Crystal structure of CheA, the histidine kinase central to bacterial chemotaxis.

Alexandrine M. Bilwes, Lisa A. Alex, Brian R. Crane, and Melvin I. Simon. Department of Biology, California Institute of Technology, Pasadena, California 91125.

INTRODUCTION

CheA, a representative histidine kinase, is a cytoplasmic central component of signal transduction pathways that allow bacteria to sense and respond to their environment [1, 2]. Most bacteria are able to swim by rotating their helical flagella. When the flagellar bundle rotates counterclockwise the bacterium swims smoothly. Switching to clockwise rotation results in a reorientation (tumbling) of the bacterium. The signaling circuit that controls the switching consists of transmembrane chemoreceptors that through an adaptor protein CheW regulate the histidine auto-phosphorylation of the multi-domain CheA protein. CheY obtains the phosphate from CheA and becomes the signal to change the direction of flagellar rotation.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

We recombinantly expressed *Thermotoga maritima* CheA Δ 289 [3] (residues 290-671) which lacks the two amino terminal domains, P1 and P2. CheA Δ 289 phosphorylates the fragment composed of *T. maritima* P1 and P2 *in vitro* (data not shown). In solution, CheA Δ 289 forms a complex with recombinant *T. maritima* CheW and the two proteins copurify. Thus, CheA Δ 289 is enzymatically active and retains substrate specificity and binding to CheW.

We determined the crystal structure of CheA Δ 289 at 2.6 Å resolution by combining experimental phases (Table 1 and 2) from multiple isomorphous replacement (MIR) with phases from multiwavelength anomalous diffraction (MAD). Synchrotron radiation was essential to this project as our crystals did not diffract beyond 3.6 Å using a rotating anode as a source of X-rays.

Dimeric recombinant wild type CheA Δ 289 and mercury-modified mutants Q545C and E387C produced crystals suitable for structure determination (Table 1). Crystals of mercury-modified Q545C diffracted better than wild type CheA Δ 289 and were highly isomorphous with unmodified Q545C (Table 1). MAD data collected at ALS beamline 5.0.2 on mercury-modified and seleno-methionine substituted CheA Δ 289 was essential for structure determination. Anomalous diffraction from the seleno-methionine containing protein crystals was used to locate 20 methionines in the electron-density map and aided the definition of the non-crystallographic symmetry and the tracing of the model. Cycles of model-weighted phase combination, manual rebuilding with interactive graphics, and reciprocal space refinement produced the final structure. Our 2.6 Å resolution refined model of mercury modified CheA Δ 289 Q545C (R-factor of 21.3%; R-free 28.5 %) includes a non-symmetric dimer of residues 293-671, 2 mercury atoms, 221 water molecules, and displays reasonable geometry.

Table 1. Native and derivative data	statistics
-------------------------------------	------------

Data set	Resolution*	R-sym #	$I/\sigma(I)^{\&}$	Complete-	Reflections		Wave	sites
	(Å)	(%)		ness (%)	Unique	Observed	length	
							(Å)	
545Hg	2.6(2.7-2.6)	6.8(34.4)	21.2(3.2)	92.6(79.5)	33278	93352	1.08	2
545	2.5(2.6-2.5)	3.9(28.9)	16.9(2.9)	78.5(67.5)	31655	57757	1.08	2
387Hg	3.2(3.3-3.2)	5.4(26.9)	17.2(4.8)	84.4(78.0)	16572	31820	1.08	2
Hg3	2.7(2.8-2.7)	6.1(31.6)	25.4(7.6)	93.8(97.1)	31863	170975	0.946	2
Seleno 545	2.5(2.6-2.5)	6.2(29.6)	37.2(8.5)	98.2(98.9)	40131	196467	0.979	20
Hg4 peak	2.7(2.8-2.7)	3.9(30.7)	19.4(5.7)	93.2(95.1)	29593	76121	1.001	2
Hg4	2.6(2.7-2.6)	5.8(28.3)	18.5(5.0)	92.5(95.7)	32719	80860	0.999	2
inflection								
Hg4 high	2.7(2.8-2.7)	5.5(29.1)	17.9(5.5)	92.8(95.0)	29847	73990	0.946	2
remote								

545Hg, Hg3, Hg4 represent different data sets of CheA Δ 289 Q545C soaked in HgCl₂; 387Hg represents CheA Δ 289 E387C co-crystallized with EMTS; and Seleno 545 represents selenomethionine CheA Δ 289 Q545C. The crystal Hg4 was used for the collection of MAD data.

*Highest resolution of data set followed by resolution range in highest bin for compiling statistics.

[#]Rsym= $\Sigma\Sigma j | Ij \langle I \rangle / \Sigma \langle I \rangle$, I=intensity, [&] I/ σ (I) =signal-to-noise. The 3 first data sets were collected at SSRL beamline 7-1 on a 30 cm Mar Research Image plate detector; the others were collected at ALS beamline 5.0.2 on a CCD 2x2 quantum detector.

