#### Erratum

# Baseline Structural Performance and Aircraft Impact Damage Analysis of the World Trade Center Towers (NCSTAR 1-2)

## Page 167, Paragraph 1, Line 8

## **Original Text:**

The less severe damage case did not meet two key observables: (1) no aircraft debris was calculated to exit the side opposite to impact and most of the debris was stopped prior to reaching that side, in contradiction to what was observed in photographs and videos of the impact event (see Section 7.10), and (2) the fire-structural and collapse initiation analyses of the damaged towers (NIST NCSTAR 1-6) indicated that the towers would not have collapsed had the less severe damage results been used.

### **Corrected Text:**

The less severe damage case did not meet a key observable: no aircraft debris was calculated to exit the side opposite to impact and most of the debris was stopped prior to reaching that side, in contradiction to what was observed in photographs and videos of the impact event (see Section 7.10).

This change corrects an inconsistency between the statement in NCSTAR 1-2 and NCSTAR 1-6.