peter, H., Bolt, H.M. 1981a. Effect of potential antidotes on the acute toxicity of acrylonitrile. Int. Arch. Occup. Environ. Health 48: 157-163.
9 10 11 12 13 14	Critical effect:	Estimated lethality threshold (30-minute, 1-hr, 2-hr,4-hr, and 8-hr BMCL ₀₅ values are 1784.0, 1024.4, 491.3, 179.5 and 185.8 ppm, respectively) for rats exposed to various concentrations of AN for 30 minutes, 1, 2, 4, or 8 hours. The 4-hr value was not used due to inconsistency with values of the other durations. The 4-hour AEGL was time-scaled using the 8-hour BMCL ₀₅ .
15 16 17 18	Time scaling:	C^n x $t = k$, where $n = 1.1$, ten Berge et al. (1986); applied for derivation of 10- minute and 4-hour values only. The 30-minute, 1-hour and 8-hour AEGL-3 values were derived based upon their respective BMCL ₀₅ values.
19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27 28 29 30 31 32	Uncertainty factors:	Total uncertainty factor adjustment was 10. <u>Interspecies</u> : 3; Although the dog appears to be the most sensitive species, the overall database for rats is more robust thereby justifying use of the rat data. PBPK model simulations (Kedderis and Fennell, 1996; Sweeney et al., 2003) indicated that predicted blood and brain concentrations of AN and the metabolite CEO (2-cyanoethylene oxide) were similar in rats and humans exposed to AN by inhalation. A factor of 3 is considered sufficient to account for possible toxicodynamic/metabolism differences <u>Intraspecies</u> :3; For effects resulting from a single acute exposure, an intraspecies uncertainty factor of 3 would seem sufficient for accounting for variability in metabolism-mediated effects. Additional uncertainty factor application would result in incompatible AEGL-3 and AEGL-2 values.
32 33 34 35 36 37 38 39 40 41 42	Calculation:	For the 30-minute, 1-hr and 8-hr AEGL-3 values the 1-hr and 8-hr rat BMCL ₀₅ values were simply adjusted by the total uncertainty factor product of 10. The 10-minute values were derived by time-scaling from the 30-minute rat BMCL ₀₅ : (1784 ppm) ^{1.1} x 0.5 hr = 1885.8 ppm ^{1.1} Ahrs The 4-hr value was derived by scaling from the 8-hr rat BMCL ₀₅ (the 8- hr BMCL ₀₅ was considered more appropriate that the 2-hr value because it was derived from data for five dose groups rather than three):
43 44 45 46 47 48	<u>10-minute AEGL-3</u>	$(185.8 \text{ ppm})^{1.1} \ge 8 \text{ hrs} = 2506.3 \text{ ppm}^{1.1} \text{ Ahrs}$ $C^{1.1} \ge 0.1667 \text{ hr} = 1885.8 \text{ ppm}^{1.1}$ @hrs C = 4842.4 ppm 10-min AEGL-3 = 4842.4 ppm/10 = 484 ppm (rounded to 480 ppm)

1		
2	30-minute AEGL-3	
3		30-minute BMCL ₀₅ = 1784 ppm
4		1784 ppm/10 = 178 ppm (rounded to 180 ppm)
5		
6		
7	<u>1-hour AEGL-3</u>	
8		1-hr BMCL ₀₅ 1024.42 ppm
9		1024.42 ppm/10 = 102 ppm (round to 100 ppm)
10		
11		
12	4-hour AEGL-3	
13		$C^{1.1} \ge 4 \text{ hrs} = 2506.3 \text{ ppm}^{1.1}$ @hrs
14		C = 348.9 ppm
15		4-hr AEGL-3 = 348.9 ppm/10 = 34.9 ppm (round to 35 ppm)
16		
17	8-hour AEGL-3	
18		8-hr BMCL ₀₅ 185.8 ppm
19		185.8 ppm/10 = 18.6 ppm (round to 19 ppm)
20		

APPENDIX B: Time Scaling Calculations

1 2 The relationship between dose and time for any given chemical is a function of the physical 3 and chemical properties of the substance and the unique toxicological and pharmacological 4 properties of the individual substance. Historically, the relationship according to Haber (1924), 5 commonly called Haber's Law or Haber's Rule (i.e., C x t = k, where C = exposure concentration, t =6 exposure duration, and k = a constant) has been used to relate exposure concentration and duration to 7 effect (Rinehart and Hatch, 1964). This concept states that exposure concentration and exposure 8 duration may be reciprocally adjusted to maintain a cumulative exposure constant (k) and that this 9 cumulative exposure constant will always reflect a specific quantitative and qualitative response. 10 This inverse relationship of concentration and time may be valid when the toxic response to a 11 chemical is equally dependent upon the concentration and the exposure duration. However, an 12 assessment by ten Berge et al. (1986) of LC50 data for certain chemicals revealed chemical-specific 13 relationships between exposure concentration and exposure duration that were often exponential. This relationship can be expressed by the equation $C^n x t = k$, where *n* represents a chemical 14 15 specific, and even a toxic endpoint specific, exponent. The relationship described by this equation is 16 basically the form of a linear regression analysis of the log-log transformation of a plot of C vs t. ten Berge et al. (1986) examined the airborne concentration (C) and short-term exposure duration (t) 17 18 relationship relative to death for approximately 20 chemicals and found that the empirically derived 19 value of *n* ranged from 0.8 to 3.5 among this group of chemicals. Hence, the value of the exponent 20 (*n*) in the equation $C^n x t = k$ quantitatively defines the relationship between exposure concentration 21 and exposure duration for a given chemical and for a specific health effect endpoint. Haber's Rule is 22 the special case where n = 1. As the value of *n* increases, the plot of concentration vs time vields a 23 progressive decrease in the slope of the curve.