	Resol	Phasing power		Riso	Rcen	R <i>K</i>	Anomalous	Dispersive	Figure of
	ution	iso*	ano**	(%)	(%)	(%)	ratio	Ratio (vs	merit (2.8Å)
	(Å)							inflection)	
545	2.8	2.18		15.8	52.2				
387Hg	3.5	1.03	0.87	39.2	69.3	50.7	4.6		MIRAS
Hg3	2.8		2.30	15.0		16.6	4.8		0.56
545Hg	3.5		1.59			17.2	4.3		
anomalous									
Hg4	2.8						4.1		MAD
inflection									0.47
Hg4 peak	2.8						4.9	9.8	
Hg4 high	2.8						5.1	10.6	
remote									
Combined	2.8								0.67

Table 2. MIR & MAD phasing statistics.

MIR statistics are calculated with 545Hg as the reference data set.

*Phasing power iso = $\langle |FH| \rangle / \langle E \rangle$ where |FH| is the calculated heavy atom structure factor amplitude and *E* is the lack of closure error. **Phasing power ano = $\langle 2|FH''| \rangle / \langle E \rangle$ where |FH''| is the anomalous correction component amplitude. Riso = $\Sigma\Sigma j ||Fj| - \langle |F| \rangle / \Sigma \langle |F| \rangle$. Rcen = $\Sigma ||FPH| - |FP| - |FH| / \Sigma ||FPH| - |FP||$ for centric reflections of isomorphous derivatives.

 $RK = \Sigma ||FPH^+| - |FPHcalc^+|| + ||FPH^-| - |FPHcalc^-|| / |FPH^+| + |FPH^-|$ for anomalous scattering.

Anomalous ratio =rms|| F^+ ||-| F^- ||/rms F. Dispersive ratio = rms|| $F_{\lambda 1}$ |-| $F_{\lambda 2}$ ||/rms F, rms=root mean square.

The crystal structure of CheA (residues 290-671) histidine kinase reveals a dimer (Figure 1) where the functions of dimerization, ATP-binding, and regulation are segregated into domains. The kinase domain is unlike Ser/Thr/Tyr kinases but resembles three ATPases: GyraseB [4], MutL [5] and Hsp90 [6]. Structural analogies within this superfamily suggest that the P1 domain of CheA provides the nucleophilic histidine and activating glutamate for phosphotransfer. CheA (dimerization and kinase domains) is also topologically similar to the dimeric phosphotransferase Spo0B [7]. However, the kinase-like domain in Spo0B is much shorter than the kinase domain in CheA and lacks all of the residues involved in binding ATP. The topological similarity between CheA and Spo0B shows that signal transduction circuits in bacteria have derived different functions from similar structural modules.

The CheA regulatory domain, which binds the homologous receptor-coupling protein CheW, topologically resembles two SH3 domains and provides different protein recognition surfaces at each end. The CheA dimerization domain forms a central 4-helix bundle about which the kinase and regulatory domains pivot on conserved hinges to modulate trans-phosphorylation. Different subunit conformations suggest that relative domain motions link receptor response to kinase activity.



Figure 1. Overall structure of the CheA_289 dimer

The 2 subunits (blue for MOL1, gray for MOL2) of the CheA dimer (shown as ribbon diagram) each contain separate dimerization, kinase, and regulatory domains. The dimer associates by a central 4-helix bundle and places the 2 ATP binding sites (located by the G-boxes) 90 Å away from each other.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

The authors wish to thank D.C Rees, P.J. Bjorkman, C. Starks, J. P. Noel, the Stanford Synchrotron Radiation Laboratory (Palo Alto) and the Advanced Light Source (Berkeley; T. Earnest and his co-workers) for access to X-ray diffraction facilities; D.B. Wigley for making the undeposited coordinates of GyrB complexed with ADPNP available to us; D.C Rees, P. J. Bjorkman, T.-M. Yi, J. Wilker, H. Li for helpful discussion. This work is supported by NIH grant AI19296 and a Donald E. and Delia B. Baxter Foundation Fellowship to A.M.B.

REFERENCES

1. J. L. Appleby, J. S. Parkinson, and R.B. Bourret, Cell 86, 845 (1996).

2. J. S. Parkinson, and E. C. Kofoid, Annu. Rev. Genet. 26, 71 (1992).

3. R. V. Swanson, M. G. Sanna, and M. I. Simon, J. Bacteriol. 178, 484 (1996).

4. D. B. Wigley, G. J. Davies, E. J. Dodson, A. Maxwell, and G. Dodson, Nature 351, 624 (1991).

5. C. Ban and W. Yang, Cell 95, 541 (1998).

6. C. E. Stebbins, A. A. Russo, C. Schneider, N. Rosen, F. U. Hartl, and N. P. Pavlevitch, Cell **89**, 239 (1997).

7. K. I. Varughese, Madhusudan, X. Z. Zhou, J. M. Whiteley, and J. A. Hoch, Molecular Cell **2**, 485 (1998).

This work was supported by NIH grant AI9296 and a Donald E. and Delia B. Baxter Foundation Fellowship to A.M.B.

Principal investigator: Melvin I. Simon, Division of Biology, California Institute of Technology. E-mail: simonm@cco.caltech.edu. Telephone: (626) 395-3944.