Before the Federal Communications Commission Washington, DC 20554

In the Matter of)	
Requests for Review of theDecision of theUniversal Service Administrator by	
Albuquerque School District)Albuquerque, New Mexico)	File No. SLD-320461
Atlanta Public Schools)Atlanta, Georgia)	File No. SLD-252678
Bank Street School for Children)New York, New York)	File Nos. SLD-266720, 314992
) Berlin-Milan Local Schools) Milan, Ohio)	File No. SLD-363638
) Brady Independent School District) Brady, Texas)	File No. SLD-304532
) Brewster Academy Wolfehoro, New Hampshire	File No. SLD-317937
) Chicago Public Schools) Chicago, Illinois)	File No. SLD-288954
) Clare-Gladwin Regional Education Service District) Clare, Michigan)	File No. SLD-297413
) Clovis Unified School District) Clovis, California)	File No. SLD-320217
) Donna Independent School District Donna, Texas)	File Nos. SLD-309005, 311465 317363, 317242, 315364, 317452 311400, 315415, 317016
El Paso Independent School District)El Paso, Texas)	File No. SLD-318522
) E-Rate Consulting) Woodstock, Georgia)	File Nos. SLD-328913, 317430

Hereford Independent School District Hereford, Texas) File No. SLD-325401
Holly School District Holly, Colorado) File No. SLD-69154
Hunterdon Central Regional High School Flemington, New Jersey) File Nos. SLD-368582, 370886
International Business Machines Corporation (filed on behalf of Albuquerque School District) Albuquerque, New Mexico) File No. SLD-320461
International Business Machines Corporation (filed on behalf of Memphis City School District) Memphis, Tennessee) File No. SLD-331487
International Business Machines Corporation (filed on behalf of Donna Independent School District) Donna, Texas) File No. SLD-309005
International Business Machines Corporation (filed on behalf of El Paso Independent School District) El Paso, Texas) File No. SLD-318522
International Business Machines Corporation (filed on behalf of Oklahoma City School District I-89) Oklahoma City, Oklahoma) File No. SLD-315578
Immaculate Conception Our Lady of Perpetual Help School Everett, Washington) File No. SLD-383011
Latch School, Inc. Phoenix, Arizona) File No. SLD-328133
Madison-Oneida Board of Cooperative Educational Services Verona, New York) File No. SLD-312009
Mechon L'Hoyroa Monsey, New York) File Nos. SLD-293334, 293350
Memphis City School District Memphis, Tennessee) File No. SLD-331487

Miller District No. 210 Milton Pope School Marseilles, Illinois)) File No. SLD-288728)
North Penn School District Lansdale, Pennsylvania)) File No. SLD-323279
Oklahoma City School District I-89 Oklahoma City, Oklahoma) File No. SLD-315578
Orange North Supervisory Union Williamstown, Vermont) File No. SLD-383531
Our Lady of the Hamptons Regional Catholic School South Hampton, New York) File No. SLD-383046
Port Edwards Public Schools Port Edwards, Wisconsin)) File No. SLD-383556)
PPEP TEC-Fernandez Learning Center Tucson, Arizona)) File No. SLD-308245)
Rock Falls Elementary School District No. 13 Rock Falls, Illinois)) File No. SLD-289301)
Sacred Heart School Danbury, Connecticut)) File No. SLD-349393)
St. Francis Cathedral School Metuchen, New Jersey) File Nos. SLD-384029, 384482
State Library of Iowa (filed on behalf of Dickens Public Library) Dickens, Iowa) File No. SLD-299479)
Virginia Department of Education Richmond, Virginia)) File No. SLD-226937)
Western New York Regional Information Center West Seneca, New York)) File No. SLD-328803)
Yeshiva Beth Jacob of Flatbush Queens Flushing, New York) File No. SLD-315131)

Federal-State Joint Board on Universal Service)	CC Docket No. 96-45	
)		
Changes to the Board of Directors of the)	CC Docket No. 97-21	
National Exchange Carrier Association, Inc.)		
ORDER			

Adopted: August 18, 2003

Released: August 19, 2003

By the Telecommunications Access Policy Division, Wireline Competition Bureau:

1. The Telecommunications Access Policy Division (Division) has under consideration the above-captioned Requests for Review of decisions issued by the Schools and Libraries Division (SLD) of the Universal Service Administrative Company.¹ These requests seek review of SLD decisions pursuant to section 54.719(c) of the Commission's rules.²