24

For acrylonitrile, analysis of available data by ten Berge et al. (1986) showed that the relationship between exposure concentration and exposure duration was near linear, where n = 1.1for the relationship $C^n \ge t = k$.

APPENDIX C: AEGL DERIVATION SUMMARY TABLES

ACUTE EXPOSURE GUIDELINE LEVELS FOR ACRYLONITRILE DERIVATION SUMMARY

AEGL-1 VALUES FOR ACRYLONITRILE					
10 min	30 min	1 h	4 h	8 h	
4.6 ppm	4.6 ppm	4.6 ppm	4.6 ppm	4.6 ppm	
Reference: Jakubows	ki, M., Linhart, I., Piela	s, G., Kopecky, J. 1987	2. 2-Cyanoethylmercapt	uric acid (CEMA) in	
the urine a	as a possible indicator o	f exposure to acrylonitr	ile. Brit. J. Industr. Me	d. 44: 834-840.	
Test Species/Strain/N	umber: six informed v	olunteer male humans s	subjects		
Exposure Route/Conc	entrations/Durations: in	halation/ 2.3 or 4.6 ppr	n AN for 8 hours		
Effects: no effects in a	any of the subjects at eit	ther exposure level			
Endpoint/Concentrati	on/Rationale: 4.6 ppm A	AN for 8 hours is consid	lered a NOAEL		
Uncertainty Factors/Rationale: Total uncertainty factor adjustment was 3: Interspecies: None; human subjects Intraspecies: 1; Effects associated with very low-level acute AN exposure are not likely to vary among individuals; metabolism will not be instrumental in initial, minor effects Based upon occupational exposure data showing no effects at concentrations of 10 ppm AN, further reduction					
of the AEGL-1 values is not warranted.					
Modifying Factor: None applied					
Animal to Human Dosimetric Adjustment: no adjustments					
Time Scaling: None applied; occupational data suggest that minor irritation effect occur immediately and are independent of exposure duration					
Data Adequacy: AEGL-1 values for acrylonitrile are developed based upon results from a controlled experiment with human volunteer subjects with incorporation of occupational exposure data. The data effectively define a no-observed-adverse-effect level for acrylonitrile and for an AEGL-specific exposure duration.					

_	

AEGL-2 VALUES FOR ACRYLONITRILE						
10 minutes	30 min	1 h	4 h	8 h		
290 ppm 100 ppm 57 ppm 16 ppm 8.6 ppm						
Reference: Dudley, H	I.C. and Neal, P.A. 1942	2. Toxicology of acrylor	nitrile (vinyl cyanide). I	. Study of the acute		
toxicity. J. Ind. Hyg. '	Toxicol., 24 (2): 27-36.					
Test Species/Strain/Se	ex/Number: Osborne-M	endel rat; 16/group				
Exposure Route/Conc	centrations/Durations: ir	halation; 305 ppm for 2	2 hours			
Effects: slight initial a	alteration in respiratory	rate, slight, transient na	asal and ocular irritation	n; effects transient and		
resolved by 12 hours	post exposure					
Endpoint/Concentration/Rationale: slight transient effects following 2-hour exposure to 305 ppm.						
Uncertainty Factors/Rationale: Total uncertainty factor adjustment was 10						
Interspecies: 3; All species tested exhibited similar array of effects						
Intraspecies: 3; The effects associated with acute AN exposure are not likely to vary greatly among						
individuals; metabolism is not likely to be instrumental in minor effects resulting from low-						
le	vel exposure.					
Modifying Factor: not	Modifying Factor: none					
Animal to Human Dosimetric Adjustment: Not applicable						
Time Scaling: $C^n \ge t = k$, where $n = 1.1$ as reported by ten Berge et al. 1986						
Data Adequacy: The	AEGL-2 value are base	d upon effects that are i	ndicative of AN exposu	ire but not yet		
demonstrating more severe toxicity (e.g., convulsions, extreme respiratory alterations) or irreversible effects. The						
test species is a nonhu	uman primate considere	d to be more appropriat	e than rodents or other t	test species.		

AEGL-3 VALUES FOR ACRYLONITIRLE						
10 min	30 min	1 h	4 h	8 h		
480 ppm	180 ppm	100 ppm	35 ppm	19 ppm		
Reference: Dudley, I	H.C. and Neal, P.A. 194	2. Toxicology of acrylo	nitrile (vinyl cyanide).	I. Study of the acute		
toxicity.	J. Ind. Hyg. Toxicol., 24	4 (2): 27-36.				
Appel, K.E., Peter, H	, Bolt, H.M. 1981. Effe	ect of potential antidotes	s on the acute toxicity o	f acrylonitrile. Int.		
Arch. Occu	p. Environ. Health 48. 1 low/Number: 16 Osborn	137-103. 2 Mandal rata (gandar n	at analified) per avnag	ra concentration		
(Dudley and Neal, 19	942); 3-6 male Wistar ra	ts (Appel et al., 1981)	ot specified) per expose			
Effects: Lethal respon	nse frequency (see Tabl	es 2 & 4, Section 3.1.2 f	for details) for details.			
Exposure dura	tion (h) Ex	xposure concentration (p	<u>opm)</u>	<u>Mortality</u>		
0.5 (Appel et al., 198	51)	1600		0/3		
···· (-)	2600		1/3		
		3000		6/6		
1 (Dudley and Neal,	1942)	665		0/16		
		1270		0/16		
		1490		4/16		
		2445		13/16		
2 (Dudley and Neal,	1942)	305		0/16		
		595		1/16		
4 (Dudley and Neal	1042)	1200		10/10 0/16		
4 (Dudley allu Neal,	1942)	315		2/16		
		635		16/16		
8 (Dudley and Neal	1942)	90		0/16		
o (Dudley and real, 1942)		135		0/16		
		210		1/16		
		270		7/16		
	320 15/16					
Endpoint/Concentrati	ion/Rationale: Estimated	d lethality threshold (30-	-minute, 1-hr, 2-hr,4-hr,	, and 8-hr BMCL ₀₅		
values are 1784.0, 10	24.4, 491.3, 179.5 and	185.8 ppm, respectively) for rats exposed to var	rious concentrations		
of AN for 30 minutes, 1, 2, 4, or 8 hours. The 4-hr value was not used due to inconsistency with values of the						
other durations.						
Uncertainty Factors/I	Rationale: Total uncerta	inty factor adjustment w	vas 10			
Interspecies: 3; A	Although the dog appear	rs to be the most sensitiv	ve species, the overall d	atabase for rats is		
more robust	thereby justifying use of the second	of the rat data. PBPK mo	odel simulations (Kedde	eris and Fennell,		
1990, Sweel metabolite (PEO (2-cyanoethylene c	vide) were similar in ra	ts and humans exposed	to AN by inhalation		
A factor of	3 is considered sufficier	nt to account for possibl	e toxicodynamic/metab	olism differences		
Intraspecies: For	effects resulting from a	single acute exposure, a	an intraspecies uncertain	nty factor of 3 would		
seem suffici	ent for accounting for v	ariability in metabolism	-mediated effects. Add	litional uncertainty		
factor applic	cation would result in in	compatible AEGL-3 and	d AEGL-2 values.	_		
Modifying Factor: N	lone applied					
Animal to Human Do	osimetric Adjustment: N	lot applicable				
Time Scaling: For the	Time Scaling: For the 30-minute, 1-hr, and 8-hr AEGL-3 values, the corresponding rat BMCL ₀₅ values were					
simply adju	simply adjusted by the total uncertainty factor product of 10.					
1 he 10-minute value	The 10-minute value was derived by time-scaling from the 3-min. rat BMCL ₀₅ : (1578 mm) here 1647.7 mm here 1647.7					
(1378 ppm)	x 0.5 m = 1047.7 pp	III AIIIS				
The 4-hr values was d	lerived by scaling from	the 8-hr rat BMCLos (th	e 8- hr BMCLos was co	nsidered more		
appropriate	appropriate that the 2-hr value because it was derived from data for five dose groups rather than three			ups rather than three):		
(185.8 ppn	$(n)^{1.1} \ge 8 hrs = 2506.3 pr$	om ^{1.1} Ahrs		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		
Data Adequacy: Although definitive exposure response data for lethality in humans are not available, data are						
available from acute	available from acute and subchronic bioassays in multiple species. These data are sufficient for development of					
scientifically justified	1 AEGL values.					

APPENDIX D: BMC ANALYSIS FOR ACRYLONITRILE

Probit I Input D Gnuplo	Model. (Versi ata File: C:\B t Plotting File	on: 2.8; Date MDS\APPE e: C:\BMDS\	e: 02/20/2007) L_30-MIN.(d) APPEL_30-MIN.plt Fri Jul 13	13:22:35 2007
BMDS MODE	L RUN	~~~~~~	~~~~~~~~~	
The form of th	e probability	function is:		
P[response] = where CumNc	Background - orm(.) is the co	+ (1-Backgro umulative not	und) * CumNorm(In rmal distribution fun	tercept+Slope*Log(Dose)), ction
Dependent var	riable = COLU	UMN3		
Independent v	ariable = COI	LUMN1		
Slope paramet	er is not restr	icted		
		_		
Total number	of observation	ns = 3		
Total number	of records wit	th missing va	lues = 0	
Maximum nur	nber of iterati	ons = 250		
Relative Funct	tion Converge	ence has been	set to: 1e-008	
Parameter Cor	ivergence has	been set to:	1e-008	
User has chose	en the log trar	sformed mo	lel	
Defa	ult Initial (and	d Specified) I	Darameter Values	
bac	kground =		arameter values	
int	ercent = -3	0 2755		
	slope = 3	91797		
Asympto	tic Correlation	n Matrix of P	arameter Estimates	
5 1				
(*** The	e model paran	neter(s) -bac	kground -slope	
have	been estimate	d at a bounda	ary point, or have been	en specified by the user,
and d	o not appear i	n the correlat	tion matrix)	
	intercept			
intercept	1			
	_			
	Paramete	er Estimates		
.	T	95.0%	Wald Confidence In	terval
Variable	Estimate	Std. Err.	Lower Conf. Limit	Upper Conf. Limit
background	0	NA	1 40 1 67	140.550
intercept	-141.863	0.665192	-143.167	-140.559
slope	18	NA		

1								
2	NA - Indicates that	t this parameter	has hit a bou	ınd				
3	implied by some inequality constraint and thus							
4	has no standard	l error.						
5								
6	An	alysis of Devian	ce Table					
7								
8	Model	Log(likeliho	ood) # Paran	n's Dev	iance	Test d.f.	P-value	
9	Full model	-1.90954	4 3	0	1 (2 2 2 1	•	0.0105	
10	Fitted model	-1.99323		0.	16/3/1	2	0.9197	
11	Reduced model	-8.15032	, I	12	2.4816	2	0.001948	
12	AIC: 3	.98646						
13								
14 15		Goodnass of	· Eit					
16		Goodiless of	Scaled	l				
17	Dose Est Pi	ob Expected	Observed	Size	Residual			
18				5120				
19	1600.0000 0.00	000.0 000	0	3	-0.000			
20	2600.0000 0.37	29 1.119	1	3	-0.142			
21	3000.0000 0.98	5.927	6	6	0.272			
22								
23	$Chi^2 = 0.09$ c	l.f. = 2 P-va	lue = 0.9541					
24								
25	Benchmark Dos	e Computation						
26	Specified effect =	0.05						
27	Risk Type =	Extra risk						
28	Confidence level =	= 0.95						
29	BMC =	2416.07						
30	BMCL =	1784.1						
31								
32								
33								





Drok	sit Mode	======================================	======================================					
Inni	it Data F	File: C·\BMDS	(UNSAVED1 (d)					
Gnuplot Plotting File: C:\BMDS\UNSAVED1.(d)								
	r · · ·	0	Thu Mar 01 08:34:09 2007					
BMDS MOI	DEL RU	JN	~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~					
The form of	the prob	bability functio	on is:					
P[res]	ponse] =	= Background	+ (1-Background) * CumNorm(Intercept+Slope*Log(Dose)					
where	e CumN	form(.) is the cu	umulative normal distribution function					
Dependent	variable	e = COLUMN3	3					
Independer	nt variab	ble = COLUMN	N1					
Slope paran	neter is i	not restricted						
Total numb	er of ob	oservations = 4	L					
Total numb	per of re	cords with mis	using values $= 0$					
Maximum	number	of iterations = $\frac{1}{2}$	250					
Relative Fu	Inction (Convergence n	has been set to: 1e-008					
Falameter	Converg	gence has been	set to. 1e-008					
User has cl	nosen th	e log transform	ned model					
Default Ini	tial (and	l Specified) Par	rameter Values					
backg	ground	= 0						
interc	ept	= -16.2084	4					
slope		= 2.13067	7					
	C 1							
Asymptotic	Correla	ation Matrix of	f Parameter Estimates					
(· · · · have	heen sng	ecified by the r	(s) -background have been estimated at a boundary point, o					
llave	been spo	ecified by the t	user, and do not appear in the correlation matrix)					
	interc	ent slope						
intercept	1	-1						
slope	-1	1						
-								
	Para	meter Estimate	es					
			8 1 F					
Varia	ble	Estimate	Std. Err.					
Varia backg	ble ground	Estimate 0	Std. Err. NA					
Varia backg interc	ble ground ept	Estimate 0 -29.6647 3.92636	Std. Err. NA 6.43448 0.860001					

49 NA - Indicates that this parameter has hit a bound implied by some inequality constraint and

1	thus has no standard e	rror.						
2								
3	Analysis of Deviance Table							
4								
5	Model	Log(likelihoo	od) De	eviance	Test DF	P-value		
6	Full model	-16.7186						
7	Fitted model	-18.0178	2.	.5984	2	0.2728		
8	Reduced mode	1 -37.047	40).6567	3	<.0001		
9	AIC: 40.0	356						
10								
11		Good	ness of Fit	-				
12								
13			Scaled					
14	Dose Est. Prob.	Expected	Observed	Size	Residual			
15								
16	665.0000 0.0000	0.000	0	16	-0.01652			
17	1270.0000 0.0544	0.870	0	16	-0.9591			
18	1490.0000 0.1644	2.630	4	16	0.9241			
19	2445.0000 0.8335	13.336	13	16	-0.2251			
20	Chi-square = 1.82	DF = 2	P-value =	0.4015				
21	1							
22	Benchmark Dose Co	mputation						
23	Specified effect =	0.05						
24	Risk Type = Extra risk							
25	Confidence level = 0.95							
26	BMC = 1256.83							
27	BMCL = 1	024.42						
28								



Probit Model \$Revision: 2.1 \$ \$Date: 2000/02/26 03:38:53 \$ Input Data File: C:\BMDS\UNSAVED1.(d) Gnuplot Plotting File: C:\BMDS\UNSAVED1.plt Thu Mar 01 08:39:20 2007							
BMDS MODEI	L RUN						
The form of the	~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~	function i	s:	~~~~~~			
P[respon where C	se] = Backg umNorm(.)	ground + (1 is the cum	-Background) * C ulative normal dis	umNorm(Intercept+Slope*Log(Dose) tribution function			
Dependent var	iable = CO	LUMN3					
Independent va	ariable = CO	DLUMN1					
Slope parameter	er is not res	tricted					
Total number (of observati	ons = 3					
Total number of records with missing values = 0							
Maximum number of iterations = 250							
Relative Function Convergence has been set to: 1e-008							
Parameter Cor	vergence h	as been set	to: 1e-008				
	U						
User has chose	en the log tr	ansformed	model				
Defar	ult Initial (a	nd Specifi	ed) Parameter Valu	ies			
b	ackground	=	0				
ir	tercept	= -17.	8516				
sl	ope	= 2.7	0268				
	1						
Asymptotic Cor	relation Ma	trix of Par	ameter Estimates	1			
(*** 1h	e model pa	rameter(s)	-background hav	e been estimated at a boundary point,			
nave bee	n specified	by the user	, and do not appea	ir in the correlation matrix)			
	interc	ent sl	ne				
intercen	+ 1	-1)pc				
slope	-1	1					
stop e	1	1					
	Parameter H	Estimates					
Vari	able 1	Estimate	Std. Err.				
backgrou	ind	0	NA				
intercept	-64	.9721	4558.92				
1	0	11/11/1/2	712 606				

49 Analysis of Deviance Table

1						
2	Model Lo	og(likelihood)) D	eviance	Test DF	P-value
3	Full model	-3.74067				
4	Fitted model	-3.74067	5	.37593e-008	1	0.9998
5	Reduced model	-31.199	5.	4.9175	2	<.0001
6	AIC: 11.48	13				
7						
8	Go	odness of Fi	it			
9						
10			Scaled			
11	Dose EstProb.	Expected	Observe	d Size	Residual	
12						
13	305.0000 0.0000	0.000	0	16 -	-4.972e-008	
14	595.0000 0.0625	1.000	1	16 -	-3.32e-005	
15	1260.0000 1.0000	16.000	16	16	0.0001623	
16						
17	Chi-square = 0.00	DF = 1	P-value =	= 0.9999		
18						
19	Benchmark Dose Con	nputation				
20	Specified effect =	0.05				
21	Risk Type = Ext	tra risk				
22	Confidence level =	0.95				
23	BMC = 588	8.401				
24	BMCL = 49	1.304				
25						
26						