¹ Letter from Arthur D. Meledres, Albuquerque School District, filed May 23, 2003; Letter from Robert W. Beman, Atlanta Public Schools, filed June 2, 2003; Letter from Arlen Rauschkolb, Bank Street School for Children, filed June 2, 2003; Letter from Patrick J. Regan, Berlin-Milan Local Schools, filed May 27, 2003; Letter from Janis Benson, Brady Independent School District, filed May 27, 2003; Letter from Elaine Hazeltine, Brewster Academy, filed May 13, 2003; Letter from Jane Kratochvil, Chicago Public Schools, filed May 26, 2003; Letter from Ken Chinavare, Clare-Gladwin Regional Education Service District, filed June 2, 2003; Letter from Pam Viar, Clovis Unified School District, filed May 5, 2003; Letter from Juan O. Garcia, Donna Independent School District, filed May 6, 2003; Letter from Clyde A. Pine, Jr., El Paso Independent School District, filed May 8, 2003; Letter from Robert Morrow, E-Rate Consulting, filed May 12, 2003; Letter from Nena Mankin, Hereford Independent School District, filed May 5, 2002; Letter from Cecil Lipscomb, Holly School District, filed June 2, 2003; Letter from Robert S. Mancabelli, Hunterdon Central Regional High School and Information, filed May 12, 2003; Letter from Clyde Rowe, International Business Machines Corporation (filed on behalf of Albuquerque School District), filed May 23, 2003; Letter from Clyde Rowe, International Business Machines Corporation (filed on behalf of Memphis City School District), filed May 23, 2003; Letter from Clyde Rowe, International Business Machines Corporation (filed on behalf of Donna Independent School District), filed May 9, 2003; Letter from Clyde Rowe, International Business Machines Corporation (filed on behalf of El Paso Independent School District), filed May 9, 2003; Letter from Clyde Rowe, International Business Machines Corporation (filed on behalf of Oklahoma City School District I-89), filed May 9, 2003; Letter from Linda O'Neil, Immaculate Conception Our Lady of Perpetual Help School, filed May 6, 2003; Letter from Gary Baxter, Latch School, Inc., filed May 27, 2003; Letter from Berel Karniol, Mechon L'Hoyroa, filed May 23, 2003; Letter from William Hazelton, Memphis City School District, filed May 27, 2003; Letter from Jane M. Bauer, Miller District No. 210 Milton Pope School, filed May 6, 2003; Letter from Deborah Cline, North Penn School District, filed May 13, 2003; Letter from Laura L. Holmes, Oklahoma City School District I-89, filed May 8, 2003; Letter from Chris Locarno, Orange North Supervisory Union, filed may 20, 2003; Letter from Kathryn Schlueter, Our Lady of the Hamptons Regional Catholic School, filed May 6, 2003; Letter from Sanata Lau, Port Edwards Public Schools, filed May 22, 2003; Letter from Elise P. Arnold, PPEP TEC-Fernandez Learning Center, filed May 23, 2003; Letter from Bridie Bartnicki, Rock Falls Elementary School District No. 13, filed May 29, 2003; Letter from Lorraine Kozlowski, Sacred Heart School, filed May 28, 2003; Letter from Donna Tyburski, St. Francis Cathedral School, filed May 5, 2003; Letter from Judy Jones, State Library of Iowa (filed on behalf of Dickens Public Library), filed May 14, 2003; Letter from Greg Weisiger, Virginia Department of Education, filed May 29, 2003; Letter from Susan C. Melancon, Western New York Regional Information Center, filed May 27, 2003; Letter from Rabbi Zalman Deutscher, Yeshiva Beth Jacob of Flatbush Queens, filed May 19, 2003 (Requests for Review).

² See Requests for Review. Section 54.719(c) of the Commission's rules provides that any person aggrieved by an action taken by a division of the Administrator may seek review from the Commission. 47 C.F.R. § 54.719(c).

2. The Commission's rules provide that the Wireline Competition Bureau (Bureau) must issue a decision resolving a request for review of matters properly before it within ninety (90) days unless the time period is extended.³ The Bureau requires additional time to review the issues presented. Accordingly, we extend by an additional sixty (60) days the deadline by which the Bureau must take action regarding the instant Requests for Review of decisions by the SLD.