10:21 07/11 2007

BMDS MODEL RUN The form of the probability function is: P[response] = Background + (1-Background) * CumNorm(Intercept+Slope*Log(Dose)), where CumNorm(.) is the cumulative normal distribution function Dependent variable = COLUMN3 Independent variable = COLUMN1 Slope parameter is not restricted Total number of observations = 3 Total number of records with missing values = 0 Maximum number of iterations = 250 Relative Function Convergence has been set to: 1e-008 Parameter Convergence has been set to: 1e-008 User has chosen the log transformed model Default Initial (and Specified) Parameter Values background = 0 intercept = -13.5273 slope = 2.34824 Asymptotic Correlation Matrix of Parameter Estimates (*** The model parameter(s) -background have been estimated at a boundary point, have been specified by the user, and do not appear in the correlation matrix) intercept 1 -1 Slope -1 1 Parameter Estimates Variable Estimate Std. Err. background 0 NA NA intercept -50.8405 3148.13 Slope 8.75291 547.256	Probit Model \$Revision: 2.1 \$ \$Date: 2000/02/26 03:38:53 \$ Input Data File: C:\BMDS\UNSAVED1.(d) Gnuplot Plotting File: C:\BMDS\UNSAVED1.plt Thu Mar 01 08:43:13 2007							
The form of the probability function is: P[response] = Background + (1-Background) * CumNorm(Intercept+Slope*Log(Dose)), where CumNorm(.) is the cumulative normal distribution function Dependent variable = COLUMN3 Independent variable = COLUMN1 Slope parameter is not restricted Total number of observations = 3 Total number of observations = 250 Relative Function Convergence has been set to: 1e-008 Parameter Convergence has been set to: 1e-008 User has chosen the log transformed model Default Initial (and Specified) Parameter Values background = 0 intercept = -13.5273 slope = 2.34824 Asymptotic Correlation Matrix of Parameter Estimates (*** The model parameter(s) -background have been estimated at a boundary point, have been specified by the user, and do not appear in the correlation matrix) intercept 1 -1 slope -1 1 Parameter Estimates Variable Estimate Std. Err. background 0 NA intercept -50.8405 3148.13 slope 8.75291 547.256	BMDS MODEL I	RUN						
P[response] = Background + (1-Background) * CumNorm(Intercept+Slope*Log(Dose)), where CumNorm(.) is the cumulative normal distribution function Dependent variable = COLUMN3 Independent variable = COLUMN1 Slope parameter is not restricted Total number of observations = 3 Total number of records with missing values = 0 Maximum number of iterations = 250 Relative Function Convergence has been set to: 1e-008 Parameter Convergence has been set to: 1e-008 User has chosen the log transformed model Default Initial (and Specified) Parameter Values background = 0 intercept = -13.5273 slope = 2.34824 Asymptotic Correlation Matrix of Parameter Estimates (*** The model parameter(s) -background have been estimated at a boundary point, have been specified by the user, and do not appear in the correlation matrix) intercept 1 -1 slope -1 1 Parameter Estimates Variable Estimate Std. Err. background 0 NA intercept -50.8405 3148.13 slope 8.75291 547.256	The form of the p	 robability fu		~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~				
CumNorm(Intercept+Slope*Log(Dose)), where CumNorm(.) is the cumulative normal distribution functionDependent variable = COLUMN3 Independent variable = COLUMN1 Slope parameter is not restrictedTotal number of observations = 3 Total number of iterations = 250 Relative Function Convergence has been set to: 1e-008 Parameter Convergence has been set to: 1e-008 User has chosen the log transformed modelDefault Initial (and Specified) Parameter Values background = 0 intercept = -13.5273 slope = 2.34824Asymptotic Correlation Matrix of Parameter Estimates (*** The model parameter(s) -background have been estimated at a boundary point, have been specified by the user, and do not appear in the correlation matrix)intercept 1 -1 slope -1 1Parameter Estimates Variable Estimate Std. Err. background 0 NA intercept -50.8405 3148.13 slope 8.75291 547.256	P[re	esponse] = B	ackground + (1-	Background) *				
Dependent variable = COLUMN3 Independent variable = COLUMN1 Slope parameter is not restricted Total number of observations = 3 Total number of records with missing values = 0 Maximum number of iterations = 250 Relative Function Convergence has been set to: 1e-008 Parameter Convergence has been set to: 1e-008 User has chosen the log transformed model Default Initial (and Specified) Parameter Values background = 0 intercept = -13.5273 slope = 2.34824 Asymptotic Correlation Matrix of Parameter Estimates (**** The model parameter(s) -background have been estimated at a boundary point, have been specified by the user, and do not appear in the correlation matrix) intercept slope intercept 1 -1 slope -1 1 Parameter Estimates Variable Estimate Std. Err. background 0 NA intercept -50.8405 3148.13 slope 8.75291 547.256	Cui nor	mNorm(Inter mal distribut	cept+Slope*Log ion function	g(Dose)), where CumNorm(.) is the cumulative				
Independent variable = COLUMN1 Slope parameter is not restricted Total number of observations = 3 Total number of records with missing values = 0 Maximum number of iterations = 250 Relative Function Convergence has been set to: 1e-008 Parameter Convergence has been set to: 1e-008 User has chosen the log transformed model Default Initial (and Specified) Parameter Values background = 0 intercept = -13.5273 slope = 2.34824 Asymptotic Correlation Matrix of Parameter Estimates (*** The model parameter(s) -background have been estimated at a boundary point, have been specified by the user, and do not appear in the correlation matrix) intercept slope intercept 1 -1 slope -1 1 Parameter Estimates Variable Estimate Std. Err. background 0 NA intercept -50.8405 3148.13 slope 8.75291 547.256	Dependent varia	ble = COLU	MN3					
Slope parameter is not restricted Total number of observations = 3 Total number of records with missing values = 0 Maximum number of iterations = 250 Relative Function Convergence has been set to: 1e-008 Parameter Convergence has been set to: 1e-008 User has chosen the log transformed model Default Initial (and Specified) Parameter Values background = 0 intercept = -13.5273 slope = 2.34824 Asymptotic Correlation Matrix of Parameter Estimates (*** The model parameter(s) -background have been estimated at a boundary point, have been specified by the user, and do not appear in the correlation matrix) intercept slope intercept 1 -1 slope -1 1 Parameter Estimates Variable Estimate Std. Err. background 0 NA intercept -50.8405 3148.13 slope 8.75291 547.256	Independent var	riable = COL	UMN1					
Total number of observations = 3 Total number of records with missing values = 0 Maximum number of iterations = 250 Relative Function Convergence has been set to: 1e-008 Parameter Convergence has been set to: 1e-008 User has chosen the log transformed model Default Initial (and Specified) Parameter Values background = 0 intercept = -13.5273 slope = 2.34824 Asymptotic Correlation Matrix of Parameter Estimates (*** The model parameter(s) -background have been estimated at a boundary point, have been specified by the user, and do not appear in the correlation matrix) intercept 1 -1 slope -1 1 Parameter Estimates Variable Estimate Std. Err. background 0 NA intercept -50.8405 3148.13 slope 8.75291 547.256	Slope parameter	is not restric	ted					
Total number of observations = 3 Total number of records with missing values = 0 Maximum number of iterations = 250 Relative Function Convergence has been set to: 1e-008 Parameter Convergence has been set to: 1e-008 User has chosen the log transformed model Default Initial (and Specified) Parameter Values background = 0 intercept = -13.5273 slope = 2.34824 Asymptotic Correlation Matrix of Parameter Estimates (*** The model parameter(s) -background have been estimated at a boundary point, have been specified by the user, and do not appear in the correlation matrix) intercept 1 -1 slope -1 1 Parameter Estimates Variable Estimate Std. Err. background 0 NA intercept -50.8405 3148.13 slope 8.75291 547.256	F - F							
Total number of records with missing values = 0 Maximum number of iterations = 250 Relative Function Convergence has been set to: 1e-008 Parameter Convergence has been set to: 1e-008 User has chosen the log transformed model Default Initial (and Specified) Parameter Values background = 0 intercept = -13.5273 slope = 2.34824 Asymptotic Correlation Matrix of Parameter Estimates (*** The model parameter(s) -background have been estimated at a boundary point, have been specified by the user, and do not appear in the correlation matrix) intercept 1 -1 slope -1 1 Parameter Estimates Variable Estimate Std. Err. background 0 NA intercept -50.8405 3148.13 slope 8.75291 547.256	Total number of	observations	s = 3					
Maximum number of iterations = 250 Relative Function Convergence has been set to: 1e-008 Parameter Convergence has been set to: 1e-008 User has chosen the log transformed model Default Initial (and Specified) Parameter Values background = 0 intercept = -13.5273 slope = 2.34824 Asymptotic Correlation Matrix of Parameter Estimates (*** The model parameter(s) -background have been estimated at a boundary point, have been specified by the user, and do not appear in the correlation matrix) intercept slope intercept 1 -1 slope -1 1 Parameter Estimates Variable Estimate Std. Err. background 0 NA intercept -50.8405 3148.13 slope 8.75291 547.256	Total number of	records with	missing values	= 0				
Relative Function Convergence has been set to: 1e-008 Parameter Convergence has been set to: 1e-008 User has chosen the log transformed model Default Initial (and Specified) Parameter Values background = 0 intercept = -13.5273 slope = 2.34824 Asymptotic Correlation Matrix of Parameter Estimates (**** The model parameter(s) -background have been estimated at a boundary point, have been specified by the user, and do not appear in the correlation matrix) intercept slope intercept 1 -1 slope -1 1 Parameter Estimates Variable Estimate Std. Err. background 0 NA intercept -50.8405 3148.13 slope 8.75291 547.256	Maximum numb	er of iteratio	ns = 250					
Parameter Convergence has been set to: 1e-008 User has chosen the log transformed model Default Initial (and Specified) Parameter Values background = 0 intercept = -13.5273 slope = 2.34824 Asymptotic Correlation Matrix of Parameter Estimates (*** The model parameter(s) -background have been estimated at a boundary point, have been specified by the user, and do not appear in the correlation matrix) intercept slope intercept 1 -1 slope -1 1 Parameter Estimates Variable Estimate Std. Err. background 0 NA intercept -50.8405 3148.13 slope 8.75291 547.256	Relative Functio	n Convergen	ce has been set	to: 1e-008				
User has chosen the log transformed model Default Initial (and Specified) Parameter Values background = 0 intercept = -13.5273 slope = 2.34824 Asymptotic Correlation Matrix of Parameter Estimates (*** The model parameter(s) -background have been estimated at a boundary point, have been specified by the user, and do not appear in the correlation matrix) intercept slope intercept 1 -1 slope -1 1 Parameter Estimates Variable Estimate Std. Err. background 0 NA intercept -50.8405 3148.13 slope 8.75291 547.256	Parameter Conve	ergence has b	been set to: 1e-0	08				
User has chosen the log transformed model Default Initial (and Specified) Parameter Values background = 0 intercept = -13.5273 slope = 2.34824 Asymptotic Correlation Matrix of Parameter Estimates (*** The model parameter(s) -background have been estimated at a boundary point, have been specified by the user, and do not appear in the correlation matrix) intercept slope intercept 1 -1 slope -1 1 Parameter Estimates Variable Estimate Std. Err. background 0 NA intercept -50.8405 3148.13 slope 8.75291 547.256		U						
Default Initial (and Specified) Parameter Values background = 0 intercept = -13.5273 slope = 2.34824 Asymptotic Correlation Matrix of Parameter Estimates (*** The model parameter(s) -background have been estimated at a boundary point, have been specified by the user, and do not appear in the correlation matrix) intercept slope intercept 1 -1 slope -1 1 Parameter Estimates Variable Estimate Std. Err. background 0 NA intercept -50.8405 3148.13 slope 8.75291 547.256	User has chosen	the log trans	formed model					
Default Initial (and Specified) Parameter Values background = 0 intercept = -13.5273 slope = 2.34824 Asymptotic Correlation Matrix of Parameter Estimates (*** The model parameter(s) -background have been estimated at a boundary point, have been specified by the user, and do not appear in the correlation matrix) intercept slope intercept 1 -1 slope -1 1 Parameter Estimates Variable Estimate Std. Err. background 0 NA intercept -50.8405 3148.13 slope 8.75291 547.256		e						
background = 0 intercept = -13.5273 slope = 2.34824 Asymptotic Correlation Matrix of Parameter Estimates (*** The model parameter(s) -background have been estimated at a boundary point, have been specified by the user, and do not appear in the correlation matrix) intercept slope intercept 1 -1 slope -1 1 Parameter Estimates Variable Estimate Std. Err. background 0 NA intercept -50.8405 3148.13 slope 8.75291 547.256	Default Initial (a	and Specified) Parameter Val	ues				
intercept = -13.5273 slope = 2.34824 Asymptotic Correlation Matrix of Parameter Estimates (*** The model parameter(s) -background have been estimated at a boundary point, have been specified by the user, and do not appear in the correlation matrix) intercept slope intercept 1 -1 slope -1 1 Parameter Estimates Variable Estimate Std. Err. background 0 NA intercept -50.8405 3148.13 slope 8.75291 547.256	background	d =	0					
slope = 2.34824 Asymptotic Correlation Matrix of Parameter Estimates (*** The model parameter(s) -background have been estimated at a boundary point, have been specified by the user, and do not appear in the correlation matrix) intercept slope intercept 1 -1 slope -1 1 Parameter Estimates Variable Estimate Std. Err. background 0 NA intercept -50.8405 3148.13 slope 8.75291 547.256	intercept	= -13	.5273					
Asymptotic Correlation Matrix of Parameter Estimates (*** The model parameter(s) -background have been estimated at a boundary point, have been specified by the user, and do not appear in the correlation matrix) intercept slope intercept 1 -1 slope -1 1 Parameter Estimates Variable Estimate Std. Err. background 0 NA intercept -50.8405 3148.13 slope 8.75291 547.256	slope	= 2.	.34824					
Asymptotic Correlation Matrix of Parameter Estimates (*** The model parameter(s) -background have been estimated at a boundary point, have been specified by the user, and do not appear in the correlation matrix) intercept 1 -1 slope -1 1 Parameter Estimates Variable Estimate Std. Err. background 0 NA intercept -50.8405 3148.13 slope 8.75291 547.256	*							
(*** The model parameter(s) -background have been estimated at a boundary point, have been specified by the user, and do not appear in the correlation matrix) intercept slope intercept 1 -1 slope -1 1 Parameter Estimates Variable Estimate Std. Err. background 0 NA intercept -50.8405 3148.13 slope 8.75291 547.256	Asymptotic Corr	relation Matr	ix of Parameter	Estimates				
have been specified by the user, and do not appear in the correlation matrix) intercept slope intercept 1 -1 slope -1 1 Parameter Estimates Variable Estimate Std. Err. background 0 NA intercept -50.8405 3148.13 slope 8.75291 547.256	(*** The 1	model param	eter(s) -backgro	und have been estimated at a boundary point, c				
intercept slope intercept 1 -1 slope -1 1 Parameter Estimates Variable Estimate Std. Err. background 0 NA intercept -50.8405 3148.13 slope 8.75291 547.256	have been	specified by	the user, and do	not appear in the correlation matrix)				
intercept slope intercept 1 -1 slope -1 1 Parameter Estimates Variable Estimate Std. Err. background 0 NA intercept -50.8405 3148.13 slope 8.75291 547.256								
intercept 1 -1 slope -1 1 Parameter Estimates Variable Estimate Std. Err. background 0 NA intercept -50.8405 3148.13 slope 8.75291 547.256		intercept	slope					
slope -1 1 Parameter Estimates Variable Estimate Std. Err. background 0 NA intercept -50.8405 3148.13 slope 8.75291 547.256	intercept	1	-1					
Parameter EstimatesVariableEstimateStd. Err.background0NAintercept-50.84053148.13slope8.75291547.256	slope	-1	1					
Parameter EstimatesVariableEstimateStd. Err.background0NAintercept-50.84053148.13slope8.75291547.256								
VariableEstimateStd. Err.background0NAintercept-50.84053148.13slope8.75291547.256	Pa	arameter Esti	mates					
background 0 NA intercept -50.8405 3148.13 slope 8.75291 547.256	Variable	Estimate	Std. Err.					
intercept -50.8405 3148.13 slope 8.75291 547.256	background	0	NA					
slope 8.75291 547.256	intercept	-50.8405	3148.13					
	slope	8.75291	547.256					