3. Accordingly, IT IS ORDERED, pursuant to section 54.724(a) of the Commission's rules, 47 C.F.R. § 54.724(a), that the time period for taking action in the above-captioned Requests for Review IS EXTENDED BY an additional sixty (60) days to October 10, 2003, for the Request for Review filed by Albuquerque School District, Albuquerque, New Mexico; to October 28, 2003, for the Request for Review filed by Atlanta Public Schools, Atlanta, Georgia; to October 28, 2003, for the Request for Review filed by Bank Street School for Children, New York, New York; to October 24, 2003, for the Request for Review filed by Brady Independent School District, Brady, Texas; to October 24, 2003, for the Request for Review filed by Berlin-Milan Local Schools, Milan, Ohio; to October 14, 2003, for the Request for Review filed by Brewster Academy, Wolfehoro, New Hampshire; to October 21, 2003, for the Request for Review filed by Chicago Public Schools, Chicago, Illinois; to October 28, 2003, for the Request for Review filed by Clare-Gladwin Regional Education Service District, Clare, Michigan; to September 30, 2003, for the Request for Review filed by Clovis Unified School District, Clovis, California; to October 3, 2003, for the Request for Review filed by Donna Independent School District, Donna, Texas; to October 6, 2003, for the Request for Review filed by El Paso Independent School District, El Paso, Texas; to October 7, 2003, for the Request for Review filed by E-Rate Consulting, Woodstock, Georgia; to September 30, 2003, for the Request for Review filed by Hereford Independent School District, Hereford, Texas; to October 28, 2003, for the Request for Review filed by Holly School District, Holly, Colorado; to October 7, 2003, for the Request for Review filed by Hunterdon Central Regional High School and Information, Flemington, New Jersey; to October 10, 2003, for the Request for Review filed by International Business Machines Corporation (filed on behalf of Albuquerque School District), Albuquerque, New Mexico; to October 10, 2003, for the Request for Review filed by International Business Machines Corporation (filed on behalf of Memphis City School District), Memphis, Tennessee; to October 6, 2003, for the Request for Review filed by International Business Machines Corporation (filed on behalf of Donna Independent School District) Donna, Texas; to October 6, 2003, for the Request for Review filed by International Business Machines Corporation (filed on behalf of El Paso Independent School District) El Paso, Texas; to October 6, 2003, for the Request for Review filed by International Business Machines Corporation (filed on behalf of Oklahoma City School District I-89), Oklahoma City, Oklahoma; to October 3, 2003; for the Request for Review filed by Immaculate Conception Our Lady of Perpetual Help School, Everett, Washington; to October 24, 2003, for the Request for Review filed by Latch School, Inc., Phoenix, Arizona; to October 20, 2003, for the Request for Review filed by Madison-Oneida Board of Cooperative Educational Services, Verona, New York; to October 27, 2003, for the Request for Review filed by Mechon L'Hoyroa, Monsey, New York; to October 24, 2003, for the Request for Review filed by Memphis City School District, Memphis, Tennessee; to October 3, 2003, for the Request for Review filed by Miller District No. 210 Milton Pope School, Marseilles, Illinois; to October 10, 2003, for the Request for Review filed by North Penn

³ 47 C.F.R. § 54.724(a).

School District, Lansdale, Pennsylvania; to October 6, 2003, for the Request for Review filed by Oklahoma City School District I-89, Oklahoma City, Oklahoma; to October 17, 2003, for the Request for Review filed by Orange North Supervisory Union, Williamstown, Vermont; to October 3, 2003, for the Request for Review filed by Our Lady of the Hamptons Regional Catholic School, South Hampton, New York; to October 20, 2003, for the Request for Review filed by Port Edwards Public Schools, Port Edwards, Wisconsin; to October 20, 2003, for the Request for Review filed by PPEP TEC-Fernandez Learning Center, Tucson, Arizona; to October 27, 2003, for the Request for Review filed by Rock Falls Elementary School District No. 13, Rock Falls, Illinois; to October 24, 2003, for the Request for Review filed by Sacred Heart School, Danbury, Connecticut; to September 30, 2003, for the Request for Review filed by St. Francis Cathedral School, Metuchen, New Jersey; to October 10, 2003 for the Request for Review filed by State Library of Iowa (filed on behalf of Dickens Public Library), Dickens, Iowa; to October 27, 2003, for the Request for Review filed by Virginia Department of Education, Richmond, Virginia; to October 24, 2003, for the Request for Review filed by Western New York Regional Information Center, West Seneca, New York; to October 14, 2003, for the Request for Review filed by Yeshiva Beth Jacob of Flatbush Queens, Flushing, New York.

FEDERAL COMMUNICATIONS COMMISSION

Mark G. Seifert Deputy Chief, Telecommunications Access Policy Division Wireline Competition Bureau