49 has no standard error.

1						
2	Analy	sis of Deviand	ce Table			
3	Model Log	likelihood)	De	eviance	Test DF	P-value
4	Full model	-9.93738				
5	Fitted model	-9.93738	2.	60525e-	007 1	0.9996
6	Reduced model	-32.8951	45	5.9154	2	<.0001
7	AIC: 23.	8748				
8						
9		Goodness of	Fit			
10						
11			Scaled			
12	Dose EstProb	. Expected	Observed	Size	Residual	
13					·····	
14	130.0000 0.0000	0.000	0	16	-3.783e-008	
15	315.0000 0.3125	5.000	5	16	-3.304e-006	
16	635.0000 1.0000	16.000	16	16	0.0003609	
17	Chi-square = 0.0	0 DF = 1	P-value =	0.9997		
18						
19	Benchmark Dose C	Computation				
20	Specified effect =	0.05				
21	Risk Type $=$ 1	Extra risk				
22	Confidence level =	0.95				
23	BMC =	276.026				
24	BMCL =	179.532				
25						
26						
			Drobit Model y			



Probit Model \$Revision: 2.1 \$ \$Date: 2000/02/26 03:38:53 \$ Input Data File: C:\BMDS\UNSAVED1.(d) Gnuplot Plotting File: C:\BMDS\UNSAVED1.plt Thu Mar 01 08:46:12 2007								
BMDS MODEL RU	IN							
The form of the prob	pability function is:	· ·	~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~					
P[response] = where CumN	Background + (1)	-Background) llative normal of	* CumNorm(Intercept+Slope*Log(Dose)) distribution function					
Dependent variable	e = COLUMN3							
Independent varial	ble = COLUMN1							
Slope parameter is	not restricted							
Total number of ob	servations = 5							
Total number of re-	cords with missing	values $= 0$						
Maximum number	of iterations $= 250$							
Relative Function (Convergence has be	een set to: 1e-0	08					
Parameter Converg	gence has been set t	to: 1e-008						
User has chosen the	a lag transformad r	modal						
Default Initial	(and Specified) Pa	nouci rameter Values						
background	= 0							
intercept	= -13							
slope	= 2.37276							
F -								
Asymptotic Correla	ation Matrix of Par	ameter Estimat	tes					
(*** The model par	rameter(s) -backgro	ound have bee	n estimated at a boundary point, or have					
been specifie	d by the user, and	do not appear i	n the correlation matrix)					
	• , . •							
	intercept slop	pe						
intercept	l -l							
stope	-1 1							
Para	meter Estimates							
Variable	Estimate	Std. Err.						
background	0	NA						
intercept	-40.1969	9.34116	5					
slope	7.18845	1.66722	2					
NA - Indicates that the	his parameter has h	it a bound imp	lied by some inequality constraint and thu					
has no standard er	ror.							

1	Analysis of Deviance Table						
2	Moo	Model Log(likeli		lihood)	Deviand	ce Test DF	P-value
3	Full	model	-18.4	464			
4	Fitte	ed model	-18.9	0141	0.93540	9 3	0.8169
5	Red	luced model	-47.	991	59.091	4	<.0001
6	AIC	2: 41.82	281				
7							
8		(Goodness o	f Fit			
9							
10				Scaled			
11	Dose	EstProb.	Expected	Observed	Size	Residual	
12							
13	90.0000	0.0000	0.000	0	16	-1.822e-007	
14	135.0000	0.0000	0.000	0	16	-0.002528	
15	210.0000	0.0392	0.628	1	16	0.479	
16	270.0000	0.5188	8.300	7	16	-0.6506	
17	320.0000	0.8977	14.363	15	16	0.5257	
18	Chi-square	e = 0.93	DF = 3	P-value	= 0.8184	4	
19							
20	Benchma	rk Dose Coi	nputation				
21	Specified effect = 0.05						
22	Risk Type	tra risk					
23	Confidence	0.95					
24	BM	1C = 21	3.376				
25	BM	CL = 18	85.797				
26							



10:06 07/11 2007

APPENDIX E: Litchfield and Wilcoxon LC₅₀ Calculation

Dose N	Aortality	Observed%	Expected%	Observed-Expected	Chi-Square	
665.000	0/16	0(0.30)	0.28	0.02	0.0000	
1270.000	0/16	0(3.80)	9.95	-6.15	0.0422	
1490.000	4/16	25.00	21.53	3.47	0.0071	
2445.000	13/16	81.25	82.13	-0.88	0.0005	
Values in	parentheses a	are corrected for (0 or 100 perce	ent Total = 0.0499		
$LC_{50} = 18$ Slope = 1. * These v. Total anim Chi-squar Table valu	70.153(1621. 34(1.22 - 1.4 alues are 95 p hals = 64 e = total chi-sque for Chi-sque for Chi-sque all chi-sq	558 - 2156.859) ³ 7)* bercent confidenc Total doses = 4 square X animals are with 2 Degre	∗ e limits Animals/do /dose = 0.798 ees of Freedor	se = 16.00 se = 5.9900		
LC ₈₄ =	2502.530	$LC_{16} =$	1397.574	FED = 1	$15 ext{ FS} = 1.10$	A =
PERCEN	99.99+ 99.94+ 99.60+ 97.56+ Г 86.35+					
EFFECT		*	* 0			
	50.06 +		* * *			
		*	* * *			
	13.71+	* *()*			
		* * *				
	2.46 +	*** 0				
	0 40 + *:	**				
	0.40 + •					
	$0.06 \pm$					
	0.00					
	0.01 ++	+++	-+++	++		
	0.01 ++	+++ 5 757 863 983 1	-+++ 119 1275 14:	++ 52 1654 1884 2147 24	45	

$\frac{1}{2}$		Expected Lethal Dose Values
2 3 4	LC _{0.1}	555.726
5	LC _{1.0}	834.159
0 7 0	LC _{5.0}	1114.816
0 9 10	LC_{10}	1271.215
10 11 12	LC ₂₅	1541.871
12 13	LC ₅₀	1870.153
14	LC ₇₅	2268.330
10	LC ₉₀	2751.283
19	LC ₉₉	4192.812
20		
22		

1 APPENDIX F: CARCINOGENICITY ASSESSMENT FOR ACRYLONITRILE 2

Various inhalation unit risk values have been developed for acrylonitrile (see Section 2.5).
IARC (1999) downgraded AN from category 2a to category 2b noting that data relative to human carcinogenicity are inadequate and that no causal association exists. Current data are sufficient for considering AN to be carcinogenic in animals (NTP, 2002). That AN would induce a carcinogenic response in humans following a single, once-in-a-lifetime acute exposure is remote.

APPENDIX G: CATEGORY PLOT FOR ACRYLONITRILE